CONCORD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS LAKE COUNTY, OHIO REGULAR MEETING

Concord Town Hall 7229 Ravenna Road Concord, Ohio 44077

October 12, 2022 7:00 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Board of Zoning Appeals members present:

Skip Sweeney, Vice Chairman Todd Golling, Member Brandon Dynes, Member Davey Rowan, Member

Also Present:

Heather Freeman, Planning & Zoning Director/Zoning
 Inspector
Keith Petersen, Esq., Legal Counsel

Melton Reporting
11668 Girdled Road
Concord, Ohio 44077
 (440) 946-1350

7:00 p.m.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Good evening. Welcome to the Concord Township Board of Zoning Appeals public hearing for Wednesday, October 12, 2022. My name is Francis Sweeney. I am the substitute chair for this evening. To my far right is Mr. Todd Golling, to my immediate right is Mr. Davey Rowan, and to my left is Brandon Dynes, and to my far right is Heather Freeman, our Zoning Inspector.

Under the advice of our legal counsel, we ask that anyone speaking tonight must be sworn in. So if you plan on speaking, please stand and raise your right hand, even if you think you're going to speak.

(Whereupon, the speakers were sworn en masse.)

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Thank you. Please be seated.

When presenting your case this evening or commenting, please come up to the microphone. Thank you.

Heather, were the legal notices published in a timely manner?

MS. FREEMAN: Yes, they were.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Thank you.

Okay. On tonight's agenda, we have two matters, they're both variances. The first one is Variance Application, 2020-115 (sic), Anthony Jared Lino is requesting a variance from Section 15.04(A)(1)(B) and Table 15.04-1 to allow an existing .8975 acre lot to be reduced in size to .8263 acres, where the minimum lot size is one acre, for the property located at 11410 Big Creek Court, current parcel number 08-A-01-H-00-011-0.

And the second is also a variance application, 2022-116, American Stamped Concrete, on behalf of the property

owners Bradley Jenkins and Kristen Seaholm, is requesting a variance from Section 17.04(B)(4) and Section 17.07(A) to allow for the construction of an in-ground pool and related soil disturbing activities to encroach on the required minimum 25 foot riparian setback by 10 feet 3 inches, for the property located at 9675 Little Mountain Road, current parcel number 10-A-022-E-00-013-0.

Will the first variance please approach and present your case.

We do have to let the applicant know that the board consists of only four members this evening. You do need a three-vote majority to pass the application. And we need to confirm that you're okay with that; and if not, we can table it.

MR. LINO: Yeah.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Okay, thank you.

MR. LINO: Okay. So I am looking for a variance just to lessen my lot size. I just moved in in December next to Miss Lisa.

MS. FREEMAN: I am sorry. Excuse me. I hate to interrupt, Mr. Chairman. Can we verify that he's been sworn in and state his name and address?

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Yes. Have you been sworn in?
MR. LINO: Yes.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: And please state your name and address.

MR. LINO: My name is Anthony Jared Lino and my address is 11410 Big Creek Court in Concord.

MS. FREEMAN: Thank you.

MR. LINO: I moved in in December. Lisa and her

husband at the time were working with the current owner to try to get a variance. They're looking into doing an eventual expansion of their house. And it would, where the current property lines lay, they wouldn't be able to currently. I didn't see any big problem with it. I just didn't want them to have to go through the hassle of doing everything while we were already under contract for the sale. Once we got, as I say, once we got done, we would do our best to get it done for her.

They're just looking to have a little expansion on their house and where the current property lines lay, unfortunately, they can't do that. So I would like to be able to move the property line over a little bit for her. I am already under the 1 acre parcel minimum as it is. Moving the parcel line over, to me, doesn't really matter much. I know it would be great for Miss Lisa to have that area so that she can do the renovations that she would like to her house. So I would like for you guys to consider that so that she can do her due diligence and be able to make the expansions that she would like to for her property.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Okay. Thank you very much.

