	Page 1
1	CONCORD TOWNSHIP ZONING COMMISSION
	LAKE COUNTY, OHIO
2	REGULAR MEETING
3	
4	
5	Concord Town Hall
	7229 Ravenna Road
6	Concord, Ohio 44077
7	
8	July 11, 2023
	7:00 p.m.
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	
12	
13	Zoning Commission members present:
14	Rich Peterson, Chairman
	Hiram Reppert, Member
15	Frank Schindler, Member
	Rich Iafelice, Member
16	
17	
18	Also Present:
19	Heather Freeman, Planning & Zoning Director/Zoning
	Inspector
20	Keith Petersen, Esq., Legal Counsel
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
Page 3
                  MR. REPPERT: No comments here,
1
2
           Mr. Chairman.
3
                  CHAIRMAN PETERSON: That's a good sign.
                  MR. IAFELICE: Motion to approve
5
           the minutes, Mr. Chairman.
                  CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Do we have a
6
           second?
7
8
                  MR. SCHINDLER: I second.
9
                  CHAIRMAN PETERSON: All in favor, say
10
           aye.
11
                  MR. IAFELICE: Aye.
12
                  MR. REPPERT:
                                    Aye.
1.3
                  MR. SCHINDLER:
                                Aye.
14
                  CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Aye.
15
                  Okay, minutes. Time for
16
           correspondence. I'll start on my left with
17
           Frank. Did you have anything, Frank?
18
                  MR. SCHINDLER: Nothing,
19
           Mr. Chairman.
20
                  CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Hiram?
21
                  MR. REPPERT: Nothing,
22
          Mr. Chairman.
2.3
                  CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Rich?
2.4
                                    All quiet.
                  MR. IAFELICE:
25
                  CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Same here, all
```

- 1 quiet. I have nothing.
- 2 So we will move on to the next one,
- 3 public participation, and seeing no one here,
- 4 it appears we have no public participation
- 5 tonight.
- 6 We have no new business on the agenda
- 7 tonight but we do have old business. It's
- going to be a work session to review swimming
- 9 pool fence enclosure requirements and attached
- 10 garage size for dwellings in residential
- 11 districts. And we have a handout here that
- 12 Heather put together with the recommended
- wording changes.
- 14 Heather, you want to take us through
- 15 this?
- MS. FREEMAN: Sure.
- So as a follow-up to last month's
- 18 meeting when I first presented this idea to
- the Commission, I wanted to strengthen the
- 20 fence enclosure requirements for in-ground
- 21 swimming pools.
- You'll see Section 31.04 B, which
- relates to permanent above-ground or in-ground
- swimming pools, I recommend some changes there
- you will see in red.

1	I did notice a typo under B 3 though.
2	I put the should be "ground" instead of
3	"gound."
4	But I think this will eliminate any
5	doubt in anyone's mind that some kind of
6	temporary fence would be sufficient, where in
7	fact we do want a permanent, sturdy fence to
8	prevent any kind of access to the pool, unless
9	it is controlled access.
10	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Very good. I think
11	it is a good idea too, the sturdy
12	construction. Because I've seen people, and
13	maybe it's mainly during the construction
14	phase where they use the orange plastic snow
15	fence, which is pretty flimsy. Some people
16	may think that that could be a permanent
17	solution, and it's really not. So, okay.
18	Any discussion on any of these changes
19	in the red that we have here in Section B?
20	Anything you're uncomfortable with, or
21	you would like to add? I think they look
22	great.
23	MR. IAFELICE: In terms of public
24	safety, it seems quite appropriate to
25	strengthen that language as you have that.

- days, so that works. Okay.
- Yes, if we wanted to initiate that, set
- a public hearing for August 1st at 7:00, we
- 4 could do that.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: So you want to
- 6 modify the motion to put it for public
- 7 hearing.
- 8 MR. REPPERT: For public hearing.
- 9 Public hearing to be August 1st for the
- 10 changes that we have on Section 31 for
- swimming pools.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Second on that?
- 13 MR. IAFELICE: I'll second that
- 14 amended motion.
- 15 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: All in favor of
- that, aye.
- MR. IAFELICE: Aye.
- MR. REPPERT: Aye.
