CONCORD TOWNSHIP ZONING COMMISSION LAKE COUNTY, OHIO REGULAR MEETING

Meeting held via Webex Teleconference and YouTube Live Streaming

> Concord Town Hall 7229 Ravenna Road Concord, Ohio 44077

> > June 1, 2021 7:00 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Zoning Commission members present:

Andy Lingenfelter, Chairman Frank Schindler, Member Hiram Reppert, Member Ashley Garcar, Alternate Member

Also Present:

Heather Freeman, Planning & Zoning Director/Zoning Inspector Marty Pitkin, Assistant Zoning Inspector Michael Lucas, Esq., Legal Counsel

Melton Reporting

11668 Girdled Road Concord, Ohio 44077 (440) 946-1350

7:00 p.m. 1 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Good evening. I would like 2 to call this Concord Township Zoning Commission hearing, 3 meeting to order today, Tuesday, June 1st, 7:00. First of 4 all, I would like to say it's really nice to see a lot of 5 people in the audience today. Nice to see everybody back. 6 It's nice. We're used to talking to an empty room for the 7 last year. 8 So we have a very full agenda this evening and we 9 need to get to business here. First, I would like to start 10 under the Old Business. 11 12 Mr. Lucas, do you have some information for us under Old Business? 13 MR. LUCAS: Yes, I do, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. 14 This matter has been recessed for some time 15 regarding Zoning Amendment Application Number 0320-1 for 16 Rylan, Inc. I have received communication by the legal 17 18 counsel for Rylan, specifically Jordan Burns, who has 19 requested that the request be withdrawn and, correspondingly, that it be permanently tabled. 20 21 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. 22 MR. LUCAS: I also did a follow-up email to him 23 confirming that I would advise the Zoning Commission tonight 24 of the desire by his client, Rylan, Inc., to withdraw the 25 Zoning Amendment Application and, correspondingly, if I was 26 incorrect, he should contact me before the meeting. He has 27 not contacted me, so we should proceed forward with the 28 permanently tabling of this item. 29 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. I will entertain a 30 motion.

MR. REPPERT: Mr. Chairman, I so move that we remove 1 the Application 0320-1 submitted by Rylan, Inc. 2 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I have a motion made. 3 MS. GARCAR: I will second. 4 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Seconded by Ashley. I think 5 we can just do a voice roll. All in favor say aye. Opposed? 6 7 (Four aye votes, no nay votes.) CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: None opposed. Let the 8 record reflect it was all in favor with ayes, no opposed, no 9 abstentions. That item is taken care of. 10 Under Old Business, we'd also like to do the 11 12 approval of the minutes of the April 6th meeting. We were 13 provided those in the most recent package. Hiram Reppert, Andy Lingenfelter, Richard Peterson, Frank Schindler, and Rich 14 Iafelice were the members that were present. So I will 15 entertain a motion for the April 6th meeting transcript. 16 MR. REPPERT: Again, Mr. Chairman, I move that we 17 18 approve the minutes of April 6 meeting, 2021, for Concord 19 Township Zoning Commission as written. 20 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Second? 21 MR. SCHINDLER: I second. 22 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Mr. Schindler seconds. All 23 those in favor say aye. Opposed? Abstentions? 24 MS. GARCAR: I abstain. 25 (Three ayes, no nays, one abstention.) CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: One abstention. So let the 26 27 record reflect we have three ayes, one abstention. So that 28 motion carries. That takes care of Old Business. 29 Under New Business, we have a public hearing for 30

zoning amendments to the Concord Township Zoning Resolution as
 initiated by the Zoning Commission. I would like to formally
 open up that public hearing.

4 Under Amendment Number 1, we will deal with 5 Section 5.02, Definitions, which modifies existing definitions 6 for "microbrewery," "microdistillery," and changes to the 7 existing term "urban winery" to "microwinery." Adds new 8 definition to "brewery," "distillery," or "winery."

9 Since there is no applicant for this, we can discuss10 this on the board.

Heather, would you like to give us kind of an overall review of what we have in front of us this evening?

MS. FREEMAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The Township was 13 initially approached by a commercial entity that was 14 potentially looking at bringing an establishment that might 15 fall under one of these definitions, so we started doing a 16 review of our definitions, and specifically the capacity 17 limitations that we had within our definitions, and took a 18 look at what some other communities in northeast Ohio had and 19 20 throughout the state.

So the changes that we're proposing for the definitions that you mentioned would increase the potential distilling capacity for microdistilleries. And then, also, we looked at adding some of these distilleries to the, to some of the other zoning districts within the township, specifically the RD-2.

27 And I think those kind of go along with Amendments 2
28 and 3, so we kind of cleaned up some of the other conditions
29 that were applicable to these conditional uses as well.

30

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Since this is a

public hearing, is there anybody here that would like to speak 1 to the Amendment Number 1 on the Definitions? 2 (No response.) 3 Don't all rush up at once. Okay. 4 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Chairman, there are a couple 5 people on the phone. I'm not sure if you want to check to see 6 if --7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes. If there are some 8 phone participants, is there anybody that would like to weigh 9 10 in on the Amendment Number 1 with regards to Definitions? (No response.) 11 12 I am not hearing anything there either. Counselor, would it be best that we address these 13 14 and vote on each amendment as they are presented or should we go through all three and then --15 16 MR. LUCAS: I would go through all three. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Go through all three. 17 18 MR. LUCAS: And then you're going to have to have 19 separate motions. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Separate motions for each 20 21 amendment? 22 MR. LUCAS: Right. You are also going to have to 23 ask if anyone wants to speak against Amendment Number 1. 24 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay, all right. So we will 25 move on to, since there is no discussion, is there any 26 discussion on the board at this point on Amendment Number 1? 27 MR. REPPERT: I would like to mention that the 28 Planning Commission looked at this and they came back and said 29 everything is okay, except we should add a definition for the 30 big ones, the big brewery, distillery and winery, which you

did, Heather, right? If I am not mistaken, you added that? 1 MS. FREEMAN: There was a definition proposed that 2 cross-referenced the other definitions, stating that if it 3 exceeded those maximum capacities that it would fall under 4 that. 5 MR. REPPERT: Okay. That's the only thing Planning 6 Commission had. 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay, duly noted. 8 Ashley, any comments? 9 MS. GARCAR: 10 No. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Frank, any comments on 11 Amendment Number 1? 12 MR. SCHINDLER: No. 13 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. We'll move on to 14 Amendment Number 2. 15 MR. LUCAS: Mr. Chairman, did you ask if anyone 16 wanted to speak against Amendment Number 1? 17 18 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I asked if anybody had any 19 comments one way or the other. 20 MR. LUCAS: Oh, okay. All right. I think, just so 21 we're on the same page, you should ask if anyone wants to 22 speak for and segregate it if anyone wants to speak against 23 them. 24 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: All right. Thank you. 25 Okay. We will go back to Amendment Number 1. Is 26 there anyone in the audience that would like to speak for Amendment Number 1? 27 28 (No response.) 29 No. Is there anybody in the audience who would like 30 to speak against Amendment Number 1?

(No response.) 1 Anybody on the phone that would like to speak for 2 Amendment Number 1? 3 (No response.) 4 Anybody participating on the phone that would like 5 to speak against Amendment Number 1? 6 7 (No response.) Okay. Let the record reflect that there were no, no 8 one interested, no parties interested in speaking for or 9 10 against from the public. Okay. We will move on to Amendment Number 2, which 11 12 is regarding Section 13.35, Microbrewery, Microdistillery, or Urban Winery, includes specific conditions for said uses and 13 amends the conditions to include provisions requiring the uses 14 to operate in accordance with Ohio Division of Liquor Control 15 and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms requirements, 16 to hold current licenses, to file and provide reports 17 18 demonstrating annual production has not exceeded the limit if 19 requested, to prohibit outdoor storage, and to prohibit 20 emission of odorous materials or matter or spells that would 21 produce a public nuisance or hazard. 22 Any conver -- Heather, would you like to kind of 23 reflect, kind of, review that for us? 24 MS. FREEMAN: Yes. I think the description of what 25 the amendment is about, pretty much, kind of covered it but 26 just a little bit of cleanup items here as we were already 27 tweaking the definitions, so we added some additional 28 conditions specific to these uses. 29 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Any discussion 30 amongst the board, Hiram?

MR. REPPERT: None here. 1 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Ashlev? 2 MS. GARCAR: No. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Frank? 4 MR. SCHINDLER: No. 5 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Nothing. Is there anybody 6 7 in the audience who would like to speak for Amendment Number 2 on Microbrewery, Microdistillery, or Urban Winery? 8 9 (No response.) 10 Is there anybody here that would like to speak against Amendment Number 2? 11 12 (No response.) Are there any participants on the phone that would 13 like to speak for Amendment Number 2? 14 (No response.) 15 Or against Amendment Number 2? 16 Okay. We will move on to Amendment Number 3, 17 Section 22.03, Table of Uses, adds brewery, distillery, or 18 19 winery as permitted uses in the RD-2, Research and Limited 20 Industrial District. 21 Heather, would you like to review, anything you want 22 to --23 MS. FREEMAN: The thought behind that, Mr. Chairman, 24 if you recall, is if we had a microbrewery or distillery and 25 they became successful, we would want them to be able to grow 26 within Concord. So by allowing that as more of a 27 manufacturing type use without the restaurant or tasting room component, that they could be located down in our industrial 28 29 park. 30 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Correct. Any discussion on

the board? Hiram? 1 MR. REPPERT: None here. 2 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Ashlev? 3 MS. GARCAR: Nothing. 4 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Frank? 5 MR. SCHINDLER: None. 6 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Nothing. Is there anyone in the audience that would like to 8 speak for Amendment Number 3, Table of Uses? 9 10 (No response.) Is there anyone in the audience who would like to 11 12 speak against Amendment Number 3, Table of Uses? 13 (No response.) Is there anybody on the phone that would like to 14 speak for or against Amendment Number 3? 15 16 (No response.) I am not hearing anything. I don't have any 17 comments. Any other comments from the board at this point? 18 None here. 19 MR. REPPERT: 20 MR. SCHINDLER: I would just like to make a comment. 21 I don't want the public to think we are just running through 22 this. You have to understand. We have spent many weeks on 23 this thing and it's all been incorporated with the changes and 24 recommendations from various sources that we use. So the 25 reason we don't hear comments from us tonight is because we've 26 been through this whole thing. And we assume the public had a 27 chance to look at this and see everything, so that's why we're 28 asking the public to participate. Now, since we're not 29 getting any comments, fine with us. But I just want you, I 30 just want you people to know we are not rubber-stamping it.

Okay? Thank you. 1 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. At this point, I 2 would entertain a motion to close the public hearing on 3 Amendments Number 1, 2, and 3. 4 MR. REPPERT: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion that we 5 close the public hearing on Amendment Number 1, 2, and 3, to 6 the -- let's see -- Concord Township Zoning Resolution. 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you. 8 MR. REPPERT: I got that right. 9 10 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I have a motion. MS. GARCAR: I will second. 11 12 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Seconded by Ashley. All those in favor say aye. Opposed? 13 14 (Four aye votes, no nay votes.) CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: None opposed. Let the 15 record reflect we had four ayes, no nays, no abstentions. 16 So the public hearing on Amendments Number 1, 2, and 3 for the 17 18 zoning text amendments is closed. 19 At this point, I would be willing to entertain any 20 additional comments. If we don't have any, then I would look 21 for a motion to approve. It must be a motion in the 22 affirmative. 23 MR. REPPERT: And we're doing each one as a separate 24 motion? 25 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes, yes, each one is a 26 separate motion, each one as a separate vote, and we will do a 27 roll call vote for each amendment. 28 MR. REPPERT: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion that we 29 approve Amendment Number 1 with respect to Section 5.02, 30 Definitions.

MS. GARCAR: I second. 1 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I have a motion made. 2 MS. GARCAR: I will second. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Ashley seconded. 4 Okay. Heather, would you call the roll, please. 5 MS. FREEMAN: Yes. Mr. Reppert? 6 MR. REPPERT: Yes. 7 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Schindler? 8 MR. SCHINDLER: Yes. 9 MS. FREEMAN: Ms. Garcar? 10 MS. GARCAR: Yes. 11 12 MS. FREEMAN: And Mr. Lingenfelter? CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes. 13 That's four ayes, no nays. Motion carries. 14 Amendment Number 1 has been approved. 15 At this point now, I will entertain a motion for 16 Amendment Number 2. 17 MR. REPPERT: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion that we 18 19 approve Amendment Number 2 concerning Section 13.35, 20 Microbrewery, Microdistillery, or Urban Winery. 21 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. I have a motion made. 22 MS. GARCAR: I'll second. 23 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Ashley seconds. 24 Heather, roll. 25 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Schindler? 26 MR. SCHINDLER: Yes. 27 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Reppert? 28 MR. REPPERT: Yes. 29 MS. FREEMAN: Ms. Garcar? 30 MS. GARCAR: Yes.

MS. FREEMAN: And Mr. Lingenfelter? 1 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes. 2 That's four ayes, no nays, no abstentions. Motion 3 carries. 4 Moving on to Amendment Number 3, I will entertain a 5 motion. 6 MR. REPPERT: I will make it a trifecta. 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Good for you. 8 MR. REPPERT: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion that we 9 approve Amendment Number 3, Section 22.03, Table of Uses. 10 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I have a motion made. 11 MS. GARCAR: I'll second. 12 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Ashley seconds. 13 Heather, will you call the roll? 14 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Schindler? 15 MR. SCHINDLER: Yes. 16 MS. FREEMAN: Ms. Garcar? 17 MS. GARCAR: Yes. 18 19 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Reppert? MR. REPPERT: Yes. 20 21 MS. FREEMAN: And Mr. Lingenfelter? 22 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes. 23 That's four ayes, no nays, no abstentions. The 24 motion carries. 25 So Amendments Number 1, Number 2, and Number 3 have been successfully included into the zoning text moving 26 27 forward. Thank you for all the hard work on this, Heather. 28 It's been a lot of work. 29 MR. REPPERT: Yes. 30 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: You put a lot of time on

this and it's nice to finally have it resolved. 1 MR. REPPERT: A lot of research. 2 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Good work. 3 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Chairman, just to clarify, we will 4 forward your recommendation to the board of Trustees and 5 they'll have their public hearing on the matter. 6 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes, correct. Thank you. Okay. Now we're moving on to what I think everybody 8 is here for. 9 10 MR. REPPERT: I think so. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: The second item on the 11 12 agenda is a Zoning Amendment Application Number 2021-1, by ALM Lake Properties LLC, requesting a Zoning Resolution map 13 amendment and Preliminary Plan approval from the current 14 zoning district of R-1, Residential to R-2, Residential 15 Conservation Development for the following properties: 16 10090 Hoose Road, current parcel number 10-A-026-C-00-055-0, 17 18 owned by Shirley A. Loxterman; Hoose Road Rear, current parcel number 10-A-026-C-00-051-0, owned by Shirley A. Loxterman; 19 20 10100 Hoose Road, current parcel number 10-A-026-C-00-062-0, 21 owned by Shirley A. Loxterman; Morley Concord, current parcel 22 number 08-A-026-0-00-075-0, owned by William H. Loxterman, 23 Trustee; 7574 Morley Road, current parcel number 24 08-A-026-0-00-003-0, owned by Jerry V. Patriarca; 7554 Morley 25 Road, current parcel number 08-A-026-0-00-022-0, owned by 26 Jerry V. and Constance M. Patriarca; and a portion of O Isley 27 Square, Concord, current parcel number 08-A-026-0-00-056-0, 28 owned by Humanitarian Consulting, Limited. 29 At this point, I would like to open the public

30 | hearing and I would like to have the applicant come forward,

please. As you know, we have a public hearing requested by 1 2 you. MR. SMUL: Yes. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: And scheduled today. We are 4 at a deficit from a current Zoning, full Zoning Commission. 5 We have four members present, two are absent. One of the 6 absents is being fulfilled by our --7 MS. GARCAR: Alternate. 8 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Alternate, thank you. 9 And 10 so that leaves us one Zoning Commission member shy. MR. SMUL: Mr. Iafelice. 11 12 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Mr. Iafelice. And 13 Mr. Iafelice did give us some comments with regards to the zoning amendment that's in front of us this evening in the 14 public hearing. However, because he is not present, his 15 comments can only be entered into the record, they cannot be 16 used as any voting mechanism for this, for the proposal that's 17 18 in front of us this evening. 19 MR. SMUL: Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Because we are shorthanded, 21 it is your option. You can request to recess this evening's 22 public hearing until our next regularly scheduled meeting 23 which would allow you to be in front of a full Zoning 24 Commission at that time. If you choose to move forward this 25 evening, we will go with the four members that are present and 26 you will need three affirmative votes out of the four in order 27 for your proposal to pass. So I just want you to be aware of 28 what the circumstances are if we move forward this evening. 29 MR. SMUL: Okay. 30 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: If you want to recess, you

can do that at your request. This is your meeting. This is 1 your ability to do so. So you can either move, you can 2 approve to move forward this evening, which we will go through 3 the normal public hearing. We will have comments from the 4 public. We will have our hearing. We will have our vote and 5 you will need three out of four ayes in order to be able to 6 7 have your amendment or your proposal pass. So it's up to you. What would you like to do? 8 MR. SMUL: Since this is just brought upon me right 9 10 now --CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I know. 11 12 MR. SMUL: I would like to actually confer with some people. 13 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: And that's, you are more 14 than welcome to do that. You can go ahead and confer. And 15 then if you want to move forward, then what I would ask you to 16 do is come back in front of us and I'll ask you to put your 17 18 proposal out in front of us. Okay? 19 MR. SMUL: Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So take whatever time you 21 need and let us know what you want to do. 22 MR. SMUL: Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I mean, are you clear on 24 what's going --25 MR. SMUL: So we need three of the four basically 26 rather than three of five. 27 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: You're going to need three 28 of the four this evening or you can recess until you have a 29 full Zoning Commission in front of you, at which point you 30 would need three out of five.

MR. RIEBE: Let's say, for instance -- We just have 1 a question. If you were to vote negatively, say that 2 occurred. Would it still then go to the Trustees? 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes. 4 MR. RIEBE: Okay. 5 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah. Regardless of what 6 happens here this evening, it's still going to go to the 7 Trustees for a public hearing when we're done, correct, yep. 8 MR. REPPERT: Are we doing anything with 13.33, 9 10 outside dining, tonight? THE REPORTER: Are off the record now? 11 12 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: No, we're still on the record. 13 MR. REPPERT: Are we doing Section 13.33, 14 Amendment 1, outside dining? 15 MS. FREEMAN: That wasn't scheduled for a hearing 16 17 yet. 18 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: That was not scheduled for a 19 hearing. 20 MS. FREEMAN: We haven't scheduled that. 21 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. 22 MS. FREEMAN: Yes. 23 MR. REPPERT: So we're all set to go for next month? 24 MS. FREEMAN: If you guys choose to set that 25 tonight. 26 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, we have a work session 27 to continue. 28 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. 29 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: If I could have a moment, 30 please, what I would like to do is I would like to address the

audience that's here this evening. I understand why you're
 here and we certainly appreciate you coming and we will give
 everybody the opportunity to come up and voice their opinions
 on the hearing that's in front of us this evening.

5 I would like to set a couple of ground rules for 6 this evenings hearing. I would like -- This is a public 7 hearing. I would like everybody to conduct themselves in an 8 adult-like fashion. I don't want any cheering, any clapping, 9 any foot stomping, you know, any rooting on of what's being 10 said.

If somebody comes up and says what you were going to 11 say, then you're more than welcome to come up and say, you 12 know, "My comments reflect, you know, the person that just 13 spoke in front of me." That happens. You have kind of a 14 speech that you're ready to make and somebody else comes up 15 and steals your thunder. That's kind of the way it works. 16 And if that happens, you don't have to repeat everything but 17 18 you're more than welcome to say whatever you like.

19 All I do ask is that we keep everything, you direct all of your comments to the Zoning Commission. We don't 20 21 direct any comments to the applicant or any of the people in 22 the audience. Make sure you're reflecting all of your 23 comments towards the Zoning Commission and just please keep a 24 level of decorum that's expected in a public hearing and I 25 think everything will go on just fine. So we appreciate your 26 cooperation on that.

27 Okay.
28 MR. SMUL: Can I come up now?
29 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Sure. What would you like
30 to do?

