## CONCORD TOWNSHIP ZONING COMMISSION LAKE COUNTY, OHIO REGULAR MEETING

Meeting held via YouTube Live Streaming

Concord Town Hall 7229 Ravenna Road Concord, Ohio 44077

December 7, 2021 7:00 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Zoning Commission members present:

Andy Lingenfelter, Chairman Rich Iafelice, Vice Chairman Frank Schindler, Member Hiram Reppert, Member Rich Peterson, Member

Also Present:

Heather Freeman, Planning & Zoning Director/Zoning
Inspector
Abigail Bell, Esq., Legal Counsel

Melton Reporting
11668 Girdled Road
Concord, Ohio 44077
(440) 946-1350

7:00 p.m.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Good evening. I would like to call this Concord Township Zoning Commission meeting to order, Tuesday, December 7th. We have nothing on the agenda for Old Business but we do have a couple of items for New Business. I guess we will get right into it here.

We'll start off with Item Number 1 on the agenda, which is a work session for potential zoning text amendments. I know we had a couple of conversations last meeting about a couple of items that we discussed and, Heather, you were going to do a little bit of homework for us and pull some information. It looks like you were able to do that.

And then we also have a draft of some environmental restoration plan information. I think that was produced by the -- Was that done by Soil and Water?

MR. REPPERT: Soil and Water.

MS. FREEMAN: Correct.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah. So we've got that.

And then we are looking at some issues with regards to legislation and zoning text amendments with regards to medical marijuana dispensaries and/or processors for the township as to what, which direction we would like to go on that. We, at the last meeting, we discussed that maybe it would be prudent to take a more proactive approach to this and kind of get started on it than to be caught in a reactive situation where all of the sudden we're thrust with making a decision on allowing, you know, before something gets to the point where we've got applications or potential applicants that want to move into the township and we don't really have any accommodations set up for that. So we thought that it might

be a good idea to tackle this now and maybe start to have some discussions.

Were you -- I see you put together some information here. Heather, would you like to give us a little review of what you put together for us?

MS. FREEMAN: Sure, Mr. Chairman. Did you want to start with the dispensaries?

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Sure

MS. FREEMAN: Okay. Then we can look at the environmental restoration plan stuff.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yep.

MS. FREEMAN: Okay. So in your packets, what I provided to you was just a couple sections of the Ohio Revised Code that gives the townships the authority to even adopt regulations in regard to regulating cultivators, processors and retail dispensaries. I know we talked more specifically about the retail aspect of it last month, so that's a little bit more what I focused on.

But just for some background information, I provided to you, also, the state already has set up regulations that highly controls these type of uses, like the retail component, including but not limited to like locations, as far as how close they could be to some of these particular uses, such as churches, public parks, libraries, playgrounds and schools. So you can see that legislation there. They cannot be within 500 feet of any of those uses, and it's my understanding they measure that from parcel boundary to parcel boundary.

MR. REPPERT: I like how you put the 500 feet on the map.

MS. FREEMAN: Yeah.

MR. REPPERT: I thought that was interesting.

MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. So what I tried to do was, on the spreadsheet that I provided you that's blue and white, the table, I went through like all of Concord's assets, quote, unquote, that meet the distance requirements, like where are the churches, the parks, the playgrounds, the schools, to kind of get an idea of where could they go if we did, you know, with the current zoning and with our current assets in place.

And then I took the Zoning Map here and I thought I would just, I overlaid, I did a 500 foot buffer from the boundary of each of these parcels that contain one of those uses that you would have to be 500 feet away from. So that's kind of what you are seeing mapped here. And underneath it is still the zoning district, the Zoning Map.

So I guess if you look at kind of where we're sitting right now at Town Hall, there really, you know, the Town Hall Commons District, which is the dark brown, you would not be able to locate any of those businesses here because they fall within 500 feet of the church either here at the corner or we've got the Greenway Corridor that does count as a park.

MR. REPPERT: A park.

MS. FREEMAN: A public park.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right.

MS. FREEMAN: So you can kind of look at it, you know. And then if you look over on Crile Road, you will see those parcels that are kind of encompassing the blue. Those would not be allowed based on the state's requirements. So that, pretty much, includes Grist Mill Village. And that's really because like the Goddard School is tucked away right

behind there, so that is kind of where you're measuring out that 500 feet from. They own two lots there.

So it does somewhat limit it based on the fact that we have schools and these parklands but there still are several areas, including like on Capital and Auburn and all of these other commercial districts. Basically, anywhere that we allow retail under our current definition of retail, a medical marijuana dispensary would be permitted under our current definition.

So I did include for your benefit a couple pages of Section 22, which is the Commercial Industrial district regulations, so you could take a look at that. If you turn to page 22.4, and if you look under the retail and personal services, you could see that retail is permitted within the BX district, the Gateway Business, and the B-1 Restricted Retail. So as it stands right now, under our current definition of retail, these uses could locate within those districts, assuming that they're --

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Now, did we determine, did we determine that it is considered retail? Because I know there was some, kind of, we had a discussion on that.

MS. FREEMAN: Thank you, yeah. I did reach out to Stephanie Landgraf, with Wiles and Richards, who indicated to me that, yes, most, you know, the municipalities or everybody are looking at these as they fall under their definition of retail. So she did confirm that, based on our current definition of retail, this use would fall under that.

And I have that with me. Let's see. I can pull out that definition and just read that to you real quick: "An establishment engaged in the selling of goods or merchandise

within the enclosed building to the general public for personal or household consumption which is open to the general public during regular business hours and which has display areas that are designed and laid out to attract the general public." So this would fall within there as goods or merchandise that is available.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: See, that's the -- My thought process is, on that, is that it's not retail because it's not open to the general public because it's not, right now in Ohio, it's not recreational. Now, if it was recreational, then I think that, then I think it would fit perfectly under a retail establishment because recreational would be open to the general public. As such, it's, you have to have a card issued by the state. If you don't have a card issued by the state, you can't go in there -- or you can go in but you can't do anything. You can't purchase anything. would make you leave. I mean, unless you have a, unless you have a card issued by the state, you know, then you can't go in and just, somebody just can't walk in off the street and make a purchase. You can't. It's against the law. would be -- That's the kind of thing that, you know, I'm not real sure that it actually really falls under retail, you know, because of that.

I know some other people, some other municipalities or areas or locations may have a looser definition of retail but when you talk about access to the general public, the general public does not have access to a, to a dispensary. I did a little bit of research on this myself, you know.

MS. FREEMAN: Right. Well, when I asked Stephanie, too, I mean, she looked at our definition. So I don't know if

Abby would want to add to that but I think --

MS. BELL: I wouldn't give an opinion different than Stephanie's.

MS. FREEMAN: Right. So, and I did look at, I tried to look and see what some of the other townships in Lake County have done in regards to this. None of them had written anything into their zoning to address this use specifically. It was either Leroy or Madison Township that just recently passed a resolution from the Trustees putting a moratorium on the use because they were, more than likely, getting inquiries and didn't have anything on the books yet that they were comfortable with.

So I looked at City of Mentor. They're not regulating it, so it would be allowed under their definition of retail.

The City of Willoughby, they did something unique.

They excepted it out of their definition of retail. They

basically had a very similar definition like we did but they

added in the caveat "except for marijuana products" or

something like that. So they kind of eliminated that use from

their definition of retail as a way to regulate it.

MR. REPPERT: So does Willoughby have something on the books for restrictions or to address it because you said "except for marijuana products."

MS. FREEMAN: So that would not --

MR. REPPERT: Did they pick it up someplace else?

MS. FREEMAN: No, I didn't see anything else where they addressed it.

MR. REPPERT: Okay.

MS. FREEMAN: No, it was just within the definition

of retail.

MR. SCHINDLER: How does, if at all, conditional use permit fall into anything on this?

MS. FREEMAN: Well, I mean, if it was something that the Board felt that we wanted to regulate, I mean, you could entertain setting it up as a conditional use permit or a permitted use. And if you wanted to do a conditional use, obviously, you'd want to come up with some specific standards that you would want them to comply with. That way, you could review it. I guess the BZA would review it on a case-by-case kind of basis as they came in.

MR. SCHINDLER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So where, you know, when you look at the Table of Uses, you know, when I was looking through the Table of Uses, I was trying to figure out where it would fit. You know, would it fit under, like, would it fit under retail and personal services? I don't, personally, I don't think it fits under retail and personal services, so then you drop from there. It's not trade business. It's not, well, entertainment or recreation, but that doesn't -- I think it fails the sniff test there. I mean, the only other thing I could see would be like accessory uses.