- MR. SCHINDLER: Aye.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: We'll put that on
- 21 for August 1st then.
- 22 The other item for discussion is the
- garage sizes. Heather's given us not only the
- recommended wording change, but some examples
- 25 here of some of the homes in the area with

1	larger	garages	$W \subset$	can	11.S.E.	as	а	reference.
_	Tar gcr	garages	W C	Can	abc	$\alpha  \circ$	а	T CT CT CITCC.

2 So, again, background on this Heather, 3 you want to?

MS. FREEMAN: Sure. My initial reason for bringing this up to you at the last 5 meeting was due to some concern I had 6 regarding actually a home that recently put on 7 8 a substantially large garage addition. That 9 garage addition was about 3,000 square feet, 10 which the house itself I believe the square 11 footage of the living area for the home is

about 2,000 square feet.

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

My concern was not only like the garage being larger than the house itself, but actually the way the house was designed, and this garage, the garage — I don't know that we're addressing the zoning resolution or not, but the garage is more dominant than the home itself in height, in square footage.

Upon looking at some older version of our zoning resolution text, I saw that we used to have something in there that limited the size of the private garage attached to a home in relationship to the living area of the home.

```
I thought well, if we had it in there
 1
 2
            before, maybe we should consider adding it
 3
            back in.
                   This could be a one-time issue, that we
            never deal with again. But, it could be
 5
            something that we might want to have in there,
 6
 7
            just to ensure that someone constructing a
 8
            dwelling in Concord, the main use of that
 9
            dwelling is going to be to live there, not
10
            just to have a huge garage and a tiny house.
11
                   MR. SCHINDLER: Do you know why we
12
            ever removed it, the first thing?
                   MS. FREEMAN:
                                     I think it was in
1.3
14
            advertent where we combined a lot of separate
            sections.
15
                                     I remember going back
16
                  MR. SCHINDLER:
17
            25 percent I thought was the number. I
            thought to myself, when did that change.
18
19
                   MS. FREEMAN: Right, I believe it
            happened like in '15, 2015, when we
20
            consolidated the residential district section
21
22
            into all of one section.
2.3
                   MR. SCHINDLER:
                                     Right.
24
                   MS. FREEMAN:
                                     I think that was
25
            omitted at that point.
```

So if you look at the data that I

provided here, I basically sorted it by from

2.3

24

25

too.

lowest to highest of the homes like as the 1 2 garage as a percent of the living area of the 3 home. If you're looking at like the first page, they range anywhere from 14 percent, all 4 the way up to over 64 percent. 5 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: I see that. 6 So then the old 25 7 MS. FREEMAN: 8 percent, there are several homes that would 9 comply with that, about half probably, but the 10 other half would not. They are over that. 11 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: As far as more and 12 more they are counting finished basements as living area. Would that factor in here? 1.3 We did not include 14 MS. FREEMAN: 15 that. That was not included in those figures This is just first floor and second 16 here. 17 floor, if any second floor. CHAIRMAN PETERSON: If we were to 18 19

change, come up with a number here though, should we clarify that in there that says not counting finished basement? Because I could see somebody with a large finished basement saying well that adds 1500 square feet to my house. I can then have 40 percent of that, so.

20

21

22

2.3

24

sure. I think that's something we would want

1	to work on, if this is something we want to
2	pursue, making sure that the definition is
3	very clear. I haven't gone over that with
4	council yet either, but these are good things
5	to bring up.
6	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Let's discuss that.
7	What do you guys think as far as
8	MR. SCHINDLER: I know my home has
9	an option, I was able to have them extend the
10	basement, which I did take. That would be
11	added into the flooring. Because I've redone
12	it, it's a recreational room down there, now.
13	I consider it living area.
14	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Probably for tax
15	purposes it is, right?
16	MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. Because they
17	came out and checked the house. One time they
18	came out and although they didn't see it from
19	the outside, you can't, if you go inside the
20	house, they can see it. Recreation area.
21	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: A basement, a
22	finished basement could add a lot of extra
23	square in here.
24	MR. SCHINDLER: Big time.
25	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Factor the

- 1 percentage.