MR. SMUL: Yeah, we'll proceed. 1 THE COURT: Okay. You are going to proceed? 2 MR. SMUL: Yeah. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. 4 MR. SMUL: Everyone is here. We might as well 5 proceed. 6 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. That's your choice. I just want to make sure you understand it's your ability to 8 do what you'd like to do here. You're the applicant. 9 You 10 have the opportunity to make that decision. MR. SMUL: Thank you. Appreciate that. 11 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes. 12 So what I would like to do is I would like to 13 formally open the public hearing. And you are the applicant, 14 so I would like you to speak your name and address for the 15 record and then please give us your presentation. 16 MR. SMUL: Okay. Jeffrey Smul, 7992 Stillwater 17 18 Court, Concord Township, Ohio. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: 19 Okay. 20 MR. SMUL: Okay. So I would like to thank the 21 Commission chair and the members that could make the meeting 22 and I'd like to thank you for considering our application and 23 our time -- and your time. 24 Okay. So we are requesting a zoning change from 25 R-1, Residential to R-2, RCD as recommended by the township's 26 2004 Comprehensive Plan and allowed by Concord Township Zoning 27 Code. Based on our preliminary application meeting in 28 February with the Zoning Commission and the board of Trustees, 29 we took the comments and questions and made several 30 adjustments to our plans.

In formulating our 55-lot yield plan, we followed 1 RCD Code 16.24(A) and 16.28, which is to create a conceptual 2 plan not involving significant engineering costs which 3 demonstrates proposed street layouts with a reasonable and 4 marketable number of lots that could be developed under the 5 existing zoning district. Under the current R-1 zoning, there 6 would be 0 percent open space requirement and most, if not 7 all, the trees on the property could be cut down. A lot of 8 the sensitive conservative spaces would not be protected, 9 which none of us would like to see. 10

Under the RCD Code 16.24(B), a density bonus is 11 12 allowed based on the percentage of open space. We are proposing 30 percent open space, thus would be allowed a 13 density increase of four lots. We believe the 55 lot RCD 14 plan, which will be an active adult community, preserves 30 15 percent of the open space and is the best development plan for 16 this site and community. The open space protects some of the 17 most sensitive areas on the site and provides buffers for the 18 19 adjacent neighbors.

Per Lake County Planning Commission letter, dated May 26, 2021, they recommend rezoning the property to the R2, RCD district. The letter stated, "It is believed the property in question would be better developed as an RCD rather than conventional R-1 zoning district in order to preserve open space and sensitive areas following the township's Comprehensive Plan from 2004."

Our RCD plan, per Lake County Planning Commission,
conforms to the subdivision regulations. There are a few
items that need to be addressed but we will address all items
to comply with the subdivision regulations.

The traffic from this RCD development would generate 1 approximately 50 percent less traffic due to lower population 2 density than the current zoning and even less percentage of 3 traffic during peak hours due to different time travel 4 patterns of residents. The residents from this development 5 6 will enter and exit using the new intersection of the Canterwood Trail and Hoose Road due to the proximity of their 7 homes to this new intersection onto Hoose. 8

9 We will also have a walking, natural walking trail
10 from sublots 57 through 59 to Canterwood Trail to make sure
11 they are part of the community.

In order to -- In order for there to be a smooth transition in housing on Canterwood Trail from Hobby Horse, sublots 23 through 25, we made wider lots to accommodate bigger houses with deeper setbacks. The homes on these lots will be, will be of the traditional, single-family variety.

17 All common open space will be privately held and18 maintained by the HOA.

A couple of the other items: For the cemetery, the
Trustees need to pass a resolution for removal of the
cemetery. Maybe Mr. Mike Lucas can shed some light on this,
on the progress of that status.

23 MR. LUCAS: Yeah, I can. The Township, under the 24 discretion, is determined they do not want to be involved in 25 the removal of the cemetery interment and that. So it's going 26 to have to be a private matter in accordance with Ohio law. 27 But at this point in time, the board of Trustees is not going 28 to authorize the resolution necessary, at their discretion 29 under the statute, to remove the disinterred bodies and that. 30 So --

MR. SMUL: In regards to the street lighting, we 1 would look to have minimal street lighting since it will be 2 necessary for the residents of the community so they can walk 3 safely to the clubhouse. 4 I would like to introduce my traffic trip analysis 5 versus showing the single-family traffic patterns versus 6 7 active adult community. I would also like to present a letter from our soils 8 engineer showing the steps that we'd have to take to make the 9 10 areas that are near the pond areas buildable lots. And then, also, I'd like to present a petition that 11 we started, like, last week. We got 73 signed petitions in 12 13 favor of our development and the rezone. Some of them couldn't make it, so we just said, hey, a lot of people called 14 and said, "We like the lots. We like your plan. We are 15 interested," and they just couldn't make the meeting. So we 16 said, "Hey, maybe just sign a petition showing your support 17 18 for our community." 19 So I'd like to present all of those. Do you want me to --20 21 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Sure 22 MR. SCHINDLER: Thank you. 23 MS. GARCAR: Thank you. 24 MR. SMUL: Sorry about that. 25 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: That's okay. 26 MR. REPPERT: Thank you. MS. FREEMAN: Do you have an extra? 27 28 MR. SMUL: Yeah. 29 MS. FREEMAN: Or is that your only? 30 MR. SMUL: I might need it back.

MS. FREEMAN: I can get one from --1 MR. SMUL: I might have an extra. 2 Oh, okay, so I can have it for the MS. FREEMAN: 3 record. 4 MR. SMUL: So, at this time, I would like to bring 5 up our wetlands consultant, Erik Flickinger, to talk about the 6 7 wetlands and answer any questions on that arena, in that area. And then, after that, our engineer, Brian 8 Uhlenbrock, from Neff & Associates, I would like to bring him 9 10 up here to talk about the engineering for the plans and any questions that you have on the engineering aspects of either 11 12 plan. 13 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Thank you. 14 MR. SMUL: And then I can come back and answer any 15 questions. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes. And then once public 16 comment has been made, you will have an opportunity to 17 18 respond. Okay? 19 MR. SMUL: Okay, great. Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes, thank you. 21 THE REPORTER: Andy, could you ask whoever is on the 22 phone --23 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Pardon me? 24 THE REPORTER: Could you aske whoever is on the 25 phone to mute themselves? 26 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Oh, okay. Yes, could we 27 have the person or people that are on the phone, could we 28 please ask you to mute your computer or your audio component. 29 We are getting some feedback. We're getting a lot of noise 30 coming out of the phone. So if you could be so kind to just

mute yourself until it's time to speak, that would be 1 appreciated. Thank you. 2 MR. FLICKINGER: Ηi. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: State your name and address 4 for the record, please. 5 MR. FLICKINGER: My name is Erik A. Flickinger. 6 Μv address is 2063 Williamston Court, that's Akron, Ohio 44313. 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you. 8 MR. FLICKINGER: Now, I would like to go on the 9 10 record real quick by saying I appreciate that this says "gentlemen" and "ladies." That is a very kind presumption for 11 12 the doors on your bathrooms here, you know. I just wanted to let everybody know that. You don't get that kind of 13 14 assumption anymore. I am the wetlands consultant. I have mapped out all 15 the areas that are wetlands on the property. I have submitted 16 to the Corps of Engineers. We have received what's called a 17 18 PJD, which is a preliminary jurisdictional determination. This allows them to take -- I am sorry. Oh, that was an 19 20 approved JD because some of the wetlands are isolated. So I 21 have it here. 22 I mean, as far as permitting is concerned, we just 23 have to go through Ohio EPA for any wetlands we need to 24 disturb. The critical thing will be mitigation. I would like 25 to recommend maybe the portion of the property along Morley 26 Road be set aside, maybe put a conservation easement on it. 27 You know, that's preliminary. But I'd like to see some 28 preservation of the remaining wetlands on the site. And they 29 will continue to be fed because water tends to flow from the 30 east to the west on this property, and the placement of the

development won't be interrupting that flow. So we would be 1 all right with minor impacts to the periphery of some of those 2 areas. 3 If anybody has any questions, I am here. 4 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Any questions from 5 the board. Hiram? 6 MR. REPPERT: 7 Yes. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. 8 MR. REPPERT: Number one, I question how you can say 9 10 in your project summary here that you are not infringing woods, wetlands, streams, riparian corridors, and natural 11 12 draining area. We have two natural ponds there. And if I am looking at the overall preliminary plan, I see here that 13 building lots 7, 8, 9, and 10 are going to be on fill. 14 MR. FLICKINGER: Well, that would be an answer for 15 16 an engineer. I am not an engineer. MR. REPPERT: Okay. 17 18 MR. FLICKINGER: But I would assure you I worked for 19 one through college, Messmore Timberman down in Akron, and I 20 know that you have to engineer those fills. But once they're 21 properly engineered and done correctly, they should be fine. 22 MR. REPPERT: Do you have any requirement to tell 23 the builder or the owner of that lot that he is being built on 24 a filled in pond? 25 MR. FLICKINGER: I should think that should be. 26 MR. REPPERT: I would hope so. And there --27 MR. FLICKINGER: That's not up -- That is not my 28 decision to make. I am not marketing the property. I am not 29 selling the property. I was hired to map out the wetlands, 30 secure whatever we needed from the Corps of Engineers, which I

have done. 1 MR. REPPERT: Okay. And then also -- What did I see 2 The stormwater management in the northeast corner of here? 3 the lot, I think it's too small for the number of houses that 4 it serves. 5 MR. FLICKINGER: Has it been engineered, calculated 6 7 and, you know, the volume calculated and the outflow calculated? 8 MR. REPPERT: 9 No. 10 MR. FLICKINGER: Whether or not you think it's too small, the fact of the matter would remain that it would be 11 sized correctly if it was engineered correctly. 12 13 MR. REPPERT: It sure looks pretty small to me. MR. UHLENBROCK: I can answer some of those 14 questions. 15 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. You will get your 16 Okay? I appreciate your comments but we want to do one 17 turn. 18 at a time and make sure we cover everybody and make sure that the transcriptionist can get everybody's comments for the 19 Thank you. But you will have an opportunity to 20 record. 21 respond to that. 22 MR. REPPERT: Now, do you have anything to do with 23 the soil map? 24 MR. FLICKINGER: The soil survey? 25 MR. REPPERT: Yeah, by Century Construction LLC. 26 MR. FLICKINGER: Well, let me see. I don't know 27 what specifically you're referring to. May I see it, an 28 exhibit, please? If it's the soils map that was in our 29 wetlands delineation report or the soils map prepared by USDA or NRCS, then, you know, I am familiar with that. I am not 30

familiar with any other soils map which may have been done. 1 MR. REPPERT: Well, the soils map that's in your 2 package. 3 MR. FLICKINGER: Okay. 4 MR. REPPERT: Okay? it appears to me that it's 5 incorrect. It does not include the second pond. So --6 That's irrelevant. 7 MR. FLICKINGER: MR. REPPERT: No, it's not. It's a major issue. 8 MR. FLICKINGER: No. It's an exhibit in a wetlands 9 10 delineation report showing the soils that are on the site and you can see the soils, whether they're immediately on the 11 12 marked lots or not. If there was an error in laying out that 13 little line, I apologize for that, but everything is on that 14 map. I didn't prepare that. That map was prepared. MR. REPPERT: No, I realize that. I realize that. 15 MR. FLICKINGER: So you're picking at a 16 17 typographical error. Well, to me, that's a rather 18 MR. REPPERT: 19 significant one when you're looking at the soils that are 20 affected here on this area and they did not include one of the 21 major contributors. 22 MR. FLICKINGER: Is that area still shown on that 23 map? 24 MR. REPPERT: Oh, it's shown but it's --25 MR. FLICKINGER: Okay. 26 MR. REPPERT: -- outside the red line. 27 MR. FLICKINGER: Well, you know what? I think maybe 28 the red line was moved offer the fact, after we did that 29 report, you know. 30 MR. REPPERT: Well, then we ought to revise the

1 report. MR. FLICKINGER: Well, if you'd like, give me your 2 email address, I'll revise it and I'll send it to you. 3 MR. REPPERT: You'll send it to --4 MR. FLICKINGER: I'd be happy to, with the special 5 little message for you. 6 MR. REPPERT: I have some other comments with 7 respect to, in the pond, in the pond, lot number 20. No, 8 wait. Which lot? Slope and wetland. I don't know if we're 9 10 talking lot number 20. Where did it go? CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: It's the yellow one. 11 You 12 got it. MR. REPPERT: Yeah. Lot number 20 is, I don't know 13 if it's buildable or not because it's on a rather steep slope 14 and it's in the wetland and --15 MR. FLICKINGER: Again, I would imagine there would 16 be an engineered fill at that location. 17 MR. REPPERT: Okay. That's, I think, that's all I 18 have for you. 19 20 MR. FLICKINGER: Anybody else? 21 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Any questions, Ashley? 22 MS. GARCAR: No. 23 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Frank? 24 MR. SCHINDLER: Not yet. 25 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Not yet, okay. 26 All right. I think we're good. Thank you very 27 much. 28 MR. FLICKINGER: Thank you very much. 29 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Appreciate your 30 presentation.

Okay. Who was next to speak on the applicant's
 behalf? Yeah. Please state your name and address for the
 record, please.

MR. UHLENBROCK: Hi. I'm Brian Uhlenbrock. T'm 4 with Neff & Associates, 6405 York Road in Parma Heights. And 5 my role in this project, I am the planner. Neff & Associates 6 is an engineering firm, civil engineering firm. So we do the, 7 we'll end up doing the full civil engineering plans after the 8 preliminary process. I'm usually involved in the beginning of 9 10 the project, laying the lots out, working with our engineers to do the engineering, preliminary engineering for it, making 11 12 sure that the project works. And then once we get approval, 13 then we move it into the engineering phases.

So one of the things that we did receive are some comments from the township Zoning and then there is also some from the county as well. Reading through all those comments, kind of went through them. We have addressed them preliminarily. We will formally address them later after the meeting.

There is a lot of comments on here that are just kind of more just text type stuff, so I don't want to bore you with all the details on that. There's a few of them that I kind of want to run through, just kind of give an idea of what they're asking for and how we plan on addressing them.

25 And are you able to get the plan up on the monitor26 up here? Oh, there we go. Excellent.

27 So what you see on the screen is the RCD plan that 28 is being proposed. So the green areas are the open space 29 areas. The different colored boxes are different size units 30 on the plan. There is, Hoose Road is on the north side, Morley Road is on the east side, kind of get your bearings.

1

So one of the comments on there was in reference to 2 Wetland D. Wetland D is on the very kind of south. Let's see 3 if I can point. I can point on here, nice. So Wetland D is 4 on the south end here. It kind of talked about potential 5 6 encroachments into the setbacks. One of the things I want to point out on the site is there is 2.21 acres of wetlands on 7 the site. We are currently proposing to disturb .18 acres, 8 which that would be preservation of 90 percent of the wetlands 9 10 on site, which is the purpose of the RCD code. And so we're putting this in open space, planning on preserving them, you 11 12 know, for perpetuality.

So there is a -- The lot that was in question was in this area. And one of the things that we've been considering going on for this lot is adding just a little bit, a little sliver of wetland disturbance on just this one lot.

One of the things I don't like to do early is ask 17 18 for a lot of wetland disturbance up front and then, like for 19 the preliminary stage, and then turn around and find out that 20 we actually really don't need it because what ends up 21 happening in the long run is they end up getting disturbed 22 anyway because they're already permitted. So I try and be 23 conservative on that approach. If we end up going to final 24 engineering on this and find out that we need a little bit 25 more grading, then we would update our permit to ask for that 26 little bit of disturbance on that wetland.

27 So then what you see here on the next slide, one of 28 the comments -- There's a lot of comments that had, they were 29 kind of more final engineering type comments. So they're 30 asking for detailed grading for all the units and some other

kind of items like that. So what you see here is part of that 1 package that we submitted and this is a preliminary grading 2 plan that we do. It's kind of what we call our earth work 3 grading, and that just kind of gives us an idea of the site 4 balance. Are we going to be able to make the lots work? 5 So 6 it kind of lays out the roadways, grades the roadways. So that kind of, that's basically what this plan is showing. 7

8 When we get final approval, we get into the final 9 engineering, we end up addressing those detailed comments that 10 they're asking for for grading of all the individual lots. 11 But what this plan shows is that we can make this work the way 12 its currently proposed.

What's on the screen now is the yield plan. And as part of the code, in order to determine the yield for the RCD, we have to do a layout that determines what the -- how many units we could place on the site with the current zoning in place, and Jeff kind of touched on that a little bit. Zoning Code has some bonuses that come into play with the amount of open space that you are proposing.

20 So this is the yield plan. There's a couple of 21 comments on the yield plan. One of them was, there is some 22 number, like, dimensions on the plan that didn't match our 23 overall legal description boundary. And so we kind of looked 24 into that before the meeting just to make sure that we are 25 good with everything, and we're talking about less than a foot 26 on the dimensions. What we found is, when the CAD technician 27 drew this up, they snapped to the wrong line and so it kind of 28 made the dimensions just a little bit different. But with 29 that said, that doesn't affect the built -- the areas, the 30 widths for these lots, so they still meet the R-1 code.

Another one of the comments was the buildability of 1 2 the lots along this stretch here, which is in the south, southeast corner. And so what we did to kind of show how 3 those lots would be built if this site plan was constructed, 4 so we see on the top left corner is an enlargement of that 5 6 site plan. The yellow boxes are -- represent houses. The wetland is Wetland D, I think it is, is down in this corner 7 The red area is what we're proposing for some wetland 8 here. disturbance in order to get the grading in that we need. 9 So 10 it is a cross-section through that.

What we see in this cross-section is a -- this would be the proposed roadway. We'd slope up to a house. This is representing a house, two stories with a, what we call a walk-out basement, patio area, then we slope down 3 to 1, which is a reasonable slope to mow in. And we get back to grade right around the middle of where we're showing some wetland disturbance.

So that leaves us a little bit more space, a little bit of buffer room between where we're proposing that disturbance to occur. So this is not extreme. It's not totally out of the ordinary. We've had worse conditions than this. So it just kind of shows that that lot, those lots would be buildable and marketable.

24 Another one of the comments that was included in the 25 township zoning review was for the proposed clubhouse. The 26 pool is required to be 30 feet from property lines and 27 adjacent buildings. And so what we want to do is just kind of 28 prepare a slide kind of showing that that is the case. We 29 have, this would be the clubhouse, proposed pool. This would 30 be the proposed property line. We have 30 feet from the

eastern property line, 40 feet from the south property line.

1

And I do want to point out that this is a preliminary plan for the, for the clubhouse. It is conceptual. Everything would need to be reviewed during final engineering to make sure that all the zoning code applies, but this shows that we can make this work.

And so one of the discussions that usually come up 7 in meetings like this is, where does the water drain? 8 How are we addressing stormwater management? This plan is kind of 9 10 hard to read. It's a little bit, probably, too technical than what I want it to be but what it's showing is the proposed 11 12 development. What you see in red outlines are drainage areas. So there is a line here that's a drainage area for this 13 wetland. So everything that, you know, rain that falls in 14 this kind of drains down into this wetland. 15

16 There is a small drainage area here that ends up 17 flowing into another drainage area. There is an existing pond 18 that you're referring to which is located here, so that's the 19 drainage area for this pond.

20 And then there is a drainage area that kind of goes 21 through a culvert and across the culvert and kind of 22 intersects another drainage area. There is another pond right 23 here and that's the drainage area for that pond.

And all of this eventually ends up into the wetland in the west side of the site. There's a 24-inch culvert that goes underneath a berm and then there is a swale that goes through another pond and eventually ends up off site.

28 So as part of the design of the engineering for 29 this, we will have to meet all county requirements for 30 stormwater management. They will do a thorough review of

1 this. Our pre and post runoff cannot exceed. So what the 2 runoff that's current today will not increase after, after the 3 development.

There is a lot of talk about filling the ponds and,
yes, there is proposal to fill these ponds. There has been
some preliminary discussions with geotechnical engineers and I
think that one of the letters was provided.

8

9

Did you provide that?

MR. SMUL: Yes.

MR. UHLENBROCK: Yeah, one of the letters was 10 provided. And it basically kind of says like, in a case like 11 12 this, this is how we address it. And in order to fill a pond like this, you've got to drain the pond, you've got to pull 13 out all the bad material, proof-roll it, make sure the soil is 14 good, and then you've got to fill and lifts to make sure that 15 that soil is stable so it creates a good foundation for that 16 building when it is built. 17

And it's in everybody's best interest to have the geotechnical engineer on site to observe the fills as they're being placed. And it's not uncommon to fill, you know, 20, 30 feet on some projects. So filling this wetland or -- I am sorry -- this pond is not, is not an extreme condition, by any means, and -- but it's something that will definitely need to be paid attention to as the project is constructed.

25 What you see here in the northwest corner are two 26 proposed detention basins. We have a couple of detention 27 basins in the back here and in the back as kind of place 28 holders. We don't know if we're going to need them yet until 29 we get into final engineering but we wanted to make sure we 30 included those in because, as part of the code, we can't include stormwater management basins in our open space areas.
And so we want to make sure that we are counting for that in
case we need to do some small water quality basins in order to
treat rear yards. Depending on the reviewer and the
municipality that's reviewing the plans, they kind of treat
rear yards a little bit differently. So we want to make sure
that we are, we have everything accounted for as part of that.