MR. REPPERT: How about garden or nursery?

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right.

MS. FREEMAN: Well, I would say, I mean, it currently, as it stands right now, the law department has said that it fits, this use falls under our retail. So it's being treated as a retail use. If you wanted to regulate it separately than any other kind of retail store, then I think you need to then define it and then put it in, you know, list

it as a specific use on the table and then say where it could go, in which districts and you set it up as a permitted or conditionally permitted use.

MR. IAFELICE: Could I ask? So, Heather, you explained that Willoughby took it out of the definition.

MS. FREEMAN: Right.

MR. IAFELICE: Ergo, they banned it?

MS. FREEMAN: That's my interpretation of it.

MR. IAFELICE: Okay.

MS. FREEMAN: Therefore, they are not allowing it, yeah.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Well, and according to the, in perusing the Ohio Revised Code, the first section under, is that, "Limitations on Number of Cultivators, Processors or Retail Dispensaries by Locality," it says that we have the authority to adopt a resolution to prohibit. So we could prohibit, you know, just nix the whole thing.

MS. FREEMAN: Right.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: If we felt that was the right way to go. So is there any thought on the Board with regards to that?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  PETERSON: Why would we want this in Concord? It's a question.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: That's, I don't know.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah. I'd be in favor of prohibiting, myself. If they need this, they can go to Mentor or Painesville or whatever. I don't think it's something we'd want in the township, personally, but that's my opinion.

MR. SCHINDLER: I worry about when they say, quote, it's for recreational use. Does that mean if these places

that we allow in the township, for them recreational could be, 1 I would see maybe them, in summertime, sitting outside around 2 tables and stuff smoking it while the public is all walking 3 around and everything. I don't know if we'd want that to take I know I wouldn't. You'd be smelling that stuff in 5 the air as you're walking around. At least --6 MR. REPPERT: Well, you've got to be 500 feet away. 7 If the wind is blowing the right way, you're all right. 8 it's not --9 10 MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. REPPERT: But, I mean, 500 feet away, you're not 11 going to be walking right next to them. 12 MR. SCHINDLER: Well, you would hope that's the case 13 but it would depend how many people might be out there, for 14 example, you know? 15 MR. PETERSON: Yeah. 16 MR. SCHINDLER: You get some of these people, they 17 have parties and there's going to be dozens of people out 18 19 there all smoking, having their good ole time and that could 20 be a lot of smoke. 21 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Well, if it becomes 22 recreational, there is not a whole hell of a lot you are going 23 to be able to do about that. 24 MR. SCHINDLER: That's right. That's what I mean. 25 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: And from what I understand, there is legislation. 26 27 MR. PETERSON: It's a matter of time. 28 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: If it's coming for Ohio to 29 take it from a medicinal dispensary usage to a --

MR. REPPERT: Recreational.

30

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: -- to recreational. 1 MR. SCHINDLER: Right. 2 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: And if it's recreational, 3 then I don't know that there is really much we can do about it at that point. So would there be? 5 MS. FREEMAN: We don't know yet. I think it's too 6 7 early to speculate. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: If it becomes recreational, 8 then it basically is just a retail use. 9 10 MR. PETERSON: Like cigarettes. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. 11 12 MR. PETERSON: You could ban it from indoors. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I am saying as far as, you 13 know, where they can locate or what they can do. 14 MR. PETERSON: True. 15 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: There probably will still be 16 some things with regard to schools and libraries and public 17 18 parks and things like that but I mean, outside of that, I 19 mean, you know. 20 MS. FREEMAN: We would have to wait to see if they 21 give the authority to the municipalities to regulate that, 22 right? I think, but today the only thing we can do is the 23 medicinal. 24 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. What we do know is 25 what's the law today. 26 MS. FREEMAN: Correct. 27 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Does anybody else have any 28 thoughts on it? Hiram? 29 MR. REPPERT: The more I hear on the discussion, the 30 more I am saying let's hold off because it's only medicinal

now. Well, I just changed my mind. Yeah, let's address it 1 because I think it's around the corner. I do. Now, that 2 doesn't mean anybody is going to be flooding into Concord 3 Township to put up a place. But why not? Why not put something on the books? 5 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So would you be in favor of 6 7 allowing but just defining where or would you be in favor of prohibiting? 8 MR. REPPERT: 9 Allowing. 10 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Frank, you are in favor of prohibiting? 11

MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I know, Rich, you said --

MR. PETERSON: Prohibit, my opinion.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Rich, do you have any, Iafelice, do you have any thoughts?

MR. IAFELICE: Yes, yes. I will go back to Stephanie's advice. You started, Heather, by explaining she interpreted retail establishments within an enclosed building and those permitted uses are in three or four districts.

MS. FREEMAN: Correct.

MR. IAFELICE: So the map and the buffer is very helpful to me. Recognizing that those prime areas, development, commercial, primarily commercial, Business Interchange, Gateway Business and Capital districts are potential sites because they meet the criteria. And I don't think that is good planning for the township to expose those areas to medical marijuana retail.

MS. FREEMAN: Thank you. I forgot to say Capital district when I first was talking about those. Yeah, thank

you.

MR. IAFELICE: Capital, yeah, Capital, restricted retail, it just, it doesn't fit the character of the areas there that are already developed as businesses and retail.

And so I would like to see us prohibit it, based on that reasoning.

MR. REPPERT: Andy, what do you think?

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I am kind of torn, you know, between prohibiting and controlling where it can go. So I tend to be, you know, I tend to -- I probably would feel, I probably would feel okay about if we were to kind of ratchet down where it, you know, define where it could go as a permitted use versus outright prohibition but, I mean, that's my thought. So --

MR. REPPERT: Now, would everybody's opinion change if it was recreational use approved by the state first and then with us?

MR. PETERSON: I don't think we'd have a choice then. It's legal.

MR. REPPERT: We could still prohibit it.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Well, what you could do is you could just, you could --

MR. IAFELICE: Restrict it location.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: That's why my thought was, to narrow down the location. That way, if it does -- Even if we don't get any interest from a dispensary standpoint from a medical basis, if it becomes, if it becomes recreational, then we will have a kind of predefined area where we would, you know, want it to be. You know what I am saying?

MR. PETERSON: I don't know how you can do that

because it would be like alcohol, right? It would be controlled probably a similar way. You could sell it retail at a drug store, you could sell it at a gas station. You could sell it at a grocery store.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I don't know.

MR. PETERSON: I mean, if it's like alcohol.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I know I was in Michigan and I think in Michigan it's recreational and they have facilities. There is facilities.

MR. PETERSON: Does it have to be like a state store like liquor?

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, there is facilities.

I don't think they're dual. I don't think they're selling it out of other retail establishments, you know, like Giant Eagle. Giant Eagle has a liquor store in it.

MR. PETERSON: True.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I don't think, in Michigan, that, you know, that a Giant Eagle would have a marijuana dispensary. I think they're stand-alone, at least what I saw. You know, what I saw, there were stand-alone facilities that were dispensaries. I was in a couple about a month, a couple, about a month ago I was in Sterling Heights and Madison Heights and I was going to visit a client and I was on a major thoroughfare and there was a couple dispensaries, you know, a couple facilities on that thoroughfare and they were clearly marked and they were, you know, establishments but they were stand-alone facilities. They were, it was a building, you know, that was in and of itself. It's not, it wasn't a part of like a strip plaza or anything like that. It was a facility, you know.