- 2 MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. So if you took
- 3 that, decide to build a garage, we're talking
- 4 about it could be pretty good size.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: It could. It would
- 6 be a big garage.
- 7 MR. SCHINDLER: We have one on our
- 8 street. It's a nice one, neighbor did a nice
- 9 job but it has a second floor on it, which we
- don't consider an attic. This attic is more
- 11 than an attic. It's two stories. He's got a
- lot of stuff up there that he stores that goes
- along with the antique cars he has. But he
- 14 has an area off to the side that he made an
- office out of it. So those are things you
- 16 could get into.
- 17 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: We've have to be
- 18 clear there. Any other thoughts?
- MR. REPPERT: What if we -- are
- 20 we looking just at the aesthetics of the
- 21 footprint for the house versus the footprint
- of the garage?
- 23 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Good question. I
- 24 would think it would be aesthetics for sure is
- 25 part of it, because it would look

- disproportionate.
- MR. REPPERT: Okay. Then, I would
- 3 say all we're looking at is first floor,
- 4 second floor. Or first floor. I don't care
- 5 about the basement. I think if you include
- the basement, you're just asking for trouble
- 7 to make the garage bigger.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: We have to clarify
- 9 that in the language.
- 10 MR. REPPERT: Any attic. Don't look
- 11 at the attic. Just say first floor, second
- 12 floor. And/or second floor. Then are we
- looking at the garage, the footprint of the
- garage, do we care if that's two stories?
- 15 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Could be, couldn't
- 16 it.
- 17 MR. REPPERT: If you look at some
- 18 of the houses being built now, there is living
- space above the garage. Even though it looks
- like it's part of the house or whatever. So,
- 21 we've got to look, we've got to be very smart
- as to what we want to call square footage of
- the garage, footprint, or garage plus living
- space above.
- 25 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Seems to me that the

1 rules.

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

2 The other -- the reason I'm bringing 3 that up is I feel like this is one of design. You brought up, Hiram brought up aesthetics. I'm having a difficult time grasping square 5 6 scare footage as a restriction on a garage, and the households today, the HOAs today, 7 8 restrict storing anything in your driveway. 9 Ski-Doo, or recreational vehicles, large boat 10 or mowers and stuff. The garage is becoming 11 storage areas too. There are several purposes 12 for people looking for storage without 1.3 creating some undo harm to their neighbors 14 and/or aesthetics, or need rules in terms of 15 sheds or outbuildings, or simply just having a lot, a lot of room, two story. 16

So what brought this to I think our attention was this unusual high story garage on Prouty. I'm thinking from a review perspective, is this something that the Zoning Department could use some guidance to have the leverage on the applicant, based upon your opinion of the design, or does the -- the square footage alone seems to me doesn't give the reviewer, whoever that is, enough leverage

to go back in terms of comments to the 1 designer, the owner, the applicant, the 2 3 architect. To me it's more of a design. If you are doing this correctly, especially with garage doors that aren't 5 facing the street, it shouldn't be that 6 7 obtrusive looking, because it's a thousand 8 square foot larger than normal, storage. 9 That's just my seat of the pants kind 10 of thing I guess. I would ask Heather if 11 that's what you could use to help -- could you 12 use something besides square footage, or do you think square footage helps you control the 1.3 out.come? 14 15 MS. FREEMAN: I feel like part of it is a design thing. I don't think we have 16 17 the authority to add that into our zoning resolution through our traditional authority 18 to enact any of the zoning. I don't think 19 that fits. 20 21 MR. IAFELICE: Like the garage can't be two stories? 22 2.3 Yeah. MS. FREEMAN: 24 MR. IAFELICE: This is for attached 25 garages. Those aren't attached.

1	MS. FREEMAN: Right.
2	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: When you say two
3	stories too, how do you define that? Because
4	I know there are some garages in Quail Hollow,
5	in some of the condos, that are really high,
6	the ceiling in the garage, and they have lifts
7	in there so they can store two cars.
8	MR. IAFELICE: Mike has his
9	corvettes. That kind of lends to my concern
10	about restricting people from storing
11	collectibles.
12	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: You bring up a good
13	point too, because it kind of depends on the
14	lot size.
15	MR. IAFELICE: Depends on the lot
16	size.
