| | 1 | | 2 |
|----|---|----|--|
| 1 | CONTOUR TOWN IN THE TOWN IN COLD MICE IN | 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
| 2 | CONCORD TOWNSHIP ZONING COMMISSION | 2 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Good evening. I'd like to |
| 3 | LAKE COUNTY, OHIO | 3 | call this Concord Township Zoning Commission, |
| 4 | REGULAR MEETING | 4 | Tuesday, June 4th meeting to order. We've got a |
| 5 | | 5 | pretty full agenda this evening. |
| 6 | | 6 | Heather, if you could start us off and call |
| 7 | C 11-11 | 7 | the roll. |
| 8 | Concord Town Hall 7229 Ravenna Road | 8 | MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Iafelice. |
| 9 | , === ================================= | 9 | MR. IAFELICE: Here. |
| 10 | Concord, Ohio 44077 | 10 | MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Peterson. |
| 11 | L., 4 2024 | 11 | MR. PETERSON: Here. |
| 12 | June 4, 2024 | 12 | MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Reppert. |
| 13 | 7:00 p.m. | 13 | MR. REPPERT: Here. MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Schindler. |
| 14 | TD ANGCOUNT OF DROCEEDINGS | 14 | MR. SCHINDLER: Here. |
| 15 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS | 15 | |
| 16 | | 16 | MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Lingenfelter. |
| 17 | | 17 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Here. |
| 18 | Zoning Commission members present: | 18 | Next, we'd like to do an approval of the |
| 19 | Andrew Lingenfelter, Chair Hiram Reppert, Vice-Chair Richard Peterson, Member | 19 | minutes for the March 5th meeting. |
| 20 | Rich Iafelice, Member | 20 | Where is our minute man? You weren't there, |
| 21 | Frank Schindler, Member | 21 | were you? Was that the one you had to excuse |
| 22 | Also Present: | 22 | yourself? |
| 23 | Heather Freeman, Planning & Zoning Director/Zoning Inspector | 23 | MR. REPPERT: I was not here. |
| 24 | Bridey Matheney, Esq., Legal Counsel | 24 | MR. IAFELICE: I will make a motion to approve |
| 25 | | 25 | the minutes as submitted. |
| | | | |
| 1 | MD I DICENTED TED. II | 1 | 4 |
| 1 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I have a motion. MR. PETERSON: I'll second that motion. | 1 | too. It's been kind of quiet |
| 2 | | 2 | MR. REPPERT: Good. |
| 3 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. All those in favor | 3 | MR. LINGENFELTER: which can be deemed as a |
| • | say aye. | 4 | good thing. Okay. |
| 5 | MR. IAFELICE: Aye. | 5 | The next item on the agenda is the Zoning |
| 6 | MR. PETERSON: Aye. | 6 | Inspector Report. Heather |
| 7 | MR. SCHINDLER: Aye. | 7 | MS. FREEMAN: Okay. |
| 8 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Aye. | 8 | MR. LINGENFELTER: please indulge us. |
| 9 | Opposed? | 9 | MS. FREEMAN: Good evening. |
| 10 | MR. REPPERT: Abstain. | 10 | Just a brief report this evening, just to |
| 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay, so I've got four ayes | 11 | update you on the zoning permit activity for the |
| 12 | and one abstention on the minutes. So we'll | 12 | month of May. Our office approved 42 zoning permit |
| 13 | consider those approved. | 13 | applications for various residential projects, |
| 14 | The next item on the agenda is correspondence. | 14 | bringing the year-to-date up to 172 permits. No |
| 15 | Frank? | 15 | dwellings this past month, but we're at 19 new |
| 16 | MR. SCHINDLER: None, Mr. Chairman. | 16 | dwelling units for the year. |
| 17 | MR. LINGENFELTER: None? Okay. | 17 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Just real quick, Heather, |
| 18 | MR. SCHINDLER: No. Quiet. | 18 | on the number of permits. |
| 19 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Mr. Reppert? | 19 | MS. FREEMAN: Yes. |
| 20 | MR. REPPERT: None, Mr. Chairman. | 20 | MR. LINGENFELTER: How does that break down as |
| 21 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Mr. Peterson? | 21 | far as you know, is that mostly just projects |
| 22 | MR. PETERSON: Nothing, Mr. Chairman. | 22 | like fences, and decks, and outbuildings? |
| 23 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Mr. Iafelice? | 23 | MS. FREEMAN: Sure. So I do have a breakdown. |
| 24 | MR. IAFELICE: Nothing. Thank you. | 24 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Oh, okay. |
| 25 | MD I INCENIEU TED. All might. I had nothing | 25 | MC EDEEMAN. About 12 of these years for |

MS. FREEMAN: About 12 of those were for

MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. I had nothing,

| | 5 | |
|----------|---|----------------|
| 1 | fences, eleven were for accessory buildings, five | 1 |
| 2 | were for new decks, and then three additions, three | 2 |
| 3 | aboveground pools, three inground swimming pools, | 3 |
| 4 | and then just a couple other miscellaneous | 4 |
| 5 | retaining walls, signs. | 5 |
| 6 | A new business went over in the Concord Plaza. | 6 |
| 7 | They took over a vacant space. Thee Hemp Store, I | 7 |
| 8 | think it is called. It's another retail business. | 8 |
| 9 | So that was pretty much the breakdown for the | 9 |
| 10 | month. | 10 |
| 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Good. | 11 |
| 12 | MS. FREEMAN: And then at the last BZA meeting | 12 |
| 13 | in May, I did mention to you, there were a couple | 13 |
| 14 | of applications for the Pacific Bells, Taco Bell. | 14 |
| 15 | Those were approved by the Board of Zoning Appeals, | 15 |
| 16 | and subsequently you will be getting, at your next | 16 |
| 17 | meeting, a site plan review application for the | 17 |
| 18 | Taco Bell project as proposed between the Key Bank | 18 |
| 19 | and Waffle House over there on Crile Road. | 19 |
| 20 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. | 20 |
| 21 | MS. FREEMAN: And that's really all I have | 21 |
| 22 | this evening. | 22 |
| 23 | MR. LINGENFELTER: That's all you have? | 23 |
| 24 | MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. | 24 |
| 25 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Wow. Good. Okay, thank | 25 |
| 1 | Please introduce yourself. 7 | 1 |
| 2 | MR. SERDINAK: So I'm Mike Serdinak. I'm | 2 |
| 3 | with CBLH Design. So we're the architect on this | 3 |
| 4 | project. | 4 |
| 5 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. | 5 |
| 6 | MR. SERDINAK: So this is Crossroads Health. | 6 |
| 7 | And I think we're calling it North Coast House, but | 7 |
| 8 | we might change the name on that. | 8 |
| 9 | THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, you'll have to | 9 |
| 10 | keep your voice up. | 10 |
| 11 | MR. SERDINAK: Okay. Do I need to stand in | 11 |
| 12 | front of this? | 12 |
| 13 | MR. LINGENFELTER: No, that's the | 13 |
| 14 | microphone is for the people that you know, for | 14 |
| 15 | the recording. | 15 |
| 16 | MR. SERDINAK: All right. | 16 |
| 17 | So what I want to do, where I wanted to start | 17 |
| 18 | was explaining kind of where we're at, and sort of | 18 |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | why we're proposing to do what we're doing. | 19 |
| | why we're proposing to do what we're doing. MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. | 19 20 |
| 21 | | |
| 21 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. MR. SERDINAK: So when they purchased the property, this building — it's two levels. So the | 20 |
| | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. MR. SERDINAK: So when they purchased the property, this building it's two levels. So the ground level is at two different levels. And to | 20 21 |
| 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. MR. SERDINAK: So when they purchased the property, this building — it's two levels. So the | 20 21 22 |

improved building for the use that we need, we want

25

25

Public Participation. Do we have anybody in the audience this evening that would like to address the Zoning Commission this evening regarding non-application issues?

You'll get your turn.

you.

5

Anybody want to come up and speak? Okay. We don't have any public participation. I assume we don't have anybody on the phone? Okay.

New Business. Now you're up.

We have a Site Plan Review Application
Number 58 that's been submitted by Crossroads
Health for an adult group home to be located at
78 -- 7480 Auburn Road, also known as the current
parcel ID 08-A-019-0-00-013-0.

So we have members in attendance today. If you could, as you speak today, I would appreciate it if you would identify yourself, give your name and address for the record, okay? That way, we have the information.

Please keep in mind that the microphone does not amplify. It's just for the people at home that are watching on the video recording. So please speak loudly, clearly, because it does not go through any speakers in the room.

to make the first floor, the ground floor, fully accessible.

So that means the highlighted portion, we're looking to remove, and then to rebuild it in a very similar place to where it's at right now. Slightly deeper, slightly wider, but set back from the road just a little bit more. But essentially, in a lot of ways, looking very similar to the way it does right now.

The intention is to use the same type of siding, basically match the look, but clean it up and sort of make it look new, even though only the portion of it would be new. The rest would be existing and renovated.

So in doing that, the intention would be to sort of raise this portion of the grade. When we raise that flooring, we need to raise the grade around it. So especially the backyard, and a little bit in the front yard, a little bit to the north, we'll have to do some work on the grade. We would provide two new walks for accessibility and egress.

And then we would look to also -- based on the impact of that, there's a pavilion that's right about here, and we would look to push that sort of

| | • | |
|---|---|--|
| ı | | |
| | | |

| | 9 | | 10 |
|----|---|----------|--|
| 1 | back. By push it, I mean take it down and look to | 1 | do it right to make it look and function like a new |
| 2 | rebuild that in the future. | 2 | building. |
| 3 | So as required, we would build a masonry trash | 3 | I'm happy to take any questions, and talk |
| 4 | enclosure, which is shown here. We would clean up | 4 | through anything else that anybody would need. |
| 5 | the parking lot a little bit. We think the edge | 5 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. |
| 6 | needs a little bit of work and some repair. | 6 | Rich, any questions? |
| 7 | Restripe it, of course. For the apron (inaudible). | 7 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. |
| 8 | And again, essentially try to more or less match | 8 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Iafelice. I'm sorry. |
| 9 | the aesthetics of what currently exists. | 9 | MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. Thank you. |
| 10 | And then for the landscaping considerations, | 10 | Could you just describe the function? |
| 11 | so there's plenty of vegetation on the property, so | 11 | MR. SERDINAK: Oh, it's |
| 12 | to a great extent, we look to leave what's | 12 | MR. IAFELICE: The building function, space. |
| 13 | existing. But on the north property line we want | 13 | What are you doing? |
| 14 | to add a fence that's 8 foot tall from sort of the | 14 | MR. SERDINAK: It's a 16-bed group home. |
| 15 | back corner up to the front face of the building, | 15 | So it's an adult group home. It will be |
| 16 | and then 4 foot tall from the face of the building | 16 | professionally monitored, I guess, 24/7. So |
| 17 | forward until we get to that treeline. | 17 | professional staff all the time. And it's a |
| 18 | In the front of the building, we would put | 18 | the stays I should probably be a little bit |
| 19 | some raised beds with some trees there. And then | 19 | careful on the length of time that the stays are, |
| 20 | we'd provide some screening with vegetation for the | 20 | but it's not come in and come out. The stays are |
| 21 | mechanical units on the south end. | 21 | a little bit longer, but not permanent. |
| 22 | In a nutshell, that's what we're looking to | 22 | MR. IAFELICE: You indicated what was |
| 23 | do. So to us, it's sort of like improving what's | 23 | difficult to discern from the drawings is what's |
| 24 | there. Unfortunately, we have to take a little bit | 24 | being removed and what isn't |
| 25 | of it down to put some of it back up, and to try to | 25 | MR. SERDINAK: Yeah. |
| 1 | MR. IAFELICE: until I looked at the | 1 | And then lastly, could you describe what is |
| 2 | architectural side. | 2 | the rehabilitation of the other wing, what is being |
| 3 | MR. SERDINAK: Yeah. | 3 | done? The rehabilitation of the other wing. |
| 4 | MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. | 4 | MR. SERDINAK: Of the existing building? |
| 5 | MR. SERDINAK: I didn't bring a printout, a | 5 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. |
| 6 | big version of the floor plan. But that shows a | 6 | MR. SERDINAK: Okay. So the intention is, to |
| 7 | really clean dashed line, a thick dashed line of | 7 | the extent we can, we want to leave all the windows |
| 8 | exactly the existing. | 8 | that are there. The intention is to try to reuse |
| 9 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. Okay. | 9 | the siding, whether it actually stays in place, or |
| 10 | MR. SERDINAK: But the highlighted portion is | 10 | we have to pull some of it off and replace it, and |
| 11 | the new portion. | 11 | maybe mix and match. I think there's a decent |
| 12 | MR. IAFELICE: The new portion. | 12 | chance we'll end up replacing it all, but we would |
| 13 | You described the setback, it was changing. | 13 | make it essentially match what's there. |
| 14 | But it looks exactly the same as the existing. | 14 | MR. IAFELICE: Okay. |
| 15 | MR. SERDINAK: It's very similar. | 15 | MR. SERDINAK: So we want to make sure that |
| 16 | MR. IAFELICE: They're close? | 16 | there's appropriate vapor barriers. Again, we'll |
| 17 | MR. SERDINAK: Yeah. And again, let me | 17 | look at things like the roof. If we have to |
| 18 | MR. IAFELICE: So the setback from the road is | 18 | replace or patch the roof, we would do that. |
| 19 | relatively the same? | 19 | There are currently four skylights. I think |
| 20 | MR. SERDINAK: It's relatively the same. It's | 20 | we're going to end up having to remove one. We |
| 21 | just slightly back, only because we wanted to make | 21 | would fill that in and patch it, or I think there's |
| 22 | Just slightly back, only because we wanted to make | | |
| 22 | sure that we weren't making it any worse. So we | 22 | a decent chance we'll end up replacing all the |
| 23 | | 22 23 | a decent chance we'll end up replacing all the shingles. Because we do want to make it look like |
| | sure that we weren't making it any worse. So we | | |
| 23 | sure that we weren't making it any worse. So we pushed it back just a little bit more than it | 23 | shingles. Because we do want to make it look like |

| | | 14 |
|---|--------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | MR. REPPERT: | Could you explain, the first |

| | 13 | | 14 |
|----------------|--|----------------|--|
| 1 | MR. SERDINAK: you know, when we're all | 1 | MR. REPPERT: Could you explain, the first |
| 2 | done. | 2 | floor or ground level floor plan, and then lower |
| 3 | MR. IAFELICE: Okay, thank you. | 3 | level. |
| 4 | That's all I have, Mr. Chairman. | 4 | MR. SERDINAK: So the current plan this has |
| 5 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Mr. Peterson, any | 5 | been through some iterations. We would love to put |
| 6 | questions? | 6 | a full basement in. If we can do that, we'll |
| 7 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah, a question on the parking | 7 | intend to do that. We're showing the lower level, |
| 8 | lot. It looks like you'll have seven or eight | 8 | or the basement, under the new, which is here, and |
| 9 | spaces. Is that strictly staff? | 9 | it's to your right on the floor plan, as an |
| 10 | MR. SERDINAK: So it's eight spaces. There's | 10 | alternate. So in other words, it's a crawl space, |
| 11 | 16 beds. So we need four one for every four. | 11 | base bid. If we can afford it, as an alternate, we |
| 12 | So that's four, and then there are four staff, at | 12 | would do a full basement. So if we're able to do |
| 13 | most. | 13 | the full basement, we might look to finish some of |
| 14 | MR. PETERSON: Okay. What about visitor | 14 | that. But the primary function is all on the first |
| 15 | parking? | 15 | floor or the ground level. So that's where all the |
| 16 | MR. SERDINAK: There are almost no visitors. | 16 | residents would live, that's where the main offices |
| 17 | MR. PETERSON: Okay. | 17 | would be. |
| 18 | MR. SERDINAK: And the staff, it's very rare | 18 | MR. REPPERT: And that's where staff is, too? |
| 19 | that they even have all the staff there at one | 19 | MR. SERDINAK: Yes, yes. The only thing that |
| 20 | time. So we actually think it's probably more than | 20 | we're showing in the lower level is a staff break |
| 21 | we need. | 21 | room right now |
| 22 | MR. PETERSON: Okay, thank you. | 22 | MR. REPPERT: Okay. Staff lounge. |
| 23 | That's all. | 23 | MR. SERDINAK: and a restroom that goes |
| 24 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. Mr. Reppert, any | 24 | along with that, yeah. |
| 25 | questions? | 25 | MR. LINGENFELTER: And you're saying excuse |
| | | | |
| | 15 | | 16 |
| 1 | me. I'm sorry. | 1 | that thick dashed line. |
| 2 | So you're saying that the basement is not | 2 | MR. REPPERT: Yeah. |
| 3 | you haven't decided yet that you're going to go | 3 | MR. SERDINAK: So you see how it's not quite |
| 4 | full basement? | 4 | deep enough. We could have tried to make it work, |
| 5 | MR. SERDINAK: It's a budget issue. So | 5 | but if we did that, we would have had to put |
| 6 | depending on how the bids either come in, or | 6 | another leg coming up, and it would have used up a |
| 7 | depending on the construction methodology that we | 7 | lot more of the green space, and a lot of things |
| 8 | use. If we can make it a full basement, we want | 8 | like that. |
| 9 | to. And we would love to be able to finish out a | 9 | MR. REPPERT: Thank you. |
| 10 | little bit more of that space. It won't change the | 10 | That's it for me, sir. |
| 11 | function of the first floor at all. So the first | 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Mr. Schindler. |
| 12 | floor is basically set. The lower level, if we | 12 | MR. SCHINDLER: The questions I would have |
| 13 | can, we would love to give a little bit more useful | 13 | had, you already addressed. So I'm fine. |
| 14 | space down there, that's all. | 14 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good? |
| 15 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. Okay. | 15 | MR. SCHINDLER: Yes. |
| 16 | MR. REPPERT: Now, the full basement would go | 16 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I have no questions. It |
| 17 | under the new layout. | 17 | looks like a good opportunity. It looks like a |
| 18 | MR. SERDINAK: Yes. | 18 | good plan. It looks like any time we're |
| 19 | MR. REPPERT: Okay. So without the full | 19 | improving on an existing structure, and adding to |
| | That I was I was a state of the | | |
| 20 | basement, what does the foundation look do you | 20 | it, and enhancing it, I think that's a good idea. |
| 20 21 | | 20 21 | it, and enhancing it, I think that's a good idea. So it's conformance. |
| | basement, what does the foundation look do you | | |
| 21 | basement, what does the foundation look do you have to rip up the existing foundation? | 21 | So it's conformance. |
| 21 22 | basement, what does the foundation look do you have to rip up the existing foundation? MR. SERDINAK: Yeah. | 21 22 | So it's conformance. I want the record to reflect that we did |
| 21 22 23 | basement, what does the foundation look do you have to rip up the existing foundation? MR. SERDINAK: Yeah. MR. REPPERT: You do. | 21 22 23 | So it's conformance. I want the record to reflect that we did receive we have a staff report. |

| | 17 | | 18 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | MR. SERDINAK: We have. | 1 | the building will be removed or demolished. |
| 2 | MR. LINGENFELTER: but we have a staff | 2 | MR. SERDINAK: Understood. |
| 3 | report. We had some various agencies that gave | 3 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. Number two, on the |
| 4 | input on the project. We had zoning staff | 4 | schematic plan sheet, they want to, A, show the |
| 5 | comments. We also had comments from the Lake | 5 | proposed fence along the north property line, which |
| 6 | County Stormwater Management Department. And we | 6 | you had highlighted in your presentation. |
| 7 | also had comments from the Lake from the Concord | 7 | B, show No Parking sign next to the north side |
| 8 | Township Fire Department. | 8 | of the building to maintain a fire lane. |
| 9 | And then under the staff recommendations, | 9 | Are you okay with that? |
| 10 | they kind of condensed all that down into some | 10 | MR. SERDINAK: Yes. |
| 11 | recommendations. And basically the staff is | 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. And then C, |
| 12 | recommending a conditional approval based on these | 12 | update the square footage of the proposed building |
| 13 | conditions. | 13 | to match the final architectural plans. |
| 14 | I just want to review these with you, make | 14 | Are you good with that? |
| 15 | sure you understand what they are, and that these | 15 | MR. SERDINAK: Yes. |
| 16 | are conditions that must be met in order to proceed | 16 | MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. And then on |
| 17 | with the project. | 17 | number three, we have a couple of bullet points |
| 18 | Number one, on the existing condition sheet, | 18 | there, A, on the grading plan sheet, A, show |
| 19 | Part A, show the rest of the building footprint, | 19 | finished grades on the corners of the building, |
| 20 | floor elevations, finished grades at the corners. | 20 | okay? |
| 21 | MR. SERDINAK: Yes. | 21 | MR. SERDINAK: (Nodding.) |
| 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? | 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: B would be to show the new |
| 23 | MR. SERDINAK: Understood. | 23 | location of the relocated pavilion. |
| 24 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. | 24 | MR. SERDINAK: (Nodding.) |
| 25 | All right, B would be to show if any part of | 25 | MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. C would be to |
| 1 | differentiate the proposed and existing grades | 1 | 20 sewers shown extending from the southwest corner of |
| 2 | better. | 2 | the building. Include what size these are, pipe |
| 3 | MR. SERDINAK: (Nodding.) | 3 | material, inverts, and include what they appear to |
| 4 | MR. LINGENFELTER: D would be, since the rear | 4 | drain, i.e. downspouts, footers, et cetera. |
| 5 | yard sidewalk will act as a conveyance channel and | 5 | _ |
| 6 | | | MR SERDINAK: Ves |
| | direct water towards the hillside. I recommend a | | MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENEELTER: You're good with that? |
| 7 | direct water towards the hillside, I recommend a | 6 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? |
| 7 8 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the | 6 7 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. |
| 8 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against | 6 7 8 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the |
| 8 9 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. | 6 7 8 9 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for |
| 8 9 10 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. | 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. |
| 8 9 10 11 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? | 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? All right. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. B, to show and |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? All right. And then E, show proposed spot grades along | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. B, to show and list a proposed disturbance for the project. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? All right. And then E, show proposed spot grades along the edge of the newly proposed asphalt. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. B, to show and list a proposed disturbance for the project. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? All right. And then E, show proposed spot grades along the edge of the newly proposed asphalt. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. B, to show and list a proposed disturbance for the project. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: C, to show the pre and post |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? All right. And then E, show proposed spot grades along the edge of the newly proposed asphalt. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay, good with that. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. B, to show and list a proposed disturbance for the project. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: C, to show the pre and post impervious area for the project to determine the |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? All right. And then E, show proposed spot grades along the edge of the newly proposed asphalt. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay, good with that. And then F, can there be a slight swale | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. B, to show and list a proposed disturbance for the project. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: C, to show the pre and post impervious area for the project to determine the net difference. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? All right. And then E, show proposed spot grades along the edge of the newly proposed asphalt. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay, good with that. And then F, can there be a slight swale installed just northwest of the dumpster to direct | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. B, to show and list a proposed disturbance for the project. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: C, to show the pre and post impervious area for the project to determine the net difference. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? All right. And then E, show proposed spot grades along the edge of the newly proposed asphalt. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay, good with that. And then F, can there be a slight swale installed just northwest of the dumpster to direct the water southwesterly so some of the water does | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. B, to show and list a proposed disturbance for the project. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: C, to show the pre and post impervious area for the project to determine the net difference. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that. All |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? All right. And then E, show proposed spot grades along the edge of the newly proposed asphalt. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay, good with that. And then F, can there be a slight swale installed just northwest of the dumpster to direct the water southwesterly so some of the water does not flow onto 7474 Auburn Road's property? | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. B, to show and list a proposed disturbance for the project. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: C, to show the pre and post impervious area for the project to determine the net difference. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that. All right. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? All right. And then E, show proposed spot grades along the edge of the newly proposed asphalt. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay, good with that. And then F, can there be a slight swale installed just northwest of the dumpster to direct the water southwesterly so some of the water does not flow onto 7474 Auburn Road's property? MR. SERDINAK: Yes. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. B, to show and list a proposed disturbance for the project. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: C, to show the pre and post impervious area for the project to determine the net difference. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that. All right. MR. SERDINAK: (Nodding.) |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? All right. And then E, show proposed spot grades along the edge of the newly proposed asphalt. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay, good with that. And then F, can there be a slight swale installed just northwest of the dumpster to direct the water southwesterly so some of the water does not flow onto 7474 Auburn Road's property? MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: You have that covered? | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. B, to show and list a proposed disturbance for the project. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: C, to show the pre and post impervious area for the project to determine the net difference. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that. All right. MR. SERDINAK: (Nodding.) MR. LINGENFELTER: And D, to include pre and |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? All right. And then E, show proposed spot grades along the edge of the newly proposed asphalt. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay, good with that. And then F, can there be a slight swale installed just northwest of the dumpster to direct the water southwesterly so some of the water does not flow onto 7474 Auburn Road's property? MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: You have that covered? Good. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. B, to show and list a proposed disturbance for the project. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: C, to show the pre and post impervious area for the project to determine the net difference. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that. All right. MR. SERDINAK: (Nodding.) MR. LINGENFELTER: And D, to include pre and post curve number values for the project. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | turf reinforcement matting at the end of the sidewalk and towards the hill to protect against surface erosion. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Are you good with that? All right. And then E, show proposed spot grades along the edge of the newly proposed asphalt. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay, good with that. And then F, can there be a slight swale installed just northwest of the dumpster to direct the water southwesterly so some of the water does not flow onto 7474 Auburn Road's property? MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: You have that covered? | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that? Okay. And then point number five, which is on the SWPPP sheet, point A, include the details for filter rock, concrete washout, and seeding tables. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. B, to show and list a proposed disturbance for the project. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: C, to show the pre and post impervious area for the project to determine the net difference. MR. SERDINAK: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: You're good with that. All right. MR. SERDINAK: (Nodding.) MR. LINGENFELTER: And D, to include pre and |

| _ | _ | |
|---|---|--|
|) | , | |
| | | |

| 1 | Okay. | 1 | those things as part of the conditional use permit. |
|----------|---|----|---|
| 2 | And then number six would be, submit final | 2 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Super. Thank you. |
| 3 | building plans for the pavilion and primary | 3 | MS. IDEN: Hi. I'm Alice Iden. I live at 135 |
| 4 | building. | 4 | Coventry Court in Auburn, Ohio. |
| 5 | MR. SERDINAK: Yes. | 5 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. |
| 6 | MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. So if you are | 6 | MS. IDEN: I'm the Chief Operating Officer at |
| 7 | in a position to agree to, adhere to all the | 7 | Crossroads Health. I'm involved with the |
| 8 | conditions that have been set forth, then that will | 8 | management of the project. |
| 9 | help us make our decision a lot easier. | 9 | And as Shayna said, we read through everything |
| 10 | MR. SERDINAK: Yes, we agree. | 10 | that you've proposed that we do, and we agree. |
| 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. I know you folks are | 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. So you don't see any |
| 12 | here. I don't know who you are, but if you would | 12 | issues with the conditions and everything that's |
| 13 | like, you're more than welcome to come up and | 13 | been put together by the Zoning Department and all |
| 14 | address the board, if you have any information | 14 | the associated agencies? |
| 15 | you'd like to share with us, who you are, what your | 15 | MS. IDEN: Uh-huh. |
| 16 | role in this project is, you're more than welcome | 16 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay, very good. Very |
| 17 | to come up and speak. | 17 | good. |
| 18 | Please state your name and address for the | 18 | MS. JACKSON: Thank you. |
| 19 | record, too. | 19 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah. Thank you for coming |
| 20 | MS. JACKSON: Sure. Shayna Jackson. I'm the | 20 | up. We appreciate it. |
| 21 | Chief Executive Officer for Crossroads Health. | 21 | MS. JACKSON: Of course. |
| 22 | Address is 150 Radley Drive, Painesville, Ohio. | 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Is there any additional |
| 23 | I just wanted to kind of be here publicly to | 23 | information, anything you'd like to add to the |
| 24 | be able to say we understand all of the additional | 24 | record on this project at this point? |
| 25 | conditions, and as CEO, will make sure to adhere to | 25 | MR. SERDINAK: No, sir. |
| | | | |
| 1 | MR. IAFELICE: Mr. Chairman, if I may, one | 1 | put a concrete apron, perhaps, instead of asphalt? |
| 2 | additional question | 2 | MR. SERDINAK: So the intention is not to. |
| 3 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Sure. Absolutely. | 3 | Again, we are looking to put the fence right above |
| 4 | MR. IAFELICE: with what you just went | 4 | that so |
| 5 | through | 5 | MR. IAFELICE: No, I meant the apron, the |
| 6 | MR. LINGENFELTER: They're here. | 6 | actual entrance. The apron. The driveway apron. |
| 7 | MR. IAFELICE: — if I may. | 7 | MR. SERDINAK: Oh, the driveway apron? |
| 8 | There are details for the asphalt pavement | 8 | MR. IAFELICE: It's in the right of way. Just |
| 9 | and asphalt pavement base repair, but the notes | 9 | leave it as is? |
| 10 | indicate that the asphalt pavement will to | 10 | MR. SERDINAK: We believe it's in very good |
| 11 | remain. | 11 | shape. So we didn't want to touch that. Yeah. |
| 12 | MR. SERDINAK: So it's as-needed. | 12 | MR. IAFELICE: Okay. That's it. |
| 13 | MR. IAFELICE: So it's as-needed. Okay. | 13 | Thank you. |
| 14 | MR. SERDINAK: On the northern edge here, you | 14 | • |
| | can kind of see where there's kind of a double | 15 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Any other questions from the Board? |
| 15 16 | line. | 16 | MR. REPPERT: None here. |
| | | 17 | MR. SCHINDLER: No. |
| 17 | MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. | | |
| 18 | MR. SERDINAK: Based on the survey, it looks | 18 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Heather, any comments? |
| 19 | like it's really jagged. We think there might just | 19 | MS. FREEMAN: No. |
| 20 | be overgrowth there. So either we're going to | 20 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Counsel, any comments? |
| 21 | repair that, or we're just going to clean it up. | 21 | MS. MATHENEY: No. |
| 22 | MR. IAFELICE: Okay. | 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Thank you. |
| 23 | MR. SERDINAK: So depending on which ever it | 23 | All right, I guess at this point, then, I |
| 24 | needs. | 24 | would be willing to entertain a motion in the |
| 25 | MR. IAFELICE: Was there a consideration to | 25 | affirmative. |

| | 25 | | 26 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | MR. IAFELICE: Mr. Chairman, I'll make the | 1 | approved, and you are good to move forward on your |
| 2 | motion to approve | 2 | project, and good luck. We look forward to seeing |
| 3 | MR. REPPERT: Conditionally. | 3 | the finished product. |
| 4 | MR. IAFELICE: where is the agenda to | 4 | MR. SERDINAK: Thank you. |
| 5 | approve Site Plan Review Application Number 58 as | 5 | MS. JACKSON: Thank you. |
| 6 | submitted, subject to the conditions in the staff | 6 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Thanks for coming in. We |
| 7 | report. | 7 | appreciate the presentation. |
| 8 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Thank you. | 8 | We'll give you a moment to |
| 9 | Okay, I have a motion made. | 9 | MS. JACKSON: Thank you. We appreciate you. |
| 10 | MR. PETERSON: I'll second that motion. | 10 | MR. REPPERT: Thank you. |
| 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay, we have a second. | 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Unless you want to hang out |
| 12 | Heather, would you call the roll, please. | 12 | and watch the rest of the meeting. |
| 13 | MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Reppert. | 13 | MS. JACKSON: You know, it's good stuff. |
| 14 | MR. REPPERT: Yes. | 14 | MR. LINGENFELTER: It's going to get real |
| 15 | MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Schindler. | 15 | interesting here. You might be disappointed you |
| 16 | MR. SCHINDLER: Yes. | 16 | missed out on it. |
| 17 | MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Iafelice. | 17 | MS. JACKSON: Well, we're excited to show as |
| 18 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. | 18 | we move through the process. So thank you very |
| 19 | MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Peterson. | 19 | much. |
| 20 | MR. PETERSON: Yes. | 20 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Thank you. |
| 21 | MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Lingenfelter. | 21 | MS. JACKSON: We appreciate you. Have a good |
| 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yes. | 22 | evening. |
| 23 | Let the record reflect we have five ayes, no | 23 | MR. SCHINDLER: You, too. |
| 24 | nays, no abstentions. | 24 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You, too. |
| 25 | So the site plan application has been | 25 | Okay, Item Number 2 under the New Business |
| | | | |
| 1 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding | 1 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual |
| 1 2 | | 1 2 | |
| | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding | | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual |
| 2 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and | 2 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. |
| 2 3 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? | 2 3 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically |
| 2 3 4 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. | 2 3 4 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory |
| 2 3 4 5 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for | 2 3 4 5 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? | 2 3 4 5 6 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and brought up some issues and had some suggestions for | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or detached, and the same for the use. And then, also, for the definition of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and brought up some issues and had some suggestions for this board to consider taking on. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or detached, and the same for the use. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and brought up some issues and had some suggestions for this board to consider taking on. And we had a further discussion regarding | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or detached, and the same for the use. And then, also, for the definition of garage or private garage, if you look at it's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and brought up some issues and had some suggestions for this board to consider taking on. And we had a further discussion regarding whether or not we wanted to potentially put some | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or detached, and the same for the use. And then, also, for the definition of garage or private garage, if you look at it's Number 85. MR. IAFELICE: 85. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and brought up some issues and had some suggestions for this board to consider taking on. And we had a further discussion regarding whether or not we wanted to potentially put some limitations on the size of garages that are | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or detached, and the same for the use. And then, also, for the definition of garage — or private garage, if you look at — it's Number 85. MR. IAFELICE: 85. MS. FREEMAN: Sorry, I'm flipping through, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and brought up some issues and had some suggestions for this board to consider taking on. And we had a further discussion regarding whether or not we wanted to potentially put some limitations on the size of garages that are attached to dwellings in order to make sure that, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or detached, and the same for the use. And then, also, for the definition of garage or private garage, if you look at it's Number 85. MR. IAFELICE: 85. MS. FREEMAN: Sorry, I'm flipping through, trying to find it. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and brought up some issues and had some suggestions for this board to consider taking on. And we had a further discussion regarding whether or not we wanted to potentially put some limitations on the size of garages that are | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or detached, and the same for the use. And then, also, for the definition of garage — or private garage, if you look at — it's Number 85. MR. IAFELICE: 85. MS. FREEMAN: Sorry, I'm flipping through, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and brought up some issues and had some suggestions for this board to consider taking on. And we had a further discussion regarding whether or not we wanted to potentially put some limitations on the size of garages that are attached to dwellings in order to make sure that, you know, the primary use of a residential property that's being used for a single-family dwelling is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or detached, and the same for the use. And then, also, for the definition of garage — or private garage, if you look at — it's Number 85. MR. IAFELICE: 85. MS. FREEMAN: Sorry, I'm flipping through, trying to find it. The new Number 85, potentially, would be to amend that definition, because right now it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and brought up some issues and had some suggestions for this board to consider taking on. And we had a further discussion regarding whether or not we wanted to potentially put some limitations on the size of garages that are attached to dwellings in order to make sure that, you know, the primary use of a residential property that's being used for a single-family dwelling is still going to be that the single-family dwelling | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or detached, and the same for the use. And then, also, for the definition of garage or private garage, if you look at it's Number 85. MR. IAFELICE: 85. MS. FREEMAN: Sorry, I'm flipping through, trying to find it. The new Number 85, potentially, would be to amend that definition, because right now it strictly is referring to a detached accessory |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and brought up some issues and had some suggestions for this board to consider taking on. And we had a further discussion regarding whether or not we wanted to potentially put some limitations on the size of garages that are attached to dwellings in order to make sure that, you know, the primary use of a residential property that's being used for a single-family dwelling is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or detached, and the same for the use. And then, also, for the definition of garage or private garage, if you look at it's Number 85. MR. IAFELICE: 85. MS. FREEMAN: Sorry, I'm flipping through, trying to find it. The new Number 85, potentially, would be to amend that definition, because right now it strictly is referring to a detached accessory building or portion of the principal. But we were |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and brought up some issues and had some suggestions for this board to consider taking on. And we had a further discussion regarding whether or not we wanted to potentially put some limitations on the size of garages that are attached to dwellings in order to make sure that, you know, the primary use of a residential property that's being used for a single-family dwelling is still going to be that the single-family dwelling portion of the building is primary to the storage | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or detached, and the same for the use. And then, also, for the definition of garage — or private garage, if you look at — it's Number 85. MR. IAFELICE: 85. MS. FREEMAN: Sorry, I'm flipping through, trying to find it. The new Number 85, potentially, would be to amend that definition, because right now it strictly is referring to a detached accessory building or portion of the principal. But we were proposing for it to just say, an accessory building |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and brought up some issues and had some suggestions for this board to consider taking on. And we had a further discussion regarding whether or not we wanted to potentially put some limitations on the size of garages that are attached to dwellings in order to make sure that, you know, the primary use of a residential property that's being used for a single-family dwelling is still going to be that the single-family dwelling portion of the building is primary to the storage area, i.e., an attached garage. So some of the amendments that I have in front | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or detached, and the same for the use. And then, also, for the definition of garage or private garage, if you look at it's Number 85. MR. IAFELICE: 85. MS. FREEMAN: Sorry, I'm flipping through, trying to find it. The new Number 85, potentially, would be to amend that definition, because right now it strictly is referring to a detached accessory building or portion of the principal. But we were proposing for it to just say, an accessory building designed to store, and then continuing on there. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | agenda is a memo from Zoning Inspector regarding potential amendments to Section V, Definitions, and Sections XV, Residential Districts. Do we have that memorandum here? Heather, do you want to highlight things for us? MS. FREEMAN: Sure, I'd be happy to. If you recall, at the last meeting, we had a discussion, a resident came and approached the board, who is here this evening, as well, and brought up some issues and had some suggestions for this board to consider taking on. And we had a further discussion regarding whether or not we wanted to potentially put some limitations on the size of garages that are attached to dwellings in order to make sure that, you know, the primary use of a residential property that's being used for a single-family dwelling is still going to be that the single-family dwelling portion of the building is primary to the storage area, i.e., an attached garage. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MS. FREEMAN: And then also some of the actual regulations in Section XV. So if you look at the definitions, basically we are proposing a new definition for accessory building or use, and eliminating the existing definitions, which basically refer to the accessory building as strictly detached from the principal building. But the way this is written now, the accessory building could technically be attached or detached, and the same for the use. And then, also, for the definition of garage — or private garage, if you look at — it's Number 85. MR. IAFELICE: 85. MS. FREEMAN: Sorry, I'm flipping through, trying to find it. The new Number 85, potentially, would be to amend that definition, because right now it strictly is referring to a detached accessory building or portion of the principal. But we were proposing for it to just say, an accessory building |

the meat of like what people would be looking at if

25

MR. LINGENFELTER: Uh-huh.