I did mention the open space. One of the comments 8 that I forgot to mention before is we -- Let me get back to 9 10 the RCD plan here. There is a comment about the open spaces not meeting the 25 foot width requirement. So as part of the 11 12 code, in order for it to be counted as open space, it's got to be at least 25 foot wide. And so the areas that they're 13 14 talking about are, we have a small strip in here, a small strip around the back side here, and a small strip here, and 15 those are the areas between what we're showing as the 16 stormwater management basin and property lines or the right-17 18 of-way.

19 And in hindsight, we probably shouldn't have shown 20 it that way. We probably should have just combined the, what 21 would end up being a stormwater management easement and have 22 that be adjacent to the back property lines and the right-of-23 way, eliminate that open space so we don't have that narrow 24 strip of open space, and then just make the areas around it 25 larger to compensate for those small strips that were, that 26 we're currently counting as open space.

27 So we will make that update as part of the final 28 design and still show our open space percentage. And 29 preliminarily looking at it, I think we will still be able to 30 make that percentage that we originally were proposing. 1 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: That would be my question.
2 With the -- If your intention is to eliminate those narrow
3 strips of open space in your calculation, would that have an
4 adverse impact on the overall project from a percentage
5 standpoint?

MR. UHLENBROCK: Yes, and that's a great question. 6 And it won't because what we're going to do is kind of 7 reconfigure how we do it. So instead of the wetland being 8 kind of offset from the, kind of pushed away from the -- I'm 9 10 Did I say the wetland? The stormwater management sorry. So instead of the stormwater management basin being 11 basin. 12 offset from the right-of-way and from the property lines, we'll kind of tuck it up a little bit tighter to them and 13 then, with that movement, we will pick up open space adjacent 14 to it. So it's basically taking that open space and kind of 15 moving it around. 16

And one comment on that is, one of the things that 17 I -- That is challenging with this type of code. It's a great 18 19 code and it has a lot of potential but to pick out, what 20 always scares me is to pick out that detention area, that 21 stormwater management area in the preliminary design stage 22 because there is a lot of engineering that needs to happen 23 after the preliminary design stages to size the basins. But 24 we've done some of that. We have done the preliminary 25 calculations.

But if you have to move like a basin line like a few feet here and there, it just, kind of, it's like a snowball effect. So what we've done is, kind of, we're being a little bit conservative on the size that we are showing just knowing that, you know, as we get into final engineering, that we, you

know, there are some tweaks that need to be made or that could 1 potentially need to be made. 2 MR. REPPERT: Could you go back to your drainage 3 slide? 4 MR. UHLENBROCK: Sure. 5 MR. REPPERT: The houses on the north side of 6 Cambridge Court, where do they drain? 7 MR. UHLENBROCK: So the north side of Cambridge 8 Court, is that -- Am I in the right area here? 9 10 MR. REPPERT: Go into the cul-de-sac, to the right cul-de-sac. 11 12 MR. UHLENBROCK: Yes. MR. REPPERT: North side of the cul-de-sac. 13 MR. UHLENBROCK: On the north side, so these areas 14 in here? 15 MR. REPPERT: North, north. 16 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: That would be south, so the 17 north side would be on the other side. 18 MR. UHLENBROCK: So the --19 MS. FREEMAN: Your lots 51 through 40. 20 21 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, it would be lots 51 22 through 40. 23 MR. REPPERT: Forty-one. 24 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Forty, forty'ish. 25 MR. UHLENBROCK: Let me get my numbers here. So, okay, so you are talking about the north side of our cul-de-26 27 sac. 28 MR. REPPERT: Cambridge Court. 29 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes. 30 MR. UHLENBROCK: Yeah, got it. I apologize.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: That's okay. 1 MR. UHLENBROCK: I need to know my street names. 2 All right. So you are talking about here? 3 MR. REPPERT: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes. 5 MR. UHLENBROCK: Yeah. So right now there is a 6 7 small drainage area that comes along here and -- existing -and I think it, I am pretty sure this kind of drains up this 8 way currently. You can see the red line. It runs along here. 9 10 All of this goes that way. So what we're going to be doing when we put this, 11 12 the houses in, we actually have to account for, like, our, all of our stormwater. So we've got to pick it up and put it 13 through the basins. So we're actually going to pick up some 14 of this draining area and put into our the storm sewers and 15 send it to the basins down here. 16 So what we have to do in order to do that is 17 18 actually make the basin a little bit bigger because we've got to overcompensate since we're sending -- We can't, like I said 19 earlier on, I can't release any more water, stormwater from 20 21 here, so I've got to hold back even more. But because we're 22 taking this little, small -- and it's only a little bit, so 23 it's kind of minor in the whole grand scheme of things, but 24 we're taking this little bit of stormwater, bring it through 25 our stormwater system out onto the basin, and then it will 26 eventually end up in here. 27 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: What about the retention 28 basin to the east of that component? 29 MR. UHLENBROCK: This one here? 30 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes.

MR. UHLENBROCK: So that's exactly why we put that 1 one in there. As we get into the final engineering, if we 2 feel that we need to pick up some stormwater, if we feel that 3 any of these houses are affected at all, it gives us that 4 opportunity to do so. 5 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. But that's not, that, 6 7 the purpose of that retention basin on the east, the far east, at the end of that cul-de-sac there, the one that you're 8 circling now --9 10 MR. UHLENBROCK: Yeah. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: That is, the intention of 11 that is not to offload the drainage, the primary drainage from 12 13 the other part, the other component from the north portion of the --14 MR. UHLENBROCK: Correct. 15 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: What is that? -- of 16 Cambridge Court. 17 MR. UHLENBROCK: Correct, yes, it's not. 18 So we're 19 not going to -- I think what you are asking is, we're not 20 going to be sending more water this way. 21 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So there is not a chance 22 that that retention basin could increase in size? 23 MR. UHLENBROCK: Correct, correct, yes. So that 24 basin is, most likely, if we do use it, it's going to be what 25 we would call water quality. There's two components, there's 26 the stormwater manage --27 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. MR. UHLENBROCK: Like the quantity and quality. 28 29 That would be more of the quality component. 30 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: From a quality, okay.

38

MR. UHLENBROCK: Yeah. And so that will be on our 1 radar big time is what is happening to this water here and 2 making sure that we're not affecting these neighbors as part 3 of this design. 4 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. 5 MR. REPPERT: So you are taking the drainage from 6 7 those houses and going north? MR. UHLENBROCK: No, no. We're taking the drainage 8 from these houses and we're taking it west. 9 10 MR. REPPERT: Taking it west. MR. UHLENBROCK: Yeah. So some of the water 11 actually goes that way today. We're probably going to be 12 13 picking it up and sending it to the west into our stormwater 14 management basins. MR. REPPERT: Wow, okay. 15 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. 16 MR. REPPERT: Yeah. It looks like a long way to go. 17 18 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Ashley, any comments? 19 MR. REPPERT: You can't go north. You can't go 20 north because you can't put the water in the other land. 21 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: No, right, correct. 22 MR. UHLENBROCK: One of the things that kind of 23 comes into play on that one is, when we're designing the 24 stormwater management, if there is a good point source like 25 where water is outletting in one location, it makes it a lot 26 easier for stormwater management. You can manage it much 27 better that way. So here is that 24 inch that goes -- I was 28 talking about -- that goes underneath this berm on the far 29 west side of this site. So it's much easier to design, much 30 easier to manage that way because it is already a channel

1 that's created.

Where it becomes a really big challenge is when you 2 have like a sheet flow going offsite and there is no, like, 3 kind of point that you can't dump it into a stream, you can't 4 dump it into storm sewers. So you are taking something that's 5 sheet flowing and you're comfining it into one spot and you're 6 letting it out, and that's something we want to avoid as we're 7 doing this. And that's, we've accounted that as part of this. 8 We've accounted for that as part of this design. 9

10

11

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay.

Hiram, any other questions, comments?

MR. REPPERT: Did you have anything to do with goingfrom the yield plan to the preliminary plan?

MR. UHLENBROCK: The yield plan to the preliminaryplan, so you are talking about the design of both?

16 MR. REPPERT: Well, going from the -- Well, making17 the yield plan to begin with.

MR. UHLENBROCK: So the original yield plan, we inherited. So there was another planner that did the original yield plan and we kind of took it, we refined it, cleaned it up, and I think it's a much better plan. Not to float our boat but I think we improved it, the yield plan. I think it works much better. It's more functional if it was to actually to be built.

MR. REPPERT: I think the one comment that I have with the yield plan is that some of the lots are not buildable and some of the lots, the foundation is in the pond. So my, instead of having 55 half acre lots, I am down to 48. So that means, with the additional four, you might have 52 lots. That's what we have to deal with right now. That's my

opinion. 1 MR. UHLENBROCK: Right, yeah. 2 MR. REPPERT: Not yours. 3 MR. UHLENBROCK: No, I completely understand that. 4 I mean, you can look at it from so many angles on whether a 5 lot is buildable or not, so I can understand that comment. 6 MR. REPPERT: So some of the foundations are in the 7 pond or the ex-pond or whatever, and so on and so forth. 8 And then on the preliminary plan -- let me see -- 44 9 10 through 49 are on the existing pond. Lots 7 through 10 are on the existing pond that's been refilled. 11 12 MR. UHLENBROCK: Right, correct, yes. MR. REPPERT: Thirty-eight, lot 38, is it buildable? 13 The same thing with 13 and 14 due to grading, and I think 14 you've covered that. 15 16 MR. UHLENBROCK: Yep. MR. REPPERT: And then since you're taking the 17 stormwater from Cambridge Court to the west, my comment on the 18 19 stormwater management, number 3, and in the northeast corner 20 goes away. 21 MR. UHLENBROCK: Yes. Those are all good comments. 22 MR. REPPERT: Okay. Comment duly noted. 23 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Anything else, Hiram? 24 MR. REPPERT: No, at least not for this gentleman. 25 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Ashley, any comments? 26 27 MS. GARCAR: Not yet. 28 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Not yet. 29 Frank, any comments, questions? 30 MR. SCHINDLER: Well, questions, basically. The

1 yield plan, I guess, eliminates all the ponds that are on that 2 piece of property?

3

MR. UHLENBROCK: Yes.

4 MR. SCHINDLER: Do you know what the conservation5 development really means?

MR. UHLENBROCK: Yes, yes, I do, yep. So I 6 understand that comment. And I just kind of go back to, we 7 kind of put the priority on preserving the wetlands. 8 That's what the EPA, Army Corps focuses on more than ponds. 9 The 10 ponds are, they're not necessarily a stormwater management, they're more of an aesthetic to the site. That's why they 11 were created originally, aesthetic and recreation. So we are 12 13 reconfiguring them. We are moving them and designing them 14 more as stormwater management ponds.

But we put the conservation priority to the wetlands. And I was saying before, like, right now we're preserving 90 percent of the wetlands. That might, that number might adjust just a little bit but we definitely have that in mind as we're designing this.

20 MR. SCHINDLER: You have the wetlands, which you say 21 you are working on now to preserve. But if you had to keep 22 the ponds, how many units do you think you would be building? 23 How many lots would you have to reduce?

MR. UHLENBROCK: Yeah, I, I couldn't even answer that right now without really taking a look. There is a lot of factors that come into play because it's, it's -- you've got to reconfigure the roadways. It's not easy, not an easy answer. It would be a lot.

29 MR. SCHINDLER: I am sure it would be a lot.
30 MR. UHLENBROCK: Yeah. I mean, that's hard to

1 answer.

MR. SCHINDLER: Were those ponds there naturally 2 over the years of this planet or were they man-made many years 3 ago by the owners who owned that property; do you know? 4 MR. UHLENBROCK: You are asking who created them? 5 MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah, whether Mother Nature, they 6 7 were always there from day one of the existing of our planet or did the landowners who owned that property now put ponds in 8 there for their, for their enjoyment. 9 10 MR. UHLENBROCK: Yeah, those are -- They have berms on them, like defined berms, so they look like they were 11 12 man-made. They were created for the aesthetic. MR. SCHINDLER: Okay. And they've been there 13 probably for -- Do you have any idea how many years or 14 decades? 15 MR. UHLENBROCK: No, but they've definitely been 16 there for a while. 17 18 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Do you have a comment, sir, 19 where you would --20 MR. FLICKINGER: No, I was going to say --21 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: If you would like to, why 22 don't you come on up to the microphone. 23 MR. FLICKINGER: I'm sorry. Yeah, I just want to 24 clarify with the ponds. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes. 25 26 MR. FLICKINGER: All right? Number one --27 MS. FREEMAN: Can you state your name again? 28 MR. FLICKINGER: My name is Erik A. Flickinger, 29 Akron, Ohio. 30 The ponds are man-made and they were too shallow to

support much in the way of fish, because I tried to fish one 1 of them, didn't have any luck, didn't see any fish. 2 So they're not very deep. They were probably used to place fill 3 elsewhere on the site for a combination of aesthetics and then 4 maybe to build up an area where a house was going to sit. 5 6 They were placed there intentionally. They're man-made. There's even relic dam structures out there that -- where the 7 wetlands were originally ponds, also, but the dams had failed 8 and they have just become wetlands. 9

But I want to reiterate, ponds are not special aquatic sites and they are not regulated by the Corps of Engineers. Man-made, ornamental, agricultural, stock watering are all nonjurisdictional.

And wetlands are a much different matter. Those are considered, and I quote, special aquatic sites. So they even are afforded greater protection than streams. So I just wanted to go on record and let everybody know that. If you have any questions regarding any of the wetlands or the status of the ponds or anything about the geomorphology of the site, I'd be happy to answer any questions you have right now.

21 MR. SCHINDLER: Well, most people buy areas a lot of 22 times that are desirable, you know, with nature, for example. 23 A lot of people like to have a home maybe around a pond --

24 MR. FLICKINGER: You know what?
25 MR. SCHINDLER: -- whether you can fish on it or
26 not.

27 MR. FLICKINGER: Sure. Well, I will tell you that I 28 bought my house because it had a pond because of fishing and I 29 like ponds. I will tell you that this pond is just deep 30 enough to drown a child but not really deep enough to support

any wildlife. 1 MR. SCHINDLER: Does the pond, for example, does it 2 have natural water that comes in and fills it and it goes out, 3 so it stays? 4 MR. FLICKINGER: It gets, it gets, it gets drainage, 5 ephemeral drainage from precipitation, yes. 6 MR. SCHINDLER: 7 Okay. MR. FLICKINGER: It's essentially functioning as a 8 retention basin, a shallow retention basin. It's silted in. 9 10 It has, I would, if I were to guess, I would say it's probably 50 to 70 years old. It's hard to say. You know what? 11 I could, I could find out but I don't think it's worth our time 12 for me to do that. 13 MR. SCHINDLER: I'm not asking you to. 14 MR. FLICKINGER: Any other questions? 15 MR. SCHINDLER: No. 16 MR. FLICKINGER: Anybody? 17 18 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you very much, 19 appreciate the explanation. 20 MR. FLICKINGER: Thank you. 21 MR. UHLENBROCK: Thank you. Anything else for me? 22 MR. REPPERT: Well, did you take care of the traffic 23 study, also? 24 MR. UHLENBROCK: No, the traffic study was -- There 25 is a study done by TMS; is that right? 26 MR. SMUL: Yes. 27 MR. UHLENBROCK: TMS did the, did the study for 28 this. 29 MR. REPPERT: How about the sight distance study? 30 MR. UHLENBROCK: Sight distance study, we took a

look at that. And if you -- I think we looked at Hoose Road 1 and saw that everything complied from ODOT standards. 2 MR. REPPERT: Okay. Well, I have some issues with 3 that. 4 MR. UHLENBROCK: Sure. 5 MR. REPPERT: The proposed drive entrance does not 6 agree with the preliminary plan. You have lots 1, 2, and 3 7 across from lots 54 and 55, 56, and that's not quite the case. 8 The case is that 57, 58 and 59 are off to the side. It does 9 not address the -- those three entrances onto Hoose Road in 10 your traffic study or in your sight distance study. 11 MR. UHLENBROCK: 12 Yeah. MR. REPPERT: So that's got to be revised. 13 MR. UHLENBROCK: Yeah. So my comment on that is the 14 ODOT standards that are -- It's more for intersections, like 15 major intersection. They don't study driveways. And I don't 16 want to commit anybody to anything but one of the things that 17 18 has been proposed in the past on previous projects when you 19 have houses fronting more of a busier street, you put a T-type driveway in it so you can pull straight out as opposed to 20 21 backing out onto Hoose Road. But normally, as a traffic 22 study, the lots fronting the road would not be studied as part 23 of that. 24 Is there something in particular you are looking for 25 to find out? 26 MR. REPPERT: When I look at your proposed drive 27 entrance, it doesn't even come close to what is going on your 28 preliminary plan and I think they ought to agree. 29 MR. UHLENBROCK: So you're talking about the -- Are 30 you talking about the RCD plan?

MR. REPPERT: I am talking about -- what's the 1 number -- 1 of 6, right after the page on your sight distance 2 study. 3 MR. UHLENBROCK: Okay. Oh, I think I may know what 4 you are looking at, talking about. Okay, yeah, so 1 of --5 MS. FREEMAN: (Handing.) 6 7 MR. UHLENBROCK: Thank you, thank you, appreciate that. 8 Okay. So 1 of 6 is our, would be the -- So you're 9 10 saying that that entrance doesn't look like our current preliminary plan? 11 12 MR. REPPERT: No. MR. UHLENBROCK: Got it. I see what you are saying. 13 Okay. So we can definitely update that. That's not a 14 problem. I don't think it's going to really change anything. 15 MR. REPPERT: Shouldn't that study also include the 16 three lots up here, 57, 58, 59? 17 18 MR. UHLENBROCK: Yeah, we can put those on there. 19 That wouldn't be a problem. Like I said, it's not going to 20 affect what the sight distance study is saying based on the 21 ODOT standards and requirements, but I kind of get your point. 22 But are you, is it more of a concern of like those, the sight 23 distance for the driveways of those houses or --24 MR. REPPERT: The sight distance to the three houses 25 off to the right and also the traffic coming out of -- what is 26 it -- Canter, Canterwood Trail. 27 MR. UHLENBROCK: Yeah. So we can definitely add 28 those and update this exhibit. Again, I don't think it's 29 really going to change anything from sight perspective but I 30 can understand the concern.

MR. REPPERT: It should agree. 1 MR. UHLENBROCK: 2 Yep. Okay. I am done. MR. REPPERT: Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Any other questions, Hiram? 4 MR. REPPERT: No, sir. 5 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: You sure? 6 MR. REPPERT: Yeah. 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Ashley? 8 MS. GARCAR: 9 Nothing. 10 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Frank, any other questions? MR. SCHINDLER: I assume this development will have 11 12 a homeowners association. MR. UHLENBROCK: 13 Yes. MR. SCHINDLER: And you classify it as a senior 14 development, a senior citizen development? 15 16 MR. SMUL: Adult active community. MR. SCHINDLER: Adult active community. 17 MR. UHLENBROCK: Adult active community. 18 MR. SCHINDLER: What does that mean? 19 20 MR. SMUL: Fifty-five plus. 21 MR. SCHINDLER: Fifty-five plus, so that means 22 anyone that comes and wants to live in that development will 23 have to be 55 or older? 24 MR. SMUL: I can't hear him. Do you have --25 MR. UHLENBROCK: Do you have to be 55 to buy a 26 house? MR. SMUL: 27 No. 28 MR. SCHINDLER: No. So you can have, you can have 29 young couples with children living in there, right? 30 MR. UHLENBROCK: I will let you answer those.

MR. SMUL: We will bring the expert up here and talk 1 about it. 2 MR. PECK: Steve Peck, 500 Stonehenge Parkway, 3 Dublin, Ohio. 4 So these are, so these ranches are all two bedroom, 5 6 two bath on the first floor. The way the HOA docs are 7 written, there is no basketball hoops allowed, there's no trampolines. There's really no room for them with the space 8 that's left on the lots. 9 10 So in terms of the age restriction, the federal requirement is that 80 percent of the residents have at least 11 12 one person that's 55 or older. That's managed through a census that's taken through the homeowners association and 13 submitted to the federal government every two years. 14 MR. SCHINDLER: So you can have people that are 15 living there that have young children and everything. 16 MR. PECK: You could, but they would have to be 17 under 55 and within that 80 percent range. But our average 18 buyer across -- We sell about 1,000 to 1,100 homes a year in 19 20 about 23 states. Our average home buyer is about 60. 21 MR. SCHINDLER: But there is no guarantee that that 22 will be the case. 23 MR. PECK: The guarantee is the age restriction in 24 the HOA docs. 25 MR. SCHINDLER: Just the age restriction that one 26 person --27 MR. PECK: And the lifestyle which we're providing 28 isn't friendly to kids, and the homes themselves. 29 MR. SCHINDLER: Well, nowadays, there's a lot of 30 senior citizens that have their grandchildren living with them

because either one member of the family, unfortunately, maybe
 there might be a divorce in the family.

