CONCORD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF TRUSTEES LAKE COUNTY, OHIO CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING

Held via YouTube Live Streaming

Concord Town Hall 7229 Ravenna Road Concord, Ohio 44077

November 17, 2021 7:00 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Present on behalf of the Board of Trustees:

Morgan McIntosh, Chair Amy Lucci, Vice Chair Carl Dondorfer, Trustee

Also Present:

Andy Rose, Administrator Michael Lucas, Esq., Legal Counsel Heather Freeman, Zoning Director

Melton Reporting
11668 Girdled Road
Concord, Ohio 44077
 (440) 946-1350

7:00 p.m.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. So we are here to continue the public hearing for zoning text amendments as outlined below for Section 16. So what I will do is, I know we had public comment last time and we were kind of housekeeping on a few things. So I would entertain a motion, because we didn't do this last time, to close the public comment and then we can just kind of get into our discussion.

MS. LUCCI: Mr. Chairman, I move that we close the public comment.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay.

MR. DONDORFER: I will second.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: And all in favor?

(Three aye votes, no nay votes.)

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: And there is all in favor, none opposed.

Okay. So I know we had some things to go over. Anybody want to discuss a little bit?

MR. DONDORFER: Yeah, I can start off. I wanted to do some due diligence after the first public hearing, so I met with Heather Freeman and went over some of the things that I needed clarification to that were brought up in the public section of the hearing. And, you know, as far as, I think, one of the big concerns was Amendment 5 when there was some discussion on the minimum recommended open space of 30 percent and whether or not that should be greater or if, and if so, if we were -- and if not, were we giving away density in an RCD?

And, you know, after speaking with Heather in that regard to the density, I feel comfortable with the recommendation that the zoning board made. And I know that

Lake County Planning Commission also did not have any issue with that minimum recommendation of 30 percent open space.

You know, it was interesting because, when I met with Heather, we had some discussion on what other percentages of land are taken up in some of these developments. And when you talk about the roads and the detention areas, which aren't considered in the open space, you're looking at potentially like 10 percent of the project. So I think there still needs to be some type of balance and incentive for developers, you know, coming in and doing an RCD.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right.

MR. DONDORFER: So I am comfortable now with 30 percent minimum open space recommendation.

I know there was some discussion also about, you know, during the construction, you know, when land is disturbed, how does it get restored, you know, to its, where it should be?

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Natural state.

MR. DONDORFER: Natural state, yeah, that's the language I am looking for, natural state. And, you know, I think it's reasonable to assume that there is going to be some disturbed land during construction in these developments. And I think that, you know, the developers will be held to a certain standard to, you know, restore that to its natural state through some type of landscape plan.

But I know that there is also some, there has been some initial dialogue as far as a restoration plan maybe implemented or discussed in the zoning board, as far as that being maybe adopted down the road and considered by the Board of Trustees to have a restoration plan implemented on these

RCDs so we can make sure that any disturbed land during the construction is appropriately, you know, restored in some regard.

So I, after doing some due diligence on this, you know, I think the zoning board, you know, they worked on these zoning text amendments for, I think, over a year. They put a lot of time and effort and consideration and had done due diligence, so I am comfortable with the recommendations that are before us.

MS. LUCCI: Yeah. And I wanted to comment on, I know the last, at the last meeting there was a comment based on the Lake County Planning Development on creating a density standard. So I was sort of interested in a little more information on that and sort of understood that, with an RCD, we're looking at, you know, it's hard to create a standard on just any sort of piece of parcel. I mean, each parcel has its challenges.

MR. DONDORFER: Right.

MS. LUCCI: And I think the Zoning Commission did a nice job of trying to come up with that 30 given those unique characteristics of each of those parcels. So I think that having a density standard would be pretty difficult, so feel that the 30 percent would probably make most sense for the open space in creating that density.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. I have a couple comments. I mean, I worked with this text for quite a long time and I know that it dates back to like 2006 originally when it was developed. And I know the Zoning Commission worked on this for a year, had a lot of public hearings to get some public comment, had a public hearing on it as well. I know there is

a lot of experience on that board using this, as I have had experience with seeing it.

I see good work here. I see a lot of common sense things that were -- excuse me -- things that were a point of contention or we always had to deal with when they were voting on these things and they've been taken care of. It's the tidying up. It's like, look, the township used the zoning for a period of time and it needed to be optimized and tweaked and I think they did an outstanding job, very thorough. I know Heather and her staff as well did a great job working through this and I am comfortable with it.

I think some of the things that are, were raised, questions and whatnot, are, you know, you can sit here and debate some of this stuff for a year and kind of keep going around in circles, and I don't know that that's constructive. I think, again, there has been ample time. And I think to take on, at this point, in front of us some of the more minutia kind of concerns is really kind of an 11th hour deal. I mean, the zoning board's worked on this for a year. They've had public comment. I know some of the points that were raised last, in our public hearing were not concerns that were raised at the Zoning Commission's public hearing. So I am inclined to take the recommendation of the zoning board and move forward with this.

So I think, with that, if there is no additional comment, I think we can take a motion to close the public hearing and then that would require us to vote within 20 days.

MR. DONDORFER: Mr. Chairman, I will make a motion to close the public hearing.

MS. LUCCI: And I second.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: All in favor? (Three aye votes, no nay votes.) CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: That's all in favor and no opposed. And with that, we will close the public hearing, and the Concord Township Board of Trustee meeting will take place at 7:30, in about 23 minutes. (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 7:07 p.m.)

STATE OF OHIO 1 CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF LAKE 2) I, Melinda A. Melton, Registered Professional 3 Reporter, a notary public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that, to the best of my ability, the foregoing proceeding was reduced by me to stenotype shorthand, subsequently 5 transcribed into typewritten manuscript; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of said 6 proceedings so taken as aforesaid. 7 I do further certify that this proceeding took place at the time and place as specified in the foregoing 8 caption and extension completed without adjournment. 9 I do further certify that I am not a friend, relative, or counsel for any party or otherwise interested 10 in the outcome of these proceedings. 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office this 1st day of December 2021. 13 14 15 16 Melinda A. Melton Registered Professional Reporter 17 Notary Public within and for the 18 State of Ohio 19 My Commission Expires: 20 February 4, 2023 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29

30