| | 29 | | 30 |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | they were trying to figure out, okay, what size | 1 | no accessory building shall be erected to a height |
| 2 | garage am I allowed to have. A lot of people don't | 2 | in excess of that of the principal building in |
| 3 | look at the definitions. The definitions are | 3 | which it is incident. |
| 4 | really important. | 4 | So therefore, if the accessory building was |
| 5 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. | 5 | attached to the dwelling, it could be no taller |
| 6 | MS. FREEMAN: But typical residents, | 6 | than the roof portion of the dwelling. It could be |
| 7 | contractors, they just go straight to the | 7 | the same, just not taller. Or if it is a detached |
| 8 | standards | 8 | accessory building, scale-wise we want to make sure |
| 9 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. | 9 | that that accessory building does not exceed the |
| 10 | MS. FREEMAN: so this is a little bit | 10 | height of the main building on the lot, the |
| 11 | more it's easier for you to understand. | 11 | single-family dwelling. |
| 12 | But Amendment Number 2 was actually Section | 12 | That would likely be like in a two-story |
| 13 | 15.03, and this also had some or 15.02, rather. | 13 | house, that probably really wouldn't be an issue. |
| 14 | Under the 15.02 Use Regulations, just | 14 | But in a one-story house, you could potentially |
| 15 | clarifying that these permitted uses and | 15 | have an accessory building that exceeds the height |
| 16 | conditional uses in that table of uses are actually | 16 | of the single story. |
| 17 | the principal permitted use and principal | 17 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah. If you had like a garage |
| 18 | conditional uses, just to make sure that these | 18 | for a recreational vehicle or something like |
| 19 | coincide with the definitions. And then, also, in | 19 | that |
| 20 | the table itself, updating those headings there. | 20 | MS. FREEMAN: Yes. |
| 21 | But then specifically in 15.03, potentially | 21 | MR. PETERSON: those can get pretty tall. |
| 22 | changing this to include the term, accessory use, | 22 | MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. |
| 23 | specific standards. And then under here you'll see | 23 | The other changes that I was proposing is to |
| 24 | kind of the meat of what I was proposing. | 24 | maybe eliminate this table that we have in here, |
| 25 | So the new Number 6 would potentially be that, | 25 | and just putting it into words. |
| 1 | So that's kind of what Number 7 is, just | 1 | MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. |
| 2 | restating that in the R-1, R-4, R-6, and -8, that | 2 | MR. REPPERT: And we're here saying it can't |
| 3 | those lots that are two acres in area are permitted | 3 | be bigger than the first floor footprint. I think |
| 4 | to have no more than one detached accessory | 4 | there's a conflict here, okay? |
| 5 | building not to exceed a total of 1,024 square | 5 | MS. FREEMAN: Yes. |
| 6 | feet, which is exactly the same way we regulate it | 6 | MR. REPPERT: We say here, R-1, -4, -6, and |
| 7 | now. | 7 | -8, two acres and larger, detached accessory |
| 8 | Number 8 would be in the R-1, -4, -6, and -8 | 8 | building not to exceed 1,532. |
| 9 | districts. Lots two acres and larger in area are | 9 | And down here, the square footage of any |
| 10 | permitted to have detached accessory buildings not | 10 | accessory building attached to or detached from the |
| 11 | to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. | 11 | principal building on the lot shall not exceed the |
| 12 | MR. REPPERT: How did we come up with 1,532 | 12 | square footage of the living area on the ground |
| 13 | square feet? | 13 | level of the principal building. |
| 14 | MS. FREEMAN: I'm not sure where that figure | 14 | Which one is it? 1,532, or is it the area of |
| 15 | came from. That was probably written, I think in | 15 | the first floor? |
| 16 | like at least it was before I was here. I'd | 16 | MS. FREEMAN: Well, the way I read it, and I |
| 17 | say at least 2011, if not before that. So I can't | 17 | don't know if Bridey wants to chime in, is that you |
| 18 | answer that. I'm not sure where that came from, | 18 | have to comply with both. Like you can't exceed |
| 19 | where the 1,532 came from. | 19 | the 1,532. |
| 20 | MR. REPPERT: Do you know how big 1,532 is? | 20 | MR. IAFELICE: Right. |
| 21 | Pick a width. Thirty feet? Thirty by fifty. | 21 | MS. FREEMAN: However, if you live in an 800 |
| 22 | That's 1,500 square feet. That's huge. | 22 | square foot house on the first floor |
| 23 | MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. | 23 | MR. IAFELICE: You can't exceed that. |
| 24 | MR. REPPERT: Thirty-five by forty-four. | 24 | MS. FREEMAN: you can't exceed the 800 |
| 25 | 1,532 square feet is a big building. | 25 | square feet. |
| | | | |

| | 22 | | 24 |
|----|--|----------|---|
| 1 | MS. MATHENEY: I agree. | 1 | the way that materials or trusses are built now. |
| 2 | MR. PETERSON: That makes sense. | 2 | Like it's putting them over four square feet or |
| 3 | MS. FREEMAN: But just like, Hiram, our | 3 | something. I can't remember what the figures are. |
| 4 | minimum living area for a ranch, for new homes, | 4 | But we could start looking at the sizes, too, |
| 5 | obviously | 5 | that we're permitting, and further refine those, if |
| 6 | MR. REPPERT: Yeah. | 6 | that's something that the Board wanted to do. |
| 7 | MS. FREEMAN: If there's existing homes out | 7 | MR. SCHINDLER: Well, if we always stuck to |
| 8 | there, we'd have to look at that, at that time. | 8 | the living area, no matter what, we wouldn't have |
| 9 | But if someone is going to build a new ranch today | 9 | to worry about exceeding things that would be too |
| 10 | in Concord, it has to be at least 1,200 square feet | 10 | much in excess, right? If we stick everything just |
| 11 | of living area. | 11 | to the living area, then we don't have to worry |
| 12 | And so, I guess technically, if they wanted | 12 | about playing with numbers, like we're playing with |
| 13 | and they were on a two-acre lot, you know, they | 13 | numbers now. |
| 14 | could have 1,200 square foot detached garage, as | 14 | MS. FREEMAN: Right. |
| 15 | well. | 15 | MR. SCHINDLER: You'll always be in |
| 16 | In doing these updates, I really didn't | 16 | conjunction with the living area of the dwelling on |
| 17 | scrutinize the sizes of the buildings that we were | 17 | the first floor. |
| 18 | already allowing. We could do that. I was just | 18 | MS. FREEMAN: But could go up to these |
| 19 | trying to put the framework in on getting a handle | 19 | maximums, as well. |
| 20 | on relating it to the living area. But we could | 20 | MR. SCHINDLER: True. But I think, if I |
| 21 | continue the work session to talk about where do | 21 | understand you correctly, that would really be |
| 22 | these numbers come from, too, if you guys want to | 22 | exceeding quite a large area in relation to the |
| 23 | dive into that. | 23 | living area, correct? |
| 24 | The 1,024, a lot of contractors ask me where | 24 | MR. REPPERT: Correct. |
| 25 | we got that number, because it doesn't work with | 25 | MR. SCHINDLER: So if we stayed within the |
| 1 | living area of a dwelling, I think we would | 1 | level. I think that's a good thing to have, even |
| 2 | probably address the issues you have, and we still | 2 | though I don't agree with it. But if we're going |
| 3 | wouldn't put in the restriction on the builder of | 3 | to have anything, I think it should be the square |
| 4 | the unit, that you're running into right now | 4 | footage of the living area on the first floor. |
| 5 | MS. FREEMAN: I'm sorry. | 5 | MS. FREEMAN: For the attached |
| 6 | MR. SCHINDLER: correct? | 6 | MR. IAFELICE: For the attached? |
| 7 | MS. FREEMAN: Can you say that again? | 7 | MS. FREEMAN: garage? Or what about if |
| 8 | MR. IAFELICE: I didn't hear you, either. | 8 | it's a freestanding? |
| 9 | MR. SCHINDLER: I'm sorry. | 9 | MR. REPPERT: Attached or detached. Because I |
| 10 | MS. FREEMAN: I just want to make sure I | 10 | think we have two numbers now, 1,532 and the square |
| 11 | understand you. | 11 | footage of the area, the living area. We've got |
| 12 | MR. SCHINDLER: I'm just saying, if we stick | 12 | two things. |
| 13 | to the size of the unit, to stay within the living | 13 | MS. FREEMAN: Oh, based on you mean, |
| 14 | area of a dwelling, the building, that would be | 14 | because of the lot size difference? |
| 15 | the number would always be consistent with the | 15 | MR. REPPERT: No, no. |
| 16 | living area. When they exceed it to a point where | 16 | MS. FREEMAN: Because the reason why we have |
| 17 | it's so large, where the builders themselves say, | 17 | the two figures now is, we're saying that if you |
| 18 | you're putting an additional, like you said, four | 18 | have one acre, you can only have 1,024 square feet. |
| 19 | feet or something onto it, if I understand you | 19 | MR. REPPERT: Okay. |
| 20 | correctly, and they don't want to go that way. | 20 | MS. FREEMAN: Or less than I'm sorry. |
| 21 | | | |
| 21 | So if we stick to the living area all the | 21 | If you have less than two acres, you're |
| 22 | So if we stick to the living area all the time, there wouldn't be a conflict, correct? | 21 22 | If you have less than two acres, you're allowed to have the 1,024 square feet. But if |
| | _ | | |
| 22 | time, there wouldn't be a conflict, correct? | 22 | allowed to have the 1,024 square feet. But if |

of the living area? Where does that one come in?

25

the square footage of the living area at ground

| | 37 | | 38 |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | MS. FREEMAN: The point of what we were | 1 | MR. PETERSON: The 1,024 has been there I |
| 2 | trying to do is to try to make sure that any | 2 | built an accessory building in '99 and 1,024 was |
| 3 | attached or detached garage didn't exceed the | 3 | the max |
| 4 | size of the main floor of the living area. | 4 | MS. FREEMAN: Oh, was it? |
| 5 | MR. PETERSON: It wouldn't be bigger than the | 5 | MR. PETERSON: back then. |
| 6 | house. | 6 | MR. REPPERT: 1,532 is big. |
| 7 | MR. REPPERT: So why do we have the 1,532 | 7 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah, but it's also two |
| 8 | there? | 8 | acres. |
| 9 | MR. PETERSON: That's a maximum, no matter how | 9 | MR. REPPERT: Yeah. |
| 10 | big the house is. In other words, if you had 3,000 | 10 | MR. SCHINDLER: It's all relative. |
| 11 | square feet, you don't want to have a 3,000 square | 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I think when you look at |
| 12 | foot addition, right? | 12 | the fact I mean, if you were doing it on a |
| 13 | MR. REPPERT: 3,000 square foot ranch. | 13 | smaller lot, then I understand the concern. But |
| 14 | MR. PETERSON: Right. That would be big. But | 14 | they've got to have at least two acres, and it's |
| 15 | you wouldn't want a 3,000 square foot attachment to | 15 | limited to 1,500 square feet. Which I |
| 16 | that as a garage or accessory building, correct? | 16 | personally, it's a big building. But some people |
| 17 | Why not limit that to 3,000 square foot house | 17 | have a lot of stuff. |
| 18 | with fifteen hundred whatever thirty-two | 18 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah. |
| 19 | square feet maximum, that could be added to that. | 19 | MR. LINGENFELTER: And you've got a lot of |
| 20 | MR. REPPERT: I guess I still have the | 20 | things you want to store. |
| 21 | question, where 1,532 came from. Because that's | 21 | MR. PETERSON: Or collect things. |
| 22 | MR. PETERSON: Well, pick a number. Whatever | 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah. |
| 23 | it should be. But somewhere around there. I don't | 23 | MR. PETERSON: Boats, cars. |
| 24 | know where that came from. | 24 | MR. LINGENFELTER: That allows them the option |
| 25 | MR. SCHINDLER: I don't, either. | 25 | to go to that level. |
| | | | |
| 1 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if | 1 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based |
| 2 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is | 2 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage |
| 2 3 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's | 2 3 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that |
| 2 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and | 2 3 4 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just |
| 2 3 4 5 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as | 2 3 4 5 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing | 2 3 4 5 6 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being — see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on the neighboring properties. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have some assurance that somebody is not going to come |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on the neighboring properties. Now, if you've got two acres and they want to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have some assurance that somebody is not going to come in and build an airport hangar on their property, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on the neighboring properties. Now, if you've got two acres and they want to do that, then it might be I don't think it would | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have some assurance that somebody is not going to come in and build an airport hangar on their property, and you have to look at that all the time. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on the neighboring properties. Now, if you've got two acres and they want to do that, then it might be I don't think it would be as intrusive on the neighbors as this scenario, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have some assurance that somebody is not going to come in and build an airport hangar on their property, and you have to look at that all the time. So, you know, I think it's a fair balance. I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on the neighboring properties. Now, if you've got two acres and they want to do that, then it might be I don't think it would be as intrusive on the neighbors as this scenario, where this guy has got this gigantic building, you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have some assurance that somebody is not going to come in and build an airport hangar on their property, and you have to look at that all the time. So, you know, I think it's a fair balance. I think it's a good idea. So that's kind of my |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on the neighboring properties. Now, if you've got two acres and they want to do that, then it might be I don't think it would be as intrusive on the neighbors as this scenario, where this guy has got this gigantic building, you know, built on a much smaller lot, but because it's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have some assurance that somebody is not going to come in and build an airport hangar on their property, and you have to look at that all the time. So, you know, I think it's a fair balance. I think it's a good idea. So that's kind of my thought on the process. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on the neighboring properties. Now, if you've got two acres and they want to do that, then it might be I don't think it would be as intrusive on the neighbors as this scenario, where this guy has got this gigantic building, you know, built on a much smaller lot, but because it's attached, he was able to do what he did. So we're | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have some assurance that somebody is not going to come in and build an airport hangar on their property, and you have to look at that all the time. So, you know, I think it's a fair balance. I think it's a good idea. So that's kind of my thought on the process. MR. IAFELICE: Mr. Chairman. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being — see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on the neighboring properties. Now, if you've got two acres and they want to do that, then it might be — I don't think it would be as intrusive on the neighbors as this scenario, where this guy has got this gigantic building, you know, built on a much smaller lot, but because it's attached, he was able to do what he did. So we're trying to prevent that from happening again, which | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have some assurance that somebody is not going to come in and build an airport hangar on their property, and you have to look at that all the time. So, you know, I think it's a fair balance. I think it's a good idea. So that's kind of my thought on the process. MR. IAFELICE: Mr. Chairman. MR. LINGENFELTER: Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on the neighboring properties. Now, if you've got two acres and they want to do that, then it might be I don't think it would be as intrusive on the neighbors as this scenario, where this guy has got this gigantic building, you know, built on a much smaller lot, but because it's attached, he was able to do what he did. So we're trying to prevent that from happening again, which I think makes perfectly good sense. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have some assurance that somebody is not going to come in and build an airport hangar on their property, and you have to look at that all the time. So, you know, I think it's a fair balance. I think it's a good idea. So that's kind of my thought on the process. MR. IAFELICE: Mr. Chairman. MR. LINGENFELTER: Yes. MR. IAFELICE: A couple of comments. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on the neighboring properties. Now, if you've got two acres and they want to do that, then it might be I don't think it would be as intrusive on the neighbors as this scenario, where this guy has got this gigantic building, you know, built on a much smaller lot, but because it's attached, he was able to do what he did. So we're trying to prevent that from happening again, which I think makes perfectly good sense. So kind of, you know, fool me once, shame on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have some assurance that somebody is not going to come in and build an airport hangar on their property, and you have to look at that all the time. So, you know, I think it's a fair balance. I think it's a good idea. So that's kind of my thought on the process. MR. IAFELICE: Mr. Chairman. MR. LINGENFELTER: Yes. MR. IAFELICE: A couple of comments. Thank you, Heather, for this. There was also |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being — see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on the neighboring properties. Now, if you've got two acres and they want to do that, then it might be — I don't think it would be as intrusive on the neighbors as this scenario, where this guy has got this gigantic building, you know, built on a much smaller lot, but because it's attached, he was able to do what he did. So we're trying to prevent that from happening again, which I think makes perfectly good sense. So kind of, you know, fool me once, shame on you. Fool me twice, shame on me. So we're going | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have some assurance that somebody is not going to come in and build an airport hangar on their property, and you have to look at that all the time. So, you know, I think it's a fair balance. I think it's a good idea. So that's kind of my thought on the process. MR. IAFELICE: Mr. Chairman. MR. LINGENFELTER: Yes. MR. IAFELICE: A couple of comments. Thank you, Heather, for this. There was also a definition of breezeway |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on the neighboring properties. Now, if you've got two acres and they want to do that, then it might be I don't think it would be as intrusive on the neighbors as this scenario, where this guy has got this gigantic building, you know, built on a much smaller lot, but because it's attached, he was able to do what he did. So we're trying to prevent that from happening again, which I think makes perfectly good sense. So kind of, you know, fool me once, shame on you. Fool me twice, shame on me. So we're going to make sure we don't get fooled twice. So I think | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have some assurance that somebody is not going to come in and build an airport hangar on their property, and you have to look at that all the time. So, you know, I think it's a fair balance. I think it's a good idea. So that's kind of my thought on the process. MR. IAFELICE: Mr. Chairman. MR. LINGENFELTER: Yes. MR. IAFELICE: A couple of comments. Thank you, Heather, for this. There was also a definition of breezeway MS. FREEMAN: Oh, yes. I'm sorry. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | And it is a big building. I get it. But if it's maintained at a height level that is consistent with the main building, and it's detached, you know, in order to achieve that, and they're on two plus acres, I don't see that as being — see, my concern is what we're dealing with, what has brought this whole thing to the forefront, you know. And that is, somebody that took advantage of the regulations the way they were written, and did something that, in my opinion, is a little unsightly, and a little bit intrusive on the neighboring properties. Now, if you've got two acres and they want to do that, then it might be — I don't think it would be as intrusive on the neighbors as this scenario, where this guy has got this gigantic building, you know, built on a much smaller lot, but because it's attached, he was able to do what he did. So we're trying to prevent that from happening again, which I think makes perfectly good sense. So kind of, you know, fool me once, shame on you. Fool me twice, shame on me. So we're going | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | I don't have a problem with the sizes, based on the lot size, you know, and the square footage of the home as being a guideline. I think that helps put some numbers on it, instead of just having some arbitrary number just thrown out there. Like you were saying, like 1,532, okay, great, whatever. But I think it allows us it allows the property owner to have a little bit of wiggle room to build something a little larger if they wanted, without being too restrictive, and also allows the residents surrounding the area to have some assurance that somebody is not going to come in and build an airport hangar on their property, and you have to look at that all the time. So, you know, I think it's a fair balance. I think it's a good idea. So that's kind of my thought on the process. MR. IAFELICE: Mr. Chairman. MR. LINGENFELTER: Yes. MR. IAFELICE: A couple of comments. Thank you, Heather, for this. There was also a definition of breezeway |

| | 41 | | 42 |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | MR. IAFELICE: I appreciate that, as well. | 1 | MS. FREEMAN: Half acre, 22,000. |
| 2 | Getting back to this, in most residential | 2 | MR. IAFELICE: My bad. I meant 1,000 of the |
| 3 | districts, Concord, are half acre lots. A half | 3 | 22,000 is |
| 4 | acre lot, at over 1,000 square foot, is almost | 4 | MR. PETERSON: It's really not that big, |
| 5 | 20 percent of the lot. Notwithstanding where the | 5 | though, 1,000 square feet. That's a three-car |
| 6 | 1,024 came from, in my, just, mathematics, it's | 6 | garage. A little over a three-car garage. |
| 7 | large, even for a half acre lot, 1,000 square feet, | 7 | MR. IAFELICE: Oh, it is? |
| 8 | just doing the math | 8 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah. What I built in 1999 was |
| 9 | MR. PETERSON: A question. | 9 | 900-some square feet. It was a three-car garage |
| 10 | MR. IAFELICE: on a half acre lot. Let me | 10 | with a man door. |
| 11 | finish. | 11 | MR. IAFELICE: Okay. Well, that makes sense, |
| 12 | MR. MELDRUM: An acre is 4,200 square feet. | 12 | then. |
| 13 | MR. IAFELICE: An acre is | 13 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah. |
| 14 | MR. LINGENFELTER: 44,000. | 14 | MR. IAFELICE: Okay. So does this apply to |
| 15 | MR. IAFELICE: 43,560, to be exact. | 15 | conservation district, where we have a quarter acre |
| 16 | MR. MELDRUM: A half acre is 21,000 square | 16 | lot? |
| 17 | feet. | 17 | MR. PETERSON: No. We're limited to 200. |
| 18 | MR. IAFELICE: Correct. So 1,000 is | 18 | MR. IAFELICE: 200? |
| 19 | MR. PETERSON: Five percent. | 19 | MR. PETERSON: 200 square feet. |
| 20 | MR. IAFELICE: five percent. What did I | 20 | MR. IAFELICE: Oh, the next I'm sorry. |
| 21 | say? | 21 | MS. FREEMAN: So the conservation district and |
| 22 | MR. PETERSON: Twenty. | 22 | the PUD, those are in a different section. Those |
| 23 | MR. IAFELICE: I meant sorry. Five | 23 | are under Section 16. |
| 24 | percent. | 24 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. |
| 25 | Oh, 22,000 is the half acre. | 25 | MS. FREEMAN: I believe the definitions would |
| | 43 | | 44 |
| 1 | apply. However, there's a different standard | 1 | MR. IAFELICE: The number. |
| 2 | MR. IAFELICE: A different standard, okay. | 2 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. I don't have a |
| 3 | MS. FREEMAN: on the maximum size for the | 3 | problem putting a limit on it. |
| 4 | outbuilding in another section. | 4 | MR. PETERSON: Do we say that the number of |
| 5 | MR. IAFELICE: Okay, thank you. All right. | 5 | the buildings can't add up to more than 1,532? |
| 6 | And then lastly, when I read Number 8 to have | 6 | MS. MATHENEY: I think that's what |
| 7 | detached accessory buildings, 1,532, I agree with | 7 | MR. IAFELICE: That's what it says. |
| 8 | Hiram, that is very large. But it also seems to be | 8 | MS. MATHENEY: it's supposed to mean. I |
| 9 | an unlimited number of detached, the way I read it. | 9 | think that's the intent |
| 10 | I mean, so on over two acres, you could have | 10 | MR. PETERSON: That's what I thought. |
| 11 | multiple buildings, two, three, four? | 11 | MS. MATHENEY: of what it's supposed |
| 12 | MS. FREEMAN: Yes. | 12 | maybe it's not artfully stated. But in other |
| 13 | MR. IAFELICE: Okay. So I would limit I | 13 | words, you can't have multiple accessory buildings |
| 14 | suggest we limit the number. I also question the | 14 | each at 1,532. |
| 15 | 1,532. A two acre lot, 1,532, it's a and like | 15 | MR. IAFELICE: Oh, no. I'm just saying, |
| 16 | Heather said, I don't know where it derives from. | 16 | multiple of 300 square foot sheds |
| 17 | Perhaps some construction standard might help us to | 17 | MS. MATHENEY: Right. |
| 18 | get this to some standard. But it seems like it's | 18 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. |
| 19 | multiple that could be there on over a two acre | 19 | MR. IAFELICE: and you could have five of |
| 20 | lot. | 20 | them. That doesn't make sense to me, on a two acre |
| 21 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I don't have a problem | 21 | lot. We should limit the number. |
| 22 | limiting the buildings. | 22 | MR. PETERSON: Well, a five acre or ten acre |
| | | | |

24

25

lot, I mean, then it might not be a big deal --

MR. IAFELICE: I don't know.

MR. PETERSON: -- if it were a farm.

23

24

25

MR. IAFELICE: I'm sorry?

limiting the buildings, the number of buildings.

MR. LINGENFELTER: I don't have a problem

| | 45 | | 46 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | MR. IAFELICE: If you have a farm. | 1 | intended consequences don't always pan out. The |
| 2 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah, but do we have any | 2 | next thing you know, you've got a guy, somebody |
| 3 | farms in Concord? | 3 | building five 300 square foot or 30 square foot |
| 4 | MR. PETERSON: I think so. | 4 | buildings, you know. It's like, do you want that, |
| 5 | MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there are some farms in | 5 | too? Do you really want to go down that path? |
| 6 | Concord. If you remember, if that farm is over | 6 | MS. FREEMAN: Or multiple 1,500 square foot |
| 7 | five acres, they don't have to comply with any | 7 | buildings. |
| 8 | zoning. | 8 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. |
| 9 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. | 9 | You know what? I get it. You look at |
| 10 | MS. FREEMAN: We would still request that they | 10 | different things and you if you put a hard |
| 11 | let us know what they're doing so we can review it | 11 | limit, and you say, just one, okay. But then |
| 12 | and make sure that we agree that it's exempt | 12 | you've got something that could come up and there's |
| 13 | agricultural use. | 13 | potential for somebody, maybe they just for |
| 14 | I mean, there's some older existing farms, | 14 | conversation sake, not that it would apply to me |
| 15 | like the Cornpopper Farm, I know they're going | 15 | but maybe they wanted to put a smokehouse, which is |
| 16 | to reuse those barns, but those are already | 16 | a tiny, small footprint, but it's another building. |
| 17 | there, and they're over the five acres. Again, | 17 | So do you want to say you can only have one, |
| 18 | we can only regulate ag in certain areas and | 18 | and then you want to put a smokehouse in. And |
| 19 | subdivisions where you're going to have those half | 19 | they're like, I've got the room, I've got the |
| 20 | acre lots, maybe acre lots if they're an older | 20 | ability, but I'm limited to one building, and the |
| 21 | subdivision, or in areas where there's been more | 21 | one building is the big building, and now I want to |
| 22 | than 15 lot splits on properties adjacent to one | 22 | put a small building. |
| 23 | another. | 23 | MR. PETERSON: Right. But they could go for a |
| 24 | MR. LINGENFELTER: And I think Rich brings up | 24 | variance. |
| 25 | a good idea. Because, you know, sometimes the | 25 | MR. IAFELICE: That's what the BZA is for. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | 47 | | 48 |
| 1 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. | 1 | 48 square footage, then that leaves a window open for |
| 1 2 | 47 MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. | 1 2 | |
| | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. | | square footage, then that leaves a window open for |
| 2 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. | 2 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. |
| 2 3 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many | 2 3 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the |
| 2 3 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. | 2 3 4 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal |
| 2 3 4 5 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many | 2 3 4 5 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe — that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build — the garages were | 2 3 4 5 6 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe — that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build — the garages were | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as they're compliant, they're not going to be affected. Now, if they want another building, that will |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older properties are flipping, and younger people are | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as they're compliant, they're not going to be affected. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older properties are flipping, and younger people are buying them, and now they want a shed. But they're | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as they're compliant, they're not going to be affected. Now, if they want another building, that will |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older properties are flipping, and younger people are buying them, and now they want a shed. But they're only allowed to have the one outbuilding, and it's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as they're compliant, they're not going to be affected. Now, if they want another building, that will be an issue. They'll probably have to comply, and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older properties are flipping, and younger people are buying them, and now they want a shed. But they're only allowed to have the one outbuilding, and it's their garage. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as they're compliant, they're not going to be affected. Now, if they want another building, that will be an issue. They'll probably have to comply, and they'll be already at the limit probably. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe — that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build — the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older properties are flipping, and younger people are buying them, and now they want a shed. But they're only allowed to have the one outbuilding, and it's their garage. So that's definitely something that comes up | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as they're compliant, they're not going to be affected. Now, if they want another building, that will be an issue. They'll probably have to comply, and they'll be already at the limit probably. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older properties are flipping, and younger people are buying them, and now they want a shed. But they're only allowed to have the one outbuilding, and it's their garage. So that's definitely something that comes up quite frequently from the BZA. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as they're compliant, they're not going to be affected. Now, if they want another building, that will be an issue. They'll probably have to comply, and they'll be already at the limit probably. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah, that's really the legal |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older properties are flipping, and younger people are buying them, and now they want a shed. But they're only allowed to have the one outbuilding, and it's their garage. So that's definitely something that comes up quite frequently from the BZA. MR. LINGENFELTER: I'd be careful on the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as they're compliant, they're not going to be affected. Now, if they want another building, that will be an issue. They'll probably have to comply, and they'll be already at the limit probably. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah, that's really the legal issues. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe — that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build — the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older properties are flipping, and younger people are buying them, and now they want a shed. But they're only allowed to have the one outbuilding, and it's their garage. So that's definitely something that comes up quite frequently from the BZA. MR. LINGENFELTER: I'd be careful on the — I'm okay with the limit. But I'd be careful on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as they're compliant, they're not going to be affected. Now, if they want another building, that will be an issue. They'll probably have to comply, and they'll be already at the limit probably. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah, that's really the legal issues. MR. LINGENFELTER: What about temporary? Did |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older properties are flipping, and younger people are buying them, and now they want a shed. But they're only allowed to have the one outbuilding, and it's their garage. So that's definitely something that comes up quite frequently from the BZA. MR. LINGENFELTER: I'd be careful on the I'm okay with the limit. But I'd be careful on what that number | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as they're compliant, they're not going to be affected. Now, if they want another building, that will be an issue. They'll probably have to comply, and they'll be already at the limit probably. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah, that's really the legal issues. MR. LINGENFELTER: What about temporary? Did we cover that? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older properties are flipping, and younger people are buying them, and now they want a shed. But they're only allowed to have the one outbuilding, and it's their garage. So that's definitely something that comes up quite frequently from the BZA. MR. LINGENFELTER: I'd be careful on the I'm okay with the limit. But I'd be careful on what that number MS. FREEMAN: Right. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as they're compliant, they're not going to be affected. Now, if they want another building, that will be an issue. They'll probably have to comply, and they'll be already at the limit probably. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah, that's really the legal issues. MR. LINGENFELTER: What about temporary? Did we cover that? MS. FREEMAN: No. Our resolution does not |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older properties are flipping, and younger people are buying them, and now they want a shed. But they're only allowed to have the one outbuilding, and it's their garage. So that's definitely something that comes up quite frequently from the BZA. MR. LINGENFELTER: I'd be careful on the I'm okay with the limit. But I'd be careful on what that number MS. FREEMAN: Right. MR. LINGENFELTER: What do you envision that number being? MS. FREEMAN: Well, I don't know. Maybe it's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as they're compliant, they're not going to be affected. Now, if they want another building, that will be an issue. They'll probably have to comply, and they'll be already at the limit probably. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah, that's really the legal issues. MR. LINGENFELTER: What about temporary? Did we cover that? MS. FREEMAN: No. Our resolution does not make a distinction between a temporary building and a MR. LINGENFELTER: I'm just thinking of like |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: A variance is always the option. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, there's been many variances on some properties that have maybe that only have a detached garage, older properties where they used to build the garages were detached from the homes. Those kind of older properties are flipping, and younger people are buying them, and now they want a shed. But they're only allowed to have the one outbuilding, and it's their garage. So that's definitely something that comes up quite frequently from the BZA. MR. LINGENFELTER: I'd be careful on the I'm okay with the limit. But I'd be careful on what that number MS. FREEMAN: Right. MR. LINGENFELTER: What do you envision that number being? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | square footage, then that leaves a window open for a lot of little buildings. So do we want to say put a limit on the number of buildings? What's the legal ramifications of something like that? MS. MATHENEY: I mean, it would only be prospectively, you know, carried out. So whoever has these in existence right now, as long as they're compliant, they're not going to be affected. Now, if they want another building, that will be an issue. They'll probably have to comply, and they'll be already at the limit probably. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah, that's really the legal issues. MR. LINGENFELTER: What about temporary? Did we cover that? MS. FREEMAN: No. Our resolution does not make a distinction between a temporary building and a |

MS. FREEMAN: The canvas-sided carports?