3

MR. PECK: Sure.

MR. SCHINDLER: So now they're taking in their child 4 and their two grandchildren and everything there -- you know 5 6 that I mean -- to live with them. So now you can see what it 7 is with children living around, quote, supposedly a senior citizen development, young kids running all over the place. 8 And kids are kids. You know what I mean? I don't have 9 10 anything against children. Don't misunderstand me. But your focus on, I hear so much of this focusing on it's a senior 11 12 citizen development but yet we all know, in the real world, that when situations come up, especially today, we all know 13 that families are having a rough time of it, so they're taking 14 care and supporting their kids. 15

16

MR. PECK: Sure.

MR. SCHINDLER: Who have kids and stuff. Then it
just turns out to where the kids are there, living there all
the time.

So I can provide you some data 20 MR. PECK: Yeah. 21 from some school districts that we have built a lot of homes 22 We have tracked the number of kids that come from our in. 23 communities, in particular, the Olentangy School District down 24 in Delaware. We have done over 950 homes in the last -- In 25 2019, we had 58 kids out of, in that entire school district, 26 out of those 950 homes. I'm not saying it doesn't happen but 27 it is rare. Again, the lifestyle. In the Hilliard School 28 District, we had done over, I believe, 500 homes and they had 29 eight kids in two thousand -- same year over the year.

30

So I am not saying it doesn't happen but the way the

houses live, the way the HOA docs are written, it is not 1 friendly for kids. 2 MR. SCHINDLER: Oh, I can understand that. And we 3 know that you are building them for the seniors. 4 MR. PECK: Sure. 5 MR. SCHINDLER: But circumstances do come up where, 6 7 if they take in their grandchildren and they're finding they're living there all the time, then over time when they're 8 going to school, you will have school buses going in there 9 10 picking these kids up and taking them back and forth to school. You know what I am getting at. 11 12 MR. UHLENBROCK: Yeah. And if it was an R-1, how 13 many of the school buses? MR. SCHINDLER: Oh, I know that. R-1, we would 14 expect that, you know. But I hate to hear the term all the 15 time "senior citizen development." We know, based on what 16 you've just said, there is a possibility that there could be 17 children living there possibility all the time. 18 MR. PECK: There could be. 19 20 MR. SCHINDLER: Sure. 21 MR. LUCAS: Mr. Chairman. 22 MR. SCHINDLER: I stand -- That's my question. 23 MR. LUCAS: Is that true? Because looking at the 24 HOA, on Article 4, it specifically says, under subparagraph B, 25 "Persons under 21 years of age are not permitted. No dwelling on a lot may be occupied by any person under 21 years of age." 26 27 MR. PECK: That's a sample doc from another 28 community in Columbus. 29 MR. LUCAS: Yeah. But this is the one that's kind of being put --30

MR. SMUL: I submitted a new one. It's updated. 1 MR. LUCAS: 2 Okay. So we have removed that one because --MR. PECK: 3 MR. LUCAS: Okay. 4 MR. SMUL: Those aren't final documents. 5 MR. LUCAS: Yeah, I understand we're in preliminary 6 7 plan formatting. MR. SMUL: We're trying to finalize the documents 8 and we submitted --9 10 MR. LUCAS: Okay. MR. REPPERT: What's the consequence if you don't 11 meet that percentage? 12 13 MR. PECK: So the consequence is, throughout the sales process, if -- or the salesperson or the builder gets to 14 a point to where they think they're getting close to that 80 15 percent or the 20 percent requirement, then we would not sell 16 a house to somebody 55 or under because we have to manage 17 18 that. And it has to be submitted to the federal government 19 every two years, I believe, by the HOA. And that could be in 20 the -- That happens in the resale situation, too. 21 MR. REPPERT: Okay. 22 MR. PECK: Anything else for me? 23 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you. 24 You done? 25 MR. UHLENBROCK: I am done. 26 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Any other comments? I don't 27 think we have any other questions. 28 Hiram? 29 MR. REPPERT: I am done. 30 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Ashley?

1	MS. GARCAR: I am good.
2	CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Frank, any other questions?
3	MR. SCHINDLER: No, I am done.
4	CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you very much.
5	Appreciate it.
6	MR. UHLENBROCK: Yep, thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Mr. Smul, do you have any
8	other people you had planned on speaking at this point?
9	MR. SMUL: No, I don't. I think everybody that we
10	brought here to help answer any questions have spoken already.
11	CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: All right, good. Then I
12	will consider your presentation at this point complete.
13	MR. SMUL: Okay, yeah.
14	CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Then what I am going to do
15	is I'm going to open it up to public comment and then, when
16	the public is done, then you will have an opportunity, along
17	with the people you brought, to respond to any comments or
18	questions or concerns that have been brought up.
19	MR. SMUL: Okay, great, fantastic. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: All right. Thank you.
21	Yes, ma'am.
22	MS. KILFOYLE: Can I go?
23	CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: In a minute.
24	Okay. For expeditious purposes, what I would like
25	to do is I would like to do a, basically, a group swearing in
26	or an affirmation instead of taking the time to swear each
27	person in as they come up to speak at the podium. What I will
28	do is, if you are planning on coming up this evening and
29	speaking, what I'd like you to do is raise your right hand and
30	affirm or swear that you will tell the truth and nothing but

the truth with regards to this matter that's in front of us 1 this evening. I will? 2 (Whereupon, the speakers were sworn en masse.) 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Thank you. I will 4 consider you sworn in at this point. 5 What we will do is we will start with people that 6 7 would like to speak in favor of the proposed zoning change amendment, and we will start on my left, your right. Row one, 8 anybody in favor that would like to come up and speak? 9 10 (No response.) Okay. Row two, anybody in row two that would like 11 to come up and speak? 12 13 (No response.) Row three, would like to come up and speak in favor? 14 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Not in favor. 15 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay, all right. We will 16 17 get to you. Anybody in row four? 18 19 (No response.) 20 Row five? Come on up, sir. Please, when you get to 21 the podium, please state your name and address for the record. 22 MR. LOXTERMAN: Hi, I am William M. Loxterman, 23 735 Ruddiman Drive, North Muskegon, Michigan. 24 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. 25 MR. LOXTERMAN: Shirley A. Loxterman is my mother. She is currently in a nursing home under hospice care. 26 27 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. 28 MR. LOXTERMAN: My father was William H. Loxterman, 29 who passed in 2004. So I am the POA and trustee of the trust, 30 et cetera. So I want to speak in favor of this. I've served

on city council up in Michigan, so I have a little bit of
 familiarity with voting on the recommendations from planning
 commissions and zoning commissions.

First of all, I want to say I've had the opportunity 4 to view a very popular and successful development in Muskegon 5 that is, the similarities are tremendous and it's just an 6 amazing success for the city of Muskegon. And, you know, I 7 recognize how hard it is for, particularly, people that live 8 adjacent to deal with a significant change like this. 9 And as 10 a matter of fact, at first blush, you know, I thought, "Wow, this is awfully, awfully intense." And I've been coming back 11 12 to this area a lot. You know, quite frankly, I see some areas 13 where I think -- mostly apartments -- where I think it's an awful lot on a small area. 14

But when you see the -- When I saw this plan, I said, "Wow, this is terrific," because I could see the walkability of it, the lifestyle effect. As a senior citizen, this is something that I look at, you know, not having to take care of a yard and still having amenities available.

So I think it's a good project. I think it's a good use of the land. I think it will be very successful, very popular, or give people an opportunity, more people, to live in the great township of Concord where my parents have been for, my mother anyway, for 40 years or so. So that's my comment.

26 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you.
27 MR. SCHINDLER: Thank you.
28 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Anybody else in row four
29 that would like to speak in favor?
30 (No response.)

Row five would be the last row in this section here. 1 2 Anybody want to speak in favor? (No response.) 3 I will move to my right, your left. Okav. Row one, 4 anybody that would like to speak in favor of the development 5 proposal? 6 7 (No response.) Row two, anybody in row two? 8 (No response.) 9 10 Row three, anybody in row three here to speak in favor. 11 12 (No response.) Row four? 13 14 (No response.) And the last row, anybody want to speak in favor? 15 (No response.) 16 Okay. 17 18 MR. REPPERT: The phone. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Oh, I am sorry. Yes, on the 19 20 phone, is there anybody on the phone, phone participants who 21 would like to speak in favor of the proposed zoning change? 22 (No response.) 23 I will assume that means no. 24 Okay. Now what we will do is we will start again in 25 the same process with those that are opposed to the current 26 zoning amendment change. We would like to start with row one. 27 Ma'am, please state your name and address for the 28 record, please. 29 MS. KILFOYLE: My name is Barbara Kilfoyle and I 30 live at 10100 Meadowlake Court. So when you are looking at

the proposal, I kind of have the wedge home that's 180 degrees surrounded by the proposal. I've kind of been the lead-out person since I have seen the survey happen in my back yard. And, you know, I really want to thank the Zoning Commission because, when we all looked at the February 3rd preliminary, so many of your concerns that you voiced were our concerns and we really appreciate, we felt like you guys had our backs.

8 And so when the May 5th documents came out, what I
9 did is I took the original from February 3rd, I lined it up
10 with the minutes and I lined it up with what was submitted May
11 5th and there wasn't much change.

12 So if you take a look at the minutes, Mr. Iafelice, he was critical of the yield plan, saying multiple times that 13 he just doesn't feel -- "The yield plan is not fully developed 14 enough. I don't think the 56 is a reasonable number." 15 And here on your new yield plan, you went down to only 55. 16 So, yeah, I agree with him both then and now that it's not 17 reasonable. 18

And when you take a look at the requirements for the yield plan, it must be marketable and reasonable. And I know, since I was the last person to build a home there in 2014, that Wetland A and everything that comes down high -- Hobby Horse is set up high, Hoose is set up high, and everything comes down in the basin, into the Loxterman property.

Wetland A, which I know I sent pictures both to Heather and to Andrew, is something that I can see from my yard. And as I know that Mr. Schindler and probably Mr. Reppert haven't seen but, I mean, I can see it here. And I will give this to Heather and she can circulate around. That's the creek in the front of my yard. It runs through

57

1 their yard. And you can see the Wetland A is in the background. And for me when I built, that's what I had to put 2 in my front yard. I couldn't have my house there. I couldn't 3 have my house nicely lined up with my neighbor's. It had to 4 be set back from there. And I had to have a driveway that was 5 6 only so wide because I had to respect the water that was feeding into that wetland. So when I see the wetland paved 7 over in the yield, I know it's not possible. I wasn't allowed 8 to do it. 9

I couldn't even have a walk-out basement. 10 We originally, when our home was proposed to us, we were going to 11 12 have a walk-out basement. But because of the way everything sloped down, we couldn't do the walk-out basement. And then 13 14 we ended up with a big swale in the back yard, everything focusing down there. We had to respect it because all that 15 water is coming from down pond going right into that 16 Wetland A. So I question that. And I know I pointed that out 17 18 to you in emails and such like that and I'd like to provide 19 pictures, too.

Also, there's been questions about, again, that place. And it's really easy to pull up the pictures from the 1940s to see exactly where the -- I am speaking of right there. You can literally see the branches going down. That's from 1940. That's the last aerial shot -- the first aerial shots, I guess you could say.

And you can also see that the ponds were indeed man-made but those ponds were, again, if you take a look at the aerial shots that are available to everybody, the ponds were made in about 1970s, 1972, so they're about 50 years old.

30

And as Mr. Schindler even pointed out in the

58

February 3rd meeting, those areas are pristine. After 50 years, they are pristine. We have geese. We have all these deer. We have, unfortunately, a coyote, too. It is like a nature preserve back there. So to see them all buried and filled in, it's sad, it's sad, so that's a major issue.

Also, the lack of a buffer, it was again something 6 7 that Mr. Iafelice brought up at the February 3rd meeting, page 29, line 17 through 27, is that there is no buffer for the --8 between the two. And I know that you did grant a buffer for 9 10 Keystone Drive when they built that between the two different communities. And I really want people to think what they 11 12 would like right next to them. Would they like a neighbor that has 52 pages of rules and restrictions living right next 13 14 to them? The two homes that would be, well, and myself included, the number of homes that are going to be impacted 15 have in-the-ground swimming pools. I've got a playhouse and 16 my daughter wants another playhouse built outside of our --17 which would be in the back yard to the homes that are being 18 19 proposed.

20 And then, of course, when you read the 50, 50-some 21 pages of restrictions, no signs, no campaigns signs, no -- a 22 lot of restrictions, no basketball hoops and that, those are 23 all things that are in our community right now and that's 24 going to be right next to people who are not allowed to have 25 it. And you're setting up a lot of issues for us to live 26 there in the future and to sell our homes. So that's another 27 issue.

And, again, it was requested. Mr. Iafelice
requested it at the February 3rd meeting and it wasn't
addressed. You just put home after home after home right next

to each other. So -- And, again, like I said in the
beginning, your yield changed by one, from 56 on the February
3rd down to 55 on this May 5th one.

Also, as I have reached out to both Lake County 4 Planning Committee, you've got a major problem with traffic in 5 the area. While I can appreciate the study that was done at 6 Canterwood Trail, there was no study done on Hobby Horse, 7 which is a shared entrance to the community. And as I 8 circulated and sent out via emails, that's the crash report 9 10 for the area. It shows 13 crashes between Hobby Horse and the proposed Canterwood Trail street. 11

12 The problem is you have a lot of homes that have, 13 first of all, along Hoose that have entrances right onto 14 Hoose. So you're going to have people coming out of that Canterwood Trail meeting people coming out of a stationary 15 driveway. Also, as many of us neighbors know, Hobby Horse is 16 a blind sight, a known -- Lieutenant Rizzo, at the Sheriff's 17 Department, knows that it is a blind spot entrance, Hobby 18 19 Horse.

20 And I don't know whatever happened to the 21 preliminary trips that Allison spoke of back at the 22 February 3rd meeting, that 336 trips per day that would be 23 coming out of the 55-and-over community, but 336 trips out of 24 there would be too much. You're going to have a lot more 25 accidents than 13. So -- And if you even take a look at 26 Morley Road and all along Hoose, there is no higher 27 concentration of accidents than between Canterwood, the 28 Canterwood Trail proposal and the Hobby Horse. And it's 29 because of the lovely blind spot, which I again shared with 30 you guys via email. That's the way we look at it when we're

coming out. And then you can see when you're looking down -this is actually from right near Canterwood Trail, the
proposal -- you can see where the school bus and the postal
truck disappear in the dip. So that dip is where people would
be using to get access to this community.

And while I know I've heard them say that most people would be going through the Canterwood Trail entrance, that's just not the case. I mean, most people, you would expect to go down Button Road but they take a shortcut through Mentor Park to access our community and other communities. So people will be using Hobby Horse and there was no sight, no sight study done on that either.

So, also, they stated before in the February 3rd 13 meeting that these were going to be public roads, which means 14 15 that our kids can walk, I would assume, our kids can ride their bikes through them, through the public roads at this new 16 community. Also, whether or not there is the realization that 17 18 right now our particular community has three different bus systems that come through it. We have Mentor Public Schools, 19 20 we have Riverside, and then we also have the Catholic schools. 21 So just realize right now all those buses are turning around 22 in Canterwood Trail. So instead of Canterwood Trail being a 23 turn-around, it will be the exit right through your new 24 55-and-over community. So you're going to have Riverside, 25 Mentor Public Schools, as well as the Catholic school buses 26 coming through your new community with this going forward.

Also, let's see. Your study was only peak hours study and not for the whole 24 hours that was there. So let's see here. And I can give you pictures so you can circulate these around. This is Canterwood Trail as it is right now. You guys, taxpayers, were kind enough to put a nice little conservation area in there with trees, and I can tell you that that has definitely helped out my back yard and many people's back yards with the flow of water. And we, as a community, would hate to see that go.

6 So that is the Canterwood. That's the Loxterman 7 driveway right there and it's currently marked "private 8 driveway." So it was a shock to many of us that that house 9 would actually, in your first February 3rd proposal, that it 10 would actually be bulldozed down. That was a shock for us 11 that they would want to do that.

But I see that in this, in the RCD, it's still standing. So my question is also, with the R-1 yield, shouldn't we be showing these buildings that are going to stand in the R-1 yield? They're not even there.

16 And then also, again, there is no storm sewer or any 17 type of thing in the R-1 yield that would -- There is nothing 18 in there for how do you manage water in the R-1 yield.

19 And probably the last one, probably the one that my 20 husband and I, my husband kept on telling me you gotta, gotta, 21 gotta address it is that. You can pass it around. That's our 22 back yard at night. The one bright light is the Loxterman's 23 carriage lights and the other light is the light all the way 24 out on Hoose Road. We left Mentor for no street lights in 25 Concord. So when my husband and I saw that you were proposing 26 street lights, we said, "Oh, my gosh. Our whole back yard 27 would be lit up."

28 And that light on Hoose Road actually is kind of 29 right beyond the Canterwood Trail street. So -- And you can 30 see the carriage lights. Yeah, that's how dark it is. That's

how dark it is. I know, it's nice. So it's, to have street 1 lights, carriage lights are going to be enough shining in our 2 house. We can't even imagine what it would be like with 3 street lights. 4 So that's all I have to say. You guys can keep the 5 photos. 6 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you. 7 Anybody else in row one that would like to speak 8 opposed to the current change in zoning? 9 10 (No response.) Row two, anybody that would like to speak in 11 12 opposition? Please state your name and address for the record. 13 MR. VALENCIC: Anthony Valencic. I live at 14 7611 Canterwood Trail in Concord. So my house is the second 15 one adjacent to the new property being proposed. My wife and 16 I bought our home in 2014. Back then, we didn't have 17 children. Since then, we have welcomed two new children to 18 19 the world and to the neighborhood. So I've got a two-year-old 20 and a six-month-old who, to this day, enjoy riding their bike 21 in the cul-de-sac. And I realize some of these comments may 22 not have to do with zoning but I am going to state them 23 anyways and we can go from there. 24 I am here tonight selfishly to discuss the cul-de-25 sac that's being proposed to be eliminated. I find it, you 26 know, as a citizen, as a community member, just kind of 27 shocking that we're standing here tonight talking about 28 eliminating a cul-de-sac because of a 1955 site plan that says 29 it's a temporary cul-de-sac. We have lived in this 30 neighborhood. This neighborhood has existed without a

63

secondary means of entrance, you know, since 1955. So for
 Mr. Radachy, at Lake County, to say that it's non-negotiable,
 that we can't discuss that, I think it goes against everything
 we are as a community.

5 There is options, there is alternatives to cul-de-6 sac cut-throughs. There is gates, there is emergency access 7 pavers, there is a lot of different things that we ought to 8 have a discussion about rather than just one person, mind you, 9 is not a Lake County citizen mto have a say in the matter of 10 what we're doing in the cul-de-sac.

I agree with all of Barb's points that she broughtup earlier, so I am not going to restate them.

I would like to make note that, being in the 13 construction industry, I have found the best neighborhoods and 14 projects that have gone on have been with developers and 15 16 contractors and planners that have taken the time to understand what the impact is on the neighborhoods and the 17 18 neighbors surrounding them. To date, I have not heard from, 19 and I don't believe any of the neighbors have, from the 20 developer, and I think that's a shame. You know, Concord's a 21 small town. We ought to be nice to the people that we're 22 intruding on. And I think it was a missed opportunity on 23 their part to gain and part of the reason why this room is so 24 full tonight.

25 Next to Canterwood Trail, the Silversten property
26 and the Dunning property, we're showing 10 foot setback on the
27 side yard. As you see those set up right now, these poor
28 people are going to, you know, walk out of their driveway and
29 see a side yard -- a side of a building, rather. I am sorry.
30 There's got to be some sort of buffer between this and Barb's

yard. And to say that it's an open green space or it's an 1 open space, define what an open space is. I think we ought to 2 have some definition and some things to be, you know, defined 3 moving forward. To me, the proposal is a little too open-4 ended with ways and loopholes to get around things. So I'd 5 6 like to see some more guidelines set within. And I think, you know, the Zoning Commission here tonight has the ability to do 7 that and I would urge you guys to make that happen. 8

9 I agree with the yield plan, lots 45, 43, 42, 49, 10 while the side cut shows that that's buildable, I would argue 11 that the foundations do not have enough cover there. You're 12 building on a 20 percent slope. I think it's a haphazard 13 yield plan that, you know, meets the criteria. It doesn't 14 satisfy what the intent of that is.

Mr. Schindler, I agree with your statements regarding the conservation plan. You know, the true definition of conservation plan, I think, has devolved and I think people are using a loophole within the system to get what makes sense to them. So I would like to see that brought up in future agendas to maintain what Concord is about and what it, you know, should be.