Questions from the board?

MR. DYNES: Anyone else speaking or -- I don't have any questions.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Okay. Is anyone else speaking for or against this variance? I don't believe there is.

MS. BIONDOLILLO: Well, I am Lisa Biondolillo. I am AJ's neighbor. So, obviously, I'm --

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Have you been sworn?
MS. BIONDOLILLO: Yes.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: And what is your address? 1 MS. BIONDOLILLO: 11400 Big Creek Court. 2 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Thank you. 3 MS. BIONDOLILLO: And I am for the variance. my property that is next to his that I would like to possibly 5 put a master bedroom on first floor. 6 7 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Okay. MR. DYNES: I don't have any questions. I just need 8 to make a statement. I know Ms. Biondolillo through some work 9 10 I don't think that there is a conflict and I haven't spoken. To the extent anyone wants me to recuse myself, I am 11 happy to do so. But I have never represented her and we don't 12 13 have a relationship such as that but I think it's important to make that note. 14 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Dynes. 15 MR. DYNES: I would go on to say that I think this 16 is one of the nicest landowners ever. Agreeing to do this 17 18 with his land for Ms. Biondolillo, I think that's fantastic. 19 We don't see that very often. Just my editorial comment for 20 everyone. 21 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: I am just curious. I just 22 want, I am trying to picture how big .0712 of an acre is. Is 23 it, what, like half the size of this room or something like 24 that? 25 MR. GOLLING: It's the small slice of pizza right 26 there. VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: 27 Yeah.

MR. LINO: So the problem -- Do I have to step up?

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Yeah, please.

28

29

30

MR. LINO: The problem is that, so they're about 30

yards into my front yard. Their house is right over here and I am back. So where she would like to put the master bedroom, it's into my property line a little bit so that she can't keep the 10 foot variance off of the property line. VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Right, right. MR. LINO: So it's not a huge amount to really be giving her. It's just to move the property line a little bit so that she has the ability to basically make the addition that she planned. VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Yeah. I am just trying to figure out what that looks like, like how big that is. It doesn't matter. It might be hard to verbalize. MS. BIONDOLILLO: It's 30 feet in the front but it goes down to zero. VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Okay. MS. BIONDOLILLO: Right at the corner of his house so that he still has the 25 foot sideline. VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Right, okay. Thank you. Have you got any questions from the board? MR. ROWAN: No questions. MR. GOLLING: No questions. VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: All right. If there are no questions, we will close the public hearing at this time. chair will entertain a motion to approve the variance. MR. GOLLING: So moved. MR. ROWAN: Second. VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: The approval of the variance, 2020-115 (sic), is moved and seconded. Discussion?

MR. GOLLING: It just looks like when -- There is an

excellent staff analysis in here that says that, when this

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

place was built in '88, the minimum lot size was .75. Even 1 though it has since moved up to 1 acre, I mean, he's reducing 2 it by a very small amount to help his neighbor out and it's a 3 good thing. VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: I think it's a very good thing. 5 MR. DYNES: I would agree. 6 7 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: All right. Any other discussion? 8 MR. ROWAN: 9 No. 10 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: All right. So, again, the question is for the approval of Variance 2022-115. Heather, 11 12 please take a vote. MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Rowan? 13 MR. ROWAN: Yes. 14 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Dynes? 15 MR. DYNES: Yes. 16 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Golling? 17 MR. GOLLING: 18 Yes. MS. FREEMAN: And Mr. Sweeney? 19 20 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Yes. 21 I should have explained a yes vote is for the 22 approval, a no vote would be a denial. 23 Congratulations. 24 MS. BIONDOLILLO: Thank you. 25 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Thank you very much. 26 MR. GOLLING: You don't have to stay. You can if 27 you want. It's exciting stuff. 28 MR. LINO: Thank you, guys. 29 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: All right. On to our second 30 matter and that is the application for a variance, 2022-116,

American Stamped Concrete, on behalf of the property owners,
Bradley Jenkins and Kristen Seaholm. Will you please approach
the podium, state your name and confirm that you have been
sworn in, and your address.