25

square foot -- no, because if you limit it to

| | 49 | | 50 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah. | 1 | MS. FREEMAN: They put the sides down, they |
| 2 | MS. FREEMAN: Those meet our definition of a | 2 | use them as outbuildings. And I've definitely |
| 3 | building, generally, because they have walls and a | 3 | enforced that as a building, you know. |
| 4 | roof, and require | 4 | MR. PETERSON: Little plastic buildings. |
| 5 | MR. LINGENFELTER: That's what I'm saying. Do | 5 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah. There's a lot of |
| 6 | we have provisions for that? | 6 | MS. FREEMAN: They've gotten the proper |
| 7 | MS. FREEMAN: We don't treat them any | 7 | permits for those or had to take them down, |
| 8 | different than we would a more permanent in nature | 8 | depending on, you know, what was going on. |
| 9 | type of construction, yeah. | 9 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. |
| 10 | MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. | 10 | Any other comments from the board? |
| 11 | MS. MATHENEY: Right. They're considered an | 11 | Hiram, any comments? Is your 1,532 still a |
| 12 | accessory. So they'd have to comply. | 12 | burning question? |
| 13 | MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. | 13 | MR. REPPERT: No. |
| 14 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. | 14 | MR. LINGENFELTER: No? Okay. |
| 15 | MS. MATHENEY: In our definition, a carport is | 15 | MS. FREEMAN: So the other suggestion that I |
| 16 | any parking space or spaces having a roof but not | 16 | had a couple of months ago was, you know, kind of |
| 17 | enclosed by walls and accessory to a dwelling unit | 17 | related to these accessory buildings, and if we had |
| 18 | or units. | 18 | lots, two acres and greater, where they're allowed |
| 19 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. Okay. I just | 19 | to have the multiple, we could require maybe some |
| 20 | thought, it was kind of like, what if they want to | 20 | distance between those buildings, if you guys |
| 21 | start erecting temporary. | 21 | wanted to look at that standard. Maybe not. |
| 22 | MS. FREEMAN: We have that covered, yeah. | 22 | But I do like what Mr. Iafelice was saying |
| 23 | I've had some people put up like the soft-side kind | 23 | regarding, you know, a lot of these subdivisions |
| 24 | of carports. | 24 | are half acre lots, and is 1,000 square feet too |
| 25 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. | 25 | big? I mean, do we want to look at these sizes |
| | | | |
| 1 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of | 1 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's |
| 2 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. | 2 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side |
| 2 3 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a | 2 3 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space |
| 2 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car | 2 3 4 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. |
| 2 3 4 5 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? | 2 3 4 5 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. | 2 3 4 5 6 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and there's distance between structures, and you've got | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's a 50 foot setback. It's going in the backyard. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and there's distance between structures, and you've got that open space. So health/safety kind of things, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's a 50 foot setback. It's going in the backyard. MR. REPPERT: And there's a three-car garage. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and there's distance between structures, and you've got that open space. So health/safety kind of things, those are the things that we're trying to remember | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's a 50 foot setback. It's going in the backyard. MR. REPPERT: And there's a three-car garage. MR. IAFELICE: Come on. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and there's distance between structures, and you've got that open space. So health/safety kind of things, those are the things that we're trying to remember and focus on when we're writing up these | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's a 50 foot setback. It's going in the backyard. MR. REPPERT: And there's a three-car garage. MR. IAFELICE: Come on. MR. REPPERT: You're not going to be doing |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and there's distance between structures, and you've got that open space. So health/safety kind of things, those are the things that we're trying to remember and focus on when we're writing up these regulations. We don't want someone to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's a 50 foot setback. It's going in the backyard. MR. REPPERT: And there's a three-car garage. MR. IAFELICE: Come on. MR. REPPERT: You're not going to be doing much else. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and there's distance between structures, and you've got that open space. So health/safety kind of things, those are the things that we're trying to remember and focus on when we're writing up these regulations. We don't want someone to MR. IAFELICE: What she said. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's a 50 foot setback. It's going in the backyard. MR. REPPERT: And there's a three-car garage. MR. IAFELICE: Come on. MR. REPPERT: You're not going to be doing much else. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and there's distance between structures, and you've got that open space. So health/safety kind of things, those are the things that we're trying to remember and focus on when we're writing up these regulations. We don't want someone to MR. IAFELICE: What she said. MS. FREEMAN: to completely pave the entire | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's a 50 foot setback. It's going in the backyard. MR. REPPERT: And there's a three-car garage. MR. IAFELICE: Come on. MR. REPPERT: You're not going to be doing much else. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So what? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and there's distance between structures, and you've got that open space. So health/safety kind of things, those are the things that we're trying to remember and focus on when we're writing up these regulations. We don't want someone to MR. IAFELICE: What she said. MS. FREEMAN: to completely pave the entire backyard and put up ten gazebos. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's a 50 foot setback. It's going in the backyard. MR. REPPERT: And there's a three-car garage. MR. IAFELICE: Come on. MR. REPPERT: You're not going to be doing much else. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So what? MR. REPPERT: I don't care. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and there's distance between structures, and you've got that open space. So health/safety kind of things, those are the things that we're trying to remember and focus on when we're writing up these regulations. We don't want someone to MR. IAFELICE: What she said. MS. FREEMAN: to completely pave the entire backyard and put up ten gazebos. MR. IAFELICE: Heather, you said it better | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's a 50 foot setback. It's going in the backyard. MR. REPPERT: And there's a three-car garage. MR. IAFELICE: Come on. MR. REPPERT: You're not going to be doing much else. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So what? MR. REPPERT: I don't care. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. So what? That's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and there's distance between structures, and you've got that open space. So health/safety kind of things, those are the things that we're trying to remember and focus on when we're writing up these regulations. We don't want someone to MR. IAFELICE: What she said. MS. FREEMAN: to completely pave the entire backyard and put up ten gazebos. MR. IAFELICE: Heather, you said it better than I that's what I was trying to say. 1,000 | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's a 50 foot setback. It's going in the backyard. MR. REPPERT: And there's a three-car garage. MR. IAFELICE: Come on. MR. REPPERT: You're not going to be doing much else. MR. LINGENFELTER: So what? MR. REPPERT: I don't care. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. So what? That's your choice. Yeah, it's kind of the reason why we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and there's distance between structures, and you've got that open space. So health/safety kind of things, those are the things that we're trying to remember and focus on when we're writing up these regulations. We don't want someone to MR. IAFELICE: What she said. MS. FREEMAN: to completely pave the entire backyard and put up ten gazebos. MR. IAFELICE: Heather, you said it better than I that's what I was trying to say. 1,000 is too is very large on a half acre lot, in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's a 50 foot setback. It's going in the backyard. MR. REPPERT: And there's a three-car garage. MR. IAFELICE: Come on. MR. REPPERT: You're not going to be doing much else. MR. LINGENFELTER: So what? MR. REPPERT: I don't care. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. So what? That's your choice. Yeah, it's kind of the reason why we buy property, right, to do what we want to do? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and there's distance between structures, and you've got that open space. So health/safety kind of things, those are the things that we're trying to remember and focus on when we're writing up these regulations. We don't want someone to MR. IAFELICE: What she said. MS. FREEMAN: to completely pave the entire backyard and put up ten gazebos. MR. IAFELICE: Heather, you said it better than I that's what I was trying to say. 1,000 is too is very large on a half acre lot, in addition to another three-car garage. It takes | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's a 50 foot setback. It's going in the backyard. MR. REPPERT: And there's a three-car garage. MR. IAFELICE: Come on. MR. REPPERT: You're not going to be doing much else. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So what? MR. REPPERT: I don't care. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. So what? That's your choice. Yeah, it's kind of the reason why we buy property, right, to do what we want to do? MR. PETERSON: My lot was 100 feet wide, and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | again? Should there be maybe a third category of lot size? I mean I don't know. Say you're on a half acre lot, and you have a three-car attached garage. Do you need a four-car garage in the backyard? Or a three-car? MR. PETERSON: Sure. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah? Okay. I mean, it's not about, do you need it. You know, you've got your stuff. But it kind of goes to that lot coverage, and you want to have open spaces, and make sure that people have yards, and landscaping, and there's distance between structures, and you've got that open space. So health/safety kind of things, those are the things that we're trying to remember and focus on when we're writing up these regulations. We don't want someone to MR. IAFELICE: What she said. MS. FREEMAN: to completely pave the entire backyard and put up ten gazebos. MR. IAFELICE: Heather, you said it better than I that's what I was trying to say. 1,000 is too is very large on a half acre lot, in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | is, and we said an attached three-car garage, it's already there, and then they look at the side space MR. IAFELICE: Side yard, yeah. MR. REPPERT: side yard, 15 feet, rear yard, maybe 50 feet or something like that. This thing is going to be sitting in the middle of your backyard MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. MR. REPPERT: basically. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, if there's a lot, there's a 50 foot setback. It's going in the backyard. MR. REPPERT: And there's a three-car garage. MR. IAFELICE: Come on. MR. REPPERT: You're not going to be doing much else. MR. LINGENFELTER: So what? MR. REPPERT: I don't care. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. So what? That's your choice. Yeah, it's kind of the reason why we buy property, right, to do what we want to do? |

| _ | 4 |
|---|---|
| | |
| | |

| | 53 | | 54 |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | was a three-car garage back there. | 1 | entertaining some ideas. So, I mean, what do you |
| 2 | MR. REPPERT: You already had a three-car? | 2 | think? |
| 3 | MR. PETERSON: I had a two up front, and three | 3 | MR. MELDRUM: Well, one thing, I want to thank |
| 4 | in the back. I have five cars. | 4 | you gentlemen for giving this some good thought. |
| 5 | MR. LINGENFELTER: And he was looking to put a | 5 | Thank you, Heather, too. I know you spent a |
| 6 | carwash in. | 6 | lot I'm sure you spent a lot of time on this. |
| 7 | MR. PETERSON: But I'd like to have a six. | 7 | So that is the main thing I want to talk |
| 8 | Now I just have a three. | 8 | about. And the other thing is, I definitely want |
| 9 | MR. REPPERT: Just ask Rich to write this. | 9 | to see this go to completion. |
| 10 | You might as well. You know what you wanted or | 10 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Sure. |
| 11 | what you had. | 11 | MR. MELDRUM: So that's it. |
| 12 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah. | 12 | MR. LINGENFELTER: But I mean, as far as like |
| 13 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You know, we have the | 13 | the conversations on the number of buildings, the |
| 14 | gentleman here in attendance this evening that | 14 | square footage, the footprint, the lots, the |
| 15 | brought this to our attention. And I'm sorry, I | 15 | acreage, I mean, I know it's a little heady. But |
| 16 | forget your name. | 16 | if you've got some thoughts, I'd be interested to |
| 17 | MR. MELDRUM: Chris Meldrum. | 17 | hear what you think, that's all. |
| 18 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Chris. | 18 | MR. MELDRUM: Oh, okay. |
| 19 | Chris, if you'd like to step up to the podium | 19 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I don't mean to put you on |
| 20 | and give your input. You've listened to some of | 20 | the spot. |
| 21 | the comments that have been made this evening. I | 21 | MR. MELDRUM: Yeah. |
| 22 | mean, I thought you had some very interesting input | 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I am sort of doing that. |
| 23 | the last meeting, and if you want, if there's | 23 | MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. |
| 24 | something you'd like to say, or if you have some | 24 | MR. MELDRUM: I know that as I drive around |
| 25 | additional ideas or thoughts, we are always open to | 25 | town, I do see some folks that have, you know, a |
| | 55 | | 56 |
| 1 | large collection of equipment. That's maybe their | 1 | walk, but ride-behind triple blade, four, six, |
| 2 | nature. Not necessarily their business. But they | 2 | eight blade, whatever it is. But it's |
| 3 | like the idea of owning a bulldozer, and two pickup | 3 | aesthetically nice. And it's no taller than the |
| 4 | trucks, and three trailers. And I wouldn't want to | 4 | house. It's a two-story. |
| 5 | limit them. But I don't want it to look like a | 5 | MR. IAFELICE: Is there a but coming? |
| 6 | you know, what it often looks like. | 6 | MR. REPPERT: No. I'm just saying, one of |
| 7 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. | 7 | these relates to him. |
| 8 | MR. MELDRUM: I'll let you gentlemen proceed | 8 | MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. |
| 9 | with that. | 9 | MR. REPPERT: And the other one relates to |
| 10 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Super. We appreciate your | 10 | Rich. |
| 11 | time. You know, you're here, you take the time out | 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I think, in the grand |
| 12 | of your schedule to be here with us, and we | 12 | scheme of things, with regards to a lot of people |
| 13 | appreciate that. And that's why, if you had some | 13 | running businesses out of their homes and things |
| 14 | thoughts, or you wanted to share with us, I | 14 | like that, would you rather have them parking the |
| 15 | certainly wanted to give you an opportunity to | 15 | stuff in their driveway, or out in the open, or |
| 16 | voice yourself. | 16 | would you rather allow them the opportunity to |
| 17 | MR. MELDRUM: You don't have to ask me. | 17 | build a nice a reasonably aesthetic-looking |
| 18 | MR. REPPERT: My neighbor has got what his | 18 | building, to put it inside? |
| 19 | wife calls a barnominium. It's a huge two-story | 19 | I'd err, let's let them build the building to |
| 20 | barn. It's a barn. And it's aesthetically nice, | 20 | put it away versus leaving it out in the yard, |
| 21 | no doubt about it. | 21 | sitting out in the open. I think there's a certain |
| 22 | But he's got a lot of toys, and his kids have | 22 | element of, you know, aesthetics that go along with |
| 23 | a lot of toys. For his side business, he does lawn | 23 | that. I would much rather let them build a |
| 24 | mowing and stuff like that on the side. So he's | 24 | building and put it in there, than to have them |
| 25 | got, you know, the big stand-behind not even | 25 | just scattered on the lot, out in the open, you |
| | | | |

| | 57 | | 58 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | know. | 1 | MR. IAFELICE: First, as Heather acknowledged, |
| 2 | And a lot of that stuff, tractors, and | 2 | where the 1,532 came from |
| 3 | bulldozers, and backhoes, and front-end loaders, | 3 | MR. PETERSON: Oh, okay. |
| 4 | and things like that, certainly can sit out in the | 4 | MR. IAFELICE: and the number of buildings. |
| 5 | weather. That doesn't really affect them too much. | 5 | MR. PETERSON: Okay. |
| 6 | So, you know, I'd rather have them all concentrated | 6 | MR. REPPERT: Well, could we do this? |
| 7 | in a building, and out of sight, out of mind type | 7 | MR. IAFELICE: It's open-ended. |
| 8 | of thing. | 8 | MR. REPPERT: Could we say, have detached |
| 9 | So I think we're on the right track here. I | 9 | accessory building(s), saying it could be a 1,532 |
| 10 | think we're in the right we're heading in the | 10 | one building, but you could have more than one |
| 11 | right direction with this. I think we're okay | 11 | building, all of which do not exceed 1,532? |
| 12 | with, you know, the verbiage that Heather has | 12 | MR. IAFELICE: That's the way it reads. |
| 13 | proposed for this in the memo, and in these | 13 | MR. PETERSON: That's the way it is now. |
| 14 | changes. | 14 | MR. IAFELICE: That's the way it reads now. |
| 15 | Is there anything more we need to do? Do we | 15 | MR. REPPERT: Well, it says, buildings, right |
| 16 | want to have another work section on this, or do | 16 | now. |
| 17 | you want to make a proposal that we put this on the | 17 | MR. PETERSON: Oh. Building or buildings? |
| 18 | agenda and schedule a public hearing for this, or | 18 | MR. LINGENFELTER: No, it says, buildings. |
| 19 | how do you want to handle what do you think? | 19 | MR. REPPERT: It says, buildings. |
| 20 | MR. IAFELICE: Well, for me, I object to | 20 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Not to exceed a total of |
| 21 | Number 8 still, the way it's written. | 21 | 1,500 square feet. |
| 22 | MS. FREEMAN: I think we might need to clarify | 22 | MR. REPPERT: So if you put the S in |
| 23 | Number 8. | 23 | parentheses, you take it, it could be one building, |
| 24 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Uh-huh. | 24 | or it could be more than one. |
| 25 | MR. PETERSON: Because of the | 25 | MS. FREEMAN: And then is it, each can be |
| | | | |
| 1 2 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because | 1 2 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. |
| | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's | | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them |
| 2 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because | 2 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. |
| 2 3 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to | 2 3 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. |
| 2 3 4 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. | 2 3 4 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you |
| 2 3 4 5 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? | 2 3 4 5 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. | 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. MR. LINGENFELTER: That's the hard part that Rich has. MR. PETERSON: It could be five 300 square | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is the square footage, 1,024, whatever it is. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. MR. LINGENFELTER: That's the hard part that Rich has. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is the square footage, 1,024, whatever it is. MR. LINGENFELTER: So you just want to allow |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. MR. LINGENFELTER: That's the hard part that Rich has. MR. PETERSON: It could be five 300 square foot buildings. MR. REPPERT: Yes, it could. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is the square footage, 1,024, whatever it is. MR. LINGENFELTER: So you just want to allow them to have one building? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. MR. LINGENFELTER: That's the hard part that Rich has. MR. PETERSON: It could be five 300 square foot buildings. MR. REPPERT: Yes, it could. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah, it's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is the square footage, 1,024, whatever it is. MR. LINGENFELTER: So you just want to allow them to have one building? MR. IAFELICE: Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because — MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I — MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. MR. LINGENFELTER: That's the hard part that Rich has. MR. PETERSON: It could be five 300 square foot buildings. MR. REPPERT: Yes, it could. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah, it's — MR. SCHINDLER: That's where you've got to put | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is the square footage, 1,024, whatever it is. MR. LINGENFELTER: So you just want to allow them to have one building? MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: And if they want to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. MR. LINGENFELTER: That's the hard part that Rich has. MR. PETERSON: It could be five 300 square foot buildings. MR. REPPERT: Yes, it could. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah, it's MR. SCHINDLER: That's where you've got to put a number on it. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is the square footage, 1,024, whatever it is. MR. LINGENFELTER: So you just want to allow them to have one building? MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: And if they want to construct a second building, they can take it to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. MR. LINGENFELTER: That's the hard part that Rich has. MR. PETERSON: It could be five 300 square foot buildings. MR. REPPERT: Yes, it could. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah, it's MR. SCHINDLER: That's where you've got to put a number on it. MR. LINGENFELTER: So do you want to say two, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is the square footage, 1,024, whatever it is. MR. LINGENFELTER: So you just want to allow them to have one building? MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: And if they want to construct a second building, they can take it to the BZA? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. MR. LINGENFELTER: That's the hard part that Rich has. MR. PETERSON: It could be five 300 square foot buildings. MR. REPPERT: Yes, it could. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah, it's MR. SCHINDLER: That's where you've got to put a number on it. MR. LINGENFELTER: So do you want to say two, three? Where does that leave Bridey, does that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is the square footage, 1,024, whatever it is. MR. LINGENFELTER: So you just want to allow them to have one building? MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: And if they want to construct a second building, they can take it to the BZA? MR. IAFELICE: Regardless of the size of the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because — MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I — MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. MR. LINGENFELTER: That's the hard part that Rich has. MR. PETERSON: It could be five 300 square foot buildings. MR. REPPERT: Yes, it could. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah, it's — MR. SCHINDLER: That's where you've got to put a number on it. MR. LINGENFELTER: So do you want to say two, three? Where does that leave — Bridey, does that expose us unnecessarily from a legal standpoint, if | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is the square footage, 1,024, whatever it is. MR. LINGENFELTER: So you just want to allow them to have one building? MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: And if they want to construct a second building, they can take it to the BZA? MR. IAFELICE: Regardless of the size of the lot. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. MR. LINGENFELTER: That's the hard part that Rich has. MR. PETERSON: It could be five 300 square foot buildings. MR. REPPERT: Yes, it could. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah, it's MR. SCHINDLER: That's where you've got to put a number on it. MR. LINGENFELTER: So do you want to say two, three? Where does that leave Bridey, does that expose us unnecessarily from a legal standpoint, if we put a hard number on it like that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is the square footage, 1,024, whatever it is. MR. LINGENFELTER: So you just want to allow them to have one building? MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: And if they want to construct a second building, they can take it to the BZA? MR. IAFELICE: Regardless of the size of the lot. MR. LINGENFELTER: So that would go to the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. MR. LINGENFELTER: That's the hard part that Rich has. MR. PETERSON: It could be five 300 square foot buildings. MR. REPPERT: Yes, it could. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah, it's MR. SCHINDLER: That's where you've got to put a number on it. MR. LINGENFELTER: So do you want to say two, three? Where does that leave Bridey, does that expose us unnecessarily from a legal standpoint, if we put a hard number on it like that? MS. MATHENEY: No, not at all. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is the square footage, 1,024, whatever it is. MR. LINGENFELTER: So you just want to allow them to have one building? MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: And if they want to construct a second building, they can take it to the BZA? MR. IAFELICE: Regardless of the size of the lot. MR. LINGENFELTER: So that would go to the BZA, then |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because — MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I — MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. MR. LINGENFELTER: That's the hard part that Rich has. MR. PETERSON: It could be five 300 square foot buildings. MR. REPPERT: Yes, it could. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah, it's — MR. SCHINDLER: That's where you've got to put a number on it. MR. LINGENFELTER: So do you want to say two, three? Where does that leave — Bridey, does that expose us unnecessarily from a legal standpoint, if we put a hard number on it like that? MS. MATHENEY: No, not at all. MR. PETERSON: If we did, Andy, somebody could | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is the square footage, 1,024, whatever it is. MR. LINGENFELTER: So you just want to allow them to have one building? MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: And if they want to construct a second building, they can take it to the BZA? MR. IAFELICE: Regardless of the size of the lot. MR. LINGENFELTER: So that would go to the BZA, then MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 1,532? Or combined they can only be 1,532? That's what I want to clarify, because MR. REPPERT: Well, you could say, not to exceed a total of 1,532 square feet. MR. PETERSON: And how many buildings? MR. REPPERT: It doesn't matter. MR. IAFELICE: That's what I MR. PETERSON: That's what Rich has a problem with. MR. LINGENFELTER: That's the hard part that Rich has. MR. PETERSON: It could be five 300 square foot buildings. MR. REPPERT: Yes, it could. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah, it's MR. SCHINDLER: That's where you've got to put a number on it. MR. LINGENFELTER: So do you want to say two, three? Where does that leave Bridey, does that expose us unnecessarily from a legal standpoint, if we put a hard number on it like that? MS. MATHENEY: No, not at all. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. PETERSON: So we're not restricting them totally. MS. MATHENEY: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So Rich, I think you brought up a valid point. So what do you think? Do you want to say one? Or do you want to say two, or three? MR. IAFELICE: If we're not distinguishing two acres to 20, which is more than two, seven and eight, to me, are the same. We're going to limit it's limited to a building, and this is the square footage, 1,024, whatever it is. MR. LINGENFELTER: So you just want to allow them to have one building? MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: And if they want to construct a second building, they can take it to the BZA? MR. IAFELICE: Regardless of the size of the lot. MR. LINGENFELTER: So that would go to the BZA, then |

| | 61 | | 62 |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. That second building, as | 1 | distinguish size, because of not knowing the |
| 2 | Heather pointed out, depending upon the site, the | 2 | configuration, the plantings, the forestry on the |
| 3 | distance, spacing, you know, there's a lot of | 3 | lot. Basically, the situation is, the conditions |
| 4 | issues that the BZA could better discern whether | 4 | that the BZA would be looking at for additional |
| 5 | that second building suits the property. | 5 | buildings and additional size. |
| 6 | MR. REPPERT: But you still limit it to 1,532? | 6 | But I do understand I can appreciate if we |
| 7 | MR. IAFELICE: No, I'm suggesting the 1,024, | 7 | elected to give it larger for two. Just the size |
| 8 | if that is | 8 | of the lot, it's more like, what is the lot, and |
| 9 | MR. REPPERT: On a two acre? | 9 | where is it, and how is it situated, and is it on a |
| 10 | MR. PETERSON: For 40,000 square or 80,000 | 10 | hill, is it on a |
| 11 | square feet? | 11 | MR. PETERSON: They're all different. |
| 12 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. | 12 | MR. IAFELICE: They're all different. They're |
| 13 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah, 80,000 square feet. | 13 | all different. |
| 14 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. | 14 | MR. SCHINDLER: Well, we can still select a |
| 15 | MR. PETERSON: Why? 1,000 square feet out of | 15 | number for the square footage. And we can still |
| 16 | 80,000? | 16 | select whether it's one building or two. It's |
| 17 | MR. IAFELICE: 1,000 out of a half acre lot. | 17 | going to always boil down to, if a neighbor wants |
| 18 | MR. PETERSON: That's different. | 18 | to do something that exceeds, he goes to the Zoning |
| 19 | MR. IAFELICE: Okay. | 19 | Board of Appeals. |
| 20 | MR. PETERSON: But the two acre lot. You've | 20 | I have a neighbor in my development. He has |
| 21 | got 80,000 square feet, correct? | 21 | antique cars. A couple of years ago, he built a |
| 22 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. | 22 | two-story building in the back of his house, half |
| 23 | MR. PETERSON: So that's four times as much | 23 | acre lot. From the street, you can't see it. To |
| 24 | space. And if you're in the same building. | 24 | get to it, this two-car garage, one of them goes |
| 25 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. I guess I don't | 25 | all the way through |
| | 63 | | 64 |
| 1 | MR. IAFELICE: To the back. | 1 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I'm good with two |
| 2 | MR. SCHINDLER: to the back. All the back | 2 | buildings. |
| 3 | is all woods. | 3 | MR. PETERSON: That gives us a little |
| 4 | MR. IAFELICE: Uh-huh. | 4 | flexibility. |
| 5 | MR. SCHINDLER: Neighbors on both sides see | 5 | MR. SCHINDLER: Yes, yes. |
| 6 | all trees. Nobody objected to it. | 6 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Two buildings, 1,532 cap |
| 7 | MR. IAFELICE: And so that's the perfect | 7 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah. |
| 8 | situation for the BZA to approve. | 8 | MR. IAFELICE: You just want your smokehouse |
| 9 | MR. SCHINDLER: That's correct. And that's | 9 | MR. PETERSON: He's a half acre. |
| 10 | what I'm saying. We can put the square footage on | 10 | MS. FREEMAN: He's in the PUD. So he's not |
| 11 | now, or add a number if you want. The other ones | 11 | even in this district. |
| 12 | will be addressed by the BZA. | 12 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I'm just talking about - |
| 13 | MR. PETERSON: I would think, at least two, | 13 | I'm just spitballing things here, guys. |
| 14 | though. I think two is not unreasonable. | 14 | MR. IAFELICE: That's good. |
| 15 | MR. SCHINDLER: Fine. | 15 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I've got no agenda. |
| 16 | MR. IAFELICE: If it's over two acres? | 16 | MR. IAFELICE: That's good. |
| 17 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah. | 17 | MS. FREEMAN: And just to clarify, potentially |
| 18 | MR. PETERSON: On over two acres. | 18 | two buildings combined, not to exceed the 1,500. |
| 19 | MR. SCHINDLER: Fine. | 19 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah, you can have up to |
| 20 | MR. PETERSON: And not over you know, and | 20 | two buildings, not to exceed 1,532 square feet. |
| 21 | 1,500 square feet, total. | 21 | MS. MATHENEY: So would it read, in the R-1 |
| 22 | MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. And I'm for that. | 22 | R-4, R-6, and R-8 districts, district lots, two |
| 23 | MR. PETERSON: And then after that, you go to | 23 | acres and larger in area are permitted to have two |
| 24 | the BZA. | 24 | detached accessory buildings not to exceed a |
| 25 | MR. SCHINDLER: Exactly. | 25 | combined total |
| - | | - | |

| | 65 | | 66 |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah. There you go. | 1 | MR. PETERSON: So make it bigger than 1,500? |
| 2 | MS. MATHENEY: - of 1,532 square feet unless | 2 | MR. REPPERT: I'm trying to correlate |
| 3 | otherwise | 3 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah. |
| 4 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Bingo. Right on the money. | 4 | MR. REPPERT: how we got the 1,532. Nobody |
| 5 | You must be an attorney or something. | 5 | knows. |
| 6 | MS. MATHENEY: I just play one on TV. | 6 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah. |
| 7 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You have that verbiage. | 7 | MR. REPPERT: But the 1,048 has been there |
| 8 | Okay. All right. Any other sore points that | 8 | forever. |
| 9 | we want to address? | 9 | MR. LINGENFELTER: 1,024. |
| 10 | MR. REPPERT: Okay. | 10 | MR. SCHINDLER: 1,024. |
| 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Oh, boy. Here we go. I | 11 | MR. REPPERT: 1,024, whatever, yeah. 1,024 |
| 12 | shouldn't have asked. | 12 | has been there. And now we're talking acre lots, |
| 13 | Go ahead, Hiram. | 13 | 1,024. Two acres and greater, why not make it |
| 14 | MR. REPPERT: We have one less than two acres, | 14 | 2,096, or -98, or whatever? |
| 15 | 1,024. And that number has been around for | 15 | MR. PETERSON: 2,048. |
| 16 | MR. PETERSON: Ever. | 16 | MR. SCHINDLER: -48, yeah. |
| 17 | MR. REPPERT: decades, okay. Now, we have | 17 | MR. PETERSON: You're saying, twice as large. |
| 18 | something greater than two acres. Why not 2,048? | 18 | MR. REPPERT: Double the size. |
| 19 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah, because it's four times | 19 | MR. PETERSON: Why not four times as large? I |
| 20 | the size of a half acre lot, right? | 20 | mean, by your logic, a two acre lot is four times |
| 21 | MR. REPPERT: Okay. But we're saying, greater | 21 | the size of a half acre. |
| 22 | than two acres, so you've got 84,000, or 88,000 | 22 | MR. REPPERT: Yeah. We're at the half acre. |
| 23 | MR. LINGENFELTER: 88,000, right. | 23 | MR. IAFELICE: R-1. |
| 24 | MR. REPPERT: square feet. 88,000 square | 24 | MR. PETERSON: Half acre is 1,024. |
| 25 | feet, and you're saying | 25 | MR. REPPERT: Less than two acres are |
| | | | |
| 1 | permitted to have no more than one detached at | 1 | with that. |
| 1 2 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. | 1 2 | |
| _ | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. | | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be |
| 2 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half | 2 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some |
| 2 3 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. | 2 3 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too |
| 2 3 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. | 2 3 4 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to |
| 2 3 4 5 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? | 2 3 4 5 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. | 2 3 4 5 6 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view buildings as proportional to the land. I value the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot in Concord. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view buildings as proportional to the land. I value the land. I always value the land, green space, more |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot in Concord. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view buildings as proportional to the land. I value the land. I always value the land, green space, more than that. And for us to alter a number that's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot in Concord. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. REPPERT: So you're saying, for that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view buildings as proportional to the land. I value the land. I always value the land, green space, more than that. And for us to alter a number that's precedent again, we don't know the derivation of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot in Concord. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. REPPERT: So you're saying, for that 22,000, we're giving them 1,000. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view buildings as proportional to the land. I value the land. I always value the land, green space, more than that. And for us to alter a number that's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot in Concord. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. REPPERT: So you're saying, for that 22,000, we're giving them 1,000. MR. IAFELICE: That's where I did that math. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view buildings as proportional to the land. I value the land. I always value the land, green space, more than that. And for us to alter a number that's precedent again, we don't know the derivation of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot in Concord. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. REPPERT: So you're saying, for that 22,000, we're giving them 1,000. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view buildings as proportional to the land. I value the land. I always value the land, green space, more than that. And for us to alter a number that's precedent again, we don't know the derivation of the 1,532. But to arbitrarily just throw it out, I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot in Concord. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. REPPERT: So you're saying, for that 22,000, we're giving them 1,000. MR. IAFELICE: That's where I did that math. MR. REPPERT: Yeah. And now MR. PETERSON: Twenty percent. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view buildings as proportional to the land. I value the land. I always value the land, green space, more than that. And for us to alter a number that's precedent again, we don't know the derivation of the 1,532. But to arbitrarily just throw it out, I just MR. REPPERT: I'm trying to put some logic MR. REPPERT: I'm trying to put some logic MR. IAFELICE: No, I understand. I'm trying |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot in Concord. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. REPPERT: So you're saying, for that 22,000, we're giving them 1,000. MR. IAFELICE: That's where I did that math. MR. REPPERT: Yeah. And now MR. PETERSON: Twenty percent. MR. IAFELICE: Mister engineer. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view buildings as proportional to the land. I value the land. I always value the land, green space, more than that. And for us to alter a number that's precedent again, we don't know the derivation of the 1,532. But to arbitrarily just throw it out, I just MR. REPPERT: I'm trying to put some logic MR. IAFELICE: No, I understand. I'm trying to say, that logic proportionality is not it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot in Concord. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. REPPERT: So you're saying, for that 22,000, we're giving them 1,000. MR. IAFELICE: That's where I did that math. MR. REPPERT: Yeah. And now MR. PETERSON: Twenty percent. MR. IAFELICE: Mister engineer. MR. REPPERT: And now we're going to go two | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view buildings as proportional to the land. I value the land. I always value the land, green space, more than that. And for us to alter a number that's precedent again, we don't know the derivation of the 1,532. But to arbitrarily just throw it out, I just MR. REPPERT: I'm trying to put some logic MR. REPPERT: I'm trying to put some logic MR. IAFELICE: No, I understand. I'm trying |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot in Concord. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. REPPERT: So you're saying, for that 22,000, we're giving them 1,000. MR. IAFELICE: That's where I did that math. MR. REPPERT: Yeah. And now MR. PETERSON: Twenty percent. MR. IAFELICE: Mister engineer. MR. REPPERT: And now we're going to go two acres or greater, which is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view buildings as proportional to the land. I value the land. I always value the land, green space, more than that. And for us to alter a number that's precedent again, we don't know the derivation of the 1,532. But to arbitrarily just throw it out, I just MR. REPPERT: I'm trying to put some logic MR. IAFELICE: No, I understand. I'm trying to say, that logic proportionality is not it doesn't equate, in my mind, to what we're trying to do. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot in Concord. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. REPPERT: So you're saying, for that 22,000, we're giving them 1,000. MR. IAFELICE: That's where I did that math. MR. REPPERT: Yeah. And now MR. PETERSON: Twenty percent. MR. IAFELICE: Mister engineer. MR. REPPERT: And now we're going to go two acres or greater, which is MR. PETERSON: Four times larger. You only | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view buildings as proportional to the land. I value the land. I always value the land, green space, more than that. And for us to alter a number that's precedent again, we don't know the derivation of the 1,532. But to arbitrarily just throw it out, I just MR. REPPERT: I'm trying to put some logic MR. IAFELICE: No, I understand. I'm trying to say, that logic proportionality is not it doesn't equate, in my mind, to what we're trying to do. MR. REPPERT: Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | permitted to have no more than one detached at 1,024. Less than two. MR. PETERSON: But a half acre can have 1,024. MR. IAFELICE: Which most lots are a half acre. That's my point. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot. MR. REPPERT: So is that R-1, -4, -6, and -8? What are those sizes, minimum? Half acre? MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the R-1 is the 22,000 square feet, which is .505 acres. MR. REPPERT: It's a half acre. MR. PETERSON: That's our most common lot in Concord. MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. REPPERT: So you're saying, for that 22,000, we're giving them 1,000. MR. IAFELICE: That's where I did that math. MR. REPPERT: Yeah. And now MR. PETERSON: Twenty percent. MR. IAFELICE: Mister engineer. MR. REPPERT: And now we're going to go two acres or greater, which is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | with that. MR. PETERSON: I'm just trying to think some logic. What number do we pick, and why would it be logical, if you could do this with a half acre? MR. SCHINDLER: You have to remember, too MR. REPPERT: On four, we could go to MR. SCHINDLER: But two acres itself MR. REPPERT: 4,000 square feet. MR. SCHINDLER: Two acres itself is not relative, because when you start MR. IAFELICE: I guess, Hiram, I don't view buildings as proportional to the land. I value the land. I always value the land, green space, more than that. And for us to alter a number that's precedent again, we don't know the derivation of the 1,532. But to arbitrarily just throw it out, I just MR. REPPERT: I'm trying to put some logic MR. IAFELICE: No, I understand. I'm trying to say, that logic proportionality is not it doesn't equate, in my mind, to what we're trying to do. |