22 On the current plan, the cul-de-sac for Canterwood 23 Trail is, is not shown properly. The radius of that -- I am 24 sorry. The diameter of that is actually 100 feet. It's shown 25 as what is on the original 1955 plan. So there is four houses 26 that are going to be impacted if this cul-de-sac is to go 27 through. There are utilities that follow the wider cul-de-sac 28 with easements today. We need to understand what's going to 29 happen with all of those utilities, the power lines, there's 30 gas, there's sanitary, there's driveway shortages.

There is existing ravines and creeks that carry the 1 storm water. How is that going to be managed? It appears as 2 though there is underground site retention for the new 3 streets. We have open culverts in our development. There is 4 probably going to be a headwall, you know, carrying the water 5 6 from our culverts. There is no details regarding how that's going to go. And I understand this is a preliminary plan but 7 these are all things that, you know, neighboring properties 8 need to kind of understand what's going on with our, with our 9 10 properties. So that's it.

11

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you.

12 Anybody else that would like to speak in this row in 13 opposition?

MR. SILVERSTEN: My name is Harlan Silversten. I am 14 the poor person that he talked about. My house is going to 15 abut this new development. I don't even know where to begin 16 with this. I am probably the oldest, the longest resident, 17 18 certainly, of Canterwood Trail. We bought, we built our house in 1983, so we've been there 38 years. And we moved there, 19 just like most of the people here, it was absolutely a little 20 21 slice of heaven.

22 When I told people where we lived, they had no clue 23 where it was. We live three miles from the Great Lakes Mall. 24 Nobody had a clue where we were and we loved it that way. Νo 25 street lights. My kids now are in their mid 40s but, when 26 they were little ones, that cul-de-sac was the best. All 27 their friends came over to play there. And again, as Anthony 28 said, this is probably nothing to do with zoning but you've 29 got to have a little history of what this has been and what 30 they want to do with it.

So then a few years back, they were going to do away with the cul-de-sac. Well, my kids are long gone. I have nine grandchildren that loved our cul-se-sac. That's where they played ball and rode their bikes. And we put this center circle in there, which now our tax dollars at work, we're going to do away with that if this plan goes through. You know, I just, I just don't understand that.

Again, all the people that are concerned about this 8 9 Hobby Horse Drive and then coming through our street, that just was never meant to be a street. I know there are 10 11 streets, Hobby Horse being one, that didn't have a second form 12 of eqress. You came up Hobby Horse and you had to go out Hobby Horse. That driveway is there. They could extend it if 13 they wanted to and put some kind of gate there with an 14 15 emergency something to open it. But to have cars -- and I know they will -- instead of going in through Morley -- or 16 through Hoose that is going to be a little bit more busy, they 17 are going to come up Hobby Horse, come down our street. 18 It's 19 just going to change our lives.

20 And the one thing I, also, I need you guys to know 21 about -- I am not sure if this came up. When I built my 22 house, we bought an additional piece of land from Loxtermans. 23 It's a separate deed. And when I look at the stake in the 24 ground at my house, it's not correct, and I think somebody 25 didn't do enough investigation to see that we have a little 10 26 or 12 foot -- I don't know the details of it. I could get you 27 the parcel. But if somebody did look it up, they could see 28 it. There is a parcel of land about 10, 12 feet the entire 29 length of -- so I have a turn-around at my driveway that was 30 not originally part of my piece of property. We bought that

67

so we could make that. So I think that the stake in there is 1 incorrectly placed. 2 And as Anthony said, I do not want to walk out of my 3 house and see right in front of me the side of a bunch of 4 houses. There has to be a buffer between our houses and this 5 development that they're talking about. 6 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Which house? Now, when I am 7 looking at these --8 MR. SILVERSTEN: 7595. 9 10 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. On the picture, they don't have addresses. Could you just for my --11 12 MR. SILVERSTEN: I am the last one on the right. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. He's right next to proposed 13 sublot 25. 14 MR. SILVERSTEN: I am the last one on the right-hand 15 side. 16 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Next to lot twenty --17 So you would be next to what would be considered lot 25? 18 19 MR. SILVERSTEN: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. I just wanted just 21 for orientation. 22 MR. SILVERSTEN: That's all right. So, again, as he 23 said, the poor guy, me, I am the last house on the right-hand 24 side of the cul-de-sac. 25 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: And, Anthony, you are his 26 neighbor? 27 MR. SILVERSTEN: Yes, next door. 28 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Next-door neighbor. So then you are the second house then? 29 30 MR. SILVERSTEN: Exactly.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Just so I understand, okay. 1 2 Thank you. MR. SILVERSTEN: That's all I have. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you very much, sir, 4 appreciate it. 5 Anybody else in that row that would like to speak in 6 7 opposition? (No response.) 8 Okay. We will move to the next row. Is there 9 10 anybody that would like to speak in opposition? Please come up, state your name and address for the record. 11 12 MR. DUNNING: Hello. I'm Ray Dunning. I am at 10181 Hobby Horse Lane, Concord. I am, if you go into the 13 cul-de-sac, I am kind of that oddly shaped V that's right next 14 to Silverstens and Anthony here, right behind them. So, and, 15 by the way, I did notice that stake thing. I can't believe 16 you made, had him put his driveway halfway into the 17 Loxterman's property because you can see it. So I didn't 18 realize you had bought that but that makes sense. 19 20 I am not as affected as these people but we're 21 affected because we're behind it. We have been in the 22 neighborhood since, just coming up on, it will be 20 years in 23 August. So I understand all the discussions about having 24 young children in the neighborhood. Our kids are now in their 25 20s or 30s, but we had the same thing, you know, kids playing 26 in cul-de-sac, riding their bikes, all that. 27 I think that the idea of cutting straight through 28 and getting rid of that cul-de-sac and having it go all the 29 way through to the street that they talked about, I think, to 30 me, if they want this to work in any way, that's got to be

1 taken out. It's an nonstarter. I think it will cause more 2 trouble than it's worth. There's got to be another way to 3 rethink this whole thing if, in fact, this whole project has 4 to move forward.

Again, that doesn't affect me as much because I am down in a cul-de-sac below that but I do believe it will affect most of the neighbors on the street and it just isn't required. It hasn't been since 1955. So I think that's something that's got to really be looked at.

10 The street and the neighborhood that we all moved 11 there for, I remember the day my wife came home and told us 12 that we were moving. I go, "Well, where?"

13 She said, "Hobby Horse Lane. We bought a house. I14 already put an offer in."

I go, "Well, we haven't even sold our house yet. 15 What are you talking about?" We lived in Mentor at the time. 16 And it's because she was looking at that street for almost a 17 year to find a -- That's how hard it was to find a house on 18 the street because of all the things that we all moved there 19 20 for. So -- And we've been, and I've been thinking since I 21 have heard about this, well, at least we got almost 20 years 22 of this being the way it was. I am looking at the bright side 23 that, hey, we had this paradise for 20 years. It's going 24 away.

My concern is it seems like this project, the way it's designed is, they're just trying to cram too much into that space. They're trying to get through all the state and federal regulations and they know all the ways of doing it. They've got engineers that know how to, how to do things in a way they'll get approved, but they did not consider the neighbors around them that are dramatically affected by this.

The Loxtermans do have every right to sell their property, the Patriarcas. That's their right. They certainly can and should if that's what they -- it's time to do that. But this particular development, the way it's designed, it's as if there is no regard for any of the people on the Hobby Horse, Canterwood and the local area around it, including the safety issues that Barb brought up.

9 Barb's presentation was excellent. She probably 10 spoke for 90 percent of what we would all say. But in 11 addition to that, I just think there is, if it's going to be 12 done, it's got to be done more tastefully. There's got to be 13 more green space, more separation from around the property so 14 it can be done in a way that makes sense.

And the "conservation" word, it seems like that is a word that is not being used properly for what's being done because everything is being wiped out in order to put this, all these 55 properties in there. It seems it may fit the current descriptions within the laws but I don't believe it, what was intended by Concord Township, it doesn't fit that at all. That's my comments. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you very much.

23 Anybody else in that row that would like to speak in 24 opposition?

25

22

1

(No response.)

26 The next row back, I think it's row one, two, three, 27 four, fifth row? Come on up. Please state your name and 28 address for the record.

MS. PAGLIO: My name is Erin Paglio and we, my
husband and I, live at 7540 -- sorry. I have a little bit of

laryngitis -- 7540 Hobby Horse Lane. We're on the corner of
 Hoose and Hobby Horse.

So the first thing I want to just talk about is 3 there is a culvert in our front yard right in the corner of 4 Hobby Horse and Hoose, and a lot of the stormwater and 5 rainwater runs right into that area. And we have had a lot of 6 issues with it actually overflowing and already drainage 7 problems down right there at the corner when we have 8 torrential or heavy downpours. So that was always a concern 9 10 for me when it was proposed that there was another development going in, that the rain and stormwater was going to be 11 12 properly re-averted or reassessed.

13 Anyhow, so that was my first -- But when I heard 14 that Canterwood Trail was going to be opened up and connected to Hoose there, that's when I really was the most concerned. 15 We have a lot of walkers and dog walkers and joggers in our 16 neighborhood and we don't have sidewalks and we don't have 17 18 street lights, and we love it that way. But it's a safe street and, actually, my husband and I moved into our house 19 20 eight years ago today, so it was just kind of fitting that it 21 was the anniversary of when we moved in.

22 We have no desire to ever leave. This is our 23 forever home and we just want to see it protected. It's been 24 fine having that emergency access through the Loxterman 25 property in the event of an emergency. That is a way to get 26 out of there, and we understand that's important. However, I 27 think we all are in agreement, there has to be a way to make 28 it work that is not a through street, especially when the R-1 29 was being proposed that it was going to be connected to 30 Morley. That had most of us in a lot of fear because that

72

would cut off the entire corner and it would be a huge
 cut-through, which leads to my final issue that I want to
 address.

We live right on the corner there. We have seen so 4 many accidents, as Barb mentioned. We see them because our 5 6 "no through street" sign -- I think that's the first sign -that's been run over twice. There has been numerous 7 accidents. My mom almost was rear-ended coming over in the 8 winter because, when you come over the little hill and you 9 10 come down Hobby Horse and you want to turn left on Hobby Horse, if there is a car coming or you're sliding on ice and 11 12 you're trying to stop, somebody going 40 miles an hour, which is what Hoose is --13

14

MS. KILFOYLE: Speed limit.

MS. PAGLIO: The speed limit for it. Thank you.
You can't stop in time and the car screeched and went to the
side and missed my mom shortly, you know.

Our three kids, we've been, they're all like in driving now or the one has her temps. So the one place I always tell them, you have to, the minute you turn from Button onto Hoose, turn your blinker so people at the top of Hoose know that you're going to be turning left into there. They're aware of that. Like, be really conscientious. So I have trained them to do that, my husband and I both.

I personally, the other day, it was less than a month ago, I almost was front-ended turning out onto Hoose from our development. A car was flying over and had swerved out of a car across the street from me pulling out of their driveway. I ended up calling the police department and just letting them know because it is such a highly trafficked area and it is such a blind spot.

So to add any more traffic through our street, I think, is absolutely unacceptable for safety purposes, to begin with. If adding a stop sign at the top of Button and Hoose is your solution, maybe that will help. But I don't see any reason why we can't come to an agreement that we can make that just an emergency access and keep Hobby Horse alone.

8 As my neighbor said, we understand the property is 9 going to be sold but if you could just respect -- As you can 10 see, there is a whole room full here of people who love and 11 care about where we live, and we are current taxpayers and I 12 think our concerns should be listened to and addressed when 13 you're approving plans that sincerely affect us.

14

15

1

So thank you for the time.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you.

16 Anybody else in that row that would like to speak in 17 opposition?

18 MR. FRIEBUS: I am Tom Friebus. I live at
19 10201 Hobby Horse, which is right at the end of the cul-de20 sac. So behind my home will be a bunch of other homes.

21 I have a question, I guess, for the zoning committee 22 or board, whatever your title is. I looked through the 23 documents and then the documents that were presented today. Ι 24 couldn't quite see them around the corner. But it seemed like 25 there is three or four or five different sets of documents. 26 And this is all preliminary, I understand that. But do you 27 you approve based on preliminary and then, whatever, we get 28 whatever we get? When -- What is the process going forward, 29 so we understand? Is there another opportunity to speak? 30 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes, there is. We have, the way the process works is we have a public hearing in front of
 Zoning Commission and everybody is given an opportunity to
 speak and voice their opinions, and then we vote based on the
 information that we have in front of us.

And then after that is concluded, then it's given to 5 the Trustees, okay, and they have another public hearing. 6 We're a recommending board. That's all we are. Our decision 7 is not final. We make a recommendation to the Trustees. 8 The Trustees then take under advisement our public hearing and all 9 10 the information that they have from that and then they make a vote and they have the final say. So we could approve this 11 plan tonight and they could reject it or we could reject this 12 13 plan tonight and they could approve it.

MR. FRIEBUS: Will we all be invited to that next hearing?

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: You'll have, you'll have the 16 -- It will go, it will follow the same protocol that's 17 18 followed with public hearings. There will be announcements. 19 All the affected property owners will be alerted. It will be 20 out there for consumption electronically on the website. You 21 will have plenty of opportunity. But, yes, there will be 22 another public hearing.

23 MR. FRIEBUS: So is there -- When did the documents 24 that are being voted on get locked down so we're voting on 25 this?

26 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Mike, do you have a -27 MR. LUCAS: When you say "locked down," do you mean
28 with reference to changes that were made in the process?
29 MR. FRIEBUS: Yeah. Every document, some of them

30 dated the same date, have different things on them. So I am

just trying to --1 MR. LUCAS: I don't know when they were locked down 2 per se because I am not privy to when they're submitted. So 3 all I know is there is an end product that's before the Zoning 4 Commission at the present time for consideration. 5 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. 6 MR. LUCAS: But different documents that may make 7 modifications and that --8 MR. FRIEBUS: I heard one of the guys behind me say 9 10 they submitted something today. MR. LUCAS: I don't know. I am not a gatekeeper for 11 12 the process. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: What we do is we vote on the 13 information that we have in front of us this evening, okay, 14 and we take under consideration the comments and the 15 presentation from the applicant. We also take under 16 consideration the comments and remarks that are made by the 17 public with regards to it, their favorable comments or their 18 19 opposition comments. And then we make a decision based on 20 that. We make recommendations, okay, based on recommendations 21 that are made. We have an opportunity to sit here and make 22 some recommendation on changes and then it's up to the 23 applicant whether or not they want to incorporate those into 24 our process. 25 MR. FRIEBUS: Okay. Changing direction a little 26 bit, my major concern about the plan is drainage. I have, my 27 house is the lowest house on Hobby Horse. There is a pond 28 behind me. There are lots of fish in my pond. In fact, I can 29 show you a picture of a bass about this big that my grandson

pulled out of there the other day. There is plenty of

30

wildlife back there.

1

Anyhow, as it exists today, water comes down that 2 hill into my back yard and into that pond. And if you alter 3 that water flow, which they're proposing to do, it seems to me 4 that it can only get worse. So I am very concerned that, as 5 they develop from directly behind my house out toward Morley, 6 they're putting three or four more houses in there and more 7 opportunity for water to drain faster and it's just not going 8 to get out of the neighborhood fast enough, which means my 9 10 house is going to flood and my house value will be hurt substantially by this, by this proposal. 11 12 So I know that's -- And I agree with the points that everybody made and you were very well prepared. So I don't 13 14 have anything to add on those points. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you very much. 15 16 MR. FRIEBUS: Thank you, CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Appreciate it. 17 Anybody else in that row that would like to come up 18 19 and speak in opposition? Anybody else in that last row? 20 Okay. Now I'm going to move over -- Oh, I'm sorry, ma'am. 21 Yes, ma'am, come on up, please. 22 MS. WALLACE: Hello. 23 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Hello. 24 MS. WALLACE: My name is Amy Wallace and we live, my 25 husband and I live at 7580 Morley Road, so we're right next to 26 where the proposed development is. 27 We, our main concern is the creek or the stream that 28 runs through there. It already cannot handle any more water. 29 It already spills over the culvert into our yard. So that is 30 the stream that goes between our property and the proposed

1 development property.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: When was that taken? 2 MS. WALLACE: I don't know the date. But it does 3 that when there is heavy, not when it's just a regular rain 4 but when we have those heavy rains. We have a walk-out 5 basement, so that's also a concern. 6 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Pass that over to Frank. Thank you. 8 MS. WALLACE: So there is a cement culvert and it 9 10 will breach, our pond will breach. And the stream, there is just no room for more water. We live behind the gentleman who 11 just spoke, so he has the same concern that we have. 12 13 MR. SCHINDLER: When you say more rain than usual --MS. WALLACE: Well, when we get some really heavy 14 rain, not if it's just an ordinary --15 MR. SCHINDLER: If it rains real hard --16 MS. WALLACE: If it rains hard for a day. 17 MR. SCHINDLER: -- it goes through right away. 18 But 19 if you have like rain that, you know, like a good couple days 20 of rain, average rain, does this start filling up and you get 21 all this? 22 MS. WALLACE: It depends how, you know, it depends 23 how fast. We've only been there two years. That's the other 24 thing. So it just depends how --25 MR. FRIEBUS: I can address that question. 26 MS. WALLACE: You can? 27 MR. SCHINDLER: When you've noticed this, have you contacted anybody at the township maybe? 28 29 MS. WALLACE: No. 30 MR. SCHINDLER: Or contacted anybody in Lake County

Planning?

1

2 3 MS. WALLACE: No, no.

MR. SCHINDLER: Okay.

MR. FRIEBUS: If we get a, I will say an inch of 4 rain in an hour, an hour and a half, pretty heavy rain, and my 5 6 back yard will start to flood from the water coming down the hill from the property up there. The pond will start to fill 7 and it's supposed to flow out through a series of like four 8 holes in the concrete. And if we got another inch of rain in 9 10 the next hour or so, that will overflow the concrete. When it overflows the concrete, that means the pond is high enough, 11 12 the water in my back yard is high enough and my sump pump is 13 just running like crazy. And I am sure that it's spilling on their property because I've been down there trying to clean 14 brush out of there to open that up, you know, trying to keep, 15 trying to keep it flowing so I don't get any more damage to my 16 property. So it's a routine thing. 17

And I did contact one time to have them come down 18 19 there. I've been there since 17 years. And I would say that the amount of sediment in that pond has probably doubled or 20 21 tripled in that time. It all just comes rushing down. 22 I mean, it drains all the way from Weathersfield down into 23 that pond through some other ponds. I mean, it's doing what 24 it was designed to do but it doesn't last forever. 25 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. 26 MR. SCHINDLER: Right. 27 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you. 28 MR. SCHINDLER: Thank you. 29 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Appreciate that. 30 Go ahead, ma'am. You can go ahead and continue.

Thank you for sharing your picture. 1 MS. WALLACE: You're welcome. 2 Our other concern is our -- the lighting. Our house 3 sits up pretty high. It's built into a hill. So really our 4 main floor is what would normally be like an upstairs for 5 somebody. So we're concerned the lighting will come right 6 into our home. 7 And we're also concerned and would like a little 8 more clarification what the clubhouse, exactly, is there going 9 10 to be because the clubhouse will be adjacent, like, adjacent to us. So is the clubhouse going to have outside lighting? 11 12 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Where did you say you're at, ma'am? 13 MS. WALLACE: We're at 7580 Morley. 14 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: On Morley Road? 15 MS. GARCAR: This one. 16 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Because the clubhouse 17 is in, kind of like in the middle of the development. 18 MS. WALLACE: Well --19 20 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right? 21 MS. WALLACE: Is that the clubhouse? 22 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, you're here. 23 MS. WALLACE: Right, we're here. 24 MS. FREEMAN: The clubhouse is right here. 25 MS. WALLACE: Oh, so what -- I thought that was the clubhouse. Okay, that's not. 26 27 MS. FREEMAN: That's a different --28 MS. WALLACE: Okay. Thank you. 29 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I just want to make sure I 30 understand.