MR. PETERSON: Well, if we prohibited it now, we 1 could always -- We'd have to revisit it if it became legal, 2 right? So we would have to change at that point in time. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: How would that work? that be, would that be acceptable, Counselor? 5 MS. BELL: Yeah. Just, you don't revisit it. 6 7 portion just wouldn't, you know, ever hold water anymore. Wе would want to take it off but --8 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Do we expose ourselves from 9 10 a prohibition standpoint? MS. BELL: No, there is no harm in it. 11 12 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. MS. BELL: If that's the course you want to take 13 14 now. It just, who knows what the future is going to hold and how the law ends up changing as far as medical marijuana and 15 marijuana in general. So I don't know. We'll just have to 16 17 see. 18 MR. SCHINDLER: Well, if we are going to pick a 19 spot, I would just want to pick one spot, someplace neutral 20 around, away from everything, if at all possible, distance 21 wise if possible, and that would be the only, the only place 22 to put it. I think we'd have better control over it, for one 23 thing, in a sense. 24 MR. REPPERT: Well, I am sure they're going to need 25 a license from the state, aren't they? 26 MR. PETERSON: I would think. 27 MR. REPPERT: I would assume so, just like liquor. 28 MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah, I would think so. 29 MR. PETERSON: I would assume. 30 MR. REPPERT: So there is the control, to some

extent, I quess. 1 MS. FREEMAN: Can I just add, I quess, if you were 2 going to try to, if you were going down the route to try to 3 pick a district or a place, maybe kind of look at the purpose statements for those different districts that we currently 5 allow retail in and see if one of those kind of sounds like it 6 7 fits better. MR. PETERSON: Currently, it's medical, so why 8 wouldn't it go in the medical district if that's what it is? 9 10 MR. SCHINDLER: Like where the hospital is? MR. PETERSON: Well, yeah, I mean --11 12 MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. MR. PETERSON: In the Gateway Health district. 13 MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. 14 MR. PETERSON: Right? Because it's considered 15 16 medical only. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. Well, I think it's 17 kind of benign over there and it would be benign in that area, 18 19 too. 20 MR. PETERSON: Yeah, there's nothing over there. 21 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: From the standpoint of, you 22 know, if we were to put it in there, it's kind of a dedicated, 23 you know, there is not a whole lot, there's not a whole lot of 24 other areas in the township that would fit that. I was --25 That's funny you mention that because I was thinking that. 26 Why not the health district? 27 MR. PETERSON: Well, considering that it's labeled "medical marijuana." 28 29 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. Since it's a 30 dispensary and medical usage, I thought that that might not be

a bad location. And there is -- Would there be room there for 1 that, Heather? If somebody wanted to put a dispensary in 2 there, would there be, is there enough space in that area or 3 is it all pretty much --MS. FREEMAN: Are you talking about the, like, the 5 Gateway business like where the hospital is? 6 7 MR. IAFELICE: Gateway Health. MS. FREEMAN: Oh, Gateway Health? 8 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, Gateway Health. 9 10 MS. FREEMAN: Oh, Gateway Health, we don't even permit any residential --11 12 MR. PETERSON: Gateway Health is even smaller because it's just those. 13 MS. FREEMAN: -- or don't permit retail. 14 MR. PETERSON: That really restricts it. 15 MS. FREEMAN: Hold on. 16 MR. PETERSON: Gateway Health is very restrictive. 17 There is only two little areas. 18 19 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. Basically, in order to do 20 anything under Gateway Health, you have to request a rezone. 21 There is no vacant land currently zoned Gateway Health. 22 don't know that anyone would -- They'd have to go through a, 23 you know, a minimum 90-day rezone process to even get to 24 Gateway Health and then that might be enough disincentive 25 right there for someone to not even move forward with it 26 because of just the lengthy process that they would have to 27 get through. And then is it permitted or is it conditionally 28 permitted, and then going through that process? 29 So it would be extremely difficult because the only 30 two pieces of property that are Gateway Health right now is

the site where Concord Village Skilled Nursing facility is on Capital Parkway and then the assisted living facility on Auburn.

MR. PETERSON: Okay.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{MS.}}$  FREEMAN: When you look at Gateway Business and the purpose of that --

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, it's all down Auburn.

MS. FREEMAN: Personal, it's a district that offers a balance of personal services, office and retail uses along major thoroughfare while supporting surrounding businesses and local residents, and then it talks about the design and grouping. So I don't know if it fits that district either. To be honest, these sites probably want to fit into places like Crile Crossing.

MR. IAFELICE: Yes, yeah.

MS. FREEMAN: Grist Mill Village. They just want to sneak in a 2 to 3 thousand square foot facility and, you know, move in and be done.

There are a lot of extensive regulations that they have to comply with as far as security with the state and having a security system and there is times that they are allowed to be open and when they have to be closed. I don't think -- I didn't print any of that stuff out for you but they have a lot of extensive additional regulations that they have to comply with for the state as well. It tries to, I think, offset any potential negative impacts that these kinds of uses may bring to the area.

But, obviously, well, I know there is one in Painesville Township and, obviously, it is approved for medicinal use, which means there are medical benefits to

having these and with the hospital close by and, you know, our aging population, I would think that there would be some demand from Concord Township residents to potentially frequent these kind of places.

So I don't know that you would put it in a district Gateway Health. That would really be extremely difficult to even get anything approved under that district.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So you're thinking Gateway Business?

MS. FREEMAN: Well, I don't know. I mean, I think that's a better option than the Gateway Health but it does open it up to a lot more potential of them being there if you don't set additional restrictions on there. If you look at Gateway Health right now, there is really nothing that -- there's no park, schools or playgrounds that are going to come into play. So --

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Well, that's what I like about the Gateway Health.

MS. FREEMAN: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Either the Gateway Health or the Gateway Business because it's kind of an isolated area.

MS. FREEMAN: Yeah.

MR. PETERSON: It would be next to the Community Center though or could be, anyhow.

MS. FREEMAN: And then if you look --

MR. PETERSON: It's a pretty fitting image.

MS. FREEMAN: Kind of jumping around a little, like under B-1 Restricted Retail, I mean, pretty much, Grist Mill Village is out currently while the Goddard School is still there. If something were to ever happen to the Goddard School

then that could change, you know.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. Yeah, that Goddard School takes up a pretty big chunk there with the 500 foot buffer.

MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. So there is a little bit, it's all offices right now with the other, on Auburn Road to the north of that, and where Redhawk is, that's the other lot that's B-1 right there.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right.

MS. FREEMAN: And then other than that, I mean, even if you go over to Concord Plaza by 84 and Johnnycake there, those are out, too, just because of the proximity of the schools --

MR. PETERSON: The church, the school and the church.

MS. FREEMAN: -- and the churches that are over there. So that's not really viable over on that side of the township. And then you're basically looking at the corners of the intersection of Girdled and Ravenna Road. We know that that intersection currently has, is somewhat limited, has some challenges as far as being developed due to current lack of access to sanitary sewer. But it's possible, I guess, but I don't know that they would want to locate there off the main, you know, corridor.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah. I think, if we were going to look at, I think that the one that probably, when looking at the Zoning Map, without the big buffers anywhere, I think probably the best area would be like the Gateway Business, you know, because it's far enough away from Auburn Career Center. It's far enough away, you know --

MS. FREEMAN: Well, there is some a little bit south 1 there close. 2 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right, on the other side, 3 yeah, on the other side of the Capital Parkway, a little piece. 5 MR. SCHINDLER: Well, should we pick a spot or table 6 7 it for a while, give it more thought? CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: What's the --8 MR. IAFELICE: Any action we take would be a 9 10 recommendation to the Board of Trustees. We can't --CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. 11 12 MR. SCHINDLER: Right, yeah. MS. FREEMAN: We would have to come up with the 13 14 right language and come back next month and flush it out more. MR. IAFELICE: Yep. 15 16 MR. SCHINDLER: Right. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Well, any additional 17 opinions on this as to location if we were going to -- I know 18 19 we've got three members have voiced a position of just flat out prohibiting. 20 21 MR. PETERSON: Well, it's clear to me that there is 22 just nowhere that it fits. It just doesn't fit in Concord. 23 MR. IAFELICE: That's kind of what I am saying. 24 MR. PETERSON: So if it doesn't fit, why not 25 prohibit it for now and then see what happens if the state law 26 is changed? We don't lose anything by doing that and we sort 27 of protect ourselves from where it might end up. 28 MR. SCHINDLER: And the state allows us to do it. 29 MR. PETERSON: Yeah, as long as it's legal to

30

prohibit under the state.

```
MR. SCHINDLER: So we wont' get any static, right?
1
               MR. PETERSON:
                              Right.
2
                               The state will be supportive.
               MR. SCHINDLER:
3
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So then how would that work?
    If we were to put that language in, where would that go?
5
               MS. FREEMAN: Well, I probably would want to confer
6
    with legal on that. There is probably a couple ways we could
7
    do it.
8
               MS. BELL: Yeah, we can work together and see what
9
10
    is --
               MS. FREEMAN: If there is a change in the definition
11
    similar to what Willoughby did --
12
13
               MS. BELL: Right, what Willoughby did.
14
               MS. FREEMAN: -- or some other language.
               MS. BELL: If it's a whole new resolution, we can
15
16
    see.
               MS. FREEMAN: Okay.
17
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Now, so then if we did that,
18
19
    would there be, would there be a public hearing in that
20
    process or would --
21
               MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, it would still be a text
22
    amendment. Yeah, we'd still have to go through the amendment
23
    process, yeah.
24
               MR. REPPERT: Gateway, Gateway Business on Wellness
25
    Way, what more do you want, right?
26
               MR. SCHINDLER: On Wellness Way.
27
               MR. IAFELICE: Keep trying.
28
               MR. REPPERT: Go get some marijuana and be well on
    your way.
29
30
               MR. SCHINDLER: Wellness Way.
```