MR. MEHALIC: My name is Peter Mehalic and I am the
owner of American Stamped Concrete. I am representing the
Jenkins, who live at 9675 Little Mountain Road, and I have
been sworn in.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Okay, thank you. Go ahead.

MR. MEHALIC: We're just, we're asking for a variance of the riparian setback. As you can see on our drawings, we will encroach it, at the most, 10 foot 3 inches, and that's just because of the way the creek kind of curves in their property. We have moved the pool as close to the house as we feel that we can. The pool itself is out of the riparian setback. It will just be the deck attached to it that is encumbering it.

I met with Ms. Freeman and the guy from the county, right?

MS. FREEMAN: Chad Edgar, from Soil and Water, yes. VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Chad.

MR. MEHALIC: I believe we all felt that it really wouldn't impact the riparian setback by just having a concrete deck on top of it.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Okay. So the foundation of the pool is not --

MR. MEHALIC: The pool itself is not in.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Is not within that.

MR. MEHALIC: Yeah. It's two feet away from it.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Do you have happen to have any

other pictures of the area? I am just trying to get a visual, a little better visual.

MR. MEHALIC: I have drawings.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: It's not necessary but --

MR. MEHALIC: She has the actual drawing where it's laid out. I don't know if you guys have that.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Yeah, I have that as well. The only actual picture we have is --

MR. MEHALIC: They have a pretty substantial lot size but, right now, that part of their yard is what they use the most. It's landscaped and finished. They have a hot tub, a fireplace and all these other things over there. And what we consider their front yard, which the septic tank is there, we can't put the pool up there, and it would be in the front yard, basically. But this gives them real nice privacy on the side of their house and, like I said, that's where they have all their family functions, needs.

MR. MEHALIC: I think, for the most part, that creek is dry unless it's, you know, a heavy, heavy rain or a substantial thaw in the spring.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: All right, thank you.

I will note that we received an email from the neighbor at 9695 Little Mountain Road, Mr. Timothy Boss, and it states as follows: This is to Heather Freeman, dated October 7th, "As discussed earlier today, I have a concern with the proposed construction of a pool at 9675 Little Mountain Road in Concord. I want to be sure that the proposed construction will not infringe the property line between our

homes. Please express my concerns to the Zoning Board as I 1 will not be able to attend the meeting on October 12th." 2 Can you address that? 3 MR. MEHALIC: It's nowhere near it. And their, the drawings -- I am sorry. The drawings are on my phone. 5 MS. FREEMAN: Here (Handing). 6 MR. MEHALIC: The property line in the rear, they're 7 nowhere near either one of the property lines, quite honestly. 8 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Okay. 9 10 MR. MEHALIC: Their lot is pretty large and they're really not anywhere, you can't even see --11 12 MS. FREEMAN: The property line is over there. 13 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Which page are you looking at? 14 MS. FREEMAN: It's the gentleman to the east, due east that was concerned. 15 MR. MEHALIC: Oh, yeah, and that's nowhere near 16 their property at all, front or back. 17 18 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: All right. 19 MR. MEHALIC: I guess it's the side yard but --20 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. 21 MR. MEHALIC: Would you agree with that? 22 MS. FREEMAN: Well, let's see. On the site plan 23 here, it doesn't have a dimension there. 24 MR. MEHALIC: It is probably on one of the previous 25 pages because he's pretty good with putting that on there. 26 MS. FREEMAN: It looks like the pool concrete 27 decking was approximately 39 feet 11 inches from the side 28 property line, the right side property line. 29 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: And what's minimum side yard 30 setback, 15 feet?