17	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: If you are in your
18	typical half acre lot size, that's one thing.
19	When you have three acres, it's not out of
20	proportion. Aesthetically it looks nice.
21	Now we do have setbacks to the property
22	line to the front and back. Those come into
23	play, help control sizes, right?
24	MS. FREEMAN: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: So if somebody

wanted to put a great big garage in, it would 1 2 have to fit within the setbacks to do that. MR. IAFELICE: If this was 3 restricted to R1, this provision, half acre is 4 what you're thinking of restricting it to? 5 MS. FREEMAN: I thought it would 6 7 be for all residential districts. We didn't 8 get into what specific districts. 9 MR. IAFELICE: Okay. 10 MR. REPPERT: If you put a 11 percentage on it, just based upon this, you 12 are going to have 18 variances going to the 1.3 BZA, because they are over 40 percent. 14 we're just adding that extra work to the Board of Appeals. Probably except for maybe the 15

CHAIRMAN PETERSEN: Do we have a feeling for what other communities are doing in this area? Mentor for example, of course they are a city, but that doesn't matter. It is what it is.

last one at 60 whatever, whatever it was,

well, the last three I'll bet you the BZA is

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

MS. FREEMAN: I can look into that a little bit more if you want. Maybe -- I

going to say okay, go ahead, so.

Page 21 don't even know. 1 MR. IAFELICE: 2 Painesville Township. 3 MS. FREEMAN: Right, yeah, the other Lake County townships as well. I can 5 look into more of that. CHAIRMAN PETERSON: I think maybe 6 7 that's a good thing to benchmark what others 8 are doing, to see. 9 MR. REPPERT: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Not only just jump 11 to the conclusion and say 40 percent is the 12 right number. I don't know that it is. 1.3 MR. IAFELICE: Or any number. Or any number, right. 14 MS. FREEMAN: 15 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Any number, exactly. 16 MS. FREEMAN: Is it necessary. 17 MR. IAFELICE: Is it necessary. 18 MR. REPPERT: We did the same thing 19 with open space. 20 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Yeah. 21 We finally ended up MR. REPPERT: 22 at 35 I think. 2.3 MR. IAFELICE: We did.

CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Took the better part

24

25

of a year.

1	MR. REPPERT: Throw a dart, right.
2	MR. IAFELICE: That's what we did.
3	MR. SCHINDLER: I think the problem
4	getting into other zoning areas, if the home
5	is bigger than of course the garage would be
6	bigger. You have more area going from the
7	half acre like we are, up to three acres or
8	five acres. Well, there it's not how it would
9	considered an issue really, you know.
10	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: I know I've seen
11	Waite Hill, big estates there that have huge
12	garages. Beautiful, looks nice.
13	MR. SCHINDLER: There again, the
14	house is bigger, so the square footage is
15	bigger than we use, so it stands to reason the
16	garage could be bigger, which in itself would
17	lend itself to be okay in my thinking. Rather
18	than just give an arbitrary number now for all
19	areas. I don't think that's a good thing to
20	do.
21	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Of course you can
22	look at it and say 8,000 square foot house for
23	example, 40% would give you over 3,000 square
24	feet for the garage if you wanted it.
25	MR. SCHINDLER: That's true.

```
Page 24
            Mr. Chairman.
 1
                   MR. REPPERT:
 2.
                                     Nothing here.
 3
                   MR. SCHINDLER: Nothing here,
            Mr. Chairman.
 4
 5
                   CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Heather?
                   MS. FREEMAN:
                                      I just a brief
 6
 7
            update. I did reach out to legal counsel on
 8
            the draft change to Section 16. Actually I
 9
            don't have an answer for tonight obviously,
10
            otherwise we would have it obviously on the
11
            table.
12
                   I also spoke with Mike Lucas regarding
1.3
            other matters that I needed to meet with him
            on, and I asked him about this again. So, we
14
            do have a meeting scheduled for next week,
15
            hopefully. He'll look at it, give us some
16
17
            guidance, and hopefully in August we will have
            it put back on the agenda.
18
                   CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Put it back on the
19
            agenda in August.
20
21
                                        I'm trying on that.
                   MS. FREEMAN:
```

- 22 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Okay. Very good.