MR. REPPERT: It fits. 1 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Ironically. 2 That's cool. MR. SCHINDLER: 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So what is the Board's pleasure at this point on this? Do you want to go with 5 prohibition and then let Heather work on some language with 6 legal as to where, how we would do that? 7 MR. PETERSON: I think that's my preference. 8 MR. SCHINDLER: I agree. 9 10 MR. REPPERT: I am open. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, I am, too. I'm not, I 11 don't feel strongly one way or another. 12 13 MR. REPPERT: I am not dead set against it. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, I'm not dead set 14 against it but I am not, I am not, you know, a hundred percent 15 in favor either. So I, you know, that's kind of part of what 16 my thought process is. I just don't know that it necessarily 17 18 fits in Concord but if it's coming and there is inquiries or there is opportunities and things like that happen, I think we 19 20 would be better off addressing it than just kind of kicking a 21 can down the road. 22 MR. REPPERT: Well, if you look at it that way, if 23 it becomes law in Ohio --24 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: For recreational. MR. REPPERT: For recreational. That's not really a 25 26 big step but it's something that we don't know much about. 27 And then if we have an applicant in Concord Township, that's a 28 big leap, really, right there. So I don't think we would be 29 hurting ourselves by -- If it becomes recreational, then maybe

we could come back to the table and think about it some more.

30

MR. PETERSON: I think we'd have to, yeah.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  FREEMAN: We will just have to follow that closely and see how it moves.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right.

MS. FREEMAN: And stay on top of that to see how the state's going to regulate it and what kind of authority they're going to give us to --

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right.

MR. REPPERT: Why don't we try that.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: All right.

MR. REPPERT: It's a good idea.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So why don't you work with legal then on some language and where we would put that into the Resolution from a prohibition standpoint and then kind of go, we'll go from there.

MR. REPPERT: We'll see what kind of response we get from the public hearing.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Well, you know, again, I was thinking about that when I was pulling into the parking lot.

I was thinking, now, here, this is a prime example where I am sure there is some pretty strong opinions out there in the community. I am sure there are.

MR. REPPERT: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I can't imagine that there aren't strong opinions on this. And it's just, it's befuddling that there is no, there is like no interest, you know. But I can guarantee you, if there is an application and there is -- and then all of the sudden it becomes a reality, there is going to be a lot of interest. But right now, I mean, this is what I am talking about when I look to the

community for some guidance or some input, you know, this would be the time to do it. If you've got some thoughts from a community standpoint, I think now would be a good time to voice your opinions instead of waiting until we get all way to the point of a public hearing and then it becomes very difficult to kind of turn the ship. You know, once we set this course, it becomes difficult to start making major, you know, accommodations or major changes, you know.

So it would be nice if there is an interest in the community on this, I would like to get some feedback. I would like to hear some people's thoughts and opinions on this before we get too far down the road.

MR. SCHINDLER: Well, Andy, you know, when we worked together on the Comprehensive Plan, we did a survey.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right.

MR. SCHINDLER: To get input from the community. So that, I think something like this we should do the same thing and see what kind of response we get. I know medical has been proven to be very helpful, especially to people that have serious illnesses. It's been found to help them ease their pain and I can understand that. But recreational, I still got mixed emotions about that.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right.

MR. SCHINDLER: But I would like to find out what the public has to say.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, I would be interested, you know, and I encourage anyone out there that is watching or paying attention to what's going on, I mean, if you've got some opinions, I mean, you can submit them electronically, you can send email, you can stop by the Town Hall and drop

something off or whatever. But I would appreciate, you know, some input. It would be nice to have that. It would help us in our process. So --

MR. SCHINDLER: And a lot of people think among themselves and start a petition drive.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right.

MR. SCHINDLER: Going around getting signatures, so it might go that far. If it does, that's fine.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. So you will work on prohibition language with legal and then you will have some stuff for us to review at the next meeting.

MS. FREEMAN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Which, strangely enough, is going to be 2022. Hard to believe.

Okay. So now let's move on to the environmental restoration information that we've been provided. Heather, do you want to give us a little bit of background on this and what we have here.

MS. FREEMAN: Okay, sure. First of all, this evening in front of your seats just for your reference is the version of the updated RCD text the Trustees passed. They did approve all of your recommendations on all the amendments, just so you know. These will become effective on December 17. But I just brought this tonight so we can kind of maybe refer back to it. We will still mail you out the replacement pages for your binders, so don't worry about that.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, I got that. You sent that, right? Didn't we get some replacements?

MS. FREEMAN: That was, if you received anything, that was with the outside dining.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Ah, correct. 1 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. You will be getting something 2 soon about this. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: With this, okay. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. And there will be holes punched 5 in there so you can just put them right in your books. 6 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right, okay. 7 MS. FREEMAN: But, you know, while you were going 8 through all these original amendments that will now be 9 10 effective later this month, we were also talking about like one of the recommendations in the Comp Plan was the 11 environmental restoration plan and what if a new RCD project 13 comes in where the property has already been disturbed, maybe it was old farm fields or something else like that? 14 The Soil and Water folks had recommended that we 15 16 request people submit this restoration plan. So we finally got some feedback due to summers are crazy and vacations and 17 18 all that stuff. But I did get some text here from Dan, at 19 Soil and Water, and his thoughts on what those restoration plan components should be. And even when we were looking at 20 21 this back in August, earlier this year, we kind of had some 22 placeholder things of where we might even put this in. 23 So if you, on the new section that I gave you that's 24 going to be effective, if you turn to page 16.18. 25 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: 16 what? 26 MR. PETERSON: 18. 27 MS. FREEMAN: 16.18. 28 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: 16.18? 29 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay.

30

MS. FREEMAN: On the bottom, that Subsection (e), it's actually 16.24(C)(e) where we talk about any land or any area within the designated open space that is to be preserved in its natural state. And then we talk about how the, if it, however, if it is disturbed during construction, which it could happen, you know -- open space can't always be completely protected during construction -- that we would want them to submit this landscape plan.

Well, so maybe with this language that we're looking at tonight, we would remove some of this text and replace it with, rather than a landscape plan, a restoration plan. And then there is also -- And that's just, you know, restoring areas that were disturbed during construction. But then there is another component of, you're submitting a project where the open space is already disturbed. What is your intentions on how you're going to repair that and what's the open space going to be? And that's what I feel like this language does a really good job of asking the developer to do and what to submit.

So I was thinking that, if we were going to adopt something like this, this would be a new section under 16.14 -- 16.24 and then we would modify this existing language here, too, to reference it.

But, basically, you can read it right here where we're asking them to submit this restoration plan along with their preliminary plan. So when they come in and they're requesting their rezone and they're requesting your approval on the preliminary plan, you can also see this restoration plan. What is their intentions? What are they going to do with the open space?

And the criteria that is listed here basically asking them to replicate, expand and enhance the natural areas using native species, and then it goes into that it should be prepared by a professional who is qualified to do that plan, and provide an actual plan drawn to scale that we can evaluate to determine whether or not we want to approve it, not only this plan but also a narrative of how the preparer is qualified to be provided to the township, why they selected the restoration habitat or natural cover type for this project, why is it appropriate. And then they also pointed to some resources that they could use for plant selection, really focusing on Ohio's native plants, so there is a source there but they could also use other sources.

We want the plan to have an explanation, a narrative about what type of plant and tree species selections and what is the long-term maintenance plan for that proposed open space? So how are they going to maintain it over the long run? And then just kind of -- It's a little bit redundant here. You submit it with the development plan. I think we clean that up a little bit and say with the preliminary and probably the final plan. We would want to see some final tweaks on that, too, with the final development plan approval.

MR. REPPERT: What is an SWP3 calc?

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Stormwater.

MS. FREEMAN: Stormwater pollution plan.

MR. REPPERT: Pollution plan, okay. I figured out what the SW was but I didn't know what the P stood for.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: You're two-thirds of the way there.

MR. REPPERT: We ought to define that.