| - | - | 1 |
|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 1 |
| | | |

| | 69 | | 70 |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | warehouse. Irregardless if it's on two acres, it's | 1 | revenue for the township. |
| 2 | a monstrous looking thing. It's going to look like | 2 | If we let them put an accessory building on |
| 3 | an industrial parkway. And that's what you want to | 3 | there, that building gets assessed, okay, and that |
| 4 | stay away from. | 4 | is added to the value. It's not the home site, but |
| 5 | MR. PETERSON: So how big should it be? | 5 | it's an additional piece of development on the |
| 6 | That's the question. | 6 | property that will add to their tax bill, which |
| 7 | MR. SCHINDLER: Leave it like it is right now. | 7 | then adds to the coffers of the township. |
| 8 | Keep the sizes relative to the land. | 8 | So, you know, there's some causal relationship |
| 9 | MR. PETERSON: But it's illogical, to do that. | 9 | there between allowing them to build the extra |
| 10 | MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah, but you can't | 10 | buildings and the tax revenue that will be |
| 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Here's some food for | 11 | generated by the township. So we also have to |
| 12 | thought. You know, I sit on the Board of Revisions | 12 | think of that, too. We don't want to cut ourselves |
| 13 | for Lake County, and I deal with valuations and | 13 | off, you know, in that regard. |
| 14 | things like that. Improvements on the lot, that's | 14 | Not that I'm a big tax guy, and in favor of |
| 15 | tax base, okay? So if you allow the | 15 | all those types of things. However, that said, I |
| 16 | outbuildings, they are assessed. But they're | 16 | mean, if you allow them to do some improvements to |
| 17 | assessed at a different rate than a house would be. | | |
| | | 17 | the property outside of the main home site, it does |
| 18 | MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. | 18 | become a tax revenue source for the township. |
| 19 | MR. LINGENFELTER: So if you've got an | 19 | So I think allowing those extra buildings |
| 20 | outbuilding, there's tax revenues getting | 20 | doesn't hurt, you know. And again, I think what |
| 21 | generated | 21 | we're trying to do is get a balance of what makes |
| 22 | MR. PETERSON: There is. | 22 | sense and what works versus just, we don't want the |
| 23 | MR. LINGENFELTER: for the township with an | 23 | wild, wild west, because we know what happens if we |
| 24 | outbuilding versus maintaining green space, of just | 24 | allow that. We've got perfect examples. |
| 25 | nothing but woods, that's not generating any | 25 | MR. PETERSON: And I understand Frank's |
| | | | |
| 1 | 71 | | 72 |
| 1 | concern. A 4,000 square foot building is large. | 1 | linear with the 1,024. It makes sense on the lot |
| 2 | MR. SCHINDLER: Huge. | 2 | size, and gives and they have the option for |
| 3 | MR. PETERSON: It could be two buildings, | 3 | multiple buildings. So they can build a building, |
| 4 | possibly. | 4 | and then build an additional smaller building, and |
| 5 | MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah, right. | 5 | still be within that 2,048. Is that reasonable? |
| 6 | MR. PETERSON: But then again, if you have | 6 | MR. REPPERT: But which one would take |
| 7 | five acres, or whatever. | 7 | precedence? The 2,048, or the 1,532, or the square |
| 8 | MR. SCHINDLER: But then we're getting back | 8 | footage of the first floor? Can I build a 4,000 |
| 9 | to | 9 | square foot garage with a 1,500 square foot home? |
| 10 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I like although I get | 10 | MR. PETERSON: No, you can't. That's what |
| 11 | Hiram's I understand Hiram's point. You've got | 11 | we're trying to eliminate |
| 12 | the 1,024, okay? And if you go to 2,048, that's | 12 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. |
| 13 | not 2,048 is not a huge addition to the 1,532. | 13 | MR. PETERSON: is a garage bigger than the |
| 14 | MR. PETERSON: That's true. | 14 | house. Which is what we have on Prouty Road. |
| 15 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I mean, that's not and | 15 | So if you make it an 800 square foot house, or |
| 16 | then it's linear. So you've got this at 1,024, and | 16 | a 1,200 square foot house, they can't go bigger |
| 17 | then we've got this at 2,048. Those two numbers, | 17 | than that, until they reach |
| 18 | you could say, oh, I see what they did, two acres | 18 | MR. REPPERT: So why do we have the 1,532? |
| 19 | or more, they doubled the size. | 19 | MR. PETERSON: We don't know. |
| 20 | MR. PETERSON: I think double is fine. | 20 | MR. IAFELICE: We don't know. |
| 21 | MR. LINGENFELTER: But like you, you come up | 21 | MR. PETERSON: But a good size ranch is a |
| 22 | with 1,532, you're like, where the hell did that | 22 | couple thousand square feet, right? So if you went |
| 23 | come from? That's a goofy number. | 23 | with 2,048, or whatever number, that seems logical. |
| 24 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah. | 24 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I would say even on a |
| 25 | MR. LINGENFELTER: The 2,048 is at least | 25 | smallish size ranch is probably 2,000 to 2,200 |
| | , | | 1 , , , |

| | 73 | | 74 |
|----|--|----|---|
| 1 | square feet, it would be considered small. | 1 | MR. PETERSON: You get the larger number. Two |
| 2 | MR. PETERSON: Right. | 2 | thousand. |
| 3 | MR. LINGENFELTER: And a reasonably sized | 3 | MR. LINGENFELTER: 1,532, or 2,040. |
| 4 | ranch would be probably in the 2,400 to 2,600 | 4 | MR. PETERSON: Whatever number we come up |
| 5 | square foot size. That's a pretty big ranch, you | 5 | with. |
| 6 | know. Then you've got your you've got the | 6 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. |
| 7 | ability to match that footprint. | 7 | MR. REPPERT: Why can't I go to the first |
| 8 | MR. PETERSON: Right. | 8 | floor? |
| 9 | So it's proportional, then, Hiram. It's | 9 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Because you've got more |
| 10 | proportional to the size of the house. But it's | 10 | property. It's not going to be you know, you're |
| 11 | also limited. | 11 | dealing with a much greater land mass to put that |
| 12 | MR. REPPERT: It's limited to the square | 12 | building on. |
| 13 | footage of the first floor. | 13 | MR. REPPERT: Okay, I have a two acre lot with |
| 14 | MR. PETERSON: Or the lot size. You can't go | 14 | a 2,400 square foot ranch. What do I get? |
| 15 | more than 1,024 on a half acre. | 15 | MR. PETERSON: 2,000. |
| 16 | MR. LINGENFELTER: He's noodling. | 16 | MR. LINGENFELTER: 1,532 right now. As it |
| 17 | MR. REPPERT: Okay. Okay. | 17 | sits right now, 1,532. |
| 18 | MR. PETERSON: Is that logical? | 18 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah, 1,532, as it stands right |
| 19 | MR. REPPERT: Yeah. | 19 | now. |
| 20 | Now, half acre, one acre, what do I get? | 20 | MR. REPPERT: Why can't I go to the first |
| 21 | MR. IAFELICE: 1,024. | 21 | floor? |
| 22 | MR. PETERSON: 1,024. Acre and a half | 22 | MR. IAFELICE: It says. It says. |
| 23 | MR. REPPERT: Two acres. | 23 | MR. REPPERT: Well, give me the logic. |
| 24 | MR. IAFELICE: Less than. | 24 | MS. FREEMAN: So the detached could be no |
| 25 | MR. REPPERT: What do I get? | 25 | bigger than the 1,532. But the way it's written |
| 1 | proposed in front of you this evening, the attached 75 | 1 | 76 MR. PETERSON: Because you don't want a garage |
| 2 | could be 2,000 then. | 2 | bigger than the house. |
| 3 | MR. REPPERT: No, attached or detached is the | 3 | MR. REPPERT: Why not? |
| 4 | square footage of the first floor. Attached or | 4 | MR. PETERSON: You could, if you had an 800 |
| 5 | detached. | 5 | square foot house, right? |
| 6 | MS. FREEMAN: Right. So an attached garage | 6 | MR. REPPERT: I have a 2,400 square foot |
| 7 | is also restricted in size as it relates to the | 7 | garage I mean, ranch. |
| 8 | dwelling the dwelling, first floor living area. | 8 | MR. PETERSON: All right, let's go smaller. |
| 9 | So you have, what did you say, a 2,400 square | 9 | 1,600. |
| 10 | foot ranch? You have a 2,400 square foot ranch, | 10 | MR. REPPERT: Okay. |
| 11 | the attached garage. The attached garage could be | 11 | MR. PETERSON: You can't go bigger than that. |
| 12 | 2,000 square feet. | 12 | MR. REPPERT: 1,600. |
| 13 | MR. IAFELICE: That's crazy. | 13 | MR. PETERSON: (Nodding.) |
| 14 | MR. REPPERT: It could be a 2,400 square foot | 14 | MR. REPPERT: Okay. Garage and detached. One |
| 15 | garage. | 15 | attached, and one detached. |
| 16 | MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, yeah. | 16 | MR. PETERSON: Lot size, a half acre or two |
| 17 | MR. REPPERT: Yes. | 17 | acre? |
| 18 | MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. Plus, then, you could | 18 | MR. REPPERT: Okay, two acres. |
| 19 | still have the detached. | 19 | MR. PETERSON: Well, then you've got whatever |
| 20 | MR. REPPERT: Yeah. | 20 | number we come up with here. |
| 21 | MS. FREEMAN: Right? | 21 | MR. REPPERT: Well, why can't we go with the |
| 22 | So there's plenty of allowances for people to | 22 | living area of the first floor, attached and/or |
| 23 | park their cars. | 23 | detached? |
| 24 | MR. REPPERT: Why can't we go, first floor | 24 | MS. FREEMAN: Oh, and just not pick a number. |
| 25 | square footage for attached and detached? | 25 | I see. |
| | | | |

| 78 | |
|----|--|
| | |

| | 77 | | 70 |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | 77 MR. IAFELICE: And not pick a number. | 1 | 78 It's near 3,000 square foot footprint. |
| 2 | MS. FREEMAN: And not pick a number. It's all | 2 | MR. REPPERT: On one floor? |
| 3 | relative | 3 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. |
| 4 | MR. REPPERT: Don't pick a number. | 4 | Most homes that have 150 foot you know, 15, |
| 5 | MS. FREEMAN: to the home on the lot, is | 5 | 20 foot side yard, are 70 foot wide. Most homes |
| 6 | what you're saying. | 6 | are 70 foot wide, 40 foot, 50 foot deep. Large |
| 7 | MR. REPPERT: The square footage of any | 7 | homes, in our neighborhoods. |
| 8 | accessory building attached to or detached from a | 8 | MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. Like the homes being |
| 9 | principal building on a lot shall not exceed the | 9 | built over on Judges Court, those are half acre |
| 10 | square footage of the living area on a ground level | 10 | lots. And there's 70 percent lot coverage by the |
| 11 | of the principal building on the lot, period, thank | 11 | time you get the house and the driveway on there. |
| 12 | you very much. | 12 | That does not include any kind of patio, |
| 13 | MR. IAFELICE: It's very large for a half acre | 13 | outbuildings, nothing. |
| 14 | lot. We have large homes on half acre lots, very | 14 | MR. IAFELICE: That's what I'm saying. We're |
| 15 | large footprint. Very large. | 15 | losing green space by pushing more square footage. |
| 16 | MR. REPPERT: Okay, how large? 3,200 square | 16 | There's more value, to me, in that than, with all |
| 17 | feet? | 17 | due respect, income taxes. |
| 18 | MR. IAFELICE: My house yeah. | 18 | MR. PETERSON: Less tax. |
| 19 | MR. REPPERT: Two stories. Two stories. | 19 | MR. REPPERT: So that's on a half acre lot, |
| 20 | MR. PETERSON: First floor. | 20 | 22,000 square feet, right? |
| 21 | MR. IAFELICE: First floor footprint. | 21 | MR. PETERSON: Yep. |
| 22 | MR. REPPERT: 3,200 square foot? | 22 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. |
| 23 | MR. IAFELICE: I don't know. | 23 | MS. FREEMAN: It's just over a half acre. |
| 24 | MR. PETERSON: That would be sixty | 24 | It's .05 acres. |
| 25 | MR. IAFELICE: Seventy by forty foot deep. | 25 | MR. REPPERT: Okay. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | 79 | | 80 |
| 1 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. | 1 | close to your side |
| 1 2 | | 1 2 | |
| | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. | | close to your side |
| 2 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. | 2 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. |
| 2 3 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 | 2 3 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. |
| 2 3 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. | 2 3 4 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? |
| 2 3 4 5 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. | 2 3 4 5 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. | 2 3 4 5 6 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. IAFELICE: So it's more like 2,000. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. MR. REPPERT: Yeah, okay. So you can't go on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. IAFELICE: So it's more like 2,000. MR. PETERSON: Fifteen by forty. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. MR. REPPERT: Yeah, okay. So you can't go on the side at all. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. IAFELICE: So it's more like 2,000. MR. PETERSON: Fifteen by forty. MR. LINGENFELTER: What did you say a standard | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. MR. REPPERT: Yeah, okay. So you can't go on the side at all. MR. IAFELICE: No. That's my point about it. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. IAFELICE: So it's more like 2,000. MR. PETERSON: Fifteen by forty. MR. LINGENFELTER: What did you say a standard three-car garage is, Rich? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. MR. REPPERT: Yeah, okay. So you can't go on the side at all. MR. IAFELICE: No. That's my point about it. MR. REPPERT: You go behind. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. IAFELICE: So it's more like 2,000. MR. PETERSON: Fifteen by forty. MR. LINGENFELTER: What did you say a standard three-car garage is, Rich? MR. IAFELICE: 1,000, did you say? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. MR. REPPERT: Yeah, okay. So you can't go on the side at all. MR. IAFELICE: No. That's my point about it. MR. REPPERT: You go behind. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. IAFELICE: So it's more like 2,000. MR. PETERSON: Fifteen by forty. MR. LINGENFELTER: What did you say a standard three-car garage is, Rich? MR. IAFELICE: 1,000, did you say? MR. PETERSON: Well, it's about 900 square | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. MR. REPPERT: Yeah, okay. So you can't go on the side at all. MR. IAFELICE: No. That's my point about it. MR. REPPERT: You go behind. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, yes. MR. REPPERT: So you've got to knock out the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. IAFELICE: So it's more like 2,000. MR. PETERSON: Fifteen by forty. MR. LINGENFELTER: What did you say a standard three-car garage is, Rich? MR. IAFELICE: 1,000, did you say? MR. PETERSON: Well, it's about 900 square feet, yeah, roughly. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. MR. REPPERT: Yeah, okay. So you can't go on the side at all. MR. IAFELICE: No. That's my point about it. MR. REPPERT: You go behind. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, yes. MR. REPPERT: So you've got to knock out the garage. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. IAFELICE: So it's more like 2,000. MR. PETERSON: Fifteen by forty. MR. LINGENFELTER: What did you say a standard three-car garage is, Rich? MR. IAFELICE: 1,000, did you say? MR. PETERSON: Well, it's about 900 square feet, yeah, roughly. MR. LINGENFELTER: For a three-car garage. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. MR. REPPERT: Yeah, okay. So you can't go on the side at all. MR. IAFELICE: No. That's my point about it. MR. REPPERT: You go behind. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, yes. MR. REPPERT: So you've got to knock out the garage. MR. IAFELICE: To the earlier point, about |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. IAFELICE: So it's more like 2,000. MR. PETERSON: Fifteen by forty. MR. LINGENFELTER: What did you say a standard three-car garage is, Rich? MR. IAFELICE: 1,000, did you say? MR. PETERSON: Well, it's about 900 square feet, yeah, roughly. MR. LINGENFELTER: For a three-car garage. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. MR. REPPERT: Yeah, okay. So you can't go on the side at all. MR. IAFELICE: No. That's my point about it. MR. REPPERT: You go behind. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, yes. MR. REPPERT: So you've got to knock out the garage. MR. IAFELICE: To the earlier point, about pushing the addition on the back of the garage and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. IAFELICE: So it's more like 2,000. MR. PETERSON: Fifteen by forty. MR. LINGENFELTER: What did you say a standard three-car garage is, Rich? MR. IAFELICE: 1,000, did you say? MR. PETERSON: Well, it's about 900 square feet, yeah, roughly. MR. LINGENFELTER: For a three-car garage. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So yeah, if you've got | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. MR. REPPERT: Yeah, okay. So you can't go on the side at all. MR. IAFELICE: No. That's my point about it. MR. REPPERT: You go behind. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, yes. MR. REPPERT: So you've got to knock out the garage. MR. IAFELICE: To the earlier point, about pushing the addition on the back of the garage and you drive through to the back, and you attach it to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. IAFELICE: So it's more like 2,000. MR. PETERSON: Fifteen by forty. MR. LINGENFELTER: What did you say a standard three-car garage is, Rich? MR. IAFELICE: 1,000, did you say? MR. PETERSON: Well, it's about 900 square feet, yeah, roughly. MR. LINGENFELTER: For a three-car garage. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So yeah, if you've got 3,000, you have 2,100 square foot of house and 900 | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. MR. REPPERT: Yeah, okay. So you can't go on the side at all. MR. IAFELICE: No. That's my point about it. MR. REPPERT: You go behind. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, yes. MR. REPPERT: So you've got to knock out the garage. MR. IAFELICE: To the earlier point, about pushing the addition on the back of the garage and you drive through to the back, and you attach it to the back, my father-in-law has that on his road. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. IAFELICE: So it's more like 2,000. MR. PETERSON: Fifteen by forty. MR. LINGENFELTER: What did you say a standard three-car garage is, Rich? MR. IAFELICE: 1,000, did you say? MR. PETERSON: Well, it's about 900 square feet, yeah, roughly. MR. LINGENFELTER: For a three-car garage. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So yeah, if you've got 3,000, you have 2,100 square foot of house and 900 square feet of garage. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. MR. REPPERT: Yeah, okay. So you can't go on the side at all. MR. IAFELICE: No. That's my point about it. MR. REPPERT: You go behind. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, yes. MR. REPPERT: So you've got to knock out the garage. MR. IAFELICE: To the earlier point, about pushing the addition on the back of the garage and you drive through to the back, and you attach it to the back, my father-in-law has that on his road. MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah, I've seen it. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. REPPERT: 22,000 square foot, and you've got a 2,800 MR. IAFELICE: 3,000 square foot footprint. MR. REPPERT: Jeez. MR. PETERSON: With the garage, though. MR. IAFELICE: Yes. MR. PETERSON: Take the garage out of it. MR. IAFELICE: Oh, take the garage out. Okay. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. IAFELICE: So it's more like 2,000. MR. PETERSON: Fifteen by forty. MR. LINGENFELTER: What did you say a standard three-car garage is, Rich? MR. IAFELICE: 1,000, did you say? MR. PETERSON: Well, it's about 900 square feet, yeah, roughly. MR. LINGENFELTER: For a three-car garage. MR. PETERSON: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: So yeah, if you've got 3,000, you have 2,100 square foot of house and 900 square feet of garage. MR. IAFELICE: Good point, Rich. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | close to your side MR. IAFELICE: I am. Right up against it. I'm on my side yard, 15 feet. MR. REPPERT: How about your back yard? MR. IAFELICE: Plenty. But we have a conservation easement. There's no back yard. MR. PETERSON: Mine is deeper than it is wide, but it's similar. MR. IAFELICE: Deeper than wide, yeah. Half acre lots typically are 100 wide by 220 deep. MR. REPPERT: Yeah, okay. So you can't go on the side at all. MR. IAFELICE: No. That's my point about it. MR. REPPERT: You go behind. MR. IAFELICE: Yes, yes. MR. REPPERT: So you've got to knock out the garage. MR. IAFELICE: To the earlier point, about pushing the addition on the back of the garage and you drive through to the back, and you attach it to the back, my father-in-law has that on his road. MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah, I've seen it. MR. REPPERT: They're kind of neat. But |

| , | 7 | |
|---|---|--|
| ì | , | |
| • | _ | |

| | 81 | | 82 |
|----------|---|----|---|
| 1 | go 700 to 900, depending on the size. | 1 | MR. LINGENFELTER: (Nodding.) |
| 2 | MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. Well, what are | 2 | MS. MATHENEY: Each building, right? So let's |
| 3 | our thoughts on the 1,532? Do we want to consider, | 3 | say they have two detached, right, accessories? |
| 4 | you know, making a change to that, to the 2,048? | 4 | Each of those buildings cannot the square |
| 5 | Or do you want to leave it at the 1,532? | 5 | footage of each of those buildings cannot exceed |
| 6 | MR. PETERSON: I like Hiram's original idea of | 6 | the square footage of the living area on the ground |
| 7 | doubling it. I mean, doubling the 1,024, make it | 7 | floor. |
| 8 | 2,048. That gives them a little more leeway. | 8 | MR. IAFELICE: Oh, you mean, total. |
| 9 | MR. LINGENFELTER: And limit it to two | 9 | MS. FREEMAN: Hash that out. |
| 10 | buildings. | 10 | MS. MATHENEY: In other words, not combined. |
| 11 | MR. PETERSON: Not to exceed yeah, two | 11 | MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. |
| 12 | buildings not to exceed 2,048. | 12 | MS. MATHENEY: I think, each of the buildings. |
| 13 | MR. REPPERT: Total? | 13 | That's how it's written, each accessory. |
| 14 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah, total. | 14 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Go ahead. |
| 15 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right, total. | 15 | MR. MELDRUM: Yeah. |
| 16 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah. | 16 | MS. MATHENEY: Oh, I'm sorry. |
| 17 | MR. LINGENFELTER: They can have two | 17 | MR. MELDRUM: I've got a question here. I |
| 18 | buildings, but they're limited to 2,048 square | 18 | guess I don't understand. Excuse me for |
| 19 | feet. | 19 | interrupting. |
| 20 | MS. FREEMAN: But then they also would be | 20 | Where you have, like, patio homes or condos, |
| 21 | further restricted, because the combined square | 21 | I've seen them where they're like two or three |
| 22 | footage of those could not exceed the main living | 22 | stories tall, and the garage is essentially all of |
| 23 | floor area of the dwelling. | 23 | the first floor. Is the principal you talk |
| 24 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. | 24 | about the principal floor being the ground floor. |
| 25 | MS. FREEMAN: Is that how you understand it? | 25 | MR. IAFELICE: Living. |
| 1 | MR. MELDRUM: Pardon? | 1 | MR. REPPERT: Well, that just means they |
| 2 | MR. IAFELICE: Living area. | 2 | couldn't have a big garage. |
| 3 | MR. MELDRUM: The living area. | 3 | MR. PETERSON: They wouldn't have a place to |
| 4 | Some of those homes don't have hardly any | 4 | put it. If you look at Canterbury, look at those |
| 5 | living area on the ground floor, it's all garage. | 5 | lots, those houses are packed in there. |
| 6 | MR. IAFELICE: Oh, living area on the ground | 6 | MR. REPPERT: Okay, I'm good with 2,048 and |
| 7 | floor. | 7 | two. |
| 8 | MR. MELDRUM: And then maybe it's a totally | 8 | MR. PETERSON: As long as the only logic |
| 9 | different part, not residential. | 9 | is, it's twice the number that we inherited, right? |
| 10 | MR. PETERSON: Those are usually smaller lots, | 10 | The number that we don't know where it came from, |
| 11 | though, than a half acre. | 11 | but it's been here forever. |
| 12 | MR. MELDRUM: Yes, yes. | 12 | MR. IAFELICE: The reason I continue to object |
| 13 | MR. PETERSON: Like a PUD. | 13 | to that size, I just described my home footprint, |
| 14 | MR. MELDRUM: I know there's a fairly new | 14 | 2,000 square feet. That's another home on a two |
| 15 | house down in Mentor, because I used to live there. | 15 | acre lot, the 2,040. I just can't |
| 16 | I think they built about a three-car garage on the | 16 | MR. PETERSON: Then you've got over 80,000 |
| 17 | first floor. It was a small lot, and they had to | 17 | square feet. |
| 18 | build up. | 18 | MR. IAFELICE: Again, it's not relative to |
| 19 | MR. PETERSON: Uh-huh. | 19 | size of the lots. |
| 20 | MR. MELDRUM: And there's not even enough | 20 | MR. REPPERT: So you still want 1,024? |
| 21 | living area in the first there's a little, maybe | 21 | MS. FREEMAN: 1,532. |
| 22 | a game room on the first floor. | 22 | MR. IAFELICE: No, this is the fifteen. |
| 23 | MR. PETERSON: Right. | 23 | I don't have any reason to object to the |
| 2.4 | Wite TETEROOT. Taght. | | r don't have any reason to object to the |
| 24 | MR. MELDRUM: But the kitchen and living area | 24 | square feet, because that's what we've had. Mine |
| 24 25 | | | |

| | 85 | | 86 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | suggested two. Two up to a total of 1,532. That's | 1 | MR. IAFELICE: See that? |
| 2 | kind of like, okay. | 2 | MR. REPPERT: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. |
| 3 | MR. PETERSON: That will probably happen two | 3 | MR. LINGENFELTER: That's okay. |
| 4 | or three times in the whole township, probably, you | 4 | MR. REPPERT: How big is 1,048? |
| 5 | know, as we go forward. | 5 | MR. IAFELICE: It's 20 by 50, 30 by 35. |
| 6 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Frank, any comments? | 6 | MR. REPPERT: On a half acre lot. |
| 7 | MR. SCHINDLER: Just like I said, I think | 7 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. Twenty by forty. |
| 8 | first put a limitation on the buildings being two, | 8 | MR. REPPERT: And even on a two acre lot. |
| 9 | and then the square footage is what we indicated | 9 | MR. IAFELICE: That's 800. |
| 10 | here. | 10 | MR. REPPERT: And even a two acre lot. |
| 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: So you want to leave it the | 11 | MR. IAFELICE: Now we're talking 30 by 50. |
| 12 | 1,532? | 12 | MR. PETERSON: Well, that would be 1,500. |
| 13 | MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. | 13 | MR. IAFELICE: 1,500, on a two acre, 30 by 50. |
| 14 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Don't bounce to 2,048? | 14 | MR. REPPERT: Where did you get that? |
| 15 | MR. SCHINDLER: No, no. | 15 | MR. IAFELICE: 1,532. |
| 16 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Hiram, how do you feel? | 16 | MR. PETERSON: Thirty times fifty, yeah. |
| 17 | MR. REPPERT: Well, I like to plant trees. I | 17 | MR. REPPERT: Oh, 1,532. |
| 18 | like the environment. I get Rich's point a lot. | 18 | And you want to stay with the 1,532? |
| 19 | That's starting to work on me a little bit. | 19 | MR. IAFELICE: Only because it's preceded us. |
| 20 | So the less the less we have on an acre or | 20 | I don't know that I would have any reasonable |
| 21 | half acre, whatever it may be, the better. | 21 | anguish angst on that. It's just the number of |
| 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: So you want to leave it at | 22 | them. |
| 23 | the 1,532? | 23 | MR. REPPERT: And you're okay with two? No? |
| 24 | MR. REPPERT: Are you okay going down to | 24 | MR. IAFELICE: I was okay with one. Andy |
| 25 | 1,048? | 25 | suggested it. You know, it's a world of |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | 87 | | 88 |
| 1 | compromise. 87 | 1 | 30 by 50. |
| 1 2 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? | 1 2 | |
| | compromise. | | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. |
| 2 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? | 2 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to |
| 2 3 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, | 2 3 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. |
| 2 3 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? | 2 3 4 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. |
| 2 3 4 5 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we | 2 3 4 5 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, | 2 3 4 5 6 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of that much more land and a little larger building. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. MR. LINGENFELTER: But it's also two acres of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of that much more land and a little larger building. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. MR. LINGENFELTER: But it's also two acres of property. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of that much more land and a little larger building. Four times as much land, two times as much | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. MR. LINGENFELTER: But it's also two acres of property. MR. IAFELICE: Again |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of that much more land and a little larger building. Four times as much land, two times as much building. That's how I look at it. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. MR. LINGENFELTER: But it's also two acres of property. MR. IAFELICE: Again MR. LINGENFELTER: A lot of extra space. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of that much more land and a little larger building. Four times as much land, two times as much building. That's how I look at it. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. MR. LINGENFELTER: But it's also two acres of property. MR. IAFELICE: Again MR. LINGENFELTER: A lot of extra space. MR. PETERSON: Or more. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of that much more land and a little larger building. Four times as much land, two times as much building. That's how I look at it. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 30 by 50. MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. MR. LINGENFELTER: But it's also two acres of property. MR. IAFELICE: Again MR. LINGENFELTER: A lot of extra space. MR. PETERSON: Or more. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of that much more land and a little larger building. Four times as much land, two times as much building. That's how I look at it. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: Iafelice? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. MR. LINGENFELTER: But it's also two acres of property. MR. IAFELICE: Again MR. LINGENFELTER: A lot of extra space. MR. PETERSON: Or more. MR. LINGENFELTER: Or more. MR. PETERSON: It could be five acres. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of that much more land and a little larger building. Four times as much land, two times as much building. That's how I look at it. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: Iafelice? MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. I'm okay with Number 7 | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. MR. LINGENFELTER: But it's also two acres of property. MR. IAFELICE: Again MR. LINGENFELTER: A lot of extra space. MR. PETERSON: Or more. MR. LINGENFELTER: Or more. MR. PETERSON: It could be five acres. MR. IAFELICE: I'm not I'm discounting the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of that much more land and a little larger building. Four times as much land, two times as much building. That's how I look at it. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: Iafelice? MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. I'm okay with Number 7 the way it's written. Number 8, limiting it to two | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. MR. LINGENFELTER: But it's also two acres of property. MR. IAFELICE: Again MR. LINGENFELTER: A lot of extra space. MR. PETERSON: Or more. MR. PETERSON: It could be five acres. MR. IAFELICE: I'm not I'm discounting the size of the lot. I just look at the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of that much more land and a little larger building. Four times as much land, two times as much building. That's how I look at it. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: Iafelice? MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. I'm okay with Number 7 the way it's written. Number 8, limiting it to two buildings at the 1,532. Again, going any even | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. MR. LINGENFELTER: But it's also two acres of property. MR. IAFELICE: Again MR. LINGENFELTER: A lot of extra space. MR. PETERSON: Or more. MR. LINGENFELTER: Or more. MR. PETERSON: It could be five acres. MR. IAFELICE: I'm not I'm discounting the size of the lot. I just look at the MR. SCHINDLER: Size of the building. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of that much more land and a little larger building. Four times as much land, two times as much building. That's how I look at it. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: Iafelice? MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. I'm okay with Number 7 the way it's written. Number 8, limiting it to two buildings at the 1,532. Again, going any even at 1,532, it seems to be very large, not relative | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. MR. LINGENFELTER: But it's also two acres of property. MR. IAFELICE: Again MR. LINGENFELTER: A lot of extra space. MR. PETERSON: Or more. MR. LINGENFELTER: Or more. MR. PETERSON: It could be five acres. MR. PETERSON: It could be five acres. MR. IAFELICE: I'm not I'm discounting the size of the lot. I just look at the MR. SCHINDLER: Size of the building. MR. IAFELICE: It's another building. Another |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | compromise. MR. REPPERT: One and a half, right? I'm fine with two at 1,532. I am. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich? MR. PETERSON: Well, I look at it and say, 1,000 square feet on a half acre, as Rich pointed out, it's five percent of the lot, right? So if we have a two acre lot, we go five percent of that, that would be 4,000 square feet. The same proportion, okay? I don't want to go 4,000. But I think it would be logical to go 2,048, just by the logic of that much more land and a little larger building. Four times as much land, two times as much building. That's how I look at it. MR. LINGENFELTER: Rich MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: Iafelice? MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. I'm okay with Number 7 the way it's written. Number 8, limiting it to two buildings at the 1,532. Again, going any even at 1,532, it seems to be very large, not relative to the size of the lot. It's just a large space. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. LINGENFELTER: But not everybody builds to that size. MR. REPPERT: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Not everybody. MR. IAFELICE: But it's close to the footprint of a home. MR. REPPERT: Sure. MR. IAFELICE: It's a home. It's like a second home. MR. LINGENFELTER: But it's also two acres of property. MR. IAFELICE: Again MR. LINGENFELTER: A lot of extra space. MR. PETERSON: Or more. MR. LINGENFELTER: Or more. MR. PETERSON: It could be five acres. MR. IAFELICE: I'm not I'm discounting the size of the lot. I just look at the MR. SCHINDLER: Size of the building. MR. IAFELICE: It's another building. Another building the size of the house, on the lot. |

| | 89 | | 90 |
|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | duplex, a two-family. | 1 | MR. SCHINDLER: Yes. |
| 2 | MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah, it's the aesthetics you | 2 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I think we've got to keep |
| 3 | get to. | 3 | that focus |
| 4 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes, it's the aesthetics. It's | 4 | MR. SCHINDLER: Big time. |
| 5 | the neighborhood, it's the feel. | 5 | MR. LINGENFELTER: how that impacts |
| 6 | MR. SCHINDLER: Correct. | 6 | neighboring properties behind and on each side. |
| 7 | MR. IAFELICE: You wouldn't want | 7 | MR. SCHINDLER: Yes. |
| 8 | MR. SCHINDLER: That's what I'm saying. It's | 8 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Because more than likely, |
| 9 | the aesthetics, the neighborhood. That's the | 9 | like you were saying, Frank, you're not going to |
| 10 | thing. | 10 | see it from the street. |
| 11 | MR. IAFELICE: We're not Mentor. We're not | 11 | MR. SCHINDLER: Right. |
| 12 | going to build all of these things next to each | 12 | MR. LINGENFELTER: But the neighbors that live |
| 13 | other. | 13 | next door or on either side will more than likely |
| 14 | MR. LINGENFELTER: We're not? | 14 | see it. |
| 15 | MR. IAFELICE: Not. | 15 | MR. SCHINDLER: Yes. |
| 16 | MR. PETERSON: But the neighborhoods that have | 16 | MR. LINGENFELTER: And the people living |
| 17 | two plus acres are rare, and it wouldn't be that | 17 | behind are more than likely going to see it. |
| 18 | noticeable. | 18 | MR. SCHINDLER: Yes. |
| 19 | MR. IAFELICE: Right. I get it. I understand | 19 | MR. LINGENFELTER: I'm good with the 1,532, |
| 20 | what you're saying, Rich. I just don't | 20 | two buildings. I'm good with that. |
| 21 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah, that's my my | 21 | I like quite frankly, I'm not I wouldn't |
| 22 | thought process is the impact on | 22 | be opposed if the board's, you know, inclination |
| 23 | MR. IAFELICE: Neighbors. | 23 | was to go with the 2,048, either. I mean, I think |
| 24 | MR. LINGENFELTER: adjoining properties. | 24 | that makes sense. To me, that's logical. That |
| 25 | That, to me, is important in this process. | 25 | makes logical sense, based on the size of the lot, |
| 1 2 | and the size of the the two acres, and the percentages, and everything. I follow Rich's | 1 2 | Zoning Board of Appeals. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. |
| 3 | thought process on Rich Peterson's thought | 3 | _ |
| 4 | | | And I think, again, refocus on the intent. |
| = | process on that, you know. | | And I think, again, refocus on the intent, okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because |
| 5 | process on that, you know. So I would be good with the 2.048. But it | 4 5 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because |
| 5 6 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it | 4 5 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached |
| 5 6 7 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is | 4 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? |
| | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with | 4 5 6 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached |
| 7 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. | 4 5 6 7 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to |
| 7 8 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going | 4 5 6 7 8 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. |
| 7 8 9 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent |
| 7 8 9 10 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going to hit that number, that bogey of 1,532, then that's okay, because that gives the person a little | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent of this whole conversation, is to prevent something |
| 7 8 9 10 11 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going to hit that number, that bogey of 1,532, then | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent of this whole conversation, is to prevent something that already happened from happening again. |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going to hit that number, that bogey of 1,532, then that's okay, because that gives the person a little bit of flexibility. If they build one building, | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent of this whole conversation, is to prevent something that already happened from happening again. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. Correct. |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going to hit that number, that bogey of 1,532, then that's okay, because that gives the person a little bit of flexibility. If they build one building, they have an option to build a second building. If | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent of this whole conversation, is to prevent something that already happened from happening again. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: So I think we've |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going to hit that number, that bogey of 1,532, then that's okay, because that gives the person a little bit of flexibility. If they build one building, they have an option to build a second building. If they decide they want a second one, they've got a | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent of this whole conversation, is to prevent something that already happened from happening again. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: So I think we've accomplished I think we're accomplishing that, |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going to hit that number, that bogey of 1,532, then that's okay, because that gives the person a little bit of flexibility. If they build one building, they have an option to build a second building. If they decide they want a second one, they've got a little bit of wiggle room to do that. And again, | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent of this whole conversation, is to prevent something that already happened from happening again. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: So I think we've accomplished I think we're accomplishing that, and we're still allowing for some flexibility, |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going to hit that number, that bogey of 1,532, then that's okay, because that gives the person a little bit of flexibility. If they build one building, they have an option to build a second building. If they decide they want a second one, they've got a little bit of wiggle room to do that. And again, they could always take it to the BZA. If there's a | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent of this whole conversation, is to prevent something that already happened from happening again. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: So I think we've accomplished I think we're accomplishing that, and we're still allowing for some flexibility, which is good. |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going to hit that number, that bogey of 1,532, then that's okay, because that gives the person a little bit of flexibility. If they build one building, they have an option to build a second building. If they decide they want a second one, they've got a little bit of wiggle room to do that. And again, they could always take it to the BZA. If there's a hardship or something, and they really feel | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent of this whole conversation, is to prevent something that already happened from happening again. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: So I think we've accomplished I think we're accomplishing that, and we're still allowing for some flexibility, which is good. MR. PETERSON: For the owner. |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going to hit that number, that bogey of 1,532, then that's okay, because that gives the person a little bit of flexibility. If they build one building, they have an option to build a second building. If they decide they want a second one, they've got a little bit of wiggle room to do that. And again, they could always take it to the BZA. If there's a hardship or something, and they really feel compelled that they've got to have it, they can | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent of this whole conversation, is to prevent something that already happened from happening again. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: So I think we've accomplished I think we're accomplishing that, and we're still allowing for some flexibility, which is good. MR. PETERSON: For the owner. MR. LINGENFELTER: For the owner. And still |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going to hit that number, that bogey of 1,532, then that's okay, because that gives the person a little bit of flexibility. If they build one building, they have an option to build a second building. If they decide they want a second one, they've got a little bit of wiggle room to do that. And again, they could always take it to the BZA. If there's a hardship or something, and they really feel compelled that they've got to have it, they can always go to BZA for approval. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent of this whole conversation, is to prevent something that already happened from happening again. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: So I think we've accomplished I think we're accomplishing that, and we're still allowing for some flexibility, which is good. MR. PETERSON: For the owner. MR. LINGENFELTER: For the owner. And still maintaining that they can't, you know, use some |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going to hit that number, that bogey of 1,532, then that's okay, because that gives the person a little bit of flexibility. If they build one building, they have an option to build a second building. If they decide they want a second one, they've got a little bit of wiggle room to do that. And again, they could always take it to the BZA. If there's a hardship or something, and they really feel compelled that they've got to have it, they can always go to BZA for approval. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent of this whole conversation, is to prevent something that already happened from happening again. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: So I think we've accomplished I think we're accomplishing that, and we're still allowing for some flexibility, which is good. MR. PETERSON: For the owner. MR. LINGENFELTER: For the owner. And still maintaining that they can't, you know, use some squirrelly, you know, loophole in the text or the |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going to hit that number, that bogey of 1,532, then that's okay, because that gives the person a little bit of flexibility. If they build one building, they have an option to build a second building. If they decide they want a second one, they've got a little bit of wiggle room to do that. And again, they could always take it to the BZA. If there's a hardship or something, and they really feel compelled that they've got to have it, they can always go to BZA for approval. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. MR. LINGENFELTER: We're not completely | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent of this whole conversation, is to prevent something that already happened from happening again. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: So I think we've accomplished I think we're accomplishing that, and we're still allowing for some flexibility, which is good. MR. PETERSON: For the owner. MR. LINGENFELTER: For the owner. And still maintaining that they can't, you know, use some squirrelly, you know, loophole in the text or the Resolution to be able to get away with something |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | So I would be good with the 2,048. But it seems to me that the board is the majority is more in line with the 1,532. And I'm okay with that. I think that's still a substantial building. And if you allow two buildings that are going to hit that number, that bogey of 1,532, then that's okay, because that gives the person a little bit of flexibility. If they build one building, they have an option to build a second building. If they decide they want a second one, they've got a little bit of wiggle room to do that. And again, they could always take it to the BZA. If there's a hardship or something, and they really feel compelled that they've got to have it, they can always go to BZA for approval. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. MR. LINGENFELTER: We're not completely hamstringing them to be able to do something | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | okay, what we're trying to accomplish. Because what we had was a building that somebody attached to an existing structure and went hog wild, okay? MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: And that we want to prevent that from happening. So that's the intent of this whole conversation, is to prevent something that already happened from happening again. MR. SCHINDLER: Right. Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: So I think we've accomplished I think we're accomplishing that, and we're still allowing for some flexibility, which is good. MR. PETERSON: For the owner. MR. LINGENFELTER: For the owner. And still maintaining that they can't, you know, use some squirrelly, you know, loophole in the text or the Resolution to be able to get away with something that's egregious. I think at the end, the |