MS. WALLACE: Okay. That's my mistake. 1 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: No, that's okay. 2 Things have changed. 3 MS. WALLACE: Right. 4 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: There's been more than one 5 plan put in front of us, so we understand. 6 7 MS. WALLACE: So my question next question is, is there still a proposal on the table for an entrance that comes 8 out to Morley Road? 9 10 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: No. MS. WALLACE: Okay, that's off, that's not --11 12 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes. MS. WALLACE: Okay, all right. 13 14 MR. SCHINDLER: That entrance that they showed there originally was if it's an R-1, that could be developed that 15 way. They were looking at putting an entrance out to Morley. 16 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. 17 18 MS. WALLACE: Okay. With that type of development. 19 MR. SCHINDLER: This 20 here is conservation and that --21 MS. WALLACE: Okay. 22 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, in the original yield 23 plan -- And it gets confusing and I understand, with the 24 terminology. But in the initial yield plan, which is what the 25 developer proposes is what they could potentially put in as a 26 yield plan, what that does is that shows, gives you an idea if 27 they were just going to develop it as an R-1 development 28 without going through this process. 29 MS. WALLACE: Okay, okay. 30 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: There would have been a

street, I think, in their initial yield plan, they had a 1 street --2 MS. WALLACE: There was one, okay. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: -- coming out and going into 4 Morley Road. 5 MS. WALLACE: Right, okay. 6 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: But with this, the proposed plan, it's a cul-de-sac that comes way short of Morley. So, 8 yes, it's not going to go in there. 9 MS. WALLACE: Okay, thank you. I think that's 10 everything. Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Well, thank you for your 13 comments. Is there anybody else in that last row that would 14 like to make a comment in opposition? 15 16 (No response.) Before I move to the right-hand side of the room, 17 the left-hand side, your left, my right, is there anybody else 18 19 over here that changed their mind and would like to come up to 20 speak that hasn't spoken yet? 21 (No response.) 22 Okay. We'll move to this side of the room. On the 23 first row, is there anybody that would like to speak in 24 opposition? Come up, sir, and state your name and address for 25 the record, please. 26 MR. NUNES: My name is Brian Nunes. I live at 27 10073 Meadowlake Court. I am not really speaking in 28 opposition or for. I have more questions, I guess, than I 29 have answers. My question is the word "open space." My 30 concern is, if this thing goes through, what stops development

1 from happening in the open space down the road? Is there an 2 opportunity for tennis courts, pools, playgrounds, things of 3 that nature?

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: No.

4

22

5 MR. NUNES: Anything in there? And what are we -6 What's in place to prevent that stuff from happening? Is it
7 up to the HMO -- or HOA at that point?

8 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: It could be a number of
9 issues. Sometimes developers engage with a conservation
10 organization to manage the open space, sometimes it's managed
11 through the HOA, sometimes it's managed through the township.
12 It just depends on the situation, what the developer proposes.

But as far as the open space is concerned, that is the intent of the open space is for it to be left in its natural state. So it's not to be developed. It's not --They're not supposed to put swimming pools or tennis courts or basketball courts or anything like that in the open space. That is the intention of the open space is to maintain a natural setting.

20 MR. NUNES: I guess that's my concern is the word 21 "intention."

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right, well, yes.

MR. NUNES: Because, you know, certainly and, obviously, there is always the possibility of, you know, encroachment. People's yards are butting up to the open space, you know. What's to stop them from moving further and further back towards the current residents.

28 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Diligence of the
29 neighborhood, diligence of the residents, diligence of the
30 neighborhood, diligence of the HOA, diligence of the

conservation organization that's involved. Does that mean 1 that it won't? No, absolutely not. I live in a conservation 2 development myself and I know it happens, and it does happen. 3 You are right. There is encroachment. I don't think it's 4 intentional. Sometimes it may be. But I think, for the most 5 part, I think people's intentions are true but they start 6 cutting the grass and they encroach, they take a couple trees 7 down and then, all of the sudden, their half acre lot turns 8 into a quarter -- three-quarter acre lot, you know. 9 And 10 I understand and that happens. Okay?

And that's why we have tried to put the mechanisms, 11 12 the restrictive covenants for the property, the development in place that will prevent those things from happening but, at 13 the end of the day, there is no guarantee that those won't 14 happen. It's really up to the residents, the neighbors to 15 make sure you police what's going on in your development and 16 that's kind of the important component that takes place. 17 So --18

But the answer is that there is no guarantee. The intent is what it is. It's the intent. And you really hope that your neighbors will, you know, live up to that intent.

MR. NUNES: I guess the question really is more, if they were going to build something along the lines of a playground, would they have to come here and put in a request for a permit like I did when I built my shed? Is there things that would have to receive approval in order for them to do something like that?

28 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Once it's designated open29 space, that's the way it remains.

MR. NUNES: Okay.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So now does that mean that 1 if the community got together and they decided they wanted to 2 put something else in that they couldn't come and ask for 3 that? Sure, it's their right to do that. But at the end of 4 the day, I think it would be pretty tough sledding to get 5 6 something like that through. 7 MR. NUNES: Okay. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: What we're doing is we're 8 granting approval based on the plans that we're given this 9 10 evening, okay, or denying. We're either approving or denying based on what we're given this evening and the comments that 11 12 are being made this evening. 13 MR. NUNES: I quess that's where my biggest concern is. What could change as we move forward? 14 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Understood. 15 16 MR. NUNES: We have talked about this being a lot of 17 preliminary plans. 18 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. And those are valid 19 concerns, those are valid comments. 20 MR. SCHINDLER: One of the things that I would 21 suggest is, and most homeowners now have a strong association, 22 and it's up to the homeowners association. When people buy 23 into those areas, they're given that, normally, by the 24 developer or I should say the realtors. They should be given 25 that. And it is going to be as strong as the members you have 26 in your association. If they stick together and really covet 27 that association agreement, that's where you will be able to 28 have control. 29 But over time -- And trust me, I know about this 30 because I had an association in my development which is no

1 more because people leave. And then after a while, people
2 don't want to take responsibility anymore or they don't want
3 to be a member of the governing body that's part of the
4 association and that's when things start falling apart.

5 So if you can have a good, strong association and 6 make it known that none of this stuff can be done, that should 7 be probably your best hope. Trust me. Okay? Because other 8 than that, then start going to the township, for example, or 9 associations like us, you will be going, trying to get through 10 lawsuits and stuff and that can go on and all that does is 11 cause bitterness.

12 So all I would suggest is have a strong homeowners association so you can govern each other and keep an eye on 13 each other and see that stuff like this doesn't happen. And 14 have your meetings. We would have meetings every month with 15 the boards of our association, and we would do a lot that 16 governed our neighborhood. And if you have a strong 17 association, things will move along very smoothly. Trust me. 18 MR. NUNES: 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you. 21 Anybody else in row one? 22 (No response.) 23 Okay. I will move to row two. I don't think there 24 is anybody in row two. Row three, anybody in row three that 25 would like to speak in opposition of the proposed zoning 26 change. Row three? 27 (No response.) 28 Okay. Row four, anybody in row four that would like 29 to speak in opposition? Come on up, sir. Please state your name and address for the record. 30

MR. MANILLA: Hello. My name is Bernie Manilla. I
 live at 10065 Meadowlake Court. I am on the western edge of
 the proposed development. I have lived at that address for
 nearly 29 years.

Just a few comments. Some, I am just going to re-echo what's already been said. I think the R-1 plan which was presented as the basis for this development has a lot of holes, so I really question how you can go from the R-1 to the RCD in good faith.

10 It was just brought up about what happens to the, if it was approved to go to an RCD. I think me and my neighbor 11 12 who just spoke before talk about the open space. Right now, it's shows a nice buffer in this new development between our 13 property. And so if it stayed that way forever and ever, that 14 would be pretty nice. But our concern is, yes, we heard the 15 word "intention." What happens? It's a nice, big piece of 16 property there that's open and I can see something coming in 17 18 there down the road, developers are out of the plan, they're 19 out of the picture, homeowners association takes over, right? 20 They decide what's done. I am not saying my other neighbors 21 wouldn't be concerned but it really wouldn't be their problem 22 in the future.

So, you know, when they put in open space, the intention is good. You just have to have faith in people that they and the Zoning Commission would have your back. You know, that's what went in. You are not going to do anything to that property.

I heard other terms earlier about walking trails
and, in the plans that I saw, I never saw a walking trail. So
is it possible that they could tell us, are they going to put

a walking trial right through the field next to our property?Is that a proposed area for the walking trail?

3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Sir, I don't mean to
4 interrupt. I understand your concern and I think that's a
5 question we would like you to present to us.

MR. MANILLA: Oh, okay.

6

7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: And then we will give him an
8 opportunity to respond to that, so we will get you an answer
9 on that.

10 MR. MANILLA: Other issues that have been voiced, my property, again, is just to the west. I have a whole line of 11 12 property going to the west almost all the way to Hoose. And there is a wetland in my property, my back third of my 13 property, and it receives the water from Canterwood. So if 14 there is going to be additional flow into there -- It does get 15 wet in the springtime but it dries out in the summer and you 16 can walk on it. There is a creek that runs through there and 17 18 it flows into a pond right at the corner.

If there is going to be additional flow, it's going to come down through there into my back property, into the neighbor's property who is not here, into that big pond. Maybe you've probably seen it if you've ever been there at the corner of Button.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So I understand your, so I understand your location -- because we don't have addresses on here, I am just looking at the drawing -- can you be specific as to which house you're in on Meadow, on Meadowlake Court, please? Would you show us?

29 MR. MANILLA: Well, it would be just to the west of30 the western line.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. So you would be, you 1 would be right up against the, that western --2 MR. MANILLA: Yes. I have a built-in swimming pool 3 next to the field. 4 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Gotcha. 5 MR. MANILLA: That we've had for 20 years. 6 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So that wetland, that .43 acres of Wetland A is in your back, towards the back of your 8 property. Is that on your property? 9 10 MR. MANILLA: If it's the part that's directly behind mine, yes. 11 12 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. 13 MR. MANILLA: And then what they were talking about, the culvert or there is another pond just to the east, into 14 the Loxterman property that flows in and underneath into there 15 and it all flows west. 16 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. 17 18 MR. MANILLA: Like everybody says, everything goes east to west --19 20 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. 21 MR. MANILLA: -- into the ponds, all the way down, 22 and then it flows out onto Hobby Horse when we get bad storms 23 into the Paglio's property. 24 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Understood. 25 MR. MANILLA: So if that's going to get worse, I guess we have to deal with it. 26 27 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Understood. 28 MR. MANILLA: Okay? And then, finally, one last 29 question. I think what I am hearing, the question was raised 30 about process tonight. I think we're here and you are going

to actually vote tonight on a -- on this proposal.

1

2

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: We'd like to.

3 MR. MANILLA: Okay. And if you do, how often does 4 your recommendation go forward? Whatever you vote, what's the 5 percentage of time the Trustees just take what you -- Is it 6 like 90 percent?

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Sir, you know what? 7 Ι honestly, when I have the opportunity to sit here on this day 8 as a Zoning Commission member, I get to have my input on every 9 10 project that comes in front of the township. And I don't really -- You may think this is kind of weird but I don't 11 12 really pay any attention to what happens after our meetings. I don't watch the trustee meetings. I don't talk to the 13 14 trustees about what they decide. If they vote against my -our proposals or our recommendations, it doesn't matter to me. 15

16 MR. MANILLA: Maybe I should have asked it a 17 different way is, how often do they --

18 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I, honestly, I couldn't tell I know that sounds kind of strange and I am sure there 19 you. are statistics that are out there that would show what -- how 20 21 many times they vote in accordance with our recommendation. 22 But a lot of people say, "Oh, I see that the trustees shot 23 your proposal down." It's like, So? I mean, I had my say. 24 We made our recommendation. We did our jobs. And then it's up to the trustees to make their final recommendation and that 25 26 doesn't really matter to me because we've had our opportunity 27 to discuss this and put a proposal in front of the trustees. 28 So how it all falls, I honestly can't always tell you. I 29 don't really pay any attention after it leaves here. 30 MR. MANILLA: Okay. Thank you for your time.

1 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you. Appreciate your 2 comments.

Anybody else in that back row that would like to
comment in opposition? The last row? Sir, please state your
name and address for the record.

MR. SHECK: My name is Eric Sheck. I live at
10145 Hoose Road. I'm a little late to this ball game. I
didn't receive notification of these proceedings until just a
few short weeks ago, so I am kind of behind the eight bail.

But it seems to me, after hearing everybody's individual concerns for any liability on their property, I look at the overall, big picture of all this. And I would like to ask the Commission, who benefits from all of this? I guarantee you it's not one single person that surrounds that property. It seems to me only the developers are the ones who are going to benefit from this.

17 You know, when I moved in over there, I could look18 across the street and it was like a horse farm.

19 Mr. Loxterman, I am sure, could back me up on this, that when 20 you had an open field, horse barns and beautiful ponds that 21 you could see from the roads, apple trees, there was no way 22 that a development can look better than that. And I think 23 that, if it goes forward, this new zoning amendment, that it 24 should be a very minimalistic footprint that is put on this 25 property.

I think a proposal of filling in ponds is totally unacceptable. I think that 30 percent for conservation is not enough. I think that should be a much higher number. The only one who benefits from more homes is the developer, certainly, none of us homeowners. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Well, you, I think, you make a very valid point and I appreciate your comment. And this is something that we grapple with on a regular basis as a Zoning Commission. This issue has been in front of us on more than one occasion. We have made numerous changes, tweaks and adjustments to our RCD text over the last several years since we have approved it into our zoning text.

We feel it was in the best interest of the community 8 to try to avoid the cookie-cutter R-1 development where they 9 10 don't have to come, the developer doesn't have to come to us for permission. It's already zoned. They can go right to the 11 12 Planning Commission and make their proposal and start construction. It's a relatively streamlined, simple process 13 and we have no input as a community, okay, we have none. You 14 can go to the, you can go to the Lake County Planning 15 Commission hearings and voice your opposition there but it's, 16 pretty much, the die is cast at that point. 17

18 What we felt the conservation zoning would do would 19 give us an opportunity to have some input and give the 20 residents, the affected residents some input into the process. 21 And so what we have in front of us is an opportunity that we 22 give to the developers to kind of steer them towards the 23 conservation type of a development, a choice, is to set aside 24 some open space. And for doing that -- because they're 25 forefitting homes, they're giving up lots that they could 26 potentially build homes on, potentially -- we're giving them a 27 bonus. We're giving them an opportunity to add to that in 28 order to preserve some open space for the community. And we 29 think we all benefit from that if we have open space. Okay? 30 We all benefit from those types of developments.

And so we have made adjustments. We talked about --And it's not easy to come up with percentages, you know, just to put a flat percentage on. If the parcel of property is X number of acres and they want to do a, they want to do a conservation development, what's the percentage? How do you assign a number to that? It's kind of a, it's a very arbitrary number.

And then at what point, do you take it to the point 8 where a developer looks at that -- Because this is an option. 9 10 It's not a requirement, it's an option. And we hope that it is enough to incent the developer to choose this over a 11 12 traditional R-1 development. If the, if it's so onerous on 13 the developer that they have to give up so much property and so much space, then it becomes -- it doesn't become a feasible 14 project. Okay? So they don't -- They opt to just go with the 15 traditional R-1 and we loss out as community because now the 16 space that could have been open space is gone. They clear 17 18 cut, put up houses, a lot of impervious, you know, surfaces 19 with rooftops and driveways, et cetera, et cetera, roads, and 20 it's over and you get what you get. And whether you like it 21 or not, whether you're affected by it or not doesn't really 22 matter because it's going in.

With the conservation zoning concept, we have a lot more input. We have a lot -- This hearing is because of this. You're all here tonight because we have this zoning text opportunity in our Zoning Resolution and you have an opportunity to provide input and either a favorable comment or an opposition comment on this proposal.

29 And as we look at these things, we are looking, 30 we're constantly trying to make changes on the process because we don't like -- We decided, as a Zoning Commission, we don't like the yield plan. Okay? So now we've made moves in the future that we've eliminated the yield plan as a process because we know that the yield plan is really just kind of mis -- and the developers will stand here in front of us and say, "We're not really going to build this but this is the yield plan."

8 So we said, "If you're not going to really build it, 9 then why are you coming in front of us with this as a 10 potential development?" So we've eliminated the yield plan. 11 We're striking that out of the -- We're not even going to have 12 that as a part of the process moving forward. Today, it's 13 here because this is the text that we have that's in place, 14 but we are making changes as we move.

And we welcome the community's input on a regular 15 We are here once a month, the first Tuesday of every 16 basis. month. And I will say 90 percent of the time, maybe more, 17 18 there is an empty room. And we do work sessions and this is 19 all a part of public record. Our agenda is published, the 20 things that we do. We would love to have people come in here 21 and explain to us what they would like to see as a community 22 so we can take those ideas and incorporate that into the 23 process because it's important. But when we don't have any 24 community input, it's very difficult for us to read the tea 25 leaves and understand what it is that people actually want.

And so I am sorry for kind of going off on the rail here but I just want you -- You say, is there things that can be done? The answer is yes. We need input and we're doing the best that we can with the information that we have and the plans that are put in front of us.

And we take this very seriously. We don't wish to 1 2 impact people's properties. I have lived in the township. I think I moved in in '88. I've been here since '88. And I've 3 been on the Zoning Commission since '98, I think. So I've 4 been on the Zoning Commission over 20 years and I've been 5 through a lot of this process and it's very important and we 6 take this very seriously. And it's not a -- And we understand 7 that people's properties are impacted, the value of their 8 homes are impacted, and we try to do these things and impact 9 10 the least amount that we can. But at the end of the day, we don't own the property. I am a property rights advocate. 11 I 12 believe that people have the ability to do with their property 13 as they see fit. They pay the taxes, they pay the mortgage, 14 and they should be able to do the things that they want to do and you should be able to live and enjoy your property the way 15 it is. 16

And I know that when you live next to a big piece of 17 property and it's a horse farm, it's awesome while you're 18 19 living there. But at some point in time, it's still a piece of private property and it's going to turn into something. 20 21 And you hope that we have the input and the ability to kind of 22 direct what it turns into versus just somebody coming in and 23 just clear-cutting, plowing it over, grading it, slapping up 24 houses, digging in, you know, digging up foundations and 25 putting in houses.

We hope that we can have a little bit more input and that's why we do what we do and that's why we do this, so that we can get the input and we can have the ability to listen to people's thoughts in the process. Because if this was an R-1 development, make no mistake, this would be going in and you

would have no input. You could go and you can throw yourself 1 in front of the Lake County Planning Commission but you're at 2 their mercy. And if they, they're not as -- If it's an R-1 3 zoning and it's within the Ohio Revised Code that fits all 4 their, you know, all their requirements, it goes in and that's 5 the way it goes. And they can mitigate wetlands. They can 6 mitigate, you know, on a lot of different things and deal with 7 it and that's what you get. 8

So, this, it gives us a process. So as a resident, 9 10 you have to make a decision. Do we want something where we can have some input or do we want -- or do we just get what we 11 12 get? And that's what happens if we drive this process, if we make it so onerous on the developers that they don't want to 13 go with conservation zoning. Then you're going to get an R-1 14 or and R -- whatever the designation is. That's what you're 15 going to get. 16

And so we hope that -- We don't want to take the 17 18 slash and burn component. We want to make, we want to try to 19 make this so that it's acceptable and that the people can, the developers, there is an advantage for them, they can take 20 21 advantage. And there is, also, there is an advantage here. 22 We all win. To me, we all win in a conservation development 23 because there is land that's preserved as open space and you 24 get houses and you hope that you try to get a balance. And 25 that's what we try to do. So --

26 MR. SHECK: Thank you for your comments, though some 27 of them, I don't like.

28 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I say a lot of things up29 here that people don't like but that's the job.

30

MR. SHECK: In regards though to this request for

the new R-2 zoning, I too think there are too many holes in it and I think that it requires further amending.

1

2

26

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: You know what? I would, I 3 would love to see people come in here on the first Tuesday of 4 every month and when we have a work session and we're 5 discussing text, zoning text amendments and changes to the 6 zoning, definitions, purposes, all the things we -- I mean, 7 it's not a glorious job, folks, it really isn't. It's a lot 8 of hard work and it's a lot of things that we go through, and 9 it would be great to get some public input on this stuff. 10

I mean, we actually put a section on our agenda 11 12 every, every meeting, there is a section at the end of the agenda that says "public input." And so you can come here and 13 14 you can talk. You can bring things up. You can discuss anything to this Zoning Commission that you want to and we 15 will be glad to hear what you have to say. And if it's a 16 valid issue and we think that there is some merit to it, we'll 17 18 put it on the agenda and we will put it into the zoning text 19 and we will incorporate it into what we do. We welcome that 20 kind of thing and we would really appreciate it if people 21 would come.

But like I said, there is a lot of nights that we sit up here and we're lonely. There is nobody out there, nobody on the phone, and we have to make decisions and it's hard. So anyway --

MR. SHECK: Thank you.