MS. FREEMAN: That's like a Soil and Water thing 1 because that -- I'm sorry? 2 MR. REPPERT: We ought to define that in here. 3 MS. FREEMAN: We could spell it out rather than using the acronym, yeah. 5 Okay, that works. MR. REPPERT: 6 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, so there is no question. 7 also gives the township the right to ask the state and the 8 county to help review it on behalf of us to make sure, to 9 10 assist in the review and the evaluation of it. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. 11 12 MS. FREEMAN: And in Number 6 here, we do already kind of have some language but it wouldn't hurt to rehash it 13 here. I think that just make, ensuring that they know that 14

MS. FREEMAN: And in Number 6 here, we do already kind of have some language but it wouldn't hurt to rehash it here. I think that just make, ensuring that they know that this open space will be deed restricted from any future development, any further development than what was allowed within the restoration plan. And then, again, really honing in on -- And I know, in our latest update, we said we strongly encourage the open space to be protected by a conservation easement. And what Soil and Water is, again, kind of echoing that, whenever possible, they would really like to see that open space protected with a conservation easement with a public agency.

MR. SCHINDLER: I read through this a couple times. I thought it was very comprehensive, maybe a couple tweaks someplace.

MS. FREEMAN: Yeah.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  SCHINDLER: But this is really laid out nice, I think.

MS. FREEMAN: I think it is, too, and it gives them

a lot of flexibility. They didn't come in and say it has to be this way or that way. We're not asking someone to come in and reforest everything. We are leaving that kind of open to them. What do you think, and why are you choosing that, and, you know, giving them some flexibility.

 $\hbox{ CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER:} \quad \hbox{This was what I was hoping} \\ \hbox{to get like about six months ago.} \\$ 

MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, yeah, that's what we were all, yeah, yeah.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: It was this. But this, I think that this would have been very helpful when we were doing this, and we had asked for it several times and we got, you know, crickets. But this turned out to be, this is a nice document that would have been nice to have before we did all this work and then it would have been, I think we could have done a lot with this and incorporated it in easily.

MS. FREEMAN: Well, I think it will be easy to still add in.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I do, too, but it's just, you know, kind of, cart meet horse.

MS. FREEMAN: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: But, yeah, I thought it was very, there is a little bit of redundancy in there but I think, for the most part, I think it's pretty well, it's a nice little outline, a good framework for this. So --

MS. FREEMAN: I think we would probably want to have a little bit, a better understanding, you know, what is previously disturbed lands? I know that might come up as a question and I don't know that I have that answer tonight but, I mean, it's easy to set an example of old farm fields, I

```
mean, obviously, that was disturbed. There is probably old
1
    tiles down in the ground for drainage and things like that and
2
    you would want that restored back to some more of a natural
3
    state. But I am trying to think, what else would constitute
    disturbed land?
5
               MR. PETERSON: It could be a gas well, an old gas
6
7
    well in a field, removing that, right?
               MS. FREEMAN: Oh, okay, yeah.
8
               MR. PETERSON: We have that in our neighborhood.
9
10
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Is this a, is this a typo on
    Number 6 at the last sentence, "permeant"? Should that be --
11
12
               MS. FREEMAN: "Permanent," yeah, sorry.
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: No. I am thinking, you
13
    know, it looked like it should be "permanent."
14
               MS. FREEMAN: Yeah.
15
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. I just want to make
16
17
    sure.
18
               MS. FREEMAN: Thank you.
19
               MR. REPPERT: I glanced right over that, didn't even
    see it.
20
21
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Gotcha.
22
               MR. REPPERT: Didn't even see it.
23
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Gotcha. See, you're not the
24
    only wordsmith in the crew up here.
25
               MR. REPPERT: That's right.
26
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Just so you know.
27
               MS. FREEMAN: And, you know, I haven't really, we
    haven't reviewed this with legal counsel yet.
28
29
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right.
30
               MS. FREEMAN: So I --
```

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, I think this is a nice 1 framework. This is something we can definitely work with to 2 get this incorporated in. 3 MR. SCHINDLER: I agree. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So I think we just kind of 5 clean it up a little bit and then we figure out where we are 6 going to insert it and then we're good to go. I think this is 7 good stuff. Do you have anything --8 MR. REPPERT: If you could do us a favor? 9 10 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. MR. REPPERT: Talk to Soil and Water and tell them 11 thank you very much. 12 MS. FREEMAN: Oh, yeah. 13 MR. REPPERT: It's a good job. We liked it. 14 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah. And then figure out 15 where we would insert, you know, where we would actually 16 insert that in there. I think that's a good, good idea. 17 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, I would probably want to meet 18 19 with Abby. MS. BELL: Yeah. 20 21 MS. FREEMAN: And go over, you know, the specific 22 language and make sure they're good with it. 23 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. How soon do you 24 think we can get a final? 25 MS. FREEMAN: Can we bring something back for 26 January? MS. BELL: For sure. 27 28 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Is that too aggressive? 29 MS. FREEMAN: I can put something together for 30 January, at least another draft.

MS. BELL: Yeah, January will be fine. 1 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okav. 2 MS. FREEMAN: We can try, yeah. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: And then we would have to have a public hearing, right, for this, too? 5 MS. FREEMAN: Well, yeah, yeah. 6 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right. MS. FREEMAN: Depending on, you know, if we have 8 both these kind of in pretty good draft form and you guys were 9 10 comfortable with it, you could initiate something in January for the February meeting and start moving on it. 11 12 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right, all right. MS. FREEMAN: Kind of just couple it together. 13 14 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Any comments from the Board? Frank, any input, additional thoughts or anything on this? 15 MR. SCHINDLER: No, just what I said, Mr. Chairman. 16 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. Hiram, anything? 17 MR. REPPERT: I like it. I like it. 18 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Rich Iafelice? 19 20 MR. IAFELICE: Not to be contradictory but my first, 21 my first read is I find it onerous. I find the requirements 22 in here, explanation, explanation, explanation and all of the 23 issues, what is it we're -- What is our outcome, our desired 24 outcome from all of these requirements? 25 MR. PETERSON: Put the land back the way it was. 26 MR. IAFELICE: Back the way it was. 27 MR. PETERSON: Right. 28 MR. IAFELICE: So back the way it was. And that's 29 what Soil and Water was asked to provide, a plan to how to 30 restore it back to the way it was? I don't know. I just find

the requirements, while they are very specific and I think onerous, but I go to Number 6 and if we go to that length and we make a developer go to that length, we shouldn't say "whenever possible." That if they go to that length to restore and preserve and do the plan in a very, I read, scientific way, it must be protected with a public agency or we shouldn't allow it to be anywhere else in terms of preserving what, all those hoops and hurdles they're going through to develop what I see here is a very -- I won't use the word "onerous" again -- very detailed plan to restore property.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Onerous is appropriate. I get it.

MR. IAFELICE: That's my read. But I don't object. It just, it feels like a little bit, a little over the top unless we, if our outcome is just restore it, then we better make sure it's preserved, it's preserved by a responsible agency and nobody else, the conservation easement.

MR. SCHINDLER: Well, that's why I think we leave out "wherever possible." You set, you set the rules and this is the way it has to be done because a lot of developers, for example, they, either they don't care or they're looking for guidance. So if you have it spelled out, this is the way it has to be, period, because when you say "whenever possible," that's open for interpretation.

MR. IAFELICE: But particularly since the requirements in here are really --

MR. SCHINDLER: Right, they're really finite.

MR. IAFELICE: Yes, yes.

MR. SCHINDLER: And as an engineer, I like it that

1 way. MR. IAFELICE: This better be a beautiful open 2 space, I mean, we will all want to enjoy. 3 MR. SCHINDLER: Well, that's what we're hoping for, give Mother Nature a good start again. 5 MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. 6 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So aside from its 7 onerousness --8 MR. IAFELICE: I don't object. 9 10 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: You don't object, okay. MS. FREEMAN: Well, you know, when we kind of had 11 12 some of this language in there or some of our work session stuff earlier this year, I do remember a developer was very 13 concerned about the definition of what this is and what this 14 was going to entail. So this is kind of a big deal. 15 MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. 16 MS. FREEMAN: So I do somewhat agree with Rich that, 17 18 if we were going to make someone go through this, that it "shall be." 19 20 MR. IAFELICE: I am speaking from experience on this 21 one. 22 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. And then when you think about 23 like, okay, so if we have an RCD where you have open space 24 that's not been previously disturbed, the way our zoning 25 currently says, we prefer it to have a conservation easement 26 but we're not requiring it. So I don't know if that's a 27 problem or not. So if it's not disturbed and it's open space, we don't require it to be protected with a conservation 28

easement, but if it is disturbed and then you fix it, it has

to be a conservation easement.