- 2.3 MS. FREEMAN: Couple other things
- real quick. 24
- 25 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Please.

	Page 25
1	MS. FREEMAN: I guess it wasn't
2	official correspondence to you, it was through
3	me, there was that resident over on Stuart
4	Drive that had called me, requested you guys
5	to go and take a look at the accessory
6	building that was constructed next to her.
7	This was on June 8th. I wasn't sure if anyone
8	was going to bring that up or not, because it
9	didn't come from the resident, it came through
10	me, from her.
11	If you recall, it was a resident on
12	Stuart Drive was a little upset about an
13	accessory building constructed next to her.
14	If you follow the size restriction, it's just
15	under the maximum size permitted. The
16	property is just under an acre in size, .82.
17	They built on a 1,008 square foot detached
18	accessory building. It's 19 feet, 11 inches

She was just upset about a couple things I think, based on our conversation.

The size of it, just how it looked out of place in relationship to the home that it was next to. She wanted to point that out to your

tall, which is one inch shorter than the

maximum height.

- attention, if you could drive by and take a

  look at it. That is something that the zoning

  does allow. I think she wasn't very happy

  with you, so.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: What can we do when 6 it meets all the requirements?
- 7 MS. FREEMAN: Take a look at the 8 regulations and see if there needs to be some 9 changes possibly.
- 10 MR. IAFELICE: Was that a design 11 issue.
- 12 MS. FREEMAN: Right. Again, can we -- this is something, from my understanding, I 1.3 don't think under our commission authority we 14 can put any kind of design guidelines in 15 Under this limited home rule authority 16 there. that we now have, I'm not sure if that is an 17 option or not, something the township wants to 18 19 get into.

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

But this is again kind of like the

Prouty Road example of an attached garage,

where the attached garage is so much more

dominant on the property. This is kind of the

same thing. The detached garage just looks so

much larger design-wise. Square footage-wise

it's smaller. We do have a restriction on the 1 accessory building can't be any larger than 2 3 the home on the property. So, there has been times where I had to look and question that before. But, doesn't 5 come up very often. I just wanted to put that 6 7 on the record. If a couple people may go by 8 and take a look at it. MR. IAFELICE: 9 I meant to do that. 10 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: I think part of the 11 problem is Prouty has been all torn up. 12 MR. IAFELICE: That's right. MS. FREEMAN: I know. I think it's 1.3 14 all good now, almost done. The address is 10477 Stuart. 15 MR. IAFELICE: 10477. 16 17 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: It's right off of Prouty. Stuart is off of Morely but it's just 18 19 north of Prouty. MS. FREEMAN: Correct. 20 21 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: We can drive by. 22 Is there an issue just of the aesthetics of it. It doesn't look -- looks 2.3 like an industrial building versus --24 25 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, she thought

```
Page 28
            it looked more commercial.
 1
 2.
                   CHAIRMAN PETERSON: I could see a
 3
            concern of that.
                   MR. IAFELICE: Yeah.
 5
                   CHAIRMAN PETERSON: We can discuss it
            again in the future.
 6
 7
                   MS. FREEMAN: If you want to
 8
            drive by, talk about it.
 9
                   CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Yes, I would like
10
            to.
11
                   You had another point too?
12
                   MS. FREEMAN:
                                      The only other
1.3
            thing, I had another resident email me
            something, we emailed back and forth. There
14
15
           was a resident that wanted the Zoning
16
           Commission to consider adding to resolution
17
            lighting standards in our residential
            districts.
18
                   I know this came up in the past. I
19
20
           don't remember if it was just the trustees or
21
            if we talked about it with this board or not.
22
                   But, we do have some lighting
2.3
            requirements that address commercial. Nothing
24
           that is residential. But we are having a lot
```

more complaints and a couple more residents

1 asking us to consider looking at this.

1.3

2.3

So, there was a resident that did their own little research and sent me some information that they found regarding dark sky kind of lighting resolutions, ordinances, some examples.

To be frank, he has a neighbor that shines a light directly on his property right now that won't change the light. Wouldn't redirect it, or do anything because there is a little dispute going on over that. That tends to be usually the issue when people start reaching out to me on this kind of thing.