| | 93 | | 94 |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | MR. IAFELICE: So Mr. Chairman, speaking of | 1 | to negate the 900? Or does it negate the 900, and |
| 2 | squirrelly | 2 | I only have 632 left? |
| 3 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yes. | 3 | MR. REPPERT: What? |
| 4 | MR. IAFELICE: back to Hiram's point, in | 4 | MR. PETERSON: You have to take the garage |
| 5 | Number 10 | 5 | out. He's taking the attached garage out. |
| 6 | MR. REPPERT: Squirrelly. | 6 | MR. IAFELICE: The attached it's attached |
| 7 | MR. IAFELICE: if | 7 | to. It says, the square footage attached to or |
| 8 | MR. REPPERT: Squirrelly. | 8 | detached shall not exceed the square footage of the |
| 9 | MR. IAFELICE: re-reading what | 9 | living area. |
| 10 | squirrelly. | 10 | MR. REPPERT: Right. |
| 11 | MR. REPPERT: I'll shut up. | 11 | MR. IAFELICE: So if the living area is 2,000, |
| 12 | MR. IAFELICE: If it's attached or detached, | 12 | and a typical three-car garage is, say, 900 square |
| 13 | shall not exceed. So if my home the 2,000 | 13 | feet, and it's attached, okay? Now, so that |
| 14 | square foot living area, right, Heather? | 14 | attached does not exceed the square footage, right, |
| 15 | MS. FREEMAN: Okay. | 15 | of the living area? It doesn't matter. But I can |
| 16 | MR. IAFELICE: 2,000 square foot. My attached | 16 | still do a detached building that doesn't exceed |
| 17 | garage is 900 square feet. | 17 | the square footage of the living area, as well. |
| 18 | MR. PETERSON: Something like that. | 18 | MR. PETERSON: Your detached building can |
| 19 | MR. IAFELICE: Something like that. | 19 | be |
| 20 | MS. FREEMAN: And you're on a oh, go ahead. | 20 | MR. IAFELICE: Can be up to 1,532 on a two |
| 21 | Sorry. | 21 | acre lot, right? That's how I read it. I just |
| 22 | MR. IAFELICE: And I'm on a | 22 | want to make sure it's not some squirrelly |
| 23 | MR. PETERSON: Two acre lot. | 23 | MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, I don't think we were |
| 24 | MR. IAFELICE: two acre lot thank you | 24 | combining the attached with the detached. |
| 25 | am I permitted to build up to 1,532, or do I have | 25 | MR. PETERSON: Right. |
| 1 | MS. MATHENEY: You're right. | 1 | I think we've accomplished what we set out for. |
| 2 | MR. PETERSON: So you can add 1,500 more, if | 2 | MR. REPPERT: Where do we limit attached |
| 3 | you had two acres. | 3 | garages in here? |
| 4 | MR. IAFELICE: That was my squirrelly | 4 | MS. FREEMAN: There's no number, like you |
| 5 | question, in case there was some squirrel looking | 5 | were there's no max number. It's all just |
| 6 | at that and trying to | 6 | relative to the first floor of the home, the |
| 7 | MS. FREEMAN: That's good, that you asked | 7 | living area on the first floor of the home. |
| 8 | that. | 8 | MR. REPPERT: Is that Number 10? |
| 9 | MS. MATHENEY: That's a great example. | 9 | MS. FREEMAN: Basically, yes. |
| 10 | MR. PETERSON: Right. | 10 | Maybe we need to separate 10 into two |
| 11 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. | 11 | different I was going to say, maybe we need to |
| 12 | MR. IAFELICE: Okay. Sorry. | 12 | work on this a little bit, to make it more clear, |
| 13 | MR. PETERSON: Are you good with that? | 13 | bring it back based on what we talked about |
| 14 | MR. LINGENFELTER: You know what? I think | 14 | tonight. |
| 15 | this has been very productive. I think we've | 15 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. |
| 16 | accomplished a lot, I really do. I think we've | 16 | MS. FREEMAN: I'd like to talk to Bridey about |
| 17 | come up with I think it's been a good | 17 | that. Because I know, if we're having questions |
| 18 | conversation. There's been some very reasoned | 18 | here, if you guys are |
| 19 | positions on the way that this comes together. | 19 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah. |
| 20 | So I'm very happy with where we're at in | 20 | MS. FREEMAN: then anyone else looking at |
| 21 | this conversation. I think that we worked well | 21 | this, too. |
| 22 | together, putting this kind of stuff together. | 22 | MR. LINGENFELTER: We need to tighten that up. |
| 23 | MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. | 23 | And I think if we can do that, and have that ready |
| 24 | MR. LINGENFELTER: We had good opposing | 24 | for the next meeting. |
| 25 | viewpoints, and good conversation, good minds. | 25 | MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. |
| | | | |

| | 97 | | 98 |
|----------|---|----------------|--|
| 1 | MS. FREEMAN: So if we need to be more clear, | 1 | house, not the garage part of it. The living |
| 2 | like, okay, the attached garage cannot exceed the | 2 | space. |
| 3 | ground floor | 3 | MR. REPPERT: Right. |
| 4 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. | 4 | MR. PETERSON: Okay. |
| 5 | MS. FREEMAN: and then as a separate item, | 5 | MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. |
| 6 | then also a detached, you know, if we need to | 6 | MR. PETERSON: So he'd be limited to |
| 7 | separate that out to make it more clear. | 7 | fifteen whatever our number is, 1,532 |
| 8 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. | 8 | MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. |
| 9 | MR. REPPERT: Because 10 is Number 10 is | 9 | MR. PETERSON: if he had two acres. |
| 10 | accessory building. And an attached garage is not | 10 | MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. |
| 11 | an accessory building. | 11 | MR. PETERSON: As it is, he'd be limited to |
| 12 | MR. PETERSON: Right. | 12 | 1,024, because he's got a half an acre |
| 13 | MR. REPPERT: Okay. So we have to clear that | 13 | MR. IAFELICE: Right. |
| 14 | one up. We have to identify the restrictions on an | 14 | MR. PETERSON: if you could squeeze that |
| 15 | attached garage. | 15 | in. I think you could. |
| 16 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. | 16 | MR. IAFELICE: Yeah, probably. |
| 17 | MR. IAFELICE: Right. | 17 | MS. FREEMAN: But Hiram, the intent of |
| 18 | MR. REPPERT: Separate, done, that's it. | 18 | changing some of the definitions was to make sure |
| 19 | MR. PETERSON: But to answer his question, | 19 | that we could enforce an attached garage as an |
| 20 | when he got to his particular house, he's got X | 20 | accessory building. |
| 21 | amount of square feet total. Part of that is a | 21 | MS. MATHENEY: Correct. |
| 22 | three-car garage. | 22 | MS. FREEMAN: So that's why these definitions |
| 23 | MR. REPPERT: Yeah. Right. | 23 | are proposed to be modified. So an accessory |
| 24 | MR. PETERSON: So his accessory building or | 24 | building is a use that is a subordinate building |
| 25 | any addition would be based on the rest of the | 25 | or use located on the same lot in which the |
| 1 2 | principal building or use is situated and which is customarily incidental to the conduct of the | 1 2 | MS. FREEMAN: Yes. MR. PETERSON: But you've got a two acre lot. |
| 3 | principal building or use. | 3 | MR. REPPERT: And a 1,532 square foot detached |
| 4 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. | 4 | garage. |
| 5 | MS. FREEMAN: So on an R-1 lot, what is the | 5 | MS. FREEMAN: Correct. |
| 6 | principal building or use? It's a single-family | 6 | MR. PETERSON: Or both. |
| 7 | dwelling. | 7 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. |
| 8 | MR. IAFELICE: Yes. | 8 | MS. MATHENEY: But that's how it is right now. |
| 9 | MR. PETERSON: Right. | 9 | MS. FREEMAN: Yes. Yeah, yeah. |
| 10 | MS. MATHENEY: And then we also changed | 10 | MS. MATHENEY: That's not a change. |
| 11 | garage. | 11 | MR. PETERSON: Yeah, that's what it is now. |
| 12 | MS. FREEMAN: Right. And then we changed the | 12 | MS. MATHENEY: That's current. |
| 13 | definition of a garage, just as | 13 | MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. |
| 14 | MS. MATHENEY: Just an accessory building, | 14 | MR. IAFELICE: Aren't we trying to |
| 15 | right, designed to store motor vehicles. | 15 | MS. MATHENEY: And we're trying to change it, |
| 16 | MR. IAFELICE: So, Mr. Chairman, I'll go back | 16 | yes. |
| 17 | to the squirrel question. | 17 | MR. REPPERT: I'm confused. |
| 18 | MR. LINGENFELTER: Yes. | 18 | MR. IAFELICE: Hiram, are you confused? |
| 19 | MR. IAFELICE: So on the two acre lot, and the | 19 | MR. LINGENFELTER: No. We're getting into a |
| 20 | 2,000 square foot living area, I could have a | 20 | cyclical |
| | 2,000 square 1000 ii iiig urou, 1 000ii ii iii o u | | |
| 21 | 1,532 foot attached garage. | 21 | MR. IAFELICE: 1,532 square foot garage on a |
| 21 22 | | | MR. IAFELICE: 1,532 square foot garage on a two acre lot, and then another 1,532 building, |
| | 1,532 foot attached garage. | 21 | |
| 22 | 1,532 foot attached garage. MR. PETERSON: Correct. | 21 22 | two acre lot, and then another 1,532 building, |
| 22 23 | 1,532 foot attached garage.MR. PETERSON: Correct.MR. LINGENFELTER: Yes. | 21 22 23 | two acre lot, and then another 1,532 building, accessory building. |

| 102 |
|--|
| 1,500 square foot garage on that. It's usually a |
| three-car garage. Typically two-car, three-car, |
| and maybe somebody, you know, a four-car. |
| MR. REPPERT: I'd love a four-car garage. I'd |
| love it. |
| MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah. If I build another |
| house, I'd probably build a four-car garage, |
| instead of a three. |
| MR. PETERSON: Right. |
| MR. LINGENFELTER: Because I don't get three |
| cars in my garage. |
| MR. PETERSON: You could smoke in that fourth |
| garage. |
| MR. LINGENFELTER: Put a little smoker in |
| there, I'd be all set. I wouldn't have to come to |
| Heather and grovel for some extra space. |
| All right. Heather, you understand? |
| I think, right now, we're in a position to |
| where if we can tweak this with Bridey, and get |
| things down to tighten the language down and get |
| going, we'll have that. I think we could have that |
| work session next. |
| MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. |
| MR. LINGENFELTER: I think then, at that |
| point, we could be in a position to schedule a |
| point, we could be in a position to selectate a |
| |
| 104 |
| discuss, or anything else we'd like to see on the |
| agenda at this point for the next meeting? |
| MR. REPPERT: No, but I'd like to thank |
| Heather for her diligent work. |
| MR. LINGENFELTER: Yes, absolutely. |
| MR. SCHINDLER: Big time. Yes. |
| MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. I think, yeah, |
| we're making some we made some very good |
| progress, and I think we've got some really good |
| common ground on this stuff here. |
| MR. REPPERT: We made progress two or three |
| times. |
| MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. |
| Okay, if there's nothing else to be discussed, |
| no other items anybody would like to see on the |
| agenda, we will consider this hearing adjourned. |
| Thank you. |
| 7777 d d d d d d |

(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at

8:41 p.m.)

MS. FREEMAN: Maybe at the July meeting, for August. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right, for August. I think we get the July hearing, and then schedule that for August. I think that would put us in a good position to get this put to bed. And then as far as the rest of the evening goes, the Old Business and everything else, we spent an inordinate amount of time -- good time --but we spent an inordinate amount of time. I don't, quite frankly, have the appetite to get into the Old Business right now, so I'd like to kind of table that until the next meeting. We'll keep that on the agenda, Heather, the Old Business. MS. FREEMAN: Sure. Yeah. MR. LINGENFELTER: Let's keep that there. But now that we've done the yeoman's work on this accessory building and square footage thing, the next meeting, we can hammer that out, get that on the agenda for a public hearing, and then hopefully we can make some good headway on the uses for the districts that we had done the last time, because we made some pretty good headway there. Do we have any other New Business we'd like to

living space, with the attached garage.

would not be bigger than your house.

square feet, okay, so like --

aesthetically odd or weird.

know, that fits.

public hearing.

MR. PETERSON: Well, we're trying to get away

MR. LINGENFELTER: You could build -- really,

MR. IAFELICE: Rich's five cars in the 1,500.

MR. LINGENFELTER: If you wanted to do a

MR. PETERSON: That would get you five cars.

MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah. So I think, you

MR. LINGENFELTER: I think that fits.

they build a new house, they're not building a

I don't see that many people building -- when

MR. LINGENFELTER: And I don't think a

from -- it would not be bigger than -- your garage

MR. IAFELICE: I get that. Yeah.

if a three-car garage is 900 -- approximately 900

four-car garage, for instance, you're probably

four-car garage is anything extraordinary on a

garage is out of the question. I don't think it's

MR. IAFELICE: I agree.

MR. IAFELICE: Okay.

3,000 square foot house. I don't think a four-car

right up against that 1,500 square foot.

| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|----|--|
| 2 | State of Ohio, |
| 3 | County of Cuyahoga. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | I, Ivy J. Gantverg, Registered Professional |
| 6 | Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, |
| 7 | duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that |
| 8 | the foregoing is a true, correct and complete transcript |
| 9 | of my stenotype notes which were taken at the time and |
| 10 | place in the foregoing caption specified. |
| 11 | I do further certify that I am not a relative or |
| 12 | counsel of either party, or otherwise interested in the |
| 13 | event of this action. |
| 14 | gald 5 |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Juy Dan Wey |
| 17 | Ivy J. Gantverg, Notaly Public in and for the State of Ohio, Registered Professional Reporter. |
| 18 | My Commission Expires November 5, 2028. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| | | 2,096 [1] 66/14 | 8 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| MR. IAFELICE: [204] | .05 [1] 78/24 | 2,100 [1] 79/21 | 8 foot [1] 9/14 |
| MR. LINGENFELTER: [222] | .05 acres [1] 78/24 | 2,200 [1] 72/25 | 80,000 [5] 61/10 61/13 |
| MR. MELDRUM: [19] 41/12 | .505 [1] 67/10 | 2,400 [6] 73/4 74/14 75/9 | 61/16 61/21 84/16 |
| 41/16 53/17 54/3 54/11 54/18 | | 75/10 75/14 76/6 | 800 [5] 32/21 32/24 72/15 |
| 54/21 54/24 55/8 55/17 82/15 | 1 | 2,600 [1] 73/4 | 76/4 86/9 |
| 82/17 83/1 83/3 83/8 83/12 | 1,000 [11] 41/4 41/7 41/18 | 2,800 [1] 79/3 | 84,000 [1] 65/22 |
| 83/14 83/20 83/24 | 42/2 42/5 50/24 51/21 61/15 | 20 [2] 60/9 86/5 | 85 [3] 28/13 28/14 28/17 |
| MR. PETERSON: [167] | 61/17 79/15 87/6 | 20 foot [1] 78/5 | 88,000 [3] 65/22 65/23 |
| MR. REPPERT: [152] | 1,024 [27] 31/5 33/24 36/18 | 20 percent [1] 41/5 | 65/24 |
| MR. SCHINDLER: [61] 2/15 | 36/22 38/1 38/2 41/6 60/12 | 200 [3] 42/17 42/18 42/19 | 8:41 [1] 104/19 |
| 3/7 3/16 3/18 16/12 16/15 | 61/7 65/15 66/9 66/10 66/11 | 2011 [1] 31/17 | |
| 24/17 25/16 26/23 34/7 34/15 | 66/11 66/13 66/24 67/2 67/3 | 2024 [1] 1/12 | 9 |
| 34/20 34/25 35/6 35/9 35/12 | 71/12 71/16 72/1 73/15 73/21 | 2028 [1] 105/18 | 900 [9] 79/16 79/21 81/1 |
| 37/25 38/10 59/16 62/14 63/2 | 73/22 81/7 84/20 98/12 | 21,000 [1] 41/16 | 93/17 94/1 94/1 94/12 101/7 |
| 63/5 63/9 63/15 63/19 63/22 | 1,048 [3] 66/7 85/25 86/4 | 22,000 [7] 41/25 42/1 42/3 | 101/7 |
| 63/25 64/5 66/10 66/16 68/5 | 1,200 [3] 33/10 33/14 72/16 | 67/9 67/16 78/20 79/2 | 900-some [1] 42/9 |
| 68/7 68/9 68/24 69/7 69/10 | 1,500 [14] 31/22 38/15 46/6 | 220 [1] 80/10 | 98 [1] 66/14 |
| 71/2 71/5 71/8 80/22 85/7 | 58/21 63/21 64/18 66/1 72/9 | 24/7 [1] 10/16 | |
| 85/13 85/15 87/24 88/21 | 86/12 86/13 95/2 101/9 | 3 | Α |
| 88/24 89/2 89/6 89/8 90/1 | 101/12 102/1 | | ability [2] 46/20 73/7 |
| 90/4 90/7 90/11 90/15 90/18 | 1,532 [62] 31/11 31/12 | 3,000 [9] 37/10 37/11 37/13 | able [6] 14/12 15/9 21/24 |
| 91/20 91/24 92/7 92/12 92/25 | 31/19 31/20 31/25 32/8 32/14 | 37/15 37/17 78/1 79/4 79/21 | 39/18 91/22 92/21 |
| 104/6 | 32/19 36/10 36/23 37/7 37/21 | 101/16 | above [1] 24/3 |
| MR. SERDINAK: [58] 7/2 | 38/6 40/6 43/7 43/15 43/15 | 3,200 [2] 77/16 77/22 | aboveground [1] 5/3 |
| 7/6 7/11 7/16 7/21 10/11 | 44/5 44/14 50/11 58/2 58/9 | 30 [5] 46/3 86/5 86/11 86/13 | absolutely [2] 23/3 104/5 |
| 10/14 10/25 11/3 11/5 11/10 | 58/11 59/1 59/1 59/4 61/6 | 88/1 | Abstain [1] 3/10 |
| 11/15 11/17 11/20 12/4 12/6 | 64/6 64/20 65/2 66/4 68/16 | 300 [3] 44/16 46/3 59/12 | abstention [1] 3/12 |
| 12/15 13/1 13/10 13/16 13/18 | 71/13 71/22 72/7 72/18 74/3 | 35 [1] 86/5 | abstentions [1] 25/24 |
| 14/4 14/19 14/23 15/5 15/18 | 74/16 74/17 74/18 74/25 81/3 | 35 feet [1] 52/24 | accessibility [1] 8/21 |
| 15/22 15/24 16/3 17/1 17/21 | 81/5 84/21 85/1 85/12 85/23 | 4 | accessible [1] 8/2 |
| 17/23 18/2 18/10 18/15 18/21 | 86/15 86/17 86/18 87/3 87/21 | 4 foot [1] 9/16 | accessories [1] 82/3 |
| 18/24 19/3 19/10 19/15 19/21 | 87/22 90/19 91/7 91/10 93/25 | 4,000 [5] 68/8 71/1 72/8 | accessory [36] 5/1 28/4 28/6 |
| 20/5 20/11 20/14 20/18 20/21 | 94/20 98/7 100/3 100/21 | 87/9 87/11 | 28/9 28/19 28/21 29/22 30/1 |
| 20/24 21/5 21/10 22/25 23/12 | 100/22 | 4,200 [1] 41/12 | 30/4 30/8 30/9 30/15 31/4 |
| 23/14 23/18 23/23 24/2 24/7 | 1,532 foot [1] 99/21 | 40 [1] 78/6 | 31/10 32/7 32/10 37/16 38/2 |
| 24/10 26/4 | 1,600 [2] 76/9 76/12 | 40,000 [1] 61/10 | 43/7 44/13 49/12 49/17 50/17 |
| MS. FREEMAN: [114] | 10 [5] 93/5 96/8 96/10 97/9 | 42 [1] 4/12 | 58/9 64/24 70/2 77/8 82/13 |
| MS. IDEN: [3] 22/3 22/6 | 97/9 | 43,560 [1] 41/15 | 97/10 97/11 97/24 98/20 |
| 22/15 | 100 [2] 52/23 80/10 | 44,000 [1] 41/14 | 98/23 99/14 100/23 103/19 |
| MS. JACKSON: [8] 21/20 | 12 [1] 4/25 | 44077 [1] 1/10 | accomplish [2] 92/4 92/23 |
| 22/18 22/21 26/5 26/9 26/13 | 135 [1] 22/3 | 48 [1] 66/16 | accomplished [3] 92/14 |
| 26/17 26/21 | 15 [2] 45/22 78/4 | 4th [1] 2/4 | 95/16 96/1 |
| MS. MATHENEY: [28] 24/21 | 15 feet [2] 52/5 80/3 | | accomplishing [1] 92/14 |
| 33/1 44/6 44/8 44/11 44/17 | 15.02 [2] 29/13 29/14 | 5 | achieve [1] 39/4 |
| 48/6 48/15 49/11 49/15 59/22 | | 50 [5] 78/6 86/5 86/11 86/13 | acknowledged [1] 58/1 |
| 60/3 64/21 65/2 65/6 82/2 | 150 [1] 21/22 | 88/1 | acre [68] 33/13 36/18 41/3 |
| 82/10 82/12 82/16 95/1 95/9 | 150 foot [1] 78/4 | 50 feet [1] 52/6 | 41/4 41/7 41/10 41/12 41/13 |
| 98/21 99/10 99/14 100/8 | 16 [2] 13/11 42/23 | 50 foot [1] 52/12 | 41/16 41/25 42/1 42/15 43/15 |
| 100/10 100/12 100/15 | 16-bed [1] 10/14 | 58 [2] 6/12 25/5 | 43/19 44/20 44/22 44/22 |
| THE STENOGRAPHER: [1] | 172 [1] 4/14 | 5th [1] 2/19 | 45/20 45/20 50/24 51/3 51/22 |
| 7/9 | 19 [1] 4/15 | | 61/9 61/17 61/20 62/23 64/9 |
| 1 | 1999 [1] 42/8 | 6 | 65/20 66/12 66/20 66/21 |
| | 2 | 632 [1] 94/2 | 66/22 66/24 67/3 67/5 67/8 |
| '99 [1] 38/2 | | | 67/11 68/4 73/15 73/20 73/20 |
| | 2,000 [10] 72/25 74/15 75/2 | 7 | 73/22 74/13 76/16 76/17 |
| 000 [4] 67/46 | 75/12 79/11 84/14 93/13 | 70 foot [2] 78/5 78/6 | 77/13 77/14 78/9 78/19 78/23 |
| ,000 [1] 67/16 | 93/16 94/11 99/20 | 70 percent [1] 78/10 | 80/10 83/11 84/15 85/20 |
| _ | 2,040 [2] 74/3 84/15 | 700 [1] 81/1 | 85/21 86/6 86/8 86/10 86/13 |
| 4 [3] 21/9 22/5 67/7 | 2,048 [18] 65/18 66/15 | 7229 [1] 1/9 | 87/6 87/8 93/23 93/24 94/21 |
| -4 [3] 31/8 32/6 67/7 | 71/12 71/13 71/17 71/25 72/5 | 7474 [1] 19/20 | 98/12 99/19 100/2 100/22 |
| -48 [1] 66/16 | 72/7 72/23 81/4 81/8 81/12 | 7480 [1] 6/14 | acreage [1] 54/15 |
| -6 [3] 31/8 32/6 67/7 | 81/18 84/6 85/14 87/12 90/23 | 78 [1] 6/14 | acres [38] 31/3 31/9 32/7 |
| -8 [4] 31/2 31/8 32/7 67/7 | 91/5 | 7:00 [1] 1/13 | 36/21 36/23 38/8 38/14 39/5 |

-98 [1] 66/14

2.096 [1] 66/14

R

| Α | Alice [1] 22/3 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| acres [30] 39/13 43/10 | allow [6] 56/16 60/13 69/15 |
| 45/7 45/17 50/18 60/9 63/16 | 70/16 70/24 91/9 |
| 63/18 64/23 65/14 65/18 | allowances [1] 75/22 |
| 65/22 66/13 66/25 67/10 | allowed [4] 29/2 36/22 |
| 67/22 68/7 68/9 69/1 71/7 | 47/12 50/18 |
| 71/18 73/23 76/18 78/24 | allowing [4] 33/18 70/9 |
| 88/12 88/18 89/17 91/1 95/3 | 70/19 92/15 |
| 98/9 | allows [4] 38/24 40/7 40/7 |
| act [1] 19/5 | 40/11 |
| | almost [2] 13/16 41/4 |
| action [1] 105/13 | along [4] 14/24 18/5 19/13 |
| activity [1] 4/11 | 56/22 |
| actual [2] 24/6 28/1 | already [7] 16/13 33/18 |
| actually [5] 12/9 13/20 | 45/16 48/13 52/2 53/2 92/11 |
| 29/12 29/16 80/25 | also [21] 1/22 6/14 8/23 |
| add [6] 9/14 22/23 44/5 | 17/5 17/7 28/1 28/11 29/13 |
| 63/11 70/6 95/2 | 29/19 38/7 40/10 40/21 43/8 |
| added [3] 37/19 40/24 70/4 | 43/14 70/11 73/11 75/7 81/20 |
| adding [1] 16/19 | 88/12 97/6 99/10 |
| addition [5] 37/12 51/23 | alter [1] 68/14 |
| 71/13 80/19 97/25 | alternate [2] 14/10 14/11 |
| additional [10] 21/24 22/22 | although [1] 71/10 |
| 23/2 35/18 53/25 62/4 62/5 | always [10] 34/7 34/15 |
| 70/5 72/4 91/23 | 35/15 46/1 47/3 53/25 62/17 |
| additions [1] 5/2 | 68/13 91/16 91/19 |
| address [7] 6/4 6/19 21/14 | am [6] 29/2 54/22 80/2 87/3 |
| 21/18 21/22 35/2 65/9 | 93/25 105/11 |
| addressed [2] 16/13 63/12 | amend [1] 28/18 |
| addressing [1] 39/24 | Amendment [1] 29/12 |
| adds [1] 70/7 | amendments [2] 27/2 27/22 |
| adhere [2] 21/7 21/25 | amount [3] 97/21 103/10 |
| adjacent [1] 45/22 | 103/11 |
| adjoining [1] 89/24 | amplify [1] 6/22 |
| adjourned [2] 104/16 | Andrew [1] 1/19 |
| 104/18 | Andy [3] 59/23 84/25 86/24 |
| adult [2] 6/13 10/15 | angst [1] 86/21 |
| advantage [1] 39/9 | anguish [1] 86/21 |
| aesthetic [1] 56/17 | another [13] 5/8 16/6 43/4 |
| aesthetic-looking [1] 56/17 | 45/23 46/16 48/11 51/23 |
| aesthetically [3] 55/20 56/3 | 57/16 84/14 88/22 88/22 |
| 101/18 | 100/22 102/6 |
| aesthetics [6] 9/9 56/22 | answer [2] 31/18 97/19 |
| 88/24 89/2 89/4 89/9 | antique [1] 62/21 |
| affect [1] 57/5 | anybody [5] 6/2 6/7 6/9 10/4 |
| affected [1] 48/10 | 104/15 |
| affirmative [1] 24/25 | anyone [1] 96/20 |
| afford [1] 14/11 | anything [6] 10/4 22/23 |
| after [1] 63/23 | 36/3 57/15 101/15 104/1 |
| ag [1] 45/18 | Appeals [3] 5/15 62/19 92/1 |
| again [17] 9/8 11/17 12/16 | appear [1] 20/3 |
| 24/3 35/7 39/19 45/17 51/1 | appetite [1] 103/12 |
| 68/15 70/20 71/6 84/18 87/21 | application [5] 5/17 6/5 |
| 88/14 91/15 92/3 92/11 | 6/11 25/5 25/25 |
| against [3] 19/8 80/2 101/12 | applications [2] 4/13 5/14 |
| agencies [2] 17/3 22/14 | apply [3] 42/14 43/1 46/14 |
| agenda [11] 2/5 3/14 4/5 | appreciate [9] 6/17 22/20 |
| 25/4 27/1 57/18 64/15 103/15 | 26/7 26/9 26/21 41/1 55/10 |
| 103/21 104/2 104/16 | 55/13 62/6 |
| ago [2] 50/16 62/21 | approached [1] 27/9 |
| agree [8] 21/7 21/10 22/10 | |
| 33/1 36/2 43/7 45/12 101/19 | appropriate [1] 12/16 |
| !IF47 4F/12 | approval [3] 2/18 17/12 |
| agricultural [1] 45/13 | 01/10 |
| ahead [3] 65/13 82/14 93/20 | 91/19 |
| | approve [4] 2/24 25/2 25/5 |
| ahead [3] 65/13 82/14 93/20 | 1 |

approved [4] 3/13 4/12 5/15 | 51/19 52/8 52/12 26/1 approximately [1] 101/7 apron [6] 9/7 24/1 24/5 24/6 24/6 24/7 **arbitrarily** [1] 68/16 **arbitrary [1]** 40/5 **architect [1]** 7/3 architectural [2] 11/2 18/13 area [44] 20/16 27/21 31/3 31/9 32/12 32/14 33/4 33/11 33/20 34/8 34/11 34/16 34/22 34/23 35/1 35/14 35/16 35/21 35/25 36/4 36/11 36/11 36/25 37/4 40/11 64/23 75/8 76/22 77/10 81/23 82/6 83/2 83/3 83/5 83/6 83/21 83/24 93/14 94/9 94/11 94/15 94/17 96/7 7 81/20 99/20 **areas [2]** 45/18 45/21 **Aren't [1]** 100/14 around [4] 8/18 37/23 54/24 65/15 **artfully [1]** 44/12 as-needed [2] 23/12 23/13 ask [3] 33/24 53/9 55/17 asked [2] 65/12 95/7 **asphalt [5]** 19/14 23/8 23/9 23/10 24/1 **assessed [3]** 69/16 69/17 70/3 associated [1] 22/14 **assume** [1] 6/8 **assurance** [1] 40/12 **attach [1]** 80/20 attached [39] 27/16 27/21 28/9 30/5 32/10 36/5 36/6 36/9 37/3 39/18 51/4 52/1 75/1 75/3 75/4 75/6 75/11 75/11 75/25 76/15 76/22 77/8 92/5 93/12 93/16 94/5 94/6 94/6 94/7 94/13 94/14 94/24 96/2 97/2 97/10 97/15 98/19 99/21 101/1 5/9 10/4 attachment [1] 37/15 attendance [2] 6/16 53/14 **attention** [1] 53/15 **attorney** [1] 65/5 **Auburn [3]** 6/14 19/20 22/4 **audience** [1] 6/3 August [3] 103/3 103/4 103/6 away [4] 56/20 69/4 92/21 101/2 aye [5] 3/4 3/5 3/6 3/7 3/8 ayes [2] 3/11 25/23 back [24] 8/6 9/1 9/15 9/25 11/21 11/23 38/5 41/2 52/25 53/1 53/4 62/22 63/1 63/2

backhoes [1] 57/3

85/21 63/2 71/8 80/4 80/6 80/19 80/20 80/21 93/4 96/13 99/16 backyard [5] 8/18 51/5 **board [11]** 5/15 21/14 24/15