29

30

And there are costs associated with having conservation easements. People don't do it for free.

MR. IAFELICE: Right.

MS. FREEMAN: There is monitoring and everything, so these developers are going to have to give money to someone, like Soil and Water or Western Reserve Land Conservancy, to take that easement and there is a cost associated with that so they can come out and do the annual inspections and things like that.

MR. SCHINDLER: And they should do it because they're getting a benefit for conservation development in itself, the concept.

MS. FREEMAN: The developer?

MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah.

MR. REPPERT: But look at that big picture. The homeowners' association is going to pick up the cost and it's going to go back to the homeowner. The developer isn't going to foot the bill for this. He's going to put that in the HOA, saying, "You're going to pay for this on a monthly or on a yearly basis." So it's not on the developer, it's on the individual homeowner. That's where it's going to go.

MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah, when you buy into that, that should be an understanding that you do.

MR. REPPERT: Yeah.

MR. SCHINDLER: Because we have that in our homeowners' association, that people pay dues and it's to keep up the development, you know.

MR. REPPERT: Right.

MR. SCHINDLER: And they like that. So that's why they move into areas like that, to keep it that way.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Well, we will have 1 opportunities to tweak and adjust. 2 MR. IAFELICE: I am sure. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Maybe pare back the onerousness. 5 MS. FREEMAN: How would you, if it wasn't so 6 onerous, like, what would make it a little bit more 7 streamlined but still, you know, give us the end result of, 8 you know, restoring back to something a little bit, you 9 10 know --MR. IAFELICE: Eliminate A, B, D, just utilize this 11 resource of species list, provide us a plan from a certified 12 13 forester or responsible organization, and review it. 14 all. MS. FREEMAN: What, requiring someone to submit that 15 before they even get the property rezoned, I mean, is that a 16 significant additional investment? 17 MR. IAFELICE: 18 No. 19 MS. FREEMAN: Okay. 20 MR. IAFELICE: No, no, oh, no. 21 MS. FREEMAN: So asking for it at preliminary, you 22 think, would be fine? 23 MR. IAFELICE: I think so. 24 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay. 25 MR. PETERSON: My turn. 26 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Mr. Peterson. 27 MR. PETERSON: I agree with Rich. The word I was going to use was "overkill" instead of onerous. But when I 28 29 look at this, we talked about this. First of all, this only 30 applies to accidental damage that happens during the

construction process. Maybe a tree gets knocked over, maybe a little land gets disturbed. It's not talking about -- You know, that's really the purpose of this.

We went through this in great detail back in August and we came up with what we already have in there, and then I happened to watch the trustee meeting and they struggled with the same paragraph and they came to the same conclusion that we did, that this was fine, when I watched the Trustees discuss this, because they had the same dilemma we had on that topic.

And then putting this in here, I am not against it. It's just, I thought we said enough in the original that this wouldn't be required because if we go too onerous, I don't want to present this to a developer and have it appear to be too onerous because it's only applicable if there is accidental damage, but it kind of comes across awful big for that. Whereas, we said the same thing in fewer words in the original document.

My thought. If you want to put this in there, I am not opposed to it, but I think we already said that in fewer words in the original document because we struggled with that one.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: But we didn't have any guidelines, that's why. It was kind of a, it was kind of a, we were kind of, you know, winging it.

MR. PETERSON: But the Trustees came to the same conclusion that we did, that this was fine.

MS. FREEMAN: Well, Rich, if you don't mind, I really thought, I kind of thought it was two things. I thought it was, restore if you accidentally disturb; but then

also, if you come in with a piece of land that the whole thing has been farmed for the last 100 years and it's already disturbed, then we would want this plan. So I think we can do it two ways. One is if you're coming in with previously disturbed land, we need some environmental restoration plan even if this is a little more streamlined than this, not as onerous. But then, maybe then we still need to tweak this language on the incidental disturbances and still require that, you know. Maybe what we have already in here might work for that.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah.

MS. FREEMAN: We might need to look at that language again because we want to still -- maybe, maybe it's not a landscape plan.

MR. PETERSON: Right.

MS. FREEMAN: Maybe it does kind of mirror a little bit of this restoration plan. But does that make sense or am I the only one that thought that?

MR. SCHINDLER: No.

MR. PETERSON: I hear you.

MR. SCHINDLER: No, it's point well taken, right.

MS. FREEMAN: It's kind of two things.

MR. SCHINDLER: Especially, like you say, if you get into a big piece of farmland, for example, you want them to do something with it that's going to bring it back in.

MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, because I know like when Lilly Farms was approved, that RCD, we didn't really have anything to require the developer to come in. What are you going to do with the old farm fields? You know, it was -- Although we, I did get, I did work with the developer to submit some kind of

landscape plan on how he was going to restore that but it would have been nice to have a little bit of guidelines in there and requirements to tell them, okay, this is what we want to see. This is what you need to submit to us, you know.

And that original plan that he provided to me, I immediately went to Soil and Water said, "Look, he wants to turn this into a meadow." And they came back and said, "Well, how is he going to maintain it?" Then you go back to the developer and you're like, "How are you going to maintain it?" You know, because there's different things you need to do with meadow, you know, cut them certain times of year or burn them and things like that, which I don't even know about. And then we started asking more questions. The developer is like, "Oh, instead of meadows, I am just going to plant trees," you know. So it was kind of a back and forth and we did come up with some kind of landscape plan but there is no real laid out, like, how they're going to maintain that over the years.

So I can see that being, potentially, an issue down the line with the homeowners in that development on when it goes, you know, how does the HOA really maintain that? There is going to be trees planted and things like that but it's supposed to also be left natural. So you're not really supposed to go in there and manicure lawns. So there could be some issues on that open space and how they maintain it, some questions, I guess.

MR. SCHINDLER: Point well taken.

MS. FREEMAN: But if we were to adopt something like this, everyone would know what the plan is and and how they would maintain it.

MR. SCHINDLER: Right, point well taken.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Any other comments? 1 All right. Moving on to Item Number 2 on the agenda 2 under New Business, we would like to do an approval of the 3 minutes for the October 5 Zoning Commission meeting. I would entertain a motion. 5 MR. REPPERT: Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yes. 7 MR. REPPERT: I would like to move that we approve 8 the October 5, 2021, Zoning Commission meeting minutes as 9 10 written. 11 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Any changes? 12 MR. PETERSON: I will second that. 13 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: We've got a second. Okay. 14 All those in favor say aye. Opposed? (Five aye votes, no nay votes.) 15 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: None opposed. Let the 16 record reflect we had five ayes, no nays, no abstentions. 17 18 Item Number 3 on the agenda is the approval of the minutes of the November 2nd Zoning Commission meeting. I will 19 20 entertain a motion. 21 MR. IAFELICE: Mr. Chairman --22 MR. REPPERT: I have a question. 23 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Ah, did you find something? 24 MR. REPPERT: On page, well, on page 6, page 19, it 25 appears Mr. Lingenfelter has been demoted to vice chair on 26 line item 20. 27 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: It happens, it happens. 28 MR. IAFELICE: He always catches that. 29 MR. REPPERT: Or, or you said those words but I 30 don't think so.

```
MR. IAFELICE: Oh, let's see.
1
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Where was that?
2
               MR. REPPERT: It's line 20.
3
               MR. IAFELICE: It's only one word.
               MR. REPPERT: Big page 6, big page 6.
5
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Big page 6.
 6
7
               MR. REPPERT:
                             Small page 19.
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Small page 19.
8
               MR. REPPERT: Line item 20.
9
10
               MR. IAFELICE: It's an exchange between Heather
    and --
11
12
               MR. PETERSON: Yeah, probably. You said, "Right."
               MR. REPPERT: So I think Andy said it.
13
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Well, since I was in the
14
    thread, I would have to --
15
               MR. REPPERT: Yeah, that's what I said.
16
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I would have to assume that
17
    you're right.
18
19
               MR. REPPERT: That is my only comment.
20
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: So on big page 6, small page
21
    19, we want to strike the word "vice" out of it for the sanity
22
    of Mr. Hiram Reppert.
23
               MR. SCHINDLER: Boy, you really go through this
24
    stuff, don't you?
25
               MR. REPPERT: I read them, yes, I do.
26
               MR. SCHINDLER: I mean, that's good.
27
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: He's good at it.
28
               MR. SCHINDLER: That's good. I'm glad.
29
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: He's very good at it. Okay.
30
    I will entertain a motion with the, with the correction noted.
```

MR. IAFELICE: Mr. Chairman, I will make a motion to 1 approve the minutes of November the 2nd as corrected this 2 evening. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I have a motion made. MR. REPPERT: I will second. 5 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Good for you. You should. 6 MR. SCHINDLER: You should. 7 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: All those in favor say aye. 8 Opposed? 9 10 MR. PETERSON: Abstain. 11 (Four aye votes, no nay votes, one abstention.) CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: One abstention. So let the 12 record reflect we have four eyes, no nays and one abstention. 13 So the minutes for the November 2nd as corrected are accepted. 14 Item Number 4 on the agenda is the correspondence 15 report by the Zoning Commission members. Frank? 16 17 MR. SCHINDLER: None, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Hiram? 18 MR. REPPERT: None, Mr. Chairman. 19 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: 20 Rich? 21 MR. IAFELICE: Yes. I received an email. 22 apologize. I didn't have the name of the individual but it 23 was a concerned resident objecting to the Sheetz gas station 24 project. It was a polite email but --25 MR. SCHINDLER: But he didn't sign it or he just --26 MR. IAFELICE: I don't recall. I am sorry. 27 apologize. I didn't bring it with me. 28 MR. SCHINDLER: Okay. But he did sign it though? 29 MR. IAFELICE: Yes, we have the name. It was not 30 anonymous.