Usually some kind of other dispute going on, they put a light shining at the other. I don't know that I want to get involved in regulating that, per se. But it did — another resident did ask that we maybe consider taking a look at that.

CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Okay.

MS. FREEMAN: I'll forward that to you guys. If in your spare time you want to take a look at that. I honestly haven't gotten an opportunity to look at it too closely though. There are definitely

	Page 30
1	challenges with enforcing lighting, that kind
2	of stuff.
3	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: We talk about
4	lighting be forced downward, don't we, in our
5	standards?
6	MS. FREEMAN: In commercial.
7	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Commercial only.
8	MS. FREEMAN: We require light
9	dissipation plans be submitted when they do
10	the lighting plan, so we can see how much is
11	going to go off the property.
12	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: That is something I
13	know a lot of houses the builders even put a
14	spotlight on the back side of the house so if
15	you want to light up your backyard, you can.
16	It would be bright.
17	MS. FREEMAN: I don't know with
18	LED how you could
19	MR. SCHINDLER: LEDs are extremely
20	bright.
21	CHAIRMAN PETERSON: They are.
22	MR. SCHINDLER: I have one in my
23	backyard. It's set up with a motion detector.
24	It's only back there in case somebody walks
25	around. It comes on to alert me.

```
MS. FREEMAN:
                                     Right.
 1
 2.
                   MR. SCHINDLER:
                                     I can set the time,
 3
            there is a time delay on it. In my case, only
            on for a minute and goes off.
 5
                   For someone that has a light like that,
            like say on the front of their house and
 6
            garage that stays on all night, I can see how
 7
 8
            it would be aggravating all the neighbors
 9
            around. It's bright.
10
                   This individual has like an outdoor
11
            pole lamp or something?
12
                   MS. FREEMAN:
                                     It's a light that
1.3
            they put on the house and faced it towards the
            neighbor they are feuding with. It was done
14
15
            on purpose.
16
                   MR. REPPERT:
                                      It was on purpose?
17
                   MS. FREEMAN:
                                      Oh, yeah.
18
                   MR. SCHINDLER:
                                      That's something --
                   CHAIRMAN PETERSON: That's a tough one
19
20
           to regulate.
2.1
                   MR. SCHINDLER:
                                      Yeah.
22
                   MS. FREEMAN: I just wanted to let
2.3
            you guys know about that.
24
                   CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Anything else,
25
            Heather?
```

1	MS. FREEMAN: No.
2	MR. IAFELICE: When I first moved to
3	the township and I was representing the
4	hospital, the hospital was being built, I had
5	to meet with the former trustees about lights.
6	I was told in Concord light is pollution.
7	Stay away from lights. At least that was the
8	stance then, which well, street lights.
9	This was really for emergency vehicles to get
10	to the hospital.
11	MR. SCHINDLER: People like it
12	because it's not like being in the city.
13	MR. IAFELICE: Exactly.
14	MR. SCHINDLER: When our development
15	was built, each home had a pole lamp on a pole
16	out on the front. Some of the people, some
17	work, and some don't. But the street is
18	basically quite dark. But can you walk, still
19	feel comfortable I feel.
20	THE CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Of course a lot
21	of the newer developments have street lights.
22	MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah.
23	MS. FREEMAN: The Eagle Point
24	development off of Colburn, that one they are
25	not going to do street lights there.

```
State of Ohio,
 1
                           ) SS: CERTIFICATE
     County of Cuyahoga.
 2
 3
            I, Constance Versagi, Court Reporter and
 4
     Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly
     commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that
 5
     the foregoing record was by me reduced to
 6
 7
     stenotypy/computer, afterward transcribed, and that
     the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of
 8
     the record so given as aforesaid.
 9
10
            I do further certify that this proceeding was
     taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption
11
     specified.
12
13
            I do further certify that I am not a relative,
     counsel, or attorney of either party, or otherwise
14
15
     Interested in the event of this action.
16
            IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
     hand and affixed my seal of office on this 24th day
17
18
     of July, 2023.
19
20
            Constance Versagi, Court Reporter and
21
            Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.
            My Commission expires January 14, 2028.
2.2
23
24
25
```