bad [1] 42/2 balance [2] 40/15 70/21 **Bank [1]** 5/18 barn [2] 55/20 55/20 **barnominium** [1] 55/19 **barns** [1] 45/16 **barriers** [1] 12/16 **base [3]** 14/11 23/9 69/15 **based [8]** 8/23 17/12 23/18 36/13 40/1 90/25 96/13 97/25 basement [9] 14/6 14/8 14/12 14/13 15/2 15/4 15/8 15/16 15/20 basically [8] 8/11 15/12 17/11 28/3 28/6 52/10 62/3 96/9 because [35] 6/24 11/21 12/23 28/18 33/25 36/9 36/14 36/16 37/21 39/17 45/25 47/25 49/3 57/25 59/2 62/1 65/19 68/10 68/24 70/23 74/9 76/1 81/21 83/15 84/24 86/19 90/8 91/11 92/4 96/17 97/9 98/12 100/24 102/10 103/24 **become [1]** 70/18 bed [2] 10/14 103/7 **bedrooms** [1] 83/25 beds [2] 9/19 13/11 **been [17]** 4/1 6/12 14/5 21/8 22/13 25/25 38/1 45/21 47/5 53/21 65/15 66/7 66/12 84/11 95/15 95/17 95/18 **before [2]** 31/16 31/17 **behind [5]** 55/25 56/1 80/14 90/6 90/17 **being [11]** 10/24 12/2 27/18 39/6 40/3 40/10 47/21 78/8 82/24 85/8 92/24 believe [2] 24/10 42/25 **Bell [2]** 5/14 5/18 **Bells [1]** 5/14 beside [1] 80/24 **better [4]** 19/2 51/20 61/4 between [5] 5/18 48/20 50/20 51/12 70/9 **bid** [1] 14/11 **bids** [1] 15/6 big [20] 11/6 31/20 31/25 37/10 37/14 38/6 38/16 39/1 42/4 44/23 46/21 50/25 55/25 69/5 70/14 73/5 84/2 86/4 90/4 104/6 bigger [10] 32/3 37/5 66/1 72/13 72/16 74/25 76/2 76/11 101/3 101/4 **bill [1]** 70/6 Bingo [1] 65/4 bit [18] 8/7 8/19 8/19 9/5 9/6 9/24 10/18 10/21 11/23 15/10 15/13 29/10 39/11 40/8 85/19 91/12 91/15 96/12 **blade [2]** 56/1 56/2

| | 21/10 27/21 27/24 41/6 50/2 | -la-a [3] 11/16 00/1 00/7 | |
|---|---|--|---|
| <u>B</u> | 31/19 37/21 37/24 41/6 58/2 84/10 | close [3] 11/16 80/1 88/7 Coast [1] 7/7 | continue [2] 33/21 84/12 continuing [1] 28/22 |
| board [8] 27/10 27/12 34/6 | can't [21] 31/17 32/2 32/18 | coffers [1] 70/7 | contractors [2] 29/7 33/24 |
| 50/10 62/19 69/12 91/6 92/1 | 32/23 32/24 34/3 44/5 44/13 | coincide [1] 29/19 | conversation [5] 46/14 |
| board's [1] 90/22 | 62/23 69/10 72/10 72/16 | collect [1] 38/21 | 92/10 95/18 95/21 95/25 |
| Boats [1] 38/23 | 73/14 74/7 74/20 75/24 76/11 | collection [1] 55/1 | conversations [1] 54/13 |
| bogey [1] 91/10 | 76/21 80/11 84/15 92/19 | combined [5] 59/1 64/18 | conveyance [1] 19/5 |
| boil [1] 62/17 | cannot [3] 82/4 82/5 97/2 | 64/25 81/21 82/10 | copy [1] 16/24 |
| both [3] 32/18 63/5 100/6 | Canterbury [1] 84/4 | combining [1] 94/24 | corner [3] 9/15 20/1 52/25 |
| bounce [1] 85/14 | canvas [3] 48/23 48/24 | come [18] 6/7 10/20 10/20 | corners [2] 17/20 18/19 |
| boy [1] 65/11 | 48/25 | 15/6 21/13 21/17 31/12 33/22 | Cornpopper [1] 45/15 |
| break [2] 4/20 14/20 | canvas-sided [1] 48/25 | 36/25 40/12 46/12 52/14 | correct [16] 34/23 34/24 |
| breakdown [2] 4/23 5/9 | cap [1] 64/6 | 71/21 71/23 74/4 76/20 95/17 | 35/6 35/22 37/16 41/18 61/21 |
| breezeway [1] 40/22 | caption [1] 105/10 | 102/15 | 63/9 88/5 89/6 92/12 98/21 |
| Bridey [5] 1/24 32/17 59/19 96/16 102/19 | car [28] 42/5 42/6 42/9 51/4 | comes [2] 47/14 95/19 | 99/22 100/5 100/24 105/8 |
| brief [1] 4/10 | 51/4 51/5 51/23 52/1 52/13 | coming [4] 16/6 22/19 26/6 | correctly [2] 34/21 35/20 |
| bring [2] 11/5 96/13 | 53/1 53/2 62/24 79/14 79/18 | 56/5 | correlate [1] 66/2 |
| bringing [1] 4/14 | 80/25 83/16 94/12 97/22 | comments [10] 17/5 17/5 | correspondence [1] 3/14 |
| brings [1] 45/24 | 101/7 101/11 101/15 101/16 | 17/7 24/18 24/20 40/20 50/10 | could [54] 2/6 6/17 10/10 |
| brought [4] 27/11 39/7 | 102/2 102/2 102/2 102/3 | 50/11 53/21 85/6 | 12/1 14/1 16/4 28/9 30/5 30/6 |
| 53/15 60/5 | 102/4 102/7 | COMMISSION [5] 1/2 1/18 | 30/14 33/14 33/18 33/20 34/4 |
| budget [1] 15/5 | care [1] 52/19 | 2/3 6/4 105/18 | 34/18 37/19 43/10 43/19 |
| build [22] 9/3 33/9 40/9 | careful [3] 10/19 47/16 | commissioned [1] 105/7 | 44/19 46/12 46/23 50/19 58/6 |
| 40/13 47/8 56/17 56/19 56/23 | 47/17 | common [3] 67/6 67/12 | 58/8 58/9 58/10 58/23 58/24 |
| 60/24 70/9 72/3 72/4 72/8 | carport [1] 49/15 | 104/10 | 59/3 59/12 59/14 59/23 61/4 |
| 83/18 89/12 91/12 91/13 | carports [4] 48/23 48/24 | compelled [1] 91/18 | 68/4 68/6 71/3 71/18 74/24 |
| 93/25 101/6 101/25 102/6 | 48/25 49/24 carried [1] 48/7 | complete [1] 105/8 | 75/2 75/11 75/14 75/18 76/4 81/22 88/18 91/16 98/14 |
| 102/7 | cars [7] 38/23 53/4 62/21 | completely [2] 51/18 91/21 completion [1] 54/9 | 98/15 98/19 99/20 101/6 |
| builder [1] 35/3 | 75/23 101/9 101/13 102/11 | compliant [1] 48/9 | 102/12 102/21 102/25 |
| builders [1] 35/17 | carwash [1] 53/6 | comply [4] 32/18 45/7 48/12 | couldn't [1] 84/2 |
| building [109] | case [1] 95/5 | 49/12 | counsel [3] 1/24 24/20 |
| buildings [47] 5/1 31/10 | category [1] 51/1 | compromise [1] 87/1 | 105/12 |
| 33/17 43/7 43/11 43/22 43/25 | causal [1] 70/8 | concentrated [1] 57/6 | COUNTY [4] 1/3 17/6 69/13 |
| 43/25 44/5 44/13 46/4 46/7 | CBLH [1] 7/3 | concern [3] 38/13 39/6 71/1 | 105/3 |
| 48/2 48/4 50/4 50/17 50/20 | CEO [1] 21/25 | | couple [8] 5/4 5/13 18/17 |
| 54/13 58/4 58/15 58/17 58/18 | certain [2] 45/18 56/21 | 2/3 5/6 17/7 33/10 41/3 45/3 | 28/23 40/20 50/16 62/21 |
| 58/19 59/5 59/13 62/5 64/2 | certainly [2] 55/15 57/4 | 45/6 67/13 | 72/22 |
| 64/6 64/18 64/20 64/24 68/12 | CERTIFICATE [1] 105/1 | concrete [2] 20/10 24/1 | course [2] 9/7 22/21 |
| 70/10 70/19 71/3 72/3 81/10 | certify [2] 105/7 105/11 | condensed [1] 17/10 | Court [2] 22/4 78/9 |
| 81/12 81/18 82/4 82/5 82/12 | cetera [1] 20/4 | condition [1] 17/18 | Coventry [1] 22/4 |
| 84/25 85/8 87/21 90/20 91/9 | Chair [2] 1/19 1/19 | conditional [4] 17/12 22/1 | cover [1] 48/18 |
| builds [1] 88/2 built [7] 34/1 38/2 39/17 | Chairman [9] 3/16 3/20 3/22 | 29/16 29/18 | coverage [2] 51/9 78/10 |
| 42/8 62/21 78/9 83/16 | 13/4 23/1 25/1 40/18 93/1 | Conditionally [1] 25/3 | covered [2] 19/22 49/22 |
| bulldozer [1] 55/3 | 99/16 | conditions [7] 17/13 17/16 | crawl [1] 14/10 |
| bulldozers [1] 55/3 | chance [2] 12/12 12/22 | 21/8 21/25 22/12 25/6 62/3 | crazy [1] 75/13 |
| bullet [2] 18/17 19/25 | change [5] 7/8 15/10 81/4 | condos [1] 82/20 | Crile [1] 5/19 |
| burning [1] 50/12 | 100/10 100/15 | conduct [1] 99/2 | Crossroads [4] 6/12 7/6 |
| business [10] 5/6 5/8 6/10 | changed [2] 99/10 99/12 | configuration [1] 62/2 | 21/21 22/7 |
| 26/25 55/2 55/23 103/9 | changes [4] 27/23 28/23 | conflict [2] 32/4 35/22 | current [3] 6/14 14/4 100/12 |
| 103/13 103/15 103/25 | 30/23 57/14 | conformance [1] 16/21 | currently [3] 9/9 11/24 |
| businesses [1] 56/13 | changing [3] 11/13 29/22 | confused [2] 100/17 100/18 | 12/19 |
| buy [1] 52/22 | 98/18 | conjunction [1] 34/16 | curve [1] 20/23 |
| buying [1] 47/11 | channel [1] 19/5 Chief [2] 21/21 22/6 | consequences [1] 46/1 conservation [3] 42/15 | customarily [1] 99/2 cut [1] 70/12 |
| BZA [12] 5/12 46/25 47/15 | chime [1] 32/17 | 42/21 80/6 | Cuyahoga [1] 105/3 |
| 60/18 60/22 61/4 62/4 63/8 | choice [1] 52/21 | consider [4] 3/13 27/12 81/3 | |
| 63/12 63/24 91/16 91/19 | Chris [3] 53/17 53/18 53/19 | 104/16 | <u></u> |
| C | clarify [3] 57/22 59/2 64/17 | consideration [1] 23/25 | D |
| | clarifying [1] 29/15 | considerations [1] 9/10 | dashed [3] 11/7 11/7 16/1 |
| call [3] 2/3 2/6 25/12 | clean [4] 8/11 9/4 11/7 | considered [2] 49/11 73/1 | date [1] 4/14 |
| called [1] 5/8 | 23/21 | consistent [2] 35/15 39/3 | deal [2] 44/23 69/13 |
| calling [1] 7/7 | clear [4] 96/12 97/1 97/7 | construct [1] 60/17 | dealing [2] 39/6 74/11 |
| calls [1] 55/19 | 97/13 | construction [3] 15/7 43/17 | decades [1] 65/17 |
| came [9] 27/9 31/15 31/18 | clearly [1] 6/24 | 49/9 | decent [2] 12/11 12/22 |

49/9

clearly [1] 6/24

decent [2] 12/11 12/22

| D | distinction [1] 48/20 | eight [4] 13/8 13/10 56/2 | exceeds [2] 30/15 62/18 |
|---|--|---|--|
| decide [1] 91/14 | distinguish [1] 62/1 | 60/10 | excess [2] 30/2 34/10 |
| decided [1] 15/3 | distinguishing [1] 60/8 | either [7] 15/6 23/20 35/8 | excited [1] 26/17 |
| decision [1] 21/9 | district [4] 42/15 42/21 | 37/25 90/13 90/23 105/12 | excuse [3] 2/21 14/25 82/18 |
| decks [2] 4/22 5/2 | 64/11 64/22 districts [5] 27/3 31/9 41/3 | elected [1] 62/7 element [1] 56/22 | Executive [1] 21/21 |
| deemed [1] 4/3 | 64/22 103/23 | elevations [1] 17/20 | exempt [1] 45/12 existence [1] 48/8 |
| deep [4] 16/4 77/25 78/6 | disturbance [1] 20/13 | eleven [1] 5/1 | existing [13] 8/14 9/13 11/8 |
| 80/10 | dive [1] 33/23 | eliminate [2] 30/24 72/11 | 11/14 12/4 15/21 16/19 17/18 |
| deeper [3] 8/6 80/7 80/9 | doesn't [8] 33/25 44/20 57/5 | eliminating [1] 28/5 | 19/1 28/5 33/7 45/14 92/6 |
| definitely [3] 47/14 50/2 | 59/6 68/21 70/20 94/15 94/16 | | exists [2] 9/9 11/24 |
| 54/8 | doing [9] 7/19 8/15 10/13 | 103/9 104/1 104/14 | Expires [1] 105/18 |
| definition [7] 28/4 28/11 28/18 40/22 49/2 49/15 99/13 | 33/16 38/12 41/8 45/11 52/15 | enclosed [1] 49/17 | explain [1] 14/1 |
| definitions [10] 27/2 27/24 | 54/22 | enclosure [1] 9/4 | explaining [1] 7/18 |
| 28/3 28/6 29/3 29/3 29/19 | don't [57] 6/8 6/9 16/24 | end [7] 9/21 12/12 12/20 | expose [1] 59/20 |
| 42/25 98/18 98/22 | 21/12 22/11 29/2 32/17 34/11 | | extending [1] 20/1 |
| demolished [1] 18/1 | 35/20 36/2 37/11 37/23 37/25 | ended [1] 58/7 | extent [2] 9/12 12/7 |
| Department [3] 17/6 17/8 | 39/5 39/14 39/23 40/1 43/16 | enforce [1] 98/19 | extra [4] 70/9 70/19 88/15 |
| 22/13 | 43/21 43/24 44/2 44/24 45/7 | enforced [1] 50/3 engineer [1] 67/20 | 102/16 extraordinary [1] 101/15 |
| depending [6] 15/6 15/7 | 46/1 47/22 49/7 51/2 51/16 52/19 54/19 55/5 55/17 61/25 | | |
| 23/23 50/8 61/2 81/1 | 68/11 68/15 70/12 70/22 | enough [2] 16/4 83/20 | F |
| derivation [1] 68/15 | 72/19 72/20 76/1 77/4 77/23 | entertain [1] 24/24 | face [2] 9/15 9/16 |
| derives [1] 43/16 | 82/18 83/4 84/10 84/23 85/14 | | fact [1] 38/12 |
| describe [2] 10/10 12/1 | 86/20 87/11 89/20 94/23 | entire [1] 51/18 | fair [1] 40/15 |
| described [2] 11/13 84/13 Design [1] 7/3 | 101/14 101/16 101/17 101/24 | entrance [1] 24/6 | fairly [1] 83/14 |
| designed [2] 28/22 99/15 | 102/10 103/12 | environment [1] 85/18 | family [5] 27/18 27/19 30/11 |
| detached [36] 28/7 28/10 | done [5] 12/3 13/2 97/18 | envision [1] 47/20 | 89/1 99/6 |
| 28/19 30/7 31/4 31/10 32/7 | 103/18 103/23 | equate [1] 68/21 | far [3] 4/21 54/12 103/8 |
| 32/10 33/14 36/9 37/3 39/4 | door [2] 42/10 90/13 | equipment [1] 55/1 | farm [4] 44/25 45/1 45/6 |
| 43/7 43/9 47/7 47/9 58/8 | double [4] 23/15 66/18 | erected [1] 30/1 | 45/15 |
| 64/24 67/1 74/24 75/3 75/5 | 67/24 71/20 | erecting [1] 49/21 | farms [3] 45/3 45/5 45/14 father [1] 80/21 |
| 75/19 75/25 76/14 76/15 | doubled [1] 71/19 doubling [2] 81/7 81/7 | erosion [1] 19/9 err [1] 56/19 | favor [2] 3/3 70/14 |
| 76/23 77/8 82/3 93/12 94/8 | doubt [1] 55/21 | especially [1] 8/18 | feel [3] 85/16 89/5 91/17 |
| 94/16 94/18 94/24 97/6 100/3 | down [14] 4/20 9/1 9/25 | Esq [1] 1/24 | feet [57] 31/6 31/11 31/13 |
| details [2] 20/9 23/8 | 15/14 17/10 32/9 46/5 50/1 | essentially [4] 8/7 9/8 12/13 | |
| determine [1] 20/16 | 50/7 62/17 83/15 85/24 | 82/22 | 33/10 34/2 35/19 36/18 36/22 |
| development [2] 62/20 70/5 did [16] 5/13 16/5 16/22 | 102/20 102/20 | et [1] 20/4 | 37/11 37/19 38/15 41/7 41/12 |
| 31/12 39/10 39/18 41/20 | downspouts [1] 20/4 | et cetera [1] 20/4 | 41/17 42/5 42/9 42/19 50/24 |
| 48/17 59/23 67/17 71/18 | drain [1] 20/4 | even [11] 8/12 13/19 36/1 | 52/5 52/6 52/23 52/24 58/21 |
| 71/22 75/9 79/13 79/15 86/14 | drawings [1] 10/23 | 41/7 55/25 64/11 72/24 83/20 | |
| didn't [5] 11/5 24/11 33/16 | drive [3] 21/22 54/24 80/20 | 86/8 86/10 87/21 | 63/21 64/20 65/2 65/24 65/25 |
| 35/8 37/3 | driveway [4] 24/6 24/7 | evening [14] 2/2 2/5 4/9 | 67/10 68/8 72/22 73/1 75/12 |
| difference [2] 20/17 36/14 | 56/15 78/11 due [1] 78/17 | 4/10 5/22 6/3 6/4 26/22 27/10 27/23 53/14 53/21 75/1 103/8 | |
| different [13] 7/23 42/22 | duly [1] 105/7 | event [1] 105/13 | 87/9 93/17 94/13 97/21 101/8 |
| 43/1 43/2 46/10 49/8 61/18 | dumpster [1] 19/18 | Ever [1] 65/16 | fence [3] 9/14 18/5 24/3 |
| 62/11 62/12 62/13 69/17 83/9 | duplex [1] 89/1 | every [1] 13/11 | fences [2] 4/22 5/1 |
| 96/11 | dwelling [14] 4/16 27/18 | everybody [2] 88/2 88/6 | few [1] 27/23 |
| differentiate [1] 19/1 difficult [1] 10/23 | 27/19 30/5 30/6 30/11 34/16 | everything [5] 22/9 22/12 | fifteen [4] 37/18 79/12 84/22 |
| diligent [1] 104/4 | 35/1 35/14 49/17 75/8 75/8 | 34/10 91/2 103/9 | 98/7 |
| direct [2] 19/6 19/18 | 81/23 99/7 | exact [1] 41/15 | fifty [2] 31/21 86/16 |
| direction [1] 57/11 | dwellings [2] 4/15 27/16 | exactly [5] 11/8 11/14 31/6 | figure [2] 29/1 31/14 |
| Director [1] 1/23 | E | 63/25 68/24 | figures [2] 34/3 36/17 |
| Director/Zoning [1] 1/23 | each [9] 44/14 58/25 82/2 | example [1] 95/9 | fill [1] 12/21 filter [1] 20/10 |
| disappointed [1] 26/15 | 82/4 82/5 82/12 82/13 89/12 | examples [1] 70/24 exceed [26] 30/9 31/5 31/11 | |
| discern [2] 10/23 61/4 | 90/6 | 32/8 32/11 32/18 32/23 32/24 | |
| discounting [1] 88/19 | earlier [1] 80/18 | 35/16 37/3 58/11 58/20 59/4 | fine [5] 16/13 63/15 63/19 |
| discuss [1] 104/1 | easement [1] 80/6 | 64/18 64/20 64/24 77/9 81/11 | |
| discussed [1] 104/14 | easier [2] 21/9 29/11 | 81/12 81/22 82/5 93/13 94/8 | finish [3] 14/13 15/9 41/11 |
| discussion [2] 27/9 27/13 | edge [3] 9/5 19/14 23/14 | 94/14 94/16 97/2 | finished [3] 17/20 18/19 |
| distance [3] 50/20 51/12 61/3 | egregious [1] 92/22 | exceeding [3] 34/9 34/22 | 26/3 |
| J1/3 | egress [1] 8/22 | 100/25 | fire [2] 17/8 18/8 |
| | | | |

forty [4] 31/24 77/25 79/12 **gets [1]** 70/3 first [29] 8/1 14/1 14/14 **getting [7]** 5/16 33/19 41/2 86/7 15/11 15/11 32/3 32/15 32/22 **forty foot [1]** 77/25 69/20 71/8 79/25 100/19 34/17 36/4 58/1 72/8 73/13 forty-four [1] 31/24 gigantic [1] 39/16 74/7 74/20 75/4 75/8 75/24 give [8] 6/18 15/13 26/8 forward [4] 9/17 26/1 26/2 76/22 77/20 77/21 82/23 53/20 55/15 62/7 67/24 74/23 83/17 83/21 83/22 85/8 96/6 foundation [2] 15/20 15/21 gives [4] 64/3 72/2 81/8 96/7 100/25 four [26] 3/11 12/19 13/11 91/11 fits [2] 101/21 101/23 giving [2] 54/4 67/16 13/11 13/12 13/12 19/24 five [20] 5/1 20/8 25/23 31/24 34/2 35/18 43/11 51/4 go [40] 6/24 15/3 15/16 29/7 96/18 31/24 41/19 41/20 41/23 56/1 61/23 65/19 66/19 66/20 34/18 35/20 38/25 46/5 46/23 44/19 44/22 45/7 45/17 46/3 67/23 68/6 87/14 101/11 54/9 56/22 59/24 60/21 63/23 53/4 59/12 71/7 87/7 87/8 101/15 101/16 102/3 102/4 65/1 65/11 65/13 67/21 68/6 88/18 101/9 101/13 102/7 71/12 72/16 73/14 74/7 74/20 five percent [3] 41/19 41/20 **four-car [7]** 51/4 101/11 75/24 76/8 76/11 76/21 80/11 87/7 101/15 101/16 102/3 102/4 80/14 81/1 82/14 85/5 87/8 **flexibility [3]** 64/4 91/12 102/7 87/11 87/12 90/23 91/19 92/15 fourth [1] 102/12 93/20 99/16 **flipping [2]** 28/15 47/10 framework [1] 33/19 **goes [5]** 14/23 51/9 62/18 floor [41] 8/1 8/1 11/6 14/2 Frank [4] 1/21 3/15 85/6 62/24 103/9 14/2 14/9 14/15 15/11 15/12 going [31] 12/20 15/3 23/20 87/6 98/12 90/9 15/25 17/20 32/3 32/15 32/22 Frank's [1] 70/25 23/21 26/14 27/19 33/9 36/2 34/17 36/4 37/4 72/8 73/13 **frankly [2]** 90/21 103/12 39/22 40/12 45/15 45/19 48/9 74/8 74/21 75/4 75/8 75/24 Freeman [1] 1/23 50/8 52/7 52/12 52/15 60/10 76/22 77/20 77/21 78/2 81/23 freestanding [1] 36/8 62/17 67/21 68/25 69/2 74/10 82/7 82/23 82/24 82/24 83/5 85/24 87/21 89/12 90/9 90/17 **frequently [1]** 47/15 83/7 83/17 83/22 96/6 96/7 front [8] 7/12 8/19 9/15 9/18 91/9 96/11 102/21 97/3 100/25 27/22 53/3 57/3 75/1 good [57] 2/2 4/2 4/4 4/9 **flooring [1]** 8/17 **front-end** [1] 57/3 5/11 5/25 16/14 16/17 16/18 **flow [1]** 19/20 full [8] 2/5 14/6 14/12 14/13 16/20 17/22 18/14 19/11 focus [2] 51/15 90/3 92/11 15/4 15/8 15/16 15/19 19/16 19/23 20/6 20/19 20/25 folks [2] 21/11 54/25 **fully [1]** 8/1 22/16 22/17 24/10 26/1 26/2 **follow [1]** 91/2 **function [5]** 10/1 10/10 26/13 26/21 36/1 39/20 39/24 **food [1]** 69/11 40/16 45/25 54/4 64/1 64/14 10/12 14/14 15/11 **fool [2]** 39/21 39/22 further [4] 27/13 34/5 81/21 64/16 67/25 67/25 72/21 fooled [1] 39/23 105/11 79/23 84/6 90/19 90/20 91/5 **foot [49]** 9/14 9/16 32/22 91/23 92/16 92/24 95/7 95/13 future [1] 9/2 33/14 37/12 37/13 37/15 95/17 95/24 95/25 95/25 37/17 41/4 44/16 46/3 46/3 103/6 103/10 103/22 103/24 46/6 47/25 52/12 59/13 71/1 game [1] 83/22 104/8 104/9 72/9 72/9 72/15 72/16 73/5 **Gantverg [2]** 105/5 105/16 **goofy** [1] 71/23 74/14 75/10 75/10 75/14 76/5 garage [69] 27/21 28/12 got [45] 2/4 3/11 33/25 **haven't [1]** 15/3 76/6 77/22 77/25 78/1 78/4 28/12 29/2 30/17 33/14 36/7 36/11 38/14 38/19 39/13 having [4] 12/20 40/5 49/16 78/5 78/5 78/6 78/6 78/6 79/2 37/3 37/16 42/6 42/6 42/9 96/17 39/16 46/2 46/12 46/19 46/19 79/4 79/21 93/14 93/16 99/20 47/7 47/13 51/4 51/5 51/23 51/8 51/12 54/16 55/18 55/22 99/21 100/3 100/21 101/12 52/1 52/13 53/1 62/24 72/9 55/25 59/16 61/21 64/15 101/16 102/1 72/13 75/6 75/11 75/11 75/15 97/20 98/9 65/22 66/4 69/19 70/24 71/11 footage [30] 18/12 32/9 76/1 76/7 76/14 79/6 79/8 71/16 71/17 73/6 73/6 74/9 32/12 35/25 36/4 36/11 36/24 79/9 79/14 79/18 79/22 80/17 76/19 79/3 79/20 80/16 82/17 40/2 48/1 54/14 60/12 62/15 80/19 82/22 83/5 83/16 84/2 84/16 90/2 91/14 91/18 97/20 63/10 72/8 73/13 75/4 75/25 93/17 94/4 94/5 94/12 97/2 98/12 97/20 98/12 100/2 104/9 77/7 77/10 78/15 81/22 82/5 97/10 97/15 97/22 98/1 98/19 **heading [1]** 57/10 **gotten [1]** 50/6 82/6 85/9 94/7 94/8 94/14 99/11 99/13 99/21 100/4 grade [3] 8/16 8/17 8/20 94/17 100/25 103/19 100/21 101/1 101/3 101/7 **grades [4]** 17/20 18/19 19/1 footers [1] 20/4 101/11 101/15 101/17 102/1 19/13 **footprint [11]** 17/19 32/3 102/2 102/4 102/7 102/11 grading [1] 18/18 46/16 54/14 73/7 77/15 77/21 102/13 22/7 51/13 grand [1] 56/11 78/1 79/4 84/13 88/7 garages [3] 27/15 47/8 96/3 great [3] 9/12 40/6 95/9 **forefront [1]** 39/8 **gave [1]** 17/3 greater [6] 50/18 65/18 foregoing [2] 105/8 105/10 hearing [5] 57/18 103/1 **gazebos** [1] 51/19 65/21 66/13 67/22 74/11 **forestry [1]** 62/2 green [4] 16/7 68/13 69/24 **generally** [1] 49/3 **forever [2]** 66/8 84/11 generated [2] 69/21 70/11 78/15 forget [1] 53/16 **generating** [1] 69/25 ground [14] 7/23 8/1 14/2 forgot [1] 40/25 **gentleman [1]** 53/14 14/15 15/25 32/12 35/25

forth [1] 21/8

F

77/10 82/6 82/24 83/5 83/6 97/3 104/10 group [3] 6/13 10/14 10/15 **grovel [1]** 102/16 **guess [7]** 10/16 24/23 33/12 37/20 61/25 68/11 82/18 guide [1] 91/24 **guideline** [1] 40/3 **guy [3]** 39/16 46/2 70/14 **guys [4]** 33/22 50/20 64/13

gentlemen [2] 54/4 55/8

half [39] 41/3 41/3 41/7 41/10 41/16 41/25 42/1 45/19 50/24 51/3 51/22 61/17 62/22 64/9 65/20 66/21 66/22 66/24 67/3 67/4 67/8 67/11 68/4 73/15 73/20 73/22 76/16 77/13 77/14 78/9 78/19 78/23 80/9 83/11 85/21 86/6 87/2 **Hall [1]** 1/8 **hammer [1]** 103/20 hamstringing [1] 91/22 handle [2] 33/19 57/19 hang [1] 26/11 **hangar** [1] 40/13 **happen** [1] 85/3 happened [1] 92/11 happening [3] 39/19 92/9 happens [1] 70/23 happy [3] 10/3 27/7 95/20 hard [3] 46/10 59/10 59/21 hardly [1] 83/4 hardship [1] 91/17 **has [18]** 14/4 25/25 33/10 38/1 39/7 39/16 48/8 55/18 57/12 59/8 59/11 62/20 65/15 66/7 66/12 80/21 91/25 95/15 **Hash [1]** 82/9

he [9] 39/18 39/18 53/5 55/23 62/18 62/20 62/21

he'd [2] 98/6 98/11 he's [9] 55/22 55/24 64/9 64/10 64/10 73/16 94/5 97/20

headings [1] 29/20 headway [2] 103/22 103/24 **heady [1]** 54/15 **health [5]** 6/13 7/6 21/21 **health/safety [1]** 51/13 hear [2] 35/8 54/17

103/5 103/21 104/16 **Heather [19]** 1/23 2/6 4/6 4/17 24/18 25/12 27/5 40/21 43/16 51/20 54/5 57/12 58/1

| | T | T | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Н | hundred [1] 37/18 | instance [1] 101/11 | know [78] 4/21 7/14 13/1 |
| Heather [6] 61/2 93/14 | hurt [1] 70/20 | instead [3] 24/1 40/4 102/8 | 16/24 21/11 21/12 26/13 |
| 102/16 102/17 103/15 104/4 | I | intend [1] 14/7 | 27/17 31/20 32/17 33/13 |
| height [4] 30/1 30/10 30/15 | | intended [1] 46/1 | 37/24 39/4 39/8 39/17 39/21 |
| 39/2 | I'd [16] 2/2 27/7 31/16 47/16 | intent [4] 44/9 92/3 92/9 | 40/2 40/15 43/16 44/24 45/11 |
| hell [1] 71/22 | 47/17 53/7 54/16 56/19 57/6 | 98/17 | 45/15 45/25 46/2 46/4 46/9 |
| help [2] 21/9 43/17 | 96/16 102/4 102/4 102/7 | intention [6] 8/10 8/15 12/6 | 47/22 48/7 48/23 48/24 50/3 |
| helps [1] 40/4 | 102/15 103/13 104/3 | 12/8 24/2 92/23 | 50/8 50/16 50/23 51/2 51/8 |
| Hemp [1] 5/7 | I'll [6] 3/2 25/1 25/10 55/8 | interested [2] 54/16 105/12 | 53/10 53/13 54/5 54/15 54/24 |
| her [1] 104/4 | 93/11 99/16 | interesting [2] 26/15 53/22 | 54/25 55/6 55/11 55/25 56/22 |
| here [38] 2/9 2/11 2/13 2/15 | I'm [61] 7/2 7/2 7/9 10/3 | interrupting [1] 82/19 | 57/1 57/6 57/12 61/3 63/20 |
| 2/17 2/23 8/25 9/4 14/8 21/12 | 10/8 15/1 16/13 21/20 22/3 | introduce [1] 7/1 | 68/15 69/12 70/8 70/13 70/20 |
| 21/23 23/6 23/14 24/16 26/15 | 22/6 22/7 28/15 31/14 31/18 | intrusive [2] 39/11 39/15 | 70/23 72/19 72/20 73/6 74/10 |
| 27/4 27/10 29/23 30/24 31/16 | 35/5 35/9 35/12 36/20 40/23 | inverts [1] 20/3 | 77/23 78/4 81/4 83/14 84/10 |
| 32/2 32/4 32/6 32/9 53/14 | 42/20 43/23 44/15 46/20 | involved [1] 22/7 | 85/5 86/20 86/25 90/22 91/4 |
| 55/11 55/12 57/9 64/13 65/11 | 47/17 48/22 49/5 53/15 54/6 | Irregardless [1] 69/1 | 92/19 92/20 95/14 96/17 97/6 |
| 76/20 82/17 84/11 85/10 | 56/6 61/7 63/10 63/22 64/1 | is [166] | 101/21 102/3 |
| 92/23 96/3 96/18 104/10 | 64/12 64/13 66/2 67/25 67/25 | | knowing [1] 62/1 |
| Here's [1] 69/11 | 68/2 68/18 68/19 70/14 78/14 | | known [1] 6/14 |
| hereby [1] 105/7 | 80/3 82/16 84/6 86/2 86/2 87/3 87/19 88/19 88/19 89/8 | issues [6] 6/5 22/12 27/11 | knows [1] 66/5 |
| Hi [1] 22/3 | 90/19 90/20 90/21 91/7 91/23 | 35/2 48/16 61/4 | L |
| highlight [1] 27/5 | 93/22 95/20 100/17 | item [4] 3/14 4/5 26/25 97/5 | LAKE [4] 1/3 17/5 17/7 69/13 |
| highlighted [3] 8/3 11/10 | I've [9] 3/11 46/19 46/19 | items [1] 104/15 | land [7] 68/12 68/13 68/13 |
| 18/6 | 49/23 50/2 64/15 80/22 82/17 | iterations [1] 14/5 | 69/8 74/11 87/13 87/14 |
| hill [2] 19/8 62/10 | 82/21 | itself [3] 29/20 68/7 68/9 | landscaping [2] 9/10 51/11 |
| hillside [1] 19/6 | i.e [2] 20/4 27/21 | Ivy [2] 105/5 105/16 | lane [1] 18/8 |
| him [1] 56/7 | Iafelice [7] 1/20 2/8 3/23 | 20 [2] 103/3 103/10 | language [1] 102/20 |
| Hiram [10] 1/19 33/3 43/8 | 10/8 25/17 50/22 87/18 | J | large [18] 34/22 35/17 41/7 |
| 50/11 65/13 68/11 73/9 85/16 | ID [1] 6/15 | Jackson [1] 21/20 | 43/8 51/22 55/1 66/17 66/19 |
| 98/17 100/18 | idea [5] 16/20 40/16 45/25 | jagged [1] 23/19 | 71/1 77/13 77/14 77/15 77/15 |
| Hiram's [4] 71/11 71/11 81/6 | 55/3 81/6 | Jeez [1] 79/5 | 77/16 78/6 87/22 87/23 87/25 |
| 93/4 | ideas [2] 53/25 54/1 | Judges [1] 78/9 | larger [8] 31/9 32/7 40/9 |
| his [8] 55/18 55/22 55/23 | Iden [1] 22/3 | July [2] 103/2 103/5 | 62/7 64/23 67/23 74/1 87/13 |
| 62/22 80/21 97/19 97/20 97/24 | identify [2] 6/18 97/14 | June [2] 1/12 2/4 | last [4] 5/12 27/8 53/23 |
| hit [1] 91/10 | illogical [1] 69/9 | June 4th [1] 2/4 | 103/23 |
| hog [1] 92/6 | impact [2] 8/24 89/22 | just [70] 4/10 4/10 4/17 4/21 | |
| home [17] 6/13 6/22 10/14 | impacts [1] 90/5 | 5/4 6/22 8/7 10/10 11/21 | law [1] 80/21 |
| 10/15 40/3 70/4 70/17 72/9 | impervious [1] 20/16 | 11/23 17/14 19/18 21/23 23/4 | |
| 77/5 84/13 84/14 88/8 88/10 | important [2] 29/4 89/25 | 23/19 23/21 24/8 28/21 29/7 | layout [1] 15/17 |
| 88/11 93/13 96/6 96/7 | improved [2] 7/24 7/25 | 29/14 29/18 30/7 30/25 31/1 | least [6] 31/16 31/17 33/10 |
| homes [11] 33/4 33/7 47/9 | improvements [2] 69/14 | 33/3 33/18 34/10 35/10 35/12 | |
| 56/13 77/14 78/4 78/5 78/7 | 70/16 mproving [2] 9/23 16/19 | 40/4 40/5 41/6 41/8 44/15 46/11 46/13 48/22 49/19 | leave [8] 9/12 12/7 24/9 |
| 78/8 82/20 83/4 | inaudible [1] 9/7 | 52/25 53/8 53/9 56/6 56/25 | 59/19 69/7 81/5 85/11 85/22 leaves [1] 48/1 |
| hopefully [1] 103/22 | incident [1] 30/3 | 60/13 62/7 64/8 64/12 64/13 | leaving [1] 56/20 |
| house [29] 5/19 7/7 30/13 | incidental [1] 99/2 | 64/17 65/6 68/2 68/16 68/17 | leeway [1] 81/8 |
| 30/14 32/22 37/6 37/10 37/17 | inclination [1] 90/22 | 69/24 70/22 76/24 78/23 84/1 | |
| 51/25 56/4 62/22 69/17 72/14 | include [7] 20/2 20/3 20/9 | 84/13 84/15 85/7 86/21 87/12 | |
| 72/15 72/16 73/10 76/2 76/5 | 20/22 27/23 29/22 78/12 | 87/23 88/20 89/20 94/21 96/5 | |
| 77/18 78/11 79/21 83/15 | income [1] 78/17 | 99/13 99/14 | 59/20 |
| 88/23 97/20 98/1 101/4 | indicate [1] 23/10 | | length [1] 10/19 |
| 101/16 101/25 102/7 | indicated [2] 10/22 85/9 | K | less [10] 9/8 36/20 36/21 |
| houses [1] 84/5 | indulge [1] 4/8 | keep [6] 6/21 7/10 69/8 90/2 | |
| how [21] 4/20 15/6 16/3 | industrial [1] 69/3 | 103/14 103/17 | 85/20 85/20 |
| 31/12 31/20 37/9 57/19 59/5 | information [3] 6/20 21/14 | Key [1] 5/18 | let [8] 11/17 25/23 41/10 |
| 62/9 66/4 69/5 77/16 80/4 | 22/23 | kids [1] 55/22 | 45/11 55/8 56/19 56/23 70/2 |
| 81/25 82/13 85/16 86/4 87/15 90/5 94/21 100/8 | inground [1] 5/3 | kind [23] 4/1 7/18 17/10 | let's [4] 56/19 76/8 82/2 |
| However [3] 32/21 43/1 | inherited [1] 84/9 | 21/23 23/15 23/15 28/24 | 103/17 |
| 70/15 | inordinate [2] 103/10 | 29/24 31/1 39/21 40/16 47/9 | level [13] 7/23 14/2 14/3 |
| huge [5] 31/22 55/19 68/25 | 103/11 | 49/20 49/23 50/16 51/9 51/13 | |
| 71/2 71/13 | input [3] 17/4 53/20 53/22 | 52/21 78/12 80/23 85/2 95/22 | - , , , - , - |
| huh [7] 22/15 27/25 32/1 | inside [1] 56/18 | 103/13 | levels [2] 7/22 7/23 |
| 52/9 57/24 63/4 83/19 | Inspector [3] 1/23 4/6 27/1 | kitchen [1] 83/24 | likely [4] 30/12 90/8 90/13 |
| - 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | installed [1] 19/18 | knock [1] 80/16 | 90/17 |