MR. SCHINDLER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Mr. Peterson.

MR. PETERSON: I had some continued discussion with the abandoned house on Beres Drive with the homeowner association president and also with Morgan McIntosh. They're working on that problem.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: The one we talked about before?

MR. PETERSON: Um-hum.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Okay, good. Anything else?

MR. PETERSON: No.

a resident, concerned resident voicing their opposition to the Sheetz gas station. I received an email from the wife and I replied very nicely and politely to her concerns. And then the husband then sent me an email as well appreciating my comments and, you know, and letting me know that he was happy to know that there are other people who are concerned about it as well. So, but that was the only, the only information I had with regards to the Sheetz gas station.

MR. REPPERT: My wife mentioned last night that, on the Holden Ridge site, you know, Next Door type thing, that tonight's meeting was going to be jam-packed because of the Sheetz issue. And I said, "It's not on the agenda." So when I came in here, I thought we were going to have a new agenda but we're not. So, obviously --

MR. PETERSON: That's appeals.

MR. REPPERT: The person, you know, who wrote the Holden Ridge item -- and I don't know who it was -- was opposed to that or had some strong issues or concerns with it.

So --1 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Did that -- That was not, 2 that was not addressed --3 MR. IAFELICE: Correct. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: -- at the BZA meeting, 5 right, at the last one? 6 MR. IAFELICE: 7 Tabled. MS. FREEMAN: Correct. They tabled --8 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Because they had a couple, a 9 10 couple of abstentions, I think. MS. FREEMAN: We did not have a full board of five 11 12 in order to vote on that, so the applicant chose to wait. So it will be in front of the board tomorrow night. 13 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Oh, it's going to happen 14 tomorrow night then. 15 16 MS. FREEMAN: Tomorrow night. CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: It's back on the agenda for 17 tomorrow night, okay, good, good to know. 18 19 Okay. Item Number 5 on the agenda is Audience Participation. And since we don't have an audience this 20 21 evening, I guess we won't have any participation. So is there 22 anybody on the phone tonight? 23 MS. FREEMAN: No. 24 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: No, okay. So then that item 25 is closed for now. And I will continue to encourage residents 26 to contemplate that. We have a number of issues that we're 27 considering right now. I would certainly like to see some 28 people, you know, give some, give some input. 29 Next meeting of the Zoning Commission will be 30 January 4, 2022, and I think we will have, I am sure we'll

have some things to talk about.

MR. REPPERT: Mr. Chairman, can I bring up an issue?

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Certainly.

MR. REPPERT: In going over the November 2nd meeting minutes, I got a little bit more concerned with how we handle things, what the process is between us, as the Zoning Commission, and the BZA, between us and the Trustees. It appears that both of them are disjointed. And we discussed in here that Canterwood came through here, went to the Trustees and got flip-flopped all around, never came back here. I don't think that's right.

On the second issue -- Well, on the first issue is, it goes through here or it goes through BZA or it goes through here. What I would like to see is a flowchart that both the BZA, the Zoning Commission and the Trustees all approve to say, if it goes through the Zoning Commission and then it goes to the Trustees, they don't like it and so on and so forth, then it should loop back to us before it goes back to the Trustees, and the same thing with BZA.

It appears from looking in here, from -- What was the guy's name, Borland? No, Balko.

MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Balko.

MR. REPPERT: Said, "Gee, all I have to do is get it approved through BZA and it's through here with nothing." I don't think that's right. So I think that those two issues are more important than medical marijuana, in my opinion.

MR. IAFELICE: May I?

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Please.

MR. IAFELICE: We didn't talk before this meeting, so here are --

MR. REPPERT: No, we didn't.

MR. IAFELICE: -- my notes exactly echoing what you just said, Hiram. I was looking -- I didn't know if it was an opportunity, because we're just closing the meeting here, to see what we want to talk about January 4th. I completely agree to the point where, if I ask a few questions. I went through the resolutions, at least glanced at some of them here, and not understanding the history. When I look at the responsibilities in Section 11 of -- I am sorry -- Section 8 of the Zoning Commission, Section 8, it's strictly looking at zoning, zoning text amendments. Later on, it would appear somewhere between, in the early 2000s we were given the authority for site plan review under Section 36. Correct me if I am wrong.

MS. FREEMAN: It was about 2007, 2008, yeah.

MR. IAFELICE: 2007. So prior to that, the planning department approved the site plan.

MS. FREEMAN: Zoning Department, correct.

MR. IAFELICE: And then issued the site plan.

MS. FREEMAN: Yes.

MR. IAFELICE: The Zoning Commission never received a site plan.

MS. FREEMAN: Right.

MR. IAFELICE: So I was trying to understand the process, which is exactly to your point, Hiram. The process now, the site plans come to the Zoning Commission even though we're called the Zoning Commission. So just a play on words. I look at the definition under Section 9 of BZA and they're strictly to look at hardship cases and variances. That's all they're charged to do.

It seems to me, as I, in fact, in the minutes of November 2nd, I said this seems to be going around backwards. The BZA approves something without Zoning Commission approving the site plan in the first place. It would seem to me we need to address that because I can't agree with you more, Hiram, as I read, as I read those sections. And then when I go to the zoning permit section and then Section 36 and then specifically 36.9, which talks about major or minor changes, they never come back here even though it says that at 36.9. Again, it's just a read, a quick read. It's the very last paragraph in Section 36 which gave the authority for site plan review and approval to the Zoning Commission. But we're still called the Zoning Commission.

So again, in my experience, that's why I completely agree with your points, Hiram, is that, procedurally, the authority vested in the Trustees, the Trustees designate a board to review a plan that is consistent with the master plan, with the development plan, with the interest of the community, and, okay, we're approving it except you're going to need -- there are some exceptions to this. That's usually when they appeal to the board, the BZA, after there is, at least, a conditional approval from the body that has the authority to approve the plan, not -- All due respect, the BZA is very well qualified, very well qualified, but it's not, it's not the way I understand the definition of what they're charged to do. They're only charged to look at hardship and variances when there isn't a full approval.

Again, that's my, I just wanted to echo exactly what you said, Hiram, because I was prepared with a couple notes after reading. I've got these things paper clipped in here

just to start, start the conversation. 1 MS. FREEMAN: If I can add to that. 2 MR. IAFELICE: Please. 3 MS. FREEMAN: One of the other duties of the BZA -and I haven't read that section in a little while -- they are 5 the body that issues conditional uses. So under the Ohio 6 7 Revised Code, if we set that up as a conditional use, they can only approve that conditional use. The Zoning Commission does 8 not have that authority to approve that conditional use. 9 10 MR. IAFELICE: Correct, correct. MS. FREEMAN: In additional to the variances and the 11 appeals. 12 13 MR. IAFELICE: Correct. But before they apply for a conditional use --14 MS. FREEMAN: You would like some preliminary review 15 16 or, yeah --MR. IAFELICE: It would seem to me that, if the 17 Trustees designated the authority for the site plan to the 18 19 Zoning Commission, it would seem to me that that would come 20 first. 21 MS. FREEMAN: Right. 22 MR. IAFELICE: Before a conditional use is granted. 23 MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. We should know exactly all of 24 the sudden what they want to change and they're designating a 25 hardship. Well, we have to know what the hardship is before 26 we can make our decisions. We might not feel that it's 27 appropriate, you know, for what they're asking for, right? 28 MR. IAFELICE: Well, obviously we didn't in the case 29 of the Sheetz gas station. 30 MR. SCHINDLER: Right. Well, Sheetz, for one thing.