27 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thank you. Appreciate it.
28 Is there anybody else, anybody else have a second
29 thought, they want to come up and speak in opposition? We're
30 in the opposition component. Anybody else that would like to

come up and speak? 1 (No response.) 2 Okay. At this point, I will close the -- I am 3 sorry. That's right. Thank you. On the phone, is there 4 anybody on the phone that would like to speak in opposition to 5 the proposed zoning change? 6 MR. DANIELS: Yes. 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Oh, well, I am glad I 8 thought of that then. Thanks to the nice people in the second 9 10 row. State your name and address for the record, please, and go ahead and state your case. 11 12 MR. DANIELS: My name is Paul Daniels. I live at 10205 Isley Square. 13 And my main question was regarding, if you look at 14 the phase map, Phase 2, was what I have in front of me, lots 15 42, 44 on the proposal, we have five units, planned units on 16 our property line. And I am just concerned about that many 17 I do see the benefits of the RCD-2. And the 18 units. developers have 55 and over, I see benefits to that. 19 20 However, I have some concerns about that many units 21 and the buffer setback. Is there a, is there a setback when 22 an R-2 borders an R-1? Is there a mandated buffer? I 23 thought, at your last meeting, you amended 15.25, Section E in 24 the Resolution to include a 50 foot but I am not sure if 25 that's correct or not. 26 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Chairman, I can --27 MR. DANIELS: That would be for the Zoning 28 Commission to clarify that, the setback, because on the 29 plan they look pretty close. I think the building setback is 30 30 feet and that seems to be too close there.

And we also have some stormwater grading, stormwater management, drainage issues. We have outdoor sumps. We have French drains. We have flooding. So we're just concerned about the drainage as well, the high water table, and there is concerns about that. I would imagine that would be reviewed by the Lake County Engineer and stormwater management later. But just those are my concerns.

8 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Heather, do you want to 9 respond to that?

10 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Chairman, I can answer the question on whether or not there is a required buffer between 11 12 an existing R-1 and an RCD. There is currently not a required 13 buffer. What you heard probably was part of our work session that the Zoning Commission had last month when we were talking 14 the potential changes to the text. Those changes have not 15 been moved forward yet. They're not adopted in any way. So 16 if they're proposing lots with no open space there, such as 17 18 shown on this plan, the only setback requirement that they would have to follow is the minimum 30 feet. 19

20 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Does that answer your 21 question, sir?

22 MR. DANIELS: That was just the 30 foot. So, 23 potentially, there could be a structure 30 foot, 30 feet from 24 our property line. Is that what I understand?

25 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Is that true?
26 MR. SCHINDLER: That's correct.
27 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, the house could be as close as
28 30 feet, that's correct.
29 MR. SCHINDLER: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes, could be.

30

MR. DANIELS: Okay. Which I feel, I just feel
 that's too close. There needs to be more of a buffer,
 especially with that many units there.

But, and then also it appears, just to clarify, also
on the Zoning Resolution that 20 feet from, you can't clear
trees out more than or only after 20 feet from the, clear from
the building, like hedgerows, trees; is that correct?

8 MS. FREEMAN: Again, this is Heather Freeman, the
9 Zoning Inspector. That 20 feet is strictly for a Planned Unit
10 Development, R-2 district. This is an R-2 Residential
11 Conservation Development, so that is not applicable for this
12 design.

13 MR. DANIELS: All right. So that's my main concern is the drainage, the stormwater problems, the flooding, all 14 those units right on our property line very close. I don't 15 see any other home in the area that has that many units that 16 close to the property line. So that would be a major concern. 17 18 And what's it going to look like? I know the R-2 is supposed 19 to preserve hedgerows, natural corridors, trees, you know. 20 The R-1, I know that they don't clear-cut -- They clear-cut 21 the R-1 but not in the R-2 but what is left of that natural 22 barrier? Do they leave the trees there and the hedgerows? 23 That would be my question.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Would they leave them or -MR. DANIELS: Right. Is there -- I know you said
there is no buffer but would they leave -- I mean, there is
some trees that straddle the line, the property line, and then
there is some shrubs, just natural, like, a hedgerow, like it
says right in the Zoning Resolution. But is that left as
screening? Are we going to be looking at just a row of houses

30 feet from our property line? 1 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: That would be a question 2 for, that would be a question that we will ask Mr. Smul to 3 address when he, when he gets a chance to respond. 4 MR. DANIELS: Okay. 5 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So please stay tuned. 6 We 7 will make a note of it. MR. DANIELS: So the question would be, what would 8 be the -- How is close to our property line would the building 9 10 homes be from our property line? And would the existing vegetation, trees, bushes, would anything be left there or how 11 would that look, aesthetically, I quess you'd say? 12 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. We will make sure we 13 14 ask that question. We will get that responded to for you. MR. DANIELS: Right. Like I said, that would be my 15 concerns and then, of course, the drainage problems, 16 17 potentially. That's all. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Any other comments? 18 19 Are you good? 20 (No response.) 21 I quess so. 22 MR. REPPERT: I guess so, yeah. 23 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Is there anybody else on the 24 phone that would like to make a comment in opposition? 25 (No response.) 26 I will take that as there is nobody else. 27 Okay. At this point, I will close the public portion of this public hearing. 28 29 Mr. Smul, would you like to come up and address some 30 of the comments and questions that have been raised during the

1 public comment period?

2

3

MR. SMUL: Sure. Do I need to state my name again? CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: No, you're good.

MR. SMUL: Is this all right? So there's quite a few comments, so give me a minute. First off, with the comment that we have not heard from the developer, someone from our office has been speaking with Jen -- What's the last name -- Smyser. So we offered to have a meeting with them and they politely turned it down. So we did talk about having a meeting with the residents.

Two, the temporary cul-de-sac on the plan on Canterwood Trail, we would like to keep it. That would be a benefit for us, too. But Lake County Planning, I believe, would not allow that, so our hands are tied, basically.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: That becomes, that's an 15 egress-ingress issue, you know, with regards to the 16 development, safety forces, things of that nature. 17 Thev 18 really frown upon developments that have one entrance in and 19 out because, if something were to happen, a tree falls down, a 20 flood, accident blocking and somebody has an issue and they 21 can't get back into the development to address it, that 22 becomes a major safety issue. So that's what happens with the 23 ingress-egress side of things on that, just so you understand.

24

Go ahead. I am sorry.

MR. SMUL: That's okay. By the way you explained the process very, very well with the RCD and that's what we're all for is trying to conserve as much space as possible. In fact, I spoke with Heather a little bit about it. Like, the houses that we're planning on building aren't as deep as the lots that we need. So in our mind, we would like to actually

cut more of the lots off to conserve more open space. 1 So as far as the public meetings and going forward on RCD plans, I 2 think it's got to have some flexibility for the developer so 3 he can create more open space. 4 There is different, you know, we can talk off the 5 record about calculations, I think. I talked to Dave Radachy 6 7 about it a little bit about calculations for yield plans and he is in line thinking with what we were thinking as well. 8 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. 9 10 MR. SMUL: Sorry to get off topic there. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: That's okay. 11 MR. SMUL: I heard the comments about the neighbors 12 to the south on Canterwood Trail sublots regarding moving, 13 14 coming into our development heading north. That's why we proposed making those lots wider and not making them an Epcon 15 style house, making them single family, so the houses that 16 would be next to them will be single family, more of a 17 18 traditional sense of flowing into there so they won't have a 19 different style house than what is next to them. 20 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So your proposal for lot 24 21 and 25 is --22 MR. SMUL: Lot 23, 24, that's on the --23 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: And 25? 24 MR. SMUL: -- the west, the western side. And 25, we did have that wider. 25 26 And, Mr. Silversten, they did adjust the plan to 27 account for the wider parcel, so -- and that was resubmitted 28 to Concord Township. 29 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. 30 MR. SMUL: So they asked about adjustments and when are we done adjusting? It's, there is a process to go through and then there is questions and then there is feedback and then we try to keep adjusting to the feedback. And it's kind of like a Rubik's Cube. You keep turning and adjusting. So there is a lot of variables that go on to make the plans and it's not final until, you know, until the end, basically, until you get to the final design.

Let's see what else. We talked -- There was a lot 8 of concerns about traffic. And from what I heard from the 9 10 residents, it sounded like Hobby Horse and Hoose, it's a lot of accidents that happen there. So this development, the 11 12 proximity of Canterwood and Hoose is closer to, for these 13 residents to leave and enter that way. Whether a resident decides to go that way, that would be up to them. But my 14 feeling is that, logically, they're going to come the shortest 15 distance to get out of the subdivision. I know that's, when I 16 leave my subdivision, that's how I leave, the shortest 17 distance. 18

19 Let's see what else. We talked about the HOA a 20 little bit and the open space. So that's why we're deeding it 21 to the HOA. This HOA will probably be different from a lot of 22 HOAs that are out there for traditional subdivisions. It 23 might be more like an HOA like Quail Hollow. So this is going 24 to have a community center. The HOA is going to have to 25 manage the Community Center, the clubhouse, the pool, the 26 parking lot, and all the maintenance regarding that, cutting 27 lawns, snowplowing. I'm probably missing some other things 28 but there is a lot of -- They're going to be a very active 29 HOA. So -- And then the residents are going to be buying a 30 piece of property and we're not going to be putting something,

proposing to put a tennis court behind anyone's house. 1 So I feel like if anything like that even came close to happening, 2 the township would be getting a phone call. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. 4 Can you address the walking trail? I know there was 5 some folks that asked about the walking trail. 6 7 MR. SMUL: Oh, sure. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Can you give us an idea of 8 where you are planning on putting them or what they would look 9 10 like? MR. SMUL: It would just be one walking trail. 11 Ιt would be for sublots 57 through 59, which are on Hoose Road, 12 13 and we would have a little natural walking trail connecting to Canterwood Trail. And that's from the Lake County Planning. 14 They made a comment about that being not connected to the 15 subdivision. They'd have to pull out and drive. 16 So we figured, let's put a small little walking trail. 17 18 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So you are saying about, 19 you're talking about putting a walking trail in the open space 20 that goes from where? 21 MR. SMUL: 57 through 59 on Hoose Road. 22 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. 23 MR. SMUL: To Canterwood Trail. 24 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So that's going through 25 their back yards, the fifty --26 MR. SMUL: No, right to the front of the 27 subdivision, right in front of -- Hold on. Let me see the 28 sublot number, if I can. Is that 56? 29 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So you're talking about a 30 walking trail that would go out behind the houses and then, in

the open space, it would go in between the buffer between 1 Hoose Road and lot 56? 2 MR. SMUL: Yes, it would go in the front of the 3 house. It would actually --4 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Connect to Canterwood Trail? 5 MR. SMUL: Yeah, there would be a trail from 59, 58, 6 7 57, connecting to Canterwood Trail north of sublot 56, between 56 and Hoose Road. 8 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. 9 10 MS. GARCAR: So the entire thing is --MR. SMUL: So there would be -- Oh, I am sorry. 11 12 MS. GARCAR: So the entire thing is right next to, parallel to Hoose Road? 13 MR. SMUL: Yes. 14 MS. GARCAR: Okay. 15 MR. SMUL: And we're not proposing any other walking 16 trails at this time. 17 18 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Everybody understand that, 19 understand where that's going to be? Okay. I want to make 20 sure. So one walking trail and a small walking trail. 21 MR. SCHINDLER: Very small. 22 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: You're not going to work up 23 a sweat. 24 MR. SMUL: Let me see. Where was I? And then the yield plan, you know, we presented a yield plan based on the 25 26 Zoning Code, which called for a conceptual plan with not 27 involving significant engineering costs and demonstrates the 28 proposed street layouts with a reasonable and marketable 29 number of lots. Now, that's very up for interpretation. 30 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right.

MR. SMUL: But we showed you from the engineer -We're a builder and a developer. We have built on some pretty
unique lots. And building and engineering, you can pretty
much almost put on anywhere.

5 Let me see what else. And I think some other 6 concern was the stormwater management, which I could have 7 Brian come back up here and talk about that again because it's 8 got to go through county regulations and calculations. I 9 think he's the expert, so I don't want to get anything wrong.

10 MR. UHLENBROCK: I guess, I can address stormwater management. Hello again. So stormwater management was 11 12 discussed a lot. And as everyone was talking, I was kind of 13 going on the map and putting dots where people were talking 14 about drainage issues that they have. And so when I said earlier that we will have to meet the Lake County 15 16 requirements, stormwater management, pre and post flows, you know, that can't be exceeded. So there is a lot of stuff that 17 18 needs to happen in that regards.

One of the reasons why I kind of marked that is we 19 20 can already see, like, from the research and the surveys that 21 we do, kind of, where the problems areas are going to be, but 22 hearing you guys, kind of, helps us to focus on certain areas. 23 So when I get back to the office, this is what I give our 24 engineer to say, "You need to focus on these areas." So we 25 are going to do the best possible job in trying to mitigate 26 any ill effects to you guys but, you know, the top priority is 27 meeting the county code. But then if we can go above and 28 beyond, we certainly will.

29 One other thing with, I think it was Paul on the 30 phone on Isley, he was talking about the buffer and how much

buffer was in the, would be in the back yards. Those lots are 1 a little bit deeper, which Jeff kind of talked about a little 2 bit. So there's some natural buffer that will occur. When we 3 do our grading plan, we try and limit our grading limits to 4 the max extent practical. But our number one priority is 5 going to be stormwater, so we will have to run either swales 6 or storm sewers behind those lots, so we may need to clear 7 trees in order to do that. So our priority, stormwater, but 8 if we can keep trees there, we certainly will. So hopefully 9 10 that helps. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Let me see. Did I 11 12 have -- Okay, yeah, you're good. All right. 13 Okay. I have, there is two memorandums that were submitted. 14 I am sorry? 15 MR. RIEBE: Can I make a couple comments? 16 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Oh, absolutely, sure. 17 18 MR. RIEBE: I've been sitting here so long, it's 19 usually hard for me to sit still. 20 I'm Tom Riebe, 10210 Isley Square. Actually, this 21 is our, has been our neighbors' properties ever since I've 22 been -- I have moved in my house in '88, move into Concord 23 Township in '75, so probably longer in the township than many 24 people maybe here are. 25 Anyhow, regarding the cul-de-sac, a little 26 disappointed that Anthony didn't remember that I had a nice conversation with him and did contact him. And our take is 27 28 this. All right? We are not trying to disturb anybody's 29 lifestyle. We are not disturb -- That's not our intent. I 30 told him, if there is any way that we can do the temporary

cul-de-sac, if they would allow that to be in there, we're 1 happy to have it as a slow-down. I'd even volunteer to make 2 it a cul-de-sac where it wouldn't even connect if the Lake 3 County Planning would go through it. They have their rules. 4 We don't set the rules. If the township trustees or somebody 5 would like to talk to the Planning Commission and make that, 6 we're more than happy to go along. You're our neighbors. 7 Believe me. We've been there, we've been there, I think, 8 longer than Bill, Bill's family, I think. We bought our 9 10 property from the Pages when we developed that. Okay?

Regarding lights, I heard a lot of things about the lights. I am going to go on record for our family saying -and this is a family operation, as you probably know -- we will eliminate the lights. If it's allowable in the township, we will eliminate them. If that makes the people unhappy, we would be happy to do that. If that -- You hear a lot of complaints.

18 And, again, we're not an antagonistic person or 19 company. We've been part of the community a very, very long 20 time. I've been living here since 46 years. All right?

The number of lots. And I heard someone, how can you build on them? I don't know how many -- Mr. Reppert, you probably built a lot of houses. We've built over 1,000. There is not one lot on the layout which is difficult to build on, A.

B, they think we threw together a little plan and just threw it out there. We actually entered into a contract, I believe, on the first property in September. Mr. Loxterman can verify that. I can tell you just in planning and layouts, we have over 30,000, and the planning of the overall thing we have over 100,000 invested. It's none of your concern. It has nothing to do with you. We're not saying -- We did what we did. We are doing this -- And I will tell you the main reason I am doing this. Because as I said, why would I want to do a development like this? I want to tell you two reasons.

Number one, we've been building, as I said, for over 7 We've built -- We build and develop over 1,000 8 40 years. properties in the area. All right? And for the last 15 9 10 years, I have a lot of friends and people because, basically, people we build for became our friends. Unlike many 11 12 developers builders that -- they don't want to talk to their builders, we have a lot of people -- the one that didn't like 13 14 our family and become part of the family was the unusual one.

So what I would say is this, having -- and Mike knows it. In fact, we went through this 20 years ago putting in Summerwood. They wanted one acre lots, which would have been clear-cut with septics. We donated 59 acres of Concord Township open area and we were told we weren't the conservative ones, the ones that rip down the trees. We're the conservative ones.

22 That said, worrying about expanding the open area, 23 you are looking at people not as necessary retirement 24 communities, because it's not that kind of a neighborhood, 25 this is going to be, this is kind of a legacy and it's like my 26 back yard, honestly. It's going to be a legacy, in that, 27 anybody that wants to remain in the township, downsize, go 28 into an active area, moving into a brand new neighborhood like 29 they did in Summerwood where everybody became neighbors, all 30 moved in together, everyone from different ages come in and

move into a neighborhood they can enjoy, maintenance free, and
 be able to go to winters in Florida and come back in the
 summers here and not worry about the property.

Why do we need the smaller lots? Well, if we did 4 the larger lots, the maintenance factor would be cost 5 prohibitive, potentially, for the people moving into that 6 neighborhood. The smaller lots are conducive, which is why we 7 went with Epcon. Epcon's been developing -- And, you know, if 8 anyone here knows us and knows me would know that I would, we 9 10 could probably, as I say, we could do as well laying out and getting house styles as they did. They have already done it 11 12 and we decided to get into this thing with them only for -not only the benefit of the people, it's really a need. 13 Ι 14 mean, many, many people are becoming older. Nobody in this room but many people are getting older. All right? Those of 15 you that are younger, one day you are going to get older. 16 All right? 17

So it's going to represent for the people, for posterity, an area of, very unusual area dedicated, kind of, not exclusively because you can't discriminate, for people that want to have maintenance-free living and a lifestyle that's a different lifestyle. All right?

23 The other thing is, could we develop an R-1? We 24 could not develop for all the people that want to live in that 25 kind of area. It won't work. But I can tell you what will 26 Lake County Planning has already approved the plan. happen. 27 There is -- You talked to Dave Radachy, I am sure. All right? 28 There's got to be a connection from that street somewhere. 29 This connection is going to go out on Morley Road. So if you 30 are worried about all the traffic coming down Hobby Horse,

which I hear you have all the accidents, if we were to put an R-1 development, subdivision, connect to your road back to Morley, which is where it shows -- that's where it goes -where are all the people exiting to get out onto Button Road? Every one of them is going to come through your neighborhood.

Now -- And I think I talked to Tony. I told him, 6 also, I don't know anybody in his right mind -- unless he's a 7 little confused -- that would leave that neighborhood, drive 8 through your neighborhood, go all the way down Hobby Horse to 9 10 get back to Hoose. It's much closer coming through this way. My guess is -- he didn't disagree -- some of you will be 11 12 coming through there just because it's closer, potentially. 13 And further away from Button Road and a little, probably, safer outlet, and now you have a double outlet to come out. 14

So what else? And, again, we don't want to fight 15 with anybody. I don't know how many people are going to have 16 a cemetery in their neighborhood. I thought state law kind of 17 18 said, if it's abandoned and not being used, we would dedicate a little area and build, make a funeral, a funeral home there 19 20 or -- But I am not sure why trustees would not, other than 21 maybe try to offend somebody here. I don't know if anyone 22 here wants to live by a cemetery.

23 We were, you know -- It's actually a state, I 24 understand -- I haven't read the law. I know Tony Aveni, who 25 is an esteemed attorney out here, researched it. He said 26 there is state law saying if a, if there is nobody, no further 27 burials going to happen at a cemetery in the township, that 28 the township is supposed to declare it abandoned and then they 29 just make a resolution, which I thought was in the process. 30 And then they actually pay to move it, exhume it, the remains,

and put them in the cemetery so people can actually come and visit the relatives rather than walking through somebody's property, which Bill told me 30 years ago somebody did, the last time somebody's been there. The last burial there was like 1850. I've been told there is nothing there. Digging it up -- But it will still be respectfully done in a normal way.

7 So why they wouldn't want, why anybody would want to
8 have a cemetery in the middle of a neighborhood, I don't know.
9 The neighborhood's going to go in. The development is going
10 to be done. All right?

Those, I heard somebody say, Ms. Kilfoyle, I think, worried about how -- Well, with the half acre, you are going to have housing right behind you. It will be clean. It will be cleared out and you will have a house looking at you. There will be no open area which benefits you.

Someone has asked, and I think in the audience, why 16 there was not an open area to the north of the Patriarca 17 18 property. Well, we want to avoid that wetlands. And to stay 19 away from that, you have to move it in some direction. If the township allowed smaller lots, which I think Jeff discussed 20 21 with a conservation group, and I think -- if they allowed 22 that, well, then we could shift it further down and make an 23 open area there, which was our original intent. But we want 24 to keep everything away from the open area.

So in closing -- And I don't know who else. You may have questions for the engineers. All right? Having a three or four or five foot deep pond that's already silted in two or three feet -- because it's never been cleaned out -- it's nothing there. We're dredging, we're redoing a retention. And if you look down on our neighborhood where I live in Ascot Farms, we're totally redoing that now. You will see all the
silt and everything we threw out and cast out to put all solid
material in.

So if you think, Mr. Reppert, that at any point in time I would build a property that wouldn't be solid and safe, you don't even know us. You don't know our reputation. We don't do that. If there is a way of doing it better, we always took that way, but we still continue to improve. All right?