MS. FREEMAN: For the pool itself it's a minimum 15 1 The concrete pool deck is permitted to go closer to the 2 property line. 3 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Oh, so we're fine. MS. FREEMAN: Based on this site plan and this 5 information, it does appear to meet all the setback 6 7 requirements. VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: 8 Okay. MS. FREEMAN: We can try to field locate the pin in 9 10 that back corner. MR. MEHALIC: Absolutely. 11 12 MS. FREEMAN: Just to verify before construction 13 would begin should the variance get approved. VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Heather, did you receive any 14 other notifications from any abutting property owners or any 15 neighbors? 16 MS. FREEMAN: No, Mr. Chairman, no. 17 18 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: All right, thank you. 19 MR. MEHALIC: No questions? VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: All right. Thank you very 20 21 much. 22 Is there anyone else speaking for or against? 23 (No response.) 24 I don't believe there is. We will go ahead and 25 close the public hearing on this. 26 All right. The chair will entertain a motion. 27 MR. ROWAN: So moved. 28 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Second? 29 MR. DYNES: Second. 30 MR. GOLLING: Second.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Having received that, I will 1 open it for discussion. 2 MR. GOLLING: We've had these before. Chad has 3 basically stated, to sum it up, it's already landscaped, it's already grassed and it will not affect the existing wetlands. 5 MR. ROWAN: I think we have had a few of these like 6 this where -- I am not saying either for or against it but, 7 you know, like he said, it's not infringing on the riparian 8 setbacks. It's also saying, like, if you did it based on -- I 9 forget what year it was changed -- it would have been within 10 it. So for me, I don't think I have any concerns. 11 12 MR. GOLLING: Well, we rely on our experts. Chad's 13 our expert here. And doesn't encroach on the flood prone 14 width, doesn't expect to extend near the improvements. improved location is already maintained as turf grass which 15 provides minimum water quality benefit. So --16 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Yeah. And the meander erosion, 17 I believe, is on the outside, going away from the property, so 18 19 that's always good. 20 MR. GOLLING: And it was, the lot was platted and 21 built prior to the enactment of the riparian setbacks, so this 22 is something not foreign to us. VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: All right. Any other 23 24 discussion? 25 MR. DYNES: You said it all. 26 VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: All right. 27 MR. DYNES: Very well done.

VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: If not, the question on approval is the granting of Variance 2022-116 and, Heather, can you please take a vote.

28

29

30

```
MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Rowan?
1
               MR. ROWAN: Yes.
2
               MS. FREEMAN:
                            Mr. Dynes?
3
               MR. DYNES:
                           Yes.
               MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Golling?
5
               MR. GOLLING:
                             Yes.
6
               MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Sweeney?
7
               VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: Yes.
8
               Congratulations.
9
10
               MR. MEHALIC: Thank you.
               VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: All right. That covers all the
11
    New Business.
12
               We do have one order of the approval of the minutes
13
    from September 14, 2022. Anybody have any issues with those?
14
15
    I didn't get a chance to read them but I doubt there is any
    problem with them whatsoever. So all in favor?
16
17
               MR. DYNES: I will abstain.
18
               (Three aye votes, no nay votes, one abstention.)
               VICE CHAIR SWEENEY: All right. The minutes are
19
20
    approved.
21
               Our next board meeting is November 9, 2022. See you
22
    then.
23
               (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 7:18 p.m.)
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
```

STATE OF OHIO 1 CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF LAKE 2) I, Melinda A. Melton, Registered Professional 3 Reporter, a notary public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that, to the best of my ability, the foregoing proceeding was reduced by me to stenotype shorthand, subsequently 5 transcribed into typewritten manuscript; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of said 6 proceedings so taken as aforesaid. 7 I do further certify that this proceeding took place at the time and place as specified in the foregoing 8 caption and was completed without adjournment. 9 I do further certify that I am not a friend, relative, or counsel for any party or otherwise interested 10 in the outcome of these proceedings. 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office this 25th day of October 2022. 13 14 15 Melinda A. Melton 16 Melinda A. Melton Registered Professional Reporter 17 Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio 18 19 My Commission Expires: February 4, 2023 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29

30