MR. IAFELICE: But we didn't, our points we made 1 were not to address, it's not granting a conditional use. 2 That wasn't our authority. 3 MR. SCHINDLER: Right. MR. IAFELICE: But we said it wasn't consistent with 5 the --6 7 MR. REPPERT: The master plan. The master plan, right. 8 MR. SCHINDLER: MR. IAFELICE: -- master plan and keeping with the 9 10 vision of the township, the location. MR. SCHINDLER: Right, exactly. 11 MR. IAFELICE: The gateway to the Capital district, 12 et cetera. 13 14 MR. SCHINDLER: Right. MR. IAFELICE: Anyway, I just think maybe that could 15 be something for our -- because you had suggested text. 16 else do we want to talk about? 17 MS. FREEMAN: Right, right, yean, we brought that up 18 19 last month, right. 20 MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. 21 MS. FREEMAN: Well, and, I mean, we could put 22 together flow charts of how things currently get approved and, 23 you know, the roles of both boards. 24 MR. IAFELICE: A place to start. 25 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, as a place, okay, this is how we're, the process currently is. I know there is some, I know 26 27 that legal counsel is reviewing some of the stuff under site 28 plan review that, on another issue, on another development, an 29 old development that's being re-resurrected that's -- But it's

something else we can look into, you know, to try to improve,

30

you know, if improvement needs to be made there.

The other thing is some communities -- and I don't know, I am not suggesting that this is the way you would want to go but they're kind of getting away from conditional uses.

MR. IAFELICE: Right.

MS. FREEMAN: And just putting them in as permitted uses with certain factors that they have to comply with. So rather than making the car washes or the gas stations a conditional use, you can you could make it permitted and still make them meet all the specific criteria, you know, which then they wouldn't be in front of BZA unless they needed a variance. But then that still goes back to your point.

MR. IAFELICE: Yes.

MS. FREEMAN: You would prefer to have your eyes on the site plan first rather than having them get the variances approved first.

MR. IAFELICE: Right.

MS. FREEMAN: So you could be on board maybe with the variances or, you know, and I don't even know that you could submit any kind of recommendation to the BZA. I do not know. I don't even know if that's allowed. I don't know.

MR. IAFELICE: I think we're restricted from that, Andy, emails to the BZA.

MS. FREEMAN: Yeah.

MR. IAFELICE: Having to do with public law.

MS. BELL: Sunshine.

MR. IAFELICE: Sunshine Law.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Well, yeah, that was one of the issues. I did, I called Brandon, you know, and talked to him because of that email and that. And, interestingly, I

didn't know, I didn't realize that that was a violation. It's when you copy all is when you get yourself in hot water.

MR. IAFELICE: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: When you copy all, you know, when you do a copy all to everybody, then at that point then it becomes an issue with violating the Sunshine Laws. If we were to have a conversation with one or two people --

MR. IAFELICE: Right.

MS. FREEMAN: That's not --

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: -- or exchange emails between a handful of people, as long as it doesn't constitute a quorum, then we're okay. But once you do, when you do a copy all, then there is everybody from the Zoning Commission, everybody from the BZA and, at that point, you're in violation of the Sunshine Laws, which I didn't realize, didn't think of that as a, just even an email correspondence, I was -- didn't think of that as a violation. But that was very interesting that Brandon brought that up. That was good stuff.

MR. IAFELICE: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Actually, it was a good learning experience. You know, it is something you have to be aware of. You don't think about that. But, yeah, I don't know. Does that, is there -- You know, since we're a recommending board to the Trustees, nothing that we do is permanent, you know. We just make recommendations.

MS. FREEMAN: On amendments. But on the sire plan review --

MR. IAFELICE: A site plan is --

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, but I am just

30 | saying --

MS. FREEMAN: You're the final authority on the site plan.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah. But, I mean, on the majority of the stuff we do, we're a recommending board and Trustees have the ability to either accept, you know, modify or reject our recommendations, you know. So, but, yeah, I agree. I think sometimes there are some things that go on that strike me as kind of strange or odd and there should be an opportunity for a -- I think, and I think the Canter, I think Canterwood is a great example of that.

MR. IAFELICE: That's another one, yes.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: It would have been nice to see. I felt like with the changes that were made and the things and how that thing went kind of sideways --

MR. IAFELICE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: -- after the fact, it would have been nice for that to get kicked back to us to have further conversations about it because I don't think that they would have gotten the same favorable response on some things after the fact.

MR. IAFELICE: Procedurally, the way Hiram was describing it, if the gentleman was here proposing the Sheetz and we objected to that location for that facility and, procedurally, you would think that a memorandum from the Board, the chair, to the BZA is appropriate, it seems to me. And then the BZA is the hard, they determine whether it is a conditional use or not. I mean, they're the appeals court, if you will. That's appropriate. I get that. At least we have our position. Well, we are a public body but I don't know how that's communicated to BZA because in text, in the Resolution,

we're not -- that authority isn't given to us. It's not there. But somehow in 2007 we were given site plan. Do we know why?

MS. FREEMAN: I believe there was a change in the ORC that allowed townships to institute architectural review and give the authority to the Zoning Commission to review landscape plans and that's what spurred this whole site plan review.

MR. IAFELICE: Okay.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah. I was going to say, if I remember, if I recall, it was a change in the ORC.

MS. FREEMAN: Well, and --

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: That gave us that opportunity and that's when we assumed that, you know, that role.

MS. FREEMAN: Right.

CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Because you're right. We hadn't -- I've been on the Zoning Commission before that and I remember that, yeah, we didn't have -- That was all done through the, you know, through the Zoning Department with the Zoning Inspector and, you know, in conjunction with the Planning Commission and things like that. We were not, we were not included in that process.

So I think it's a great point. I think you both bring up very interesting points and I think that, you know, it's always good to review that. I don't know how much we're bound by the process with the ORC and I am sure there are some things that we are bound, you know. We can't just arbitrarily make a change in the process of how it works. I would have to think that there are some things that we are bound by that we

MR. IAFELICE: This is Concord Township. I am 2 teasing. 3 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I keep forgetting. yeah, I mean, so, yeah, but if there are some places where we 5 can make some adjustments and that, I think we should consider 6 that. I think it would be a good thing. 7 MR. REPPERT: At least, I think what we ought to 8 know is what happens when, what happens if this happens and 9 10 then who goes where, who gets approved, whatever, because right now I am in the dark. I don't know who approves what, 11 you know, what the BZA does. I mean, I know what they do but 12 when do they do it and do we have any recourse or whatever? 13 So I think, if anything, we ought to have it written down. 14 MR. IAFELICE: Yeah. Let's say, for example, the 15 Sheetz was given a conditional use. They develop the site 16 plan. It comes back here, correct? 17 18 MS. FREEMAN: Yes. 19 MR. IAFELICE: And we reject it, just for example. 20 MS. FREEMAN: Okay. 21 MR. IAFELICE: Does it go back to the BZA? 22 MS. FREEMAN: I don't think so. I think, at that 23 point, they would probably sue us, honestly, right? I mean --24 MS. BELL: Yep. 25 MR. IAFELICE: Because the BZA --26 MS. FREEMAN: Depending on how hard they really want 27 to come to Concord. If you deny the site plan, they will move 28 on to another community or they will litigate. 29 MR. IAFELICE: As an example, I presume that the 30 Trustees are the final. It goes --

have to follow.

1

MS. FREEMAN: No. 1 MR. IAFELICE: Oh, no? 2 MS. FREEMAN: No. Trustees aren't involved in any 3 site plan review. The only thing the Trustees are involved on, the final say on the zoning text and the map amendments. 5 And, and this area we could change with the PUDs and the RCDs, 6 if there are modifications, it doesn't have to go back to the 7 Trustees. That's not set in stone with the state, with the 8 ORC, that's the way we have it written. So if we want to 9 10 change, you know, what happens after the Trustees approve it, you know, we could look at maybe it comes back to the Zoning 11 12 Commission, you know. MR. SCHINDLER: I know that would have been nice, 13 especially with this Canterwood. Man, I was so frustrated 14 about this whole thing that's been happening and it's still 15 16 happening. 17 MS. FREEMAN: Right, yeah. You were asking for an 18 update. 19 MR. SCHINDLER: It's still going on, right, what they're