10 So I don't know if you have any other questions. Ι mean, I don't know what else. Maybe I'll answer again. 11 We'll 12 forego the lights. The community is going to come in. But picture everybody living in that community driving through 13 your neighborhood now instead of coming out the other way. 14 And that's, that's really going -- I'm not threatening. I am 15 just saying what is. 16

And, also, you are going to get rid of a need, a real need in the neighborhood. Everyone here is going to get to the age that they're going to want to live in one of these, potentially, not everybody. But I have so many friends that are already move out of here.

I think you got one from -- the pharmacist. There is people moving to Chardon Township just to find houses on slabs. And a lot of these houses are going to be slabs, also, all right, which makes it even less maintenance for the homeowner. So we have in Florida, that's all you have is slabs. We don't have it here, for some reason. But --

28 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Got to have a place to put29 your stuff, Tom.

30

MR. RIEBE: That's it. Well, you know what?

Instead of basements, what they do is they have a thing and
 they build them up.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right.

3

MR. RIEBE: So they have finished area there. 4 They're really an ideal kind of community. I mean, he hasn't 5 spoken much to it. I don't want you to take the idea that 6 7 we're being defensive. What we're doing, we're really going through a lot of extra expense. I will probably move in 8 I'll be leaving my home on Isley and I'll 9 there, you know. 10 sell my house. You know which house I live in. I live in the house right at the end of the cul-de-sac right in the back of 11 12 the Loxterman's house.

Anyhow, I want to thank you. I hope some of you understand where we're coming from. We're not out to hurt anybody. I think the engineer can tell you that, if there was a lot of water discharging, quite possibly, you would have less, not more, all right, because everything is being diverted straight through, I presume. Is that correct? Am I correct or am I correct?

20 MR. UHLENBROCK: I think we will improve the21 condition.

22 MR. RIEBE: More than likely, it improves it, it23 doesn't get worse.

So -- And I understand everyone has concerns.
You've been there anywhere from five to 20 years, some of us
more, some of the old guys.

27 Other than that, all I can say is we're a good
28 neighbor. We've always been a good neighbor. You go through
29 Summerwood that I had hundreds of people out in arms going
30 after that one, go through that neighborhood and see if you

see a nicer subdivision in Concord Township, and we like to do 1 the same thing in this area. 2 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Thanks, Tom. 3 MR. RIEBE: Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Appreciate your time. 5 MR. RIEBE: And I appreciate all your time and 6 7 patience. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes, sir. 8 9 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can we get a comments addressed? 10 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I am sorry? AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can we get a couple more comments 11 that haven't been addressed? 12 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: No. We have already closed 13

14 the public portion. I am sorry, but thank you. Hope you 15 understand.

We do have two letters from the Lake County Planning Commission that I want to put on the record, both dated May 26. One is specifically regarding -- They're both from Dave Radachy, titled Secretary. It's regarding the District Amendment Rezoning 34.2428 acres from R-1 to R-2 RCD and the text amendments.

So in his comments, "The Lake County Planning Commission took under consideration the above-mentioned district and zoning text changes at their regularly scheduled meeting on Tuesday, May 25th of 2021. The Lake County Planning Commission recommended that the district amendment be made, as well as the text amendments, with the following comments and recommendation.

29 "Bullet Point 1: Recommend rezoning the 34.2428
30 acres from R-1 Residential to R-2 RCD Residential Conservation

District. It is believed the property in question would be better developed as an RCD rather than a conventional R-1 zoning district in order to preserve open space and sensitive areas following the township's Comprehensive Plan for the 2004."

And then he goes on in the second bullet point toaddress the amendments to the zoning text.

And then in another letter, memorandum from the Lake 8 County Planning Commission from David Radachy, Planning 9 Director, he said, "I reviewed" -- This is in regards to 10 review of the Villa's at Canterwood Estates for subdivision 11 12 compliance. "I reviewed the layout of the proposed RCD. Ιt 13 meets Lake County Subdivision Regulations in regards to 14 connecting the roads that were created to provide circulation to undeveloped property, block lengths, cul-de-sac lengths, 15 intersecting with existing roads." 16

And then there are some issues with the plan.
"Bullet Point 1: West cul-de-sac has an island in the middle
and the east cul-de-sac is all pavement.

20 "Bullet Point Number 2: The right-of-way diameter 21 of west cul-de-sac is too large per the subdivision 22 regulations. It has a diameter 150 feet and max diameter is 23 130 feet. The proposal created a land-lock parcel, Block A. 24 This will need to be addressed."

25 The last bullet point: "'Cambridge Court' may not 26 be available to use. There is a Cambridge Park in Mentor and 27 Cambridge in Painesville and Willoughby Hills." So the name 28 has to change.

29 "Staff had some concerns with having sublots 57, 5830 and 59 accessing Hoose Road. The three sublots are

disconnected from the rest of the subdivision. The owners may 1 end up driving to the clubhouse instead of walking. 2 Thev would have a front setback of 30 feet while the rest of Hoose 3 Road has a 50 foot setback. This would put the homes closer 4 to Hoose Road than the rest of the houses on the street." 5 So this is memorandums. I'd like those entered into 6 7 the record. Any comments in the Zoning Commission at this point, 8 Hiram? 9 10 MR. REPPERT: None. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Ashley? 11 12 MS. GARCAR: None. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Frank? 13 14 MR. SCHINDLER: No. I have heard enough. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. I would like to just 15 address a couple things. There was some items that were 16 brought up from many of the residents with regards to the 17 18 temporary cul-de-sac that is in -- is on Canterwood Trail. Т 19 understand the consternation that creates when we're looking at pushing a street through. But as a rule of thumb, when you 20 21 buy a house on a street and there is woods at the end of the 22 street, it's not a cul-de-sac, it's a stub street. Okay? 23 You can create a big circle and you can let the kids 24 play there all you want but, at the end of the day, if there 25 are no homes at the end of the street, it's not a cul-de-sac, 26 it's a stub street, and that's designed that way. At some 27 point in time in the future, there is going to be a street 28 that's going to be cut through and it's going to join to 29 another parcel of property and it's going to be for access. 30 And we went through the same exact issue on Keystone not that long ago. Keystone Ridge had an issue where they had, at the end of the road, it was a stub street that went into Nancy Ann and the rest of the development behind them. But they basically opened it up and kind of created a cul-desac out of it and it was that way for a number of years, but it wasn't a cul-de-sac. It doesn't fit the legal description of a cul-de-sac. It's a stub street.

And you have to understand that and I know that's 8 difficult because it was there and that's the way it was. 9 10 But, unfortunately, if there is no houses at the end of the street to prevent a road from going through, that means it's 11 12 not a cul-de-sac. If there were homes there, then it's a cul-de-sac. Otherwise, it's a stub street and, at some point 13 in time, whether it's in 1955 or it's in 2022 or '21, it's 14 going to be a street. It's going to be a through street. 15 And, unfortunately, that's the way the rules operate. 16

And I understand why people would be concerned about that but that doesn't make, developing it into a cul-de-sac make it a cul-de-sac. It's still a stub street. At some point in time, it's going to go through and you have to understand that. And I am sorry that that happens but it does, and it's not the first time this has occurred in the township or that this has happened. So --

And I think it's -- And I kind of covered this when we talked about the intention behind the RCD and the R-1. You know, I understand that nobody likes to see development, especially when it is directly impacting your properties when there's a big parcel of property that's going to be developed. I understand it's a problem and it creates a lot of angst. But, unfortunately, you know, with the way that we have things set up in the township, this gives us an opportunity to sit down and actually steer the developer in a direction we would like them to go versus the developer just coming in and just putting in a traditional R-1 development.

And I guess, when you leave here tonight, you have 5 to ask yourself, what would you rather have? Would you rather 6 have a development that enables you an opportunity to give 7 some input and have some open and true, honest discussions 8 with the developer and maybe have an impact on some final 9 10 decisions that are made or do you want to just get a traditional R-1 development and get what you get, whether 11 you're happy with it or not? 12

And that's the way I look at it. I look at it as, 13 this is an opportunity. Because you know what? The property 14 is going to be developed. Okay? Whether you like it or not, 15 it's going to be developed. Unless you want to all pull 16 together, pull your funds together and buy it outright, maybe 17 you can do that and you can leave it as a horse farm. I don't 18 know. But that's usually not a realistic outcome to these 19 kind of situations. 20

So the alternative is to basically try to have some input, take an approach of, okay, it's going to be developed. So maybe now we have an opportunity to put some input into how it goes and the way it looks and some of the things that are done.

And there are some things I'd like to see. I would like to see, you know, even though it's not a part of our zoning text at this point in time, I would really like to see the two lots that are buffering the existing Canterwood Trail property, lots 24 and, I think -- what is it -- 24 and 25, I would like to see those lots eliminated. I would like to see
a buffer. I would like to see some open space between the
transition from Canterwood Trail as it sits today and the new
development that's going in. I would love to see those lots
just left as open space.

6 Now, I know it's a sacrifice of two lots and I 7 understand that costs money and that's important. But we're 8 also transitioning into a development, from an existing 9 development of people that have owned homes for decades into a 10 new development and it would be nice to have a little bit of 11 separation there.

12 Now, does that mean that we have to completely eliminate those lots? I don't know. Maybe we can, they 13 14 are -- You did design them bigger so we can put traditional 15 lots or traditional homes on those two lots, actually, three lots there. Maybe we can rearrange them a little bit to 16 shorten them up and, at least, put some sort of separation 17 18 between the existing homes that are on Canterwood Trail moving 19 into the new development.

I think, overall, I think it's a great idea. I think it's a great plan. I think there is a lot of positives that can be derived from this. I've been in the township for a long time. I've had experience with the Riebe family and doing developments. They do quality work. You can't deny that.

And I believe Tom when he says that he wants to be a good neighbor. So -- And I believe that he's trying to put together what he feels is in the best interest of the community here and I hope that this is all going to turn out the way that we think it will.

And you know what? Quite frankly, with regards to 1 the lot of water movement, things that go on, believe it or 2 not, sometimes developments actually address some of those 3 issues and correct some of the water problems. They don't 4 always create more problems. Sometimes they actually, through 5 the development and the grading and the things that go on with 6 7 the retention ponds and things that happen, it actually improvements the water situations that are out there and takes 8 away some of the issues that are going on, some of the 9 10 concerns that people have. Not to say that that's guaranteed to happen. That's not a guaranteed outcome. We really don't 11 12 know.

When I moved into my home in Summerwood, you know, I 13 was, I think, the second house in. And, you know, we had no 14 issues, we had no problems with water. We had, you know, it 15 was a pretty straightforward, you know, situation. 16 Then they started building down Summerwood and the people that moved 17 18 into those houses on Summerwood, they put French drains with 19 pop-ups in the back of their lots and they took the rain out 20 of their gutters and they piped it through to the back of 21 their lots and that water, all of the sudden, I have a lake 22 now that sits between my house and my storage barn. It's, 23 literally, you can walk in there. You have to wear your 24 waders to go in.

And that was never there before those houses were developed and those people put those French drains in with those pop-ups. What can I do about it? Nothing. I deal with it. You know what I did? I had a French drain put in. I had a guy come out, an excavation company, and they dug a trench and they put in a French drain. It drains the water out of 1 that lake towards the street and now I don't have the water 2 issue that I used to have.

So, you know, sometimes things happen. And I don't 3 think anybody, I don't think the neighbors that put those 4 pop-up drains, they didn't have -- they didn't do that with 5 the intent to flood my back yard. They didn't. They were 6 7 just trying to deal with water on their property and, unfortunately, it ended up coming my way, but those are the 8 things that happen. And I understand people have concerns 9 10 about that and there is no doubt that water creates a lot of those issues. And we are putting in driveways and we're 11 12 putting in roofs and there's impervious surfaces and all sorts 13 of things that are going to happen.

And I just hope that, you know, that's the reason 14 why they spend the money that they do to do the engineering 15 work and the, the studies and looking at the grades and all 16 that stuff and they try to do the best that they can to avoid, 17 18 you know, putting those types of things in and putting your 19 property values in peril. So I hope that the Riebe family 20 will follow through with that and do the things that they say 21 they are going to do.

I don't really have much of a concern on the lakes, the two ponds. I mean, they were man-made. They weren't there before and they're not going to be there now. So what? They're going to go away and that's just the way it is. And they're going to replace it with, hopefully, with materials that are going to make it buildable and people aren't going to have issues. So --

But, you know, I think there is a lot of positiveswith this development. I think there is, if we looked at the

RCD, the yield plan and the way the R-1 was put in, I think 1 we'd have a lot more issues we would be dealing with, folks. 2 I think we'd have a lot more issues, I really do. And I think 3 this is a good, I think this is a good solution for us. 4 So with those comments, anybody else have any other 5 comments? 6 7 MR. REPPERT: No, sir. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Hiram? 8 MR. REPPERT: 9 No. 10 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Ashley? MS. GARCAR: 11 No. 12 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Frank, any other comments? MR. SCHINDLER: I was just going to build on that, 13 14 that the reason we have public hearings not only for you people to be here and give us your input but, also, the 15 developers themselves might be here to hear your input 16 because, from here, it's going to go to the trustees. 17 And 18 many times a good developer, hearing what the concerns are, 19 try to address it from when they have the hearing with the trustees. So that might be taken care of when you people are 20 21 there and go through the hearing that the trustees will have. 22 So look at that as a positive. That's all I want to say. 23 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: The door, you know, this is 24 not a final decision. Okay? What we decide tonight, whether 25 it's in favor of or opposed to, this is not a final decision. 26 There will be another public hearing with the trustees after 27 this. You will have an opportunity to come in. And there 28 are -- And between those two times, there is time, I think, 29 for you to get together and request an audience with the 30 developers if you have some additional ideas or things that

1 you would like the see. I think it's a good opportunity to 2 have dialogue. Conversations, meeting, dialogue is what's 3 important.

It's not, you know, to just kind of shut the door and turn our backs and not have a dialogue is a mistake. There's an opportunity here between now and the trustees' meeting to have some further conversations and I think it's a good opportunity for everybody to get their concerns laid out and have those issues addressed, and I would encourage you to do that.

I don't think that the Riebes and the developers 11 12 are -- They're not difficult people. They're not going to deny you the opportunity to sit down and chat. And I know 13 they wouldn't be here tonight if they didn't want -- They 14 wouldn't put themselves through this if they didn't feel this 15 was a good idea, because this is a voluntary thing that 16 they're doing. They didn't have to do this. They could have 17 18 just went to the Lake County Planning Commission with an R-1 development and put it in and that would have been the end of 19 20 it.

So I think the fact that they put themselves through this and put themselves out there and allow people to make the comments that they do, I think, there is a lot of value to this process, I really do. And I see and I am glad that you all came here tonight to make these comments for us to listen to.

27 So with that said, I would like to close the public28 hearing, so we will consider this hearing closed.

29 Okay. We can vote tonight if I get -- I would like
30 to entertain a motion in the affirmative and then we can vote

1	this evening if you would like to do that.							
2	MR. REPPERT: Go ahead, Frank.							
3	CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: The motion must be in the							
4	affirmative.							
-	MR. SCHINDLER: You speak louder than I do.							
6	MR. REPPERT: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion that we							
7	approve the yield plan and the overall preliminary plan for							
, 8	what is it Canterwood, Canterwood Estates or whatever,							
9	Canterwood Estates, Canterwood Villas.							
, 10	MR. LUCAS: If I may make a suggestion,							
11	Mr. Chairman.							
12	CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes.							
13	MR. LUCAS: To assist in the motion, if you just							
14	look at enumerated 2 of the agenda, it describes what is							
15	subject to the approval at the beginning of it. So it would							
16	be my suggestion to simply say, "Motion to approve the Zoning							
17	Amendment Application 2021-1," et cetera, "requesting a Zoning							
18	Resolution map amendment," which was not made in the motion,							
19	"and the preliminary plan approval."							
20	CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right.							
21	MR. LUCAS: Just a suggestion.							
22	CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Hiram, would you like to							
23	take another stab at that?							
24	MR. REPPERT: I will do so. Mr. Chairman, I make a							
25	motion that we approve Zoning Amendment Application Number							
26	2021-1, by ALM Lake Properties LLC, requesting a Zoning							
27	Resolution Map amendment and Preliminary Plan approval for the							
28	current zoning district of R-1, Residential to R-2,							
29	Residential Conservation Development.							
30	CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. I have a motion made.							

Second? 1 MR. SCHINDLER: I second. 2 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Frank seconds. We have a 3 motion made and seconded. 4 Heather, would you like to call the roll? 5 MS. FREEMAN: Thank you. Ms. Garcar? 6 MS. GARCAR: Yes. 7 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Reppert? 8 MR. REPPERT: Yes. 9 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Schindler? 10 MR. SCHINDLER: Yes. 11 12 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Lingenfelter? CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes. 13 I have four yeas, no nays, no abstentions. 14 The preliminary plan approval has been granted. 15 MR. LUCAS: Well, it's been recommended. 16 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, recommended. 17 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Pardon me? 18 MR. LUCAS: It's been recommended. 19 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, recommended, I should 20 21 It's been recommended. say. 22 We will take a brief recess while the room clears. 23 (Brief recess.) 24 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. We're going to 25 reconvene now that the room is empty. We still have a couple 26 things left on the agenda this evening. I think we can -- We 27 will slide the work session for possible zoning text amendments related to Residential Conservation Development 28 29 district and outside dining, we will move that because it's, 30 you know, we're already at 10:30 and I would like to try to

get home here at a reasonable time. 1 MR. LUCAS: Keep going. 2 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Do you want me to just plow 3 through it, Mike? We can do it. 4 MR. LUCAS: You know, this isn't an easy job. 5 Ι 6 don't know if you've heard that. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: All right. So we will 7 slide the Item Number 3 on the agenda, the work session, we 8 will side that to next month's Zoning meeting, regularly 9 10 scheduled Zoning meeting. We will pick that up then because this, we definitely have some more discussion to do on that 11 12 and we've got some more work to do, so we will move that to next month. 13 14 The next item on the agenda is Item Number 4, approval of the minutes of the May 4th Zoning Commission 15 meeting. Can I get a motion? 16 17 MS. GARCAR: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion to 18 approve the May 4th minutes. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: 19 Whoa. MS. GARCAR: For the Zoning Commission for May 4, 20 21 2021. 22 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: You are jumping in. Good 23 for you. 24 MS. GARCAR: As written. 25 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: As written, no less. 26 MS. GARCAR: Yes. 27 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Do I have a second? 28 MR. SCHINDLER: Second. 29 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Seconded. All in favor say 30 Opposed? Any abstentions? aye.

(Four aye votes, no nay votes.) 1 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Motion carries. 2 The minutes are approved. 3 Item Number 5, Correspondence Report by the Zoning 4 Commission members. Hiram, any comments? 5 MR. REPPERT: I've had no contact whatsoever. 6 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Ashley? MS. GARCAR: None. 8 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Frank? 9 10 MR. SCHINDLER: None, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: No. 11 I had a couple phone calls regarding the issue that 12 that was in front of us this evening but nothing of any major 13 consequence, just a couple residents calling up to ask a few 14 questions, voice a couple concerns but nothing other than 15 that. So other than that, nothing for me. 16 Audience participation, they all left. They had 17 their participation for tonight. I guess they got their, they 18 19 got their fill. 20 Morgan, did you want to say something? MR. McINTOSH: I don't think I am technically 21 22 audience. 23 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: All right. The next meeting 24 of the Zoning Commission will be July 6th. 25 MR. REPPERT: Geez, July. 26 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: And if there is nothing new 27 that we can discuss or any additional things to be put on the 28 agenda, we will --29 MR. REPPERT: Gavel it. 30 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: We will adjourn the meeting.

1	Thanks,	everybody.	Appred	ciate vou	ir ha:	rd efforts		
2	,					adjourned	10:24	р.т.)
3		(,				 	Γ,
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								

1	STATE OF OHIO)
2) CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA)
3 4	I, Melinda A. Melton, Registered Professional Reporter, a notary public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that, to
5	the best of my ability, the foregoing proceeding extension reduced by me to stenotype shorthand, subsequently
6	transcribed into typewritten manuscript; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of said
7	proceedings so taken as aforesaid.
8	I do further certify that this proceeding took place at the time and place as specified in the foregoing caption and extension completed without adjournment.
9	I do further certify that I am not a friend,
10	relative, or counsel for any party or otherwise interested in the outcome of these proceedings.
11 12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office this 28th day of June 2021.
12	and alliked my sear of office chirs zoon day of oune zozi.
14	
15	
16	Melinda A. Melton Melinda A. Melton
17	Registered Professional Reporter
18	Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio
19	My Commission Expires:
20	February 4, 2023
21	PARE OF OHIGH
22	The of
23	My Comm. Expires Feb. 4, 2023
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	

Г