limit [16] 37/17 43/13 43/14 44/3 44/21 46/11 47/17 47/24 47/25 48/3 48/13 55/5 60/11 61/6 81/9 96/2 **limitation** [1] 85/8 **limitations** [1] 27/15 limited [9] 38/15 42/17 46/20 60/11 73/11 73/12 81/18 98/6 98/11 **limiting [3]** 43/22 43/25 87/20 **line [7]** 9/13 11/7 11/7 16/1 18/5 23/16 91/7 **linear [2]** 71/16 72/1 **Lingenfelter [3]** 1/19 2/16 25/21 list [1] 20/13 **listened** [1] 53/20 little [29] 8/7 8/19 8/19 9/5 9/6 9/24 10/18 10/21 11/23 15/10 15/13 29/10 39/11 39/11 40/8 40/9 42/6 48/2 50/4 54/15 64/3 81/8 83/21 85/19 87/13 91/11 91/15 96/12 102/14 live [5] 14/16 22/3 32/21 83/15 90/12 living [39] 32/12 33/4 33/11 33/20 34/8 34/11 34/16 34/23 35/1 35/13 35/16 35/21 35/25 36/4 36/11 36/25 37/4 75/8 76/22 77/10 81/22 82/6 82/25 83/2 83/3 83/5 83/6 83/21 83/24 90/16 93/14 94/9 94/11 94/15 94/17 96/7 98/1 99/20 101/1 loaders [1] 57/3 **located [2]** 6/13 98/25 **location [1]** 18/23 **logic [7]** 66/20 68/3 68/18 68/20 74/23 84/8 87/12 logical [6] 68/4 72/23 73/18 87/12 90/24 90/25 long [2] 48/8 84/8 **longer [1]** 10/21 look [32] 8/11 8/12 8/23 8/25 9/1 9/12 10/1 12/17 12/23 14/13 15/20 15/24 26/2 28/3 28/12 29/3 33/8 38/11 40/14 46/9 50/21 50/25 51/25 52/2 55/5 69/2 84/4 84/4 87/5 87/15 88/20 91/24 looked [1] 11/1 **looking [12]** 8/4 8/8 9/22 24/3 28/25 34/4 53/5 56/17 62/4 69/2 95/5 96/20 looks [7] 11/14 13/8 16/17 16/17 16/18 23/18 55/6 loophole [1] 92/20 **losing [1]** 78/15 lot [88] 8/7 9/5 13/8 16/7 16/7 21/9 29/2 30/10 32/11 33/13 33/24 36/14 38/13

38/17 38/19 39/17 40/2 41/4 41/5 41/7 41/10 42/16 43/15 43/20 44/21 44/23 45/22 48/2 50/5 50/23 51/2 51/3 51/9 51/22 52/11 52/23 54/6 54/6 55/22 55/23 56/12 56/25 57/2 60/20 61/3 61/17 61/20 62/3 62/8 62/8 62/23 65/20 66/20 67/6 67/12 69/14 72/1 73/14 74/13 76/16 77/5 77/9 77/11 77/14 78/10 78/19 83/17 84/15 85/18 86/6 86/8 86/10 87/7 87/8 87/23 88/15 88/20 88/23 90/25 93/23 93/24 94/21 95/16 98/25 99/5 99/19 100/2 100/22 lots [17] 31/3 31/9 41/3 45/20 45/20 50/18 50/24 54/14 64/22 66/12 67/4 77/14 78/10 80/10 83/10 84/5 84/19 **loudly [1]** 6/24 lounge [1] 14/22 **love [5]** 14/5 15/9 15/13 102/4 102/5 lower [4] 14/2 14/7 14/20 15/12 **luck [1]** 26/2

made [5] 25/9 53/21 103/24 104/8 104/11 main [7] 14/16 30/10 37/4 39/3 54/7 70/17 81/22 maintain [1] 18/8 **maintained** [1] 39/2 maintaining [2] 69/24 92/19 **majority** [1] 91/6 make [36] 2/24 7/24 8/1 8/12 10/1 11/21 12/13 12/15 12/23 15/8 16/4 17/14 21/9 21/25 25/1 27/16 29/18 30/8 35/10 37/2 39/23 44/20 45/12 48/20 51/10 57/17 66/1 66/13 72/15 81/7 88/25 94/22 96/12 might [10] 7/8 14/13 23/19 97/7 98/18 103/22 makes [7] 33/2 39/20 42/11 70/21 72/1 90/24 90/25 making [3] 11/22 81/4 104/8 man [2] 2/20 42/10 management [2] 17/6 22/8 many [3] 47/5 59/5 101/24 **March [1]** 2/19 March 5th [1] 2/19 **masonry** [1] 9/3 mass [1] 74/11 match [6] 8/11 9/8 12/11 12/13 18/13 73/7 matches [1] 12/24 **material** [1] 20/3 **materials** [1] 34/1 math [2] 41/8 67/17 mathematics [1] 41/6 **Matheney [1]** 1/24 matter [4] 34/8 37/9 59/6 94/15

matting [1] 19/7 max [2] 38/3 96/5 **maximum [3]** 37/9 37/19 maximums [1] 34/19 may [5] 4/12 5/13 23/1 23/7 85/21 maybe [19] 12/11 30/24 44/12 45/20 46/13 46/15 47/6 47/22 50/19 50/21 51/1 52/6 55/1 83/8 83/21 96/10 96/11 102/3 103/2 me [20] 11/17 15/1 16/10 33/24 39/21 39/22 39/22 41/10 44/20 46/14 55/17 57/20 60/10 74/23 78/16 82/18 85/19 89/25 90/24 91/6 mean [23] 9/1 36/13 38/12 43/10 44/8 44/23 45/14 48/6 50/25 51/2 51/7 53/22 54/1 54/12 54/15 54/19 66/20 70/16 71/15 76/7 81/7 82/8 90/23 means [2] 8/3 84/1 meant [3] 24/5 41/23 42/2 meat [2] 28/25 29/24 mechanical [1] 9/21 meet [1] 49/2 meeting [14] 1/4 2/4 2/19 5/12 5/17 26/12 27/8 53/23 96/24 103/2 103/14 103/20 104/2 104/18 **Meldrum [1]** 53/17 Member [3] 1/20 1/20 1/21 members [2] 1/18 6/16 memo [2] 27/1 57/13 memorandum [1] 27/4 mention [2] 5/13 40/25 **Mentor [2]** 83/15 89/11 met [2] 17/16 92/24 methodology [1] 15/7 microphone [2] 6/21 7/14 middle [1] 52/7 26/15 39/14 43/17 44/23 53/10 57/22 88/25 Mike [1] 7/2 mind [3] 6/21 57/7 68/21 minds [1] 95/25 Mine [2] 80/7 84/24 minimum [2] 33/4 67/8 minor [1] 28/23 minute [1] 2/20 minutes [3] 2/19 2/25 3/12 miscellaneous [1] 5/4 missed [1] 26/16 **Mister [1]** 67/20 mix [1] 12/11 **modified** [1] 98/23 moment [1] 26/8 money [1] 65/4 monitored [1] 10/16 **monstrous** [1] 69/2 month [3] 4/12 4/15 5/10 months [1] 50/16

more [40] 8/7 9/8 11/23 13/20 15/10 15/13 16/7 21/13 21/16 28/24 29/11 31/4 44/5 45/21 49/8 57/15 58/10 58/24 60/9 62/8 67/1 68/13 71/19 73/15 74/9 78/15 78/16 79/11 81/8 87/13 88/16 88/17 90/8 90/13 90/17 91/7 95/2 96/12 97/1 97/7 most [7] 13/13 41/2 67/4 67/6 67/12 78/4 78/5 mostly [1] 4/21 **motion [7]** 2/24 3/1 3/2 24/24 25/2 25/9 25/10 motor [1] 99/15 **move [2]** 26/1 26/18 mowing [1] 55/24 Mr [5] 2/8 2/10 2/14 3/23 99/16 **Mr. [21]** 2/12 2/16 3/16 3/19 3/20 3/21 3/22 13/4 13/5 13/24 16/11 23/1 25/1 25/13 25/15 25/17 25/19 25/21 40/18 50/22 93/1 **Mr. Chairman [8]** 3/16 3/20 3/22 13/4 23/1 25/1 40/18 Mr. Iafelice [2] 25/17 50/22 Mr. Lingenfelter [2] 2/16 25/21 **Mr. Peterson [3]** 3/21 13/5 25/19 **Mr. Reppert [4]** 2/12 3/19 13/24 25/13 Mr. Schindler [2] 16/11 25/15 **much [13]** 5/9 26/19 34/10 39/17 52/16 56/23 57/5 61/23 74/11 77/12 87/13 87/14 87/14 multiple [7] 43/11 43/19 44/13 44/16 46/6 50/19 72/3 must [2] 17/16 65/5 my [23] 39/6 39/10 40/16 41/6 42/2 52/23 55/18 62/20 67/5 68/21 77/18 80/3 80/13 80/21 84/13 89/21 89/21

name [4] 6/18 7/8 21/18 53/16 **nature [2]** 49/8 55/2 **nays** [1] 25/24 **near [1]** 78/1 **neat [1]** 80/23 necessarily [1] 55/2 need [16] 7/11 7/25 8/17 10/4 13/11 13/21 51/4 51/8 57/15 57/22 59/24 96/10 96/11 96/22 97/1 97/6 needed [2] 23/12 23/13 needs [2] 9/6 23/24 negate [2] 94/1 94/1

93/13 93/16 95/4 102/11

105/9 105/18

Ν neighbor [3] 55/18 62/17 neighborhood [2] 89/5 89/9 neighborhoods [2] 78/7 89/16 neighboring [2] 39/12 90/6 **neighbors [4]** 39/15 63/5 89/23 90/12 net [1] 20/17 new [22] 4/15 5/2 5/6 6/10 8/12 8/13 8/21 10/1 11/11 11/12 14/8 15/17 18/22 26/25 28/4 28/17 29/25 33/4 33/9 83/14 101/25 103/25 **newly [1]** 19/14 next [14] 2/18 3/14 4/5 5/16 18/7 42/20 46/2 89/12 90/13 96/24 102/22 103/14 103/20 104/2 nice [3] 55/20 56/3 56/17 no [50] 3/18 4/14 7/13 13/16 16/16 18/7 22/25 24/5 24/17 24/19 24/21 25/23 25/24 30/1 30/5 31/4 34/8 36/15 36/15 37/9 42/17 44/15 47/25 48/19 50/13 50/14 55/21 56/3 56/6 58/18 59/22 61/7 64/15 67/1 67/25 68/19 72/10 74/24 75/3 80/6 80/13 84/22 85/15 85/15 86/23 96/4 96/5 100/19 104/3 104/15 **Nobody [2]** 63/6 66/4 **Nodding [6]** 18/21 18/24 19/3 20/21 76/13 82/1 **non [1]** 6/5 non-application [1] 6/5 None [4] 3/16 3/17 3/20 24/16 **noodling [1]** 73/16 **north [5]** 7/7 8/20 9/13 18/5 18/7 **northern** [1] 23/14 northwest [1] 19/18 not [96] 2/23 6/22 6/24 10/20 10/21 15/2 16/3 19/20 24/2 27/14 30/7 30/9 31/5 31/10 31/14 31/17 31/18 32/8 32/11 37/17 40/12 42/4 44/12 44/23 46/14 48/9 48/19 48/23 49/16 50/21 51/7 52/15 55/2 55/25 58/11 58/20 59/3 59/22 60/1 60/8 62/1 63/14 63/20 64/10 64/18 64/20 64/24 65/18 66/13 66/19 68/9 68/20 69/25 70/4 70/14 71/13 71/13 71/15 74/10 76/3 76/24 77/1 77/2 77/9 78/12 79/25 81/11 81/12 81/22 82/10 83/9 83/20 84/18 87/22 88/2 88/6 88/19 89/11 89/11 89/14 89/15 90/9 90/21 91/21 93/13 94/8 94/14 94/22 97/10 98/1 100/10 100/24 101/3 101/4 101/25

105/11 **Notary [2]** 105/6 105/16 **notes [2]** 23/9 105/9 **nothing [6]** 3/22 3/24 3/25 69/25 78/13 104/14 **noticeable** [1] 89/18 Notwithstanding [1] 41/5 **November [1]** 105/18 now [40] 6/10 8/5 8/9 14/21 15/16 28/8 28/18 31/7 34/1 34/13 35/4 36/10 36/17 39/13 46/21 47/11 48/8 48/11 53/8 58/13 58/14 58/16 63/11 65/17 66/12 67/18 67/21 68/24 69/7 73/20 74/16 74/17 74/19 86/11 94/13 100/8 100/11 102/18 103/13 103/18 number [65] 4/18 6/12 17/18 18/3 18/17 19/24 20/8 20/23 21/2 25/5 26/25 28/13 28/17 29/12 29/25 31/1 31/8 33/25 35/15 37/22 40/5 43/6 43/9 43/14 43/25 44/1 44/4 44/21 47/18 47/21 48/4 54/13 57/21 57/23 58/4 59/17 59/21 62/15 63/11 65/15 68/3 68/14 71/23 72/23 74/1 74/4 76/20 76/24 77/1 77/2 77/4 84/9 84/10 84/25 84/25 86/21 87/19 87/20 91/10 93/5 96/4 96/5 96/8 97/9 98/7 numbers [6] 33/22 34/12 34/13 36/10 40/4 71/17 nutshell [1] 9/22 0

objected [1] 63/6 **obviously [1]** 33/5 odd [1] 101/18 off [3] 2/6 12/10 70/13 office [1] 4/12 Officer [2] 21/21 22/6 offices [1] 14/16 often [1] 55/6 oh [22] 4/24 10/11 24/7 36/13 38/4 40/23 41/25 42/7 42/20 44/15 54/18 58/3 58/17 65/11 71/18 76/24 79/9 82/8 82/16 83/6 86/17 93/20 **OHIO [7]** 1/3 1/10 21/22 22/4 105/2 105/6 105/17 Old [3] 103/9 103/13 103/15 **older [4]** 45/14 45/20 47/7 47/9 once [1] 39/21 one [43] 2/21 3/12 12/20 13/11 13/19 17/18 23/1 30/14 Pacific [1] 5/14 31/4 32/14 35/23 36/18 36/25 packed [1] 84/5 45/22 46/11 46/17 46/20 46/21 47/12 54/3 56/6 56/9 58/10 58/10 58/23 58/24 60/6 parcel [1] 6/15 60/14 62/16 62/24 65/6 65/14 Pardon [1] 83/1 67/1 72/6 73/20 76/14 76/15 78/2 86/24 87/2 91/12 91/14

object [3] 57/20 84/12 84/23

one-story [1] 30/14 ones [1] 63/11 only [15] 8/12 11/21 14/19 36/18 45/18 46/17 47/7 47/12 48/6 52/24 59/1 67/23 84/8 86/19 94/2 **open [8]** 48/1 51/10 51/13 53/25 56/15 56/21 56/25 58/7 open-ended [1] 58/7 **Operating [1]** 22/6 opinion [1] 39/10 opportunities [1] 91/25 opportunity [3] 16/17 55/15 56/16 **opposed [2]** 3/9 90/22 opposing [1] 95/24 option [4] 38/24 47/4 72/2 91/13 order [4] 2/4 17/16 27/16 39/4 original [1] 81/6 other [18] 5/4 12/2 12/3 14/10 24/14 30/23 37/10 44/12 50/10 50/15 54/8 56/9 63/11 65/8 82/10 89/13 103/25 104/15 otherwise [2] 65/3 105/12 ought [1] 35/23 our [13] 2/20 4/12 21/9 33/3 48/19 49/2 49/15 53/15 67/6 67/12 78/7 81/3 98/7 ourselves [1] 70/12 out [33] 10/20 15/9 26/11 26/16 29/1 33/7 40/5 46/1 48/7 55/11 56/13 56/15 56/20 56/21 56/25 57/4 57/7 57/7 61/2 61/15 61/17 68/16 79/8 79/9 80/16 82/9 87/7 94/5 94/5 96/1 97/7 101/17 103/20 outbuilding [4] 43/4 47/12 69/20 69/24 outbuildings [4] 4/22 50/2 69/16 78/13 outline [1] 15/25 outside [1] 70/17 **over [17]** 5/6 5/7 5/19 34/2 36/23 41/4 42/6 43/10 43/19 45/6 45/17 63/16 63/18 63/20 78/9 78/23 84/16 overgrowth [1] 23/20 owner [4] 40/8 91/25 92/17 92/18 **owning [1]** 55/3

97/14

p.m [2] 1/13 104/19 **Painesville [1]** 21/22 **pan [1]** 46/1 **parentheses** [1] 58/23 **park [1]** 75/23

parking [6] 9/5 13/7 13/15 18/7 49/16 56/14 parkway [1] 69/3 part [7] 17/19 17/25 22/1 59/10 83/9 97/21 98/1 participation [2] 6/2 6/8 particular [1] 97/20 **party [1]** 105/12 past [1] 4/15 patch [2] 12/18 12/21 **path** [1] 46/5 patio [2] 78/12 82/20 **pave [1]** 51/18 pavement [3] 23/8 23/9 23/10 pavilion [3] 8/24 18/23 21/3 **people [12]** 6/22 7/14 28/25 29/2 38/16 47/10 49/23 51/11 56/12 75/22 90/16 101/24 percent [8] 41/5 41/19 41/20 41/24 67/19 78/10 87/7 87/8 percentages [1] 91/2 perfect [2] 63/7 70/24 **perfectly [1]** 39/20 perhaps [2] 24/1 43/17 **period** [1] 77/11 permanent [2] 10/21 49/8 **permit [3]** 4/11 4/12 22/1 permits [3] 4/14 4/18 50/7 permitted [7] 29/15 29/17 31/3 31/10 64/23 67/1 93/25 **permitting** [1] 34/5 **person** [1] 91/11 **personally** [1] 38/16 **Peterson [5]** 1/20 2/10 3/21 13/5 25/19 **Peterson's [1]** 91/3 **phone [1]** 6/9 pick [7] 31/21 37/22 68/3 76/24 77/1 77/2 77/4 pickup [1] 55/3 **piece [1]** 70/5 **pipe [1]** 20/2 **place [4]** 8/5 12/9 84/3 105/10 plan [13] 5/17 6/11 11/6 14/2 14/4 14/9 15/25 16/18 18/4 18/18 19/24 25/5 25/25 **Planning [1]** 1/23 plans [2] 18/13 21/3 **plant [1]** 85/17 **plantings** [1] 62/2 plastic [2] 48/24 50/4 **play [1]** 65/6 playing [2] 34/12 34/12 **Plaza [1]** 5/6 **please [6]** 4/8 6/21 6/23 7/1 21/18 25/12 **plenty [3]** 9/11 75/22 80/5 **plus [3]** 39/5 75/18 89/17 **podium** [1] 53/19 point [19] 19/24 19/25 20/8 20/9 22/24 24/23 35/16 37/1 60/5 67/5 71/11 79/23 80/13 80/18 80/24 85/18 93/4

| P | proposal [1] 57/17 | re-reading [1] 93/9 | report [6] 4/6 4/10 16/23 |
|--|---|---|--|
| | proposed [11] 5/18 18/5 | reach [1] 72/17 | 16/25 17/3 25/7 |
| point [2] 102/25 104/2 | 18/12 19/1 19/13 19/14 20/13 | | Reporter [2] 105/6 105/17 |
| pointed [2] 61/2 87/6 | 22/10 57/13 75/1 98/23 | 43/9 64/21 94/21 | Reppert [5] 1/19 2/12 3/19 |
| points [2] 18/17 65/8 | proposing [5] 7/19 28/4 | | |
| pools [2] 5/3 5/3 | | reading [1] 93/9 | 13/24 25/13 |
| portion [9] 8/3 8/13 8/16 | 28/21 29/24 30/23 | reads [2] 58/12 58/14 | request [1] 45/10 |
| 11/10 11/11 11/12 27/20 | prospectively [1] 48/7 | ready [1] 96/23 | require [2] 49/4 50/19 |
| | protect [1] 19/8 | real [2] 4/17 26/14 | required [1] 9/3 |
| 28/20 30/6 | Prouty [1] 72/14 | really [15] 5/21 11/7 23/19 | resident [1] 27/9 |
| position [4] 21/7 102/18 | provide [2] 8/21 9/20 | 29/4 30/13 33/16 34/21 42/4 | residential [5] 4/13 27/3 |
| 102/25 103/7 | provisions [1] 49/6 | 46/5 48/15 57/5 91/17 95/16 | 27/17 41/2 83/9 |
| positions [1] 95/19 | | 101/6 104/9 | residents [3] 14/16 29/6 |
| possibly [1] 71/4 | public [7] 6/2 6/8 57/18 | | |
| post [2] 20/15 20/23 | 103/1 103/21 105/6 105/16 | rear [2] 19/4 52/5 | 40/11 |
| potential [2] 27/2 46/13 | publicly [1] 21/23 | reason [4] 36/16 52/21 | resolution [2] 48/19 92/21 |
| potentially [6] 27/14 28/17 | PUD [3] 42/22 64/10 83/13 | 84/12 84/23 | respect [1] 78/17 |
| | pull [1] 12/10 | reasonable [2] 72/5 86/20 | rest [5] 8/13 17/19 26/12 |
| 29/21 29/25 30/14 64/17 | purchased [1] 7/21 | reasonably [2] 56/17 73/3 | 97/25 103/8 |
| pre [2] 20/15 20/22 | push [2] 8/25 9/1 | reasoned [1] 95/18 | restating [1] 31/2 |
| preceded [1] 86/19 | pushed [1] 11/23 | rebuild [2] 8/4 9/2 | restricted [2] 75/7 81/21 |
| precedence [1] 72/7 | | | |
| precedent [1] 68/15 | pushing [2] 78/15 80/19 | recall [1] 27/8 | restricting [1] 60/1 |
| present [2] 1/18 1/22 | put [37] 9/18 9/25 14/5 16/5 | receipt [1] 16/24 | restriction [2] 35/3 35/24 |
| presentation [2] 18/6 26/7 | 22/13 24/1 24/3 27/14 33/19 | receive [1] 16/23 | restrictions [1] 97/14 |
| | 35/3 40/4 46/10 46/15 46/18 | recommend [1] 19/6 | restrictive [1] 40/10 |
| pretty [5] 2/5 5/9 30/21 73/5 | 46/22 48/3 49/23 50/1 51/19 | recommendations [2] 17/9 | Restripe [1] 9/7 |
| 103/24 | 53/5 54/10 56/19 56/20 56/24 | | restroom [1] 14/23 |
| prevent [3] 39/19 92/9 92/10 | 57/17 58/22 59/16 59/21 | recommending [1] 17/12 | retail [1] 5/8 |
| primary [4] 14/14 21/3 | | | |
| 27/17 27/20 | 63/10 68/18 70/2 74/11 84/4 | record [5] 6/19 16/22 21/19 | retaining [1] 5/5 |
| principal [14] 28/7 28/20 | 85/8 102/14 103/6 103/7 | 22/24 25/23 | reuse [2] 12/8 45/16 |
| 29/17 29/17 30/2 32/11 32/13 | putting [5] 30/25 34/2 35/18 | | revenue [3] 70/1 70/10 |
| 77/9 77/11 82/23 82/24 99/1 | 44/3 95/22 | recreational [1] 30/18 | 70/18 |
| | | refer [1] 28/6 | revenues [1] 69/20 |
| 99/3 99/6 | Q | referring [1] 28/19 | review [5] 5/17 6/11 17/14 |
| printout [1] 11/5 | qualified [1] 105/7 | refine [1] 34/5 | 25/5 45/11 |
| private [1] 28/12 | quarter [1] 42/15 | reflect [2] 16/22 25/23 | Revisions [1] 69/12 |
| | q a a : c c : [=] | | INCAISIONS LE 05/12 |
| probably [14] 10/18 13/20 | question [12] 13/7 23/2 | | |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 | question [12] 13/7 23/2 | refocus [1] 92/3 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-8 [1] 64/22 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-8 [1] 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-8 [1] 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 raise [3] 8/16 8/17 8/17 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relations [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 77/3 84/18 87/22 96/6 105/11 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 46/19 83/22 91/15 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 projects [2] 4/13 4/21 proper [1] 50/6 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-8 [1] 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 raise [3] 8/16 8/17 8/17 raised [1] 9/19 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 77/3 84/18 87/22 96/6 105/11 relatively [2] 11/19 11/20 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 46/19 83/22 91/15 roughly [1] 79/17 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 projects [2] 4/13 4/21 proper [1] 50/6 properties [7] 39/12 45/22 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-8 [1] 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 raise [3] 8/16 8/17 8/17 raised [1] 9/19 ramifications [1] 48/5 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 77/3 84/18 87/22 96/6 105/11 relatively [2] 11/19 11/20 relocated [1] 18/23 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 46/19 83/22 91/15 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 projects [2] 4/13 4/21 proper [1] 50/6 properties [7] 39/12 45/22 47/6 47/7 47/10 89/24 90/6 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-8 [1] 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 raise [3] 8/16 8/17 8/17 raised [1] 9/19 ramifications [1] 48/5 ranch [11] 33/4 33/9 37/13 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 77/3 84/18 87/22 96/6 105/11 relatively [2] 11/19 11/20 relocated [1] 18/23 remain [1] 23/11 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 46/19 83/22 91/15 roughly [1] 79/17 running [2] 35/4 56/13 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 projects [2] 4/13 4/21 proper [1] 50/6 properties [7] 39/12 45/22 47/6 47/7 47/10 89/24 90/6 property [14] 7/22 9/11 9/13 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 raise [3] 8/16 8/17 8/17 raised [1] 9/19 ramifications [1] 48/5 ranch [11] 33/4 33/9 37/13 72/21 72/25 73/4 73/5 74/14 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 77/3 84/18 87/22 96/6 105/11 relatively [2] 11/19 11/20 relocated [1] 18/23 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 46/19 83/22 91/15 roughly [1] 79/17 running [2] 35/4 56/13 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 projects [2] 4/13 4/21 proper [1] 50/6 properties [7] 39/12 45/22 47/6 47/7 47/10 89/24 90/6 property [14] 7/22 9/11 9/13 18/5 19/20 27/17 40/8 40/13 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 raise [3] 8/16 8/17 8/17 raised [1] 9/19 ramifications [1] 48/5 ranch [11] 33/4 33/9 37/13 72/21 72/25 73/4 73/5 74/14 75/10 75/10 76/7 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 77/3 84/18 87/22 96/6 105/11 relatively [2] 11/19 11/20 relocated [1] 18/23 remain [1] 23/11 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 46/19 83/22 91/15 roughly [1] 79/17 running [2] 35/4 56/13 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 projects [2] 4/13 4/21 proper [1] 50/6 properties [7] 39/12 45/22 47/6 47/7 47/10 89/24 90/6 property [14] 7/22 9/11 9/13 18/5 19/20 27/17 40/8 40/13 52/22 61/5 70/6 70/17 74/10 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 raise [3] 8/16 8/17 8/17 raised [1] 9/19 ramifications [1] 48/5 ranch [11] 33/4 33/9 37/13 72/21 72/25 73/4 73/5 74/14 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 77/3 84/18 87/22 96/6 105/11 relatively [2] 11/19 11/20 relocated [1] 18/23 remain [1] 23/11 remember [4] 34/3 45/6 51/14 68/5 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 46/19 83/22 91/15 roughly [1] 79/17 running [2] 35/4 56/13 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 projects [2] 4/13 4/21 proper [1] 50/6 properties [7] 39/12 45/22 47/6 47/7 47/10 89/24 90/6 property [14] 7/22 9/11 9/13 18/5 19/20 27/17 40/8 40/13 52/22 61/5 70/6 70/17 74/10 88/13 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-8 [1] 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 raise [3] 8/16 8/17 8/17 raised [1] 9/19 ramifications [1] 48/5 ranch [11] 33/4 33/9 37/13 72/21 72/25 73/4 73/5 74/14 75/10 75/10 76/7 rare [2] 13/18 89/17 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 77/3 84/18 87/22 96/6 105/11 relatively [2] 11/19 11/20 relocated [1] 18/23 remain [1] 23/11 remember [4] 34/3 45/6 51/14 68/5 remove [2] 8/4 12/20 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 46/19 83/22 91/15 roughly [1] 79/17 running [2] 35/4 56/13 S safety [1] 51/13 said [8] 22/9 35/18 43/16 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 projects [2] 4/13 4/21 proper [1] 50/6 properties [7] 39/12 45/22 47/6 47/7 47/10 89/24 90/6 property [14] 7/22 9/11 9/13 18/5 19/20 27/17 40/8 40/13 52/22 61/5 70/6 70/17 74/10 88/13 proportion [1] 87/10 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 raise [3] 8/16 8/17 8/17 raised [1] 9/19 ramifications [1] 48/5 ranch [11] 33/4 33/9 37/13 72/21 72/25 73/4 73/5 74/14 75/10 75/10 76/7 rare [2] 13/18 89/17 rate [1] 69/17 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 77/3 84/18 87/22 96/6 105/11 relatively [2] 11/19 11/20 relocated [1] 18/23 remain [1] 23/11 remember [4] 34/3 45/6 51/14 68/5 remove [2] 8/4 12/20 removed [2] 10/24 18/1 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 46/19 83/22 91/15 roughly [1] 79/17 running [2] 35/4 56/13 S safety [1] 51/13 said [8] 22/9 35/18 43/16 51/17 51/20 52/1 70/15 85/7 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 projects [2] 4/13 4/21 proper [1] 50/6 properties [7] 39/12 45/22 47/6 47/7 47/10 89/24 90/6 property [14] 7/22 9/11 9/13 18/5 19/20 27/17 40/8 40/13 52/22 61/5 70/6 70/17 74/10 88/13 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-8 [1] 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 raise [3] 8/16 8/17 8/17 raised [1] 9/19 ramifications [1] 48/5 ranch [11] 33/4 33/9 37/13 72/21 72/25 73/4 73/5 74/14 75/10 75/10 76/7 rare [2] 13/18 89/17 rate [1] 69/17 rather [5] 29/13 56/14 56/16 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 77/3 84/18 87/22 96/6 105/11 relatively [2] 11/19 11/20 relocated [1] 18/23 remain [1] 23/11 remember [4] 34/3 45/6 51/14 68/5 remove [2] 8/4 12/20 removed [2] 10/24 18/1 renovated [1] 8/14 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 46/19 83/22 91/15 roughly [1] 79/17 running [2] 35/4 56/13 S safety [1] 51/13 said [8] 22/9 35/18 43/16 51/17 51/20 52/1 70/15 85/7 sake [1] 46/14 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 projects [2] 4/13 4/21 proper [1] 50/6 properties [7] 39/12 45/22 47/6 47/7 47/10 89/24 90/6 property [14] 7/22 9/11 9/13 18/5 19/20 27/17 40/8 40/13 52/22 61/5 70/6 70/17 74/10 88/13 proportion [1] 87/10 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 raise [3] 8/16 8/17 8/17 raised [1] 9/19 ramifications [1] 48/5 ranch [11] 33/4 33/9 37/13 72/21 72/25 73/4 73/5 74/14 75/10 75/10 76/7 rare [2] 13/18 89/17 rather [5] 29/13 56/14 56/16 56/23 57/6 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 77/3 84/18 87/22 96/6 105/11 relatively [2] 11/19 11/20 relocated [1] 18/23 remain [1] 23/11 remember [4] 34/3 45/6 51/14 68/5 remove [2] 8/4 12/20 removed [2] 10/24 18/1 renovated [1] 8/14 repair [3] 9/6 23/9 23/21 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 46/19 83/22 91/15 roughly [1] 79/17 running [2] 35/4 56/13 S safety [1] 51/13 said [8] 22/9 35/18 43/16 51/17 51/20 52/1 70/15 85/7 sake [1] 46/14 same [12] 8/10 11/14 11/19 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 projects [2] 4/13 4/21 proper [1] 50/6 properties [7] 39/12 45/22 47/6 47/7 47/10 89/24 90/6 property [14] 7/22 9/11 9/13 18/5 19/20 27/17 40/8 40/13 52/22 61/5 70/6 70/17 74/10 88/13 proportion [1] 87/10 proportional [3] 68/12 73/9 73/10 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-8 [1] 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 raise [3] 8/16 8/17 8/17 raised [1] 9/19 ramifications [1] 48/5 ranch [11] 33/4 33/9 37/13 72/21 72/25 73/4 73/5 74/14 75/10 75/10 76/7 rare [2] 13/18 89/17 rate [1] 69/17 rather [5] 29/13 56/14 56/16 56/23 57/6 Ravenna [1] 1/9 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 77/3 84/18 87/22 96/6 105/11 relatively [2] 11/19 11/20 relocated [1] 18/23 remain [1] 23/11 remember [4] 34/3 45/6 51/14 68/5 remove [2] 8/4 12/20 removed [2] 10/24 18/1 renovated [1] 8/14 repair [3] 9/6 23/9 23/21 replace [2] 12/10 12/18 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 46/19 83/22 91/15 roughly [1] 79/17 running [2] 35/4 56/13 S safety [1] 51/13 said [8] 22/9 35/18 43/16 51/17 51/20 52/1 70/15 85/7 sake [1] 46/14 same [12] 8/10 11/14 11/19 11/20 28/10 30/7 31/6 60/10 |
| 30/13 31/15 35/2 48/12 48/13 72/25 73/4 85/3 85/4 98/16 101/11 102/7 problem [5] 40/1 43/21 43/24 44/3 59/8 proceed [2] 17/16 55/8 PROCEEDINGS [2] 1/15 2/1 process [6] 26/18 40/17 89/22 89/25 91/3 91/4 product [1] 26/3 productive [1] 95/15 professional [3] 10/17 105/5 105/17 professionally [1] 10/16 progress [2] 104/9 104/11 project [11] 5/18 7/4 17/4 17/17 20/13 20/16 20/23 21/16 22/8 22/24 26/2 projects [2] 4/13 4/21 proper [1] 50/6 properties [7] 39/12 45/22 47/6 47/7 47/10 89/24 90/6 property [14] 7/22 9/11 9/13 18/5 19/20 27/17 40/8 40/13 52/22 61/5 70/6 70/17 74/10 88/13 proportion [1] 87/10 proportional [3] 68/12 73/9 | 37/21 41/9 43/14 50/12 69/6 82/17 95/5 97/19 99/17 101/17 questions [8] 10/3 10/6 13/6 13/25 16/12 16/16 24/14 96/17 quick [1] 4/17 quiet [2] 3/18 4/1 quite [5] 16/3 34/22 47/15 90/21 103/12 R R-1 [8] 31/2 31/8 32/6 64/21 66/23 67/7 67/9 99/5 R-4 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 R-6 [2] 31/2 64/22 Radley [1] 21/22 raise [3] 8/16 8/17 8/17 raised [1] 9/19 ramifications [1] 48/5 ranch [11] 33/4 33/9 37/13 72/21 72/25 73/4 73/5 74/14 75/10 75/10 76/7 rare [2] 13/18 89/17 rather [5] 29/13 56/14 56/16 56/23 57/6 | refocus [1] 92/3 regard [1] 70/13 regard [1] 70/13 regarding [4] 6/5 27/1 27/13 50/23 Regardless [1] 60/19 regards [1] 56/12 Registered [2] 105/5 105/17 REGULAR [1] 1/4 regulate [2] 31/6 45/18 regulations [4] 28/2 29/14 39/9 51/16 rehabilitation [2] 12/2 12/3 reinforcement [1] 19/7 related [1] 50/17 related [1] 50/17 relates [3] 56/7 56/9 75/7 relating [1] 33/20 relation [1] 34/22 relationship [1] 70/8 relative [8] 38/10 68/10 69/8 77/3 84/18 87/22 96/6 105/11 relatively [2] 11/19 11/20 relocated [1] 18/23 remain [1] 23/11 remember [4] 34/3 45/6 51/14 68/5 remove [2] 8/4 12/20 removed [2] 10/24 18/1 renovated [1] 8/14 repair [3] 9/6 23/9 23/21 | Rich [15] 1/20 10/6 45/24 53/9 56/10 59/8 59/11 60/4 79/14 79/23 87/4 87/6 87/16 89/20 91/3 Rich's [3] 85/18 91/2 101/9 Richard [1] 1/20 ride [1] 56/1 ride-behind [1] 56/1 right [117] rip [1] 15/21 road [7] 1/9 5/19 6/14 8/6 11/18 72/14 80/21 Road's [1] 19/20 rock [1] 20/10 role [1] 21/16 roll [2] 2/7 25/12 roof [5] 12/17 12/18 30/6 49/4 49/16 room [6] 6/25 14/21 40/9 46/19 83/22 91/15 roughly [1] 79/17 running [2] 35/4 56/13 S safety [1] 51/13 said [8] 22/9 35/18 43/16 51/17 51/20 52/1 70/15 85/7 sake [1] 46/14 same [12] 8/10 11/14 11/19 |

| S | shed [1] 47/11 | 46/18 64/8 | staff [15] 10/17 13/9 13/12 |
|--|--|--|---|
| say [30] 3/4 21/24 28/21 | sheds [1] 44/16 | smoker [1] 102/14 | 13/18 13/19 14/18 14/20 |
| 31/17 32/6 35/7 35/17 41/21 | sheet [5] 17/18 18/4 18/18 | so [158] | 14/22 16/23 16/25 17/2 17/4 |
| 44/4 46/11 46/17 48/3 51/3 | 19/25 20/9 | soft [1] 49/23 | 17/9 17/11 25/6 |
| 51/21 53/24 58/8 59/3 59/18 | shingles [1] 12/23 | soft-side [1] 49/23 | stand [2] 7/11 55/25 |
| 60/6 60/6 68/20 71/18 72/24 | should [7] 10/18 35/24 36/3 | some [57] 8/20 9/6 9/19 | stand-behind [1] 55/25 |
| 75/9 79/13 79/15 82/3 87/5 | 37/23 44/21 51/1 69/5 | 9/19 9/20 9/25 12/10 14/5 | standard [6] 43/1 43/2 |
| 94/12 96/11 | shouldn't [1] 65/12 | 14/13 17/3 17/10 19/19 19/25 | |
| saying [21] 14/25 15/2 32/2 | show [10] 17/19 17/25 18/4 | 27/11 27/11 27/14 27/22 28/1 | |
| 35/12 36/17 40/6 44/15 49/5 | 18/7 18/18 18/22 19/13 20/12 | 29/13 38/16 40/4 40/5 40/12 | standpoint [1] 59/20 |
| 50/22 56/6 58/9 63/10 65/21 | 20/15 26/17 | 42/9 43/17 43/18 45/5 45/14 | stands [1] 74/18 |
| 65/25 66/17 67/15 77/6 78/14 | showing [2] 14/7 14/20 | | start [5] 2/6 7/17 34/4 49/21 |
| 89/8 89/20 90/9 | shown [2] 9/4 20/1 | 53/24 54/1 54/4 54/16 54/25 | 68/10 |
| says [7] 44/7 58/15 58/18 | shows [1] 11/6 | 55/13 68/2 68/18 69/11 70/8 | starting [1] 85/19 |
| 58/19 74/22 74/22 94/7 | shut [1] 93/11 | 70/16 83/4 92/15 92/19 94/22 | state [4] 21/18 105/2 105/6 |
| scale [1] 30/8 | side [14] 11/2 18/7 49/23 52/2 52/4 52/5 55/23 55/24 | 95/5 95/18 98/18 102/16 103/22 103/24 104/8 104/8 | 105/17 stated [1] 44/12 |
| scale-wise [1] 30/8 | 78/5 80/1 80/3 80/12 90/6 | 104/9 | stated [1] 44/12 stay [3] 35/13 69/4 86/18 |
| scattered [1] 56/25 | 90/13 | somebody [7] 39/8 40/12 | stay [3] 33/13 69/4 86/18 stayed [1] 34/25 |
| scenario [1] 39/15 | sided [1] 48/25 | 46/2 46/13 59/23 92/5 102/3 | stayed [1] 34/23 stays [4] 10/18 10/19 10/20 |
| schedule [4] 55/12 57/18 | sides [2] 50/1 63/5 | someone [2] 33/9 51/16 | 12/9 |
| 102/25 103/5 | sidewalk [2] 19/5 19/8 | something [20] 30/18 34/3 | stenotype [1] 105/9 |
| schematic [1] 18/4 | siding [2] 8/11 12/9 | 34/6 35/19 39/10 40/9 46/12 | step [1] 53/19 |
| scheme [1] 56/12 | sight [1] 57/7 | 47/14 48/5 52/6 53/24 62/18 | stick [3] 34/10 35/12 35/21 |
| Schindler [4] 1/21 2/14 | sign [1] 18/7 | 65/5 65/18 91/17 91/22 92/10 | |
| 16/11 25/15 | signs [1] 5/5 | 92/21 93/18 93/19 | 45/10 50/11 57/21 61/6 62/14 |
| screening [1] 9/20 | similar [4] 8/5 8/8 11/15 | sometimes [1] 45/25 | 62/15 72/5 75/19 84/20 91/8 |
| scrutinize [1] 33/17 second [10] 3/2 25/10 25/11 | 80/8 | somewhere [1] 37/23 | 92/15 92/18 94/16 |
| 60/17 60/25 61/1 61/5 88/11 | since [1] 19/4 | sore [1] 65/8 | storage [1] 27/20 |
| 91/13 91/14 | single [5] 27/18 27/19 30/11 | sorry [17] 7/9 10/8 15/1 | store [4] 5/7 28/22 38/20 |
| section [8] 27/2 28/2 28/24 | 30/16 99/6 | 28/15 35/5 35/9 36/20 40/23 | 99/15 |
| 29/12 42/22 42/23 43/4 57/16 | single-family [4] 27/18 | 41/23 42/20 43/23 53/15 | stories [3] 77/19 77/19 |
| Sections [1] 27/3 | 27/19 30/11 99/6 | 82/16 86/2 86/2 93/21 95/12 | 82/22 |
| see [20] 15/25 16/3 22/11 | sir [2] 16/10 22/25 | sort [7] 7/18 8/12 8/16 8/25 | storm [1] 19/25 |
| 23/15 29/23 39/5 39/6 54/9 | sit [2] 57/4 69/12 | 9/14 9/23 54/22 | Stormwater [1] 17/6 |
| 54/25 62/23 63/5 71/18 76/25 | site [7] 5/17 6/11 25/5 25/25 | source [1] 70/18 | story [6] 30/12 30/14 30/16 |
| 86/1 90/10 90/14 90/17 | 61/2 70/4 70/17 | south [1] 9/21 | 55/19 56/4 62/22 |
| 101/24 104/1 104/15 | sits [1] 74/17 | southwest [1] 20/1 | straight [1] 29/7 |
| seeding [1] 20/10 | sitting [2] 52/7 56/21 | southwesterly [1] 19/19 | street [2] 62/23 90/10 |
| seeing [1] 26/2 | situated [2] 62/9 99/1 situation [3] 62/3 63/8 | space [19] 5/7 10/12 14/10 15/10 15/14 16/7 49/16 51/13 | strictly [3] 13/9 28/7 28/19 |
| seems [5] 43/8 43/18 72/23 | 79/24 | 52/3 61/24 68/13 69/24 78/15 | |
| 87/22 91/6 | six [3] 21/2 53/7 56/1 | 87/23 87/25 88/15 98/2 101/1 | |
| seen [2] 80/22 82/21 | sixty [1] 77/24 | 102/16 | stuff [8] 26/13 38/17 51/9 |
| select [2] 62/14 62/16 | size [36] 20/2 27/15 29/1 | spaces [4] 13/9 13/10 49/16 | 55/24 56/15 57/2 95/22 |
| sense [8] 33/2 39/20 42/11 | 35/13 36/14 37/4 40/2 43/3 | 51/10 | 104/10 |
| 44/20 70/22 72/1 90/24 90/25 | 51/2 60/19 62/1 62/5 62/7 | spacing [1] 61/3 | subdivision [1] 45/21 |
| separate [4] 96/10 97/5 97/7 97/18 | 65/20 66/18 66/21 68/25 | speak [4] 6/7 6/17 6/24 | subdivisions [2] 45/19 50/23 |
| 97/18 Serdinak [1] 7/2 | 71/19 72/2 72/21 72/25 73/5 | 21/17 | subject [1] 25/6 |
| session [2] 33/21 102/22 | 73/10 73/14 75/7 76/16 81/1 | speakers [1] 6/25 | submit [1] 21/2 |
| set [5] 8/6 15/12 21/8 96/1 | 84/13 84/19 87/23 88/3 88/20 | speaking [1] 93/1 | submitted [3] 2/25 6/12 |
| 102/15 | 88/21 88/23 90/25 91/1 | specific [1] 29/23 | 25/6 |
| setback [3] 11/13 11/18 | sized [1] 73/3 | specifically [1] 29/21 | subordinate [1] 98/24 |
| 52/12 | sizes [6] 33/17 34/4 40/1 | specified [1] 105/10 | subsequently [1] 5/16 |
| seven [2] 13/8 60/9 | 50/25 67/8 69/8 | spent [4] 54/5 54/6 103/10 | substantial [1] 91/8 |
| Seventy [1] 77/25 | skylights [1] 12/19 | 103/11 | sufficiently [1] 7/24 |
| sewers [1] 20/1 | slight [1] 19/17 | spitballing [1] 64/13 | suggest [1] 43/14 |
| shall [5] 30/1 32/11 77/9 | slightly [3] 8/5 8/6 11/21 | splits [1] 45/22 | suggested [2] 85/1 86/25 |
| 93/13 94/8 | small [4] 46/16 46/22 73/1 | spot [2] 19/13 54/20 | suggesting [1] 61/7 |
| shame [2] 39/21 39/22 | 83/17 | square [119] | suggestion [1] 50/15 |
| shape [1] 24/11 | smaller [5] 38/13 39/17 72/4 76/8 83/10 | squeeze [1] 98/14 squirrel [2] 95/5 99/17 | suggestions [1] 27/11 suits [1] 61/5 |
| share [2] 21/15 55/14 | smallish [1] 72/25 | squirrelly [7] 92/20 93/2 | Super [2] 22/2 55/10 |
| Shayna [2] 21/20 22/9 | smoke [1] 102/12 | 93/6 93/8 93/10 94/22 95/4 | supposed [2] 44/8 44/11 |
| she [1] 51/17 | smokehouse [3] 46/15 | SS [1] 105/2 | sure [26] 4/23 11/22 12/15 |
| | J | | Jan C [20] 1/23 11/22 12/13 |
| 1 | İ | | |

thirty [5] 31/21 31/21 31/24 56/14 56/16 56/19 56/23 treat [1] 49/7 sure... [23] 12/25 17/15 56/24 57/5 57/6 60/1 60/14 37/18 86/16 **treeline [1]** 9/17 21/20 21/25 23/3 27/7 27/16 62/24 67/16 67/24 70/2 70/9 **Thirty-five [1]** 31/24 **trees [3]** 9/19 63/6 85/17 29/18 30/8 31/14 31/18 35/10 70/16 81/8 82/21 86/22 91/22 thirty-two [1] 37/18 **tried [1]** 16/4 37/2 39/23 45/12 51/6 51/10 though [6] 8/12 36/2 42/5 **themselves** [1] 35/17 **triple [1]** 56/1 54/6 54/10 88/9 94/22 98/18 **therefore** [1] 30/4 63/14 79/6 83/11 **trucks** [1] 55/4 103/16 these [18] 17/12 17/14 thought [9] 40/17 44/10 **true [3]** 34/20 71/14 105/8 surface [1] 19/9 17/15 20/2 29/15 29/18 33/16 49/20 53/22 54/4 69/12 89/22 trusses [1] 34/1 **surrounding [1]** 40/11 try [5] 7/24 9/8 9/25 12/8 33/22 34/18 48/8 50/17 50/23 91/3 91/3 **survey [1]** 23/18 50/25 51/15 56/7 57/13 89/12 thoughts [4] 53/25 54/16 **swale [1]** 19/17 98/22 55/14 81/3 trying [20] 28/16 29/1 33/19 **swimming** [1] 5/3 they [59] 5/7 7/21 13/19 thousand [2] 72/22 74/2 37/2 39/19 51/14 51/21 66/2 **SWPPP [1]** 20/9 17/10 17/15 18/4 20/3 29/1 **three [34]** 5/2 5/2 5/3 18/17 68/2 68/18 68/19 68/21 70/21 29/7 33/12 33/13 33/13 35/16 42/5 42/6 42/9 43/11 51/4 72/11 92/4 92/23 95/6 100/14 35/20 39/9 39/13 40/9 45/7 51/5 51/23 52/1 52/13 53/1 100/15 101/2 table [4] 29/16 29/20 30/24 45/10 46/13 46/15 46/23 47/8 53/2 53/3 53/8 55/4 59/19 **Tuesday** [1] 2/4 103/14 47/11 48/11 49/3 49/20 50/1 60/7 79/14 79/18 80/25 82/21 turf [1] 19/7 tables [1] 20/10 50/1 52/2 55/2 59/1 59/24 83/16 85/4 94/12 97/22 101/7 **turn [1]** 6/6 **Taco [2]** 5/14 5/18 60/16 60/17 60/24 69/16 102/2 102/2 102/8 102/10 **TV [1]** 65/6 take [13] 9/1 9/24 10/3 50/7 71/18 71/19 72/2 72/3 72/16 104/11 **tweak [1]** 102/19 55/11 58/23 60/17 72/6 79/8 72/17 81/17 81/20 82/3 83/16 three-car [19] 42/5 42/6 **Twenty [3]** 41/22 67/19 86/7 79/9 91/16 91/25 94/4 83/17 84/1 84/3 91/12 91/13 42/9 51/4 51/5 51/23 52/1 **Twenty percent [1]** 67/19 **taken [1]** 105/9 91/14 91/14 91/16 91/17 52/13 53/1 53/2 79/14 79/18 **twice [4]** 39/22 39/23 66/17 **takes** [1] 51/23 91/18 92/19 101/25 80/25 83/16 94/12 97/22 84/9 taking [2] 27/12 94/5 they'd [1] 49/12 101/7 102/2 102/2 two [106] talk [5] 10/3 33/21 54/7 they'll [2] 48/12 48/13 through [9] 6/25 10/4 14/5 two-acre [1] 33/13 82/23 96/16 they're [22] 11/16 23/6 39/5 22/9 23/5 26/18 28/15 62/25 two-car [2] 62/24 102/2 **talked [1]** 96/13 45/11 45/15 45/17 45/20 80/20 two-family [1] 89/1 talking [3] 64/12 66/12 46/19 47/11 48/9 48/9 48/24 **throw [1]** 68/16 **two-story [4]** 30/12 55/19 86/11 49/11 50/18 62/11 62/12 **thrown [1]** 40/5 56/4 62/22 **tall [4]** 9/14 9/16 30/21 62/12 69/16 80/23 81/18 tighten [2] 96/22 102/20 **type [3]** 8/10 49/9 57/7 82/22 82/21 101/25 types [1] 70/15 time [18] 10/17 10/19 13/20 taller [3] 30/5 30/7 56/3 **they've [4]** 38/14 50/6 91/14 16/18 33/8 35/22 40/14 54/6 typical [2] 29/6 94/12 tax [7] 69/15 69/20 70/6 91/18 55/11 55/11 78/11 90/4 **typically [2]** 80/10 102/2 70/10 70/14 70/18 78/18 thick [2] 11/7 16/1 103/10 103/10 103/11 103/23 taxes [1] 78/17 **thing [16]** 4/4 14/19 36/1 104/6 105/9 **technically [2]** 28/9 33/12 39/7 39/24 39/25 46/2 52/7 **Uh [7]** 22/15 27/25 32/1 52/9 times [10] 61/23 65/19 temporary [3] 48/17 48/20 57/24 63/4 83/19 54/3 54/7 54/8 57/8 69/2 66/19 66/20 67/23 85/4 86/16 49/21 **Uh-huh [7]** 22/15 27/25 32/1 89/10 92/24 103/19 87/14 87/14 104/12 ten [2] 44/22 51/19 52/9 57/24 63/4 83/19 things [19] 12/17 16/7 22/1 **tiny [1]** 46/16 **term [1]** 29/22 **under [8]** 14/8 15/17 17/9 27/5 34/9 36/12 38/20 38/21 today [3] 6/16 6/17 33/9 text [1] 92/20 46/10 51/13 51/14 56/12 together [4] 22/13 95/19 19/25 26/25 29/14 29/23 than [44] 11/23 13/20 21/13 95/22 95/22 56/13 57/4 64/13 69/14 70/15 42/23 21/16 30/6 31/4 32/3 36/20 **understand [15]** 17/15 tonight [1] 96/14 89/12 102/20 36/21 37/5 44/5 45/22 49/8 21/24 29/11 34/21 35/11 think [83] 5/8 7/7 9/5 12/11 too [17] 4/1 14/18 21/19 51/21 56/3 56/24 58/10 58/24 35/19 38/13 62/6 68/19 70/25 12/19 12/21 13/20 16/20 26/23 26/24 33/22 34/4 34/9 60/9 65/14 65/18 65/22 66/1 71/11 81/25 82/18 89/19 40/10 46/5 50/24 51/22 54/5 23/19 31/15 32/3 34/20 35/1 66/25 67/1 67/2 68/14 69/17 102/17 35/23 35/24 35/24 36/1 36/3 57/5 68/5 70/12 96/21 72/13 72/17 73/15 73/24 **Understood [2]** 17/23 18/2 36/10 38/11 39/14 39/20 took [3] 5/7 39/9 52/25 74/25 76/2 76/11 78/16 80/7 Unfortunately [1] 9/24 39/23 39/24 40/3 40/7 40/15 **total [13]** 31/5 31/11 47/23 80/9 83/11 90/8 90/13 90/17 unit [3] 35/4 35/13 49/17 40/16 44/6 44/9 45/4 45/24 58/20 59/4 63/21 64/25 81/13 101/3 101/4 units [3] 4/16 9/21 49/18 52/24 54/2 54/17 56/11 56/21 81/14 81/15 82/8 85/1 97/21 thank [26] 3/24 5/25 10/9 unless [2] 26/11 65/2 57/9 57/10 57/11 57/19 57/22 **totally [2]** 60/2 83/8 13/3 13/22 16/9 22/2 22/18 unlimited [1] 43/9 60/4 60/5 63/13 63/14 68/2 touch [1] 24/11 22/19 24/13 24/22 25/8 26/4 unnecessarily [1] 59/20 70/12 70/19 70/20 71/20 towards [2] 19/6 19/8 26/5 26/9 26/10 26/18 26/20 unreasonable [1] 63/14 82/12 83/16 85/7 87/11 90/2 town [2] 1/8 54/25 40/21 43/5 54/3 54/5 77/11 **unsightly [1]** 39/11 90/23 91/8 92/3 92/13 92/14 township [9] 1/2 2/3 17/8 93/24 104/3 104/17 **until [4]** 9/17 11/1 72/17 92/22 94/23 95/14 95/15 69/23 70/1 70/7 70/11 70/18 **Thanks** [1] 26/6 103/14 95/16 95/17 95/21 96/1 96/23 85/4 that [321] 98/15 101/14 101/16 101/17 toys [2] 55/22 55/23 **up [46]** 4/14 6/7 6/10 7/10 **Thee [1]** 5/7 track [1] 57/9 8/11 9/4 9/15 9/25 12/12 101/20 101/23 102/18 102/21 their [8] 40/13 47/13 55/1 12/20 12/22 15/21 16/6 16/6 102/24 103/4 103/6 104/7 **tractors** [1] 57/2 55/2 56/13 56/15 70/6 75/23 21/13 21/17 22/20 23/21 104/9 **trailers** [1] 55/4 them [27] 34/2 38/24 44/20 27/11 31/12 34/18 44/5 45/24 **thinking [1]** 48/22 **transcript [2]** 1/15 105/8

47/11 49/7 50/2 50/7 55/5

third [1] 51/1

trash [1] 9/3

| | 1 | 1 | T |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| U | walks [1] 8/21 | welcome [2] 21/13 21/16 | who [3] 21/12 21/15 27/10 |
| | walls [3] 5/5 49/3 49/17 | well [31] 26/17 27/10 32/16 | whoever [1] 48/7 |
| up [23] 46/12 47/14 49/23 | want [70] 6/7 7/17 7/25 9/13 | | whole [3] 39/7 85/4 92/10 |
| 51/15 51/19 51/24 53/3 53/19 | 12/7 12/15 12/23 15/8 16/22 | 42/11 44/22 47/22 53/10 54/3 | |
| 60/5 64/19 71/21 74/4 76/20 | 17/14 18/4 24/11 26/11 27/5 | 57/20 58/6 58/15 59/3 62/14 | 37/7 37/17 52/21 55/13 61/15 |
| 80/2 83/18 85/1 93/11 93/25 | 30/8 33/22 35/10 35/20 37/11 | | |
| 94/20 95/17 96/22 97/14 | | | |
| 101/12 | 37/15 38/20 39/13 46/4 46/5 | 84/1 85/17 86/12 87/5 88/25 | 74/7 74/20 75/24 76/3 76/21 |
| update [2] 4/11 18/12 | 46/17 46/18 46/21 47/11 48/3 | | 98/22 |
| updates [1] 33/16 | 48/11 49/20 50/25 51/10 | went [4] 5/6 23/4 72/22 92/6 | |
| updating [1] 29/20 | 51/16 52/22 53/23 54/3 54/7 | were [22] 2/21 4/25 5/1 5/2 | 78/6 80/7 80/9 80/10 |
| upon [1] 61/2 | 54/8 55/4 55/5 57/16 57/17 | 5/13 5/15 16/24 28/20 29/1 | wider [1] 8/6 |
| upstairs [1] 83/25 | 57/19 59/2 59/18 60/6 60/6 | 33/13 33/17 37/1 38/12 39/9 | width [1] 31/21 |
| us [16] 2/6 4/8 9/23 21/9 | 60/13 60/16 60/24 63/11 64/8 | 40/6 44/25 47/8 47/23 90/9 | wife [1] 55/19 |
| 21/15 27/6 40/7 43/17 45/11 | 65/9 67/24 69/3 70/12 70/22 | 94/23 96/5 105/9 | wiggle [2] 40/8 91/15 |
| | 76/1 81/3 81/5 84/20 85/11 | weren't [2] 2/20 11/22 | wild [3] 70/23 70/23 92/6 |
| 55/12 55/14 59/20 64/3 68/14 | 85/22 86/18 87/11 89/7 91/14 | | will [15] 2/24 5/16 10/15 |
| 86/19 103/6 | 92/8 94/22 | what [94] 7/17 7/19 9/9 9/22 | |
| use [18] 7/25 8/10 15/8 22/1 | wanted [13] 7/17 11/21 | 10/13 10/22 10/24 12/1 12/2 | 48/11 63/12 70/6 70/10 85/3 |
| 27/17 28/5 28/10 29/14 29/17 | 21/22 27/14 22/12 24/6 40/10 | | 90/13 104/16 |
| 29/22 45/13 50/2 92/19 98/24 | 46/15 50/21 53/10 55/14 | 21/15 23/4 28/25 29/1 29/24 | willing [1] 24/24 |
| 98/25 99/1 99/3 99/6 | | | |
| used [4] 16/6 27/18 47/8 | 55/15 101/10 | 31/1 34/3 34/8 36/7 37/1 39/6 | |
| 83/15 | wants [2] 32/17 62/17 | 39/7 39/18 41/20 42/8 44/6 | windows [1] 12/7 |
| useful [1] 15/13 | warehouse [1] 69/1 | 44/7 44/10 44/11 45/11 46/9 | wing [2] 12/2 12/3 |
| uses [5] 29/15 29/16 29/16 | was [42] 2/21 2/23 5/9 7/18 | 46/25 47/18 47/20 48/17 49/5 | |
| 29/18 103/22 | 10/22 11/13 23/25 29/12 | 49/20 50/8 50/22 51/17 51/21 | |
| usually [2] 83/10 102/1 | 29/24 30/4 30/23 31/15 31/16 | | without [2] 15/19 40/10 |
| utility [1] 19/24 | 31/16 33/18 38/2 38/4 39/18 | 53/11 54/1 54/17 55/6 55/18 | won't [1] 15/10 |
| <u>utility [1]</u> 19/24 | 40/21 40/24 42/8 42/9 49/20 | 57/19 59/2 59/7 59/8 60/5 | woods [2] 63/3 69/25 |
| V | 50/8 50/16 50/22 51/21 52/23 | 62/8 63/10 67/8 68/3 68/21 | words [5] 14/10 30/25 37/10 |
| | 52/24 52/25 53/1 53/5 83/17 | 69/3 70/20 70/21 70/22 70/23 | |
| vacant [1] 5/7 | 84/25 86/24 90/23 92/5 95/4 | 71/18 72/10 72/14 73/20 | work [11] 8/20 9/6 16/4 |
| valid [1] 60/5 | 95/5 96/11 98/18 104/18 | 73/25 74/14 75/9 77/6 78/14 | 33/21 33/25 57/16 85/19 |
| valuations [1] 69/13 | washout [1] 20/10 | 79/13 81/2 84/24 85/9 89/8 | 96/12 102/22 103/18 104/4 |
| value [4] 68/12 68/13 70/4 | watch [1] 26/12 | 89/20 92/4 92/5 92/23 93/9 | worked [1] 95/21 |
| 78/16 | watching [1] 6/23 | 94/3 95/14 96/1 96/13 99/5 | works [1] 70/22 |
| values [1] 20/23 | water [3] 19/6 19/19 19/19 | 100/11 | world [1] 86/25 |
| vapor [1] 12/16 | | 1 | |
| variance [3] 46/24 47/3 | way [18] 6/19 8/8 24/8 28/8 | what's [5] 9/12 9/23 10/23 | worry [2] 34/9 34/11 |
| 59/24 | 31/6 32/16 34/1 35/20 39/9 | 12/13 48/4 | worse [1] 11/22 |
| variances [1] 47/6 | 43/9 57/21 58/12 58/13 58/14 | | would [78] 6/3 6/17 6/18 |
| various [2] 4/13 17/3 | 62/25 74/25 87/20 95/19 | 40/7 56/2 60/12 66/11 66/14 | 8/13 8/13 8/15 8/21 8/23 8/25 |
| vegetation [2] 9/11 9/20 | ways [1] 8/8 | 71/7 72/23 74/4 76/19 85/21 | 9/3 9/4 9/18 10/4 12/12 12/18 |
| vehicle [1] 30/18 | we [213] | 98/7 | 12/21 14/5 14/12 14/16 14/17 |
| vehicles [1] 99/15 | we'd [5] 2/18 9/20 33/8 | when [10] 7/21 8/16 13/1 | 15/9 15/13 15/16 16/5 16/6 |
| verbiage [2] 57/12 65/7 | 103/25 104/1 | 35/16 38/11 43/6 51/15 68/10 | 16/12 17/25 18/22 18/25 19/4 |
| version [1] 11/6 | we'll [9] 3/12 8/20 12/12 | 97/20 101/24 | 21/2 21/12 24/24 25/12 28/17 |
| versus [3] 56/20 69/24 70/22 | 12/16 12/22 14/6 26/8 102/21 | where [41] 2/20 7/17 7/18 | 28/25 29/25 30/12 31/8 34/9 |
| very [21] 8/4 8/8 11/15 | 103/14 | 8/5 14/15 14/16 14/18 23/15 | 34/21 35/1 35/14 35/15 37/14 |
| 13/18 22/16 22/16 24/10 | we're [61] 4/15 7/3 7/7 7/18 | 25/4 31/14 31/18 31/19 33/21 | 39/14 42/25 43/13 45/10 |
| | 7/19 7/19 8/3 9/22 12/20 13/1 | 33/24 35/16 35/17 36/25 | 46/14 48/6 49/8 56/14 56/16 |
| 26/18 43/8 51/22 53/22 77/12 | 14/7 14/12 14/20 16/18 23/20 | | |
| 77/13 77/14 77/15 87/22 | 23/21 26/17 32/2 34/5 34/12 | 43/16 45/19 45/21 47/8 50/18 | |
| 87/25 95/15 95/18 95/20 | 36/2 36/17 39/6 39/18 39/22 | 51/25 58/2 59/16 59/19 62/9 | 73/4 77/24 81/20 86/12 86/20 |
| 104/8 | 42/17 51/14 51/15 57/9 57/10 | | 87/9 87/12 91/5 97/25 101/3 |
| Vice [1] 1/19 | 57/10 57/11 60/1 60/8 60/10 | 84/10 86/14 95/20 96/2 | 101/4 101/13 103/6 104/15 |
| Vice-Chair [1] 1/19 | 65/21 66/12 66/22 67/16 | 102/19 | wouldn't [12] 30/13 34/8 |
| video [1] 6/23 | 67/21 68/21 70/21 71/8 72/11 | | 35/3 35/22 37/5 37/15 55/4 |
| view [1] 68/11 | | | |
| viewpoints [1] 95/25 | 78/14 86/11 89/11 89/11 | whether [4] 12/9 27/14 61/4 | |
| visitor [1] 13/14 | 89/14 91/21 92/4 92/14 92/15 | | Wow [2] 5/25 99/24 |
| visitors [1] 13/16 | 92/23 95/20 96/17 100/15 | which [25] 4/3 9/4 14/8 18/5 | |
| voice [2] 7/10 55/16 | 100/19 101/2 102/18 104/8 | 20/8 28/6 30/3 31/6 32/14 | writing [1] 51/15 |
| | we've [12] 2/4 36/11 70/24 | | written [7] 28/8 31/15 39/10 |
| W | 71/17 84/24 90/2 92/13 95/15 | | 57/21 74/25 82/13 87/20 |
| Waffle [1] 5/19 | 95/16 96/1 103/18 104/9 | 72/14 92/16 92/24 98/25 99/1 | X |
| walk [1] 56/1 | weather [1] 57/5 | 105/9 | |
| | weird [1] 101/18 | whichever [1] 23/23 | XV [3] 27/3 28/2 28/24 |
| | | | |

yard [10] 8/19 19/5 52/4 52/5 52/6 56/20 78/5 80/3 80/4 80/6 yards [1] 51/11 **year [2]** 4/14 4/16 **years [1]** 62/21 **yeoman's [1]** 103/18 **Yep [1]** 78/21 yes [68] 4/19 10/7 11/9 12/5 14/19 14/19 15/18 16/15 17/21 18/10 18/15 19/10 19/15 19/21 20/5 20/11 20/14 20/18 20/24 21/5 21/10 25/14 25/16 25/18 25/20 25/22 30/20 32/5 40/19 40/23 40/25 42/24 43/12 52/11 59/14 60/15 61/12 61/14 61/22 61/25 64/5 64/5 75/17 78/3 78/22 79/1 79/7 80/15 80/15 83/12 83/12 86/7 89/4 90/1 90/7 90/15 90/18 93/3 96/9 99/4 99/8 99/18 99/23 100/1 100/9 100/16 104/5 104/6 yet [1] 15/3 you [302] you'd [4] 21/15 22/23 53/19 53/24 **you'll [5]** 6/6 7/9 13/8 29/23 34/15 you're [33] 6/10 14/25 15/2 15/3 16/14 20/6 20/19 20/25 21/13 21/16 35/4 35/18 36/21 36/23 45/19 51/3 52/15 55/11 61/24 65/25 66/17 67/15 68/24 71/22 74/10 77/6 79/25 86/23 89/20 90/9 93/20 95/1 101/11 you've [24] 22/10 38/19 39/13 46/2 46/12 51/8 51/12 53/20 54/16 59/16 61/20 65/22 69/19 71/11 71/16 73/6 73/6 74/9 76/19 79/2 79/20 80/16 84/16 100/2 younger [1] 47/10 your [25] 5/16 6/6 6/18 7/10 14/9 18/6 21/15 21/18 26/1 50/11 51/8 52/7 52/21 53/16 53/20 55/10 55/12 64/8 66/20 73/6 80/1 80/4 94/18 101/3 101/4 yourself [4] 2/22 6/18 7/1 55/16 **zoning [16]** 1/2 1/18 1/23 1/23 2/3 4/5 4/11 4/12 5/15 6/4 17/4 22/13 27/1 45/8 62/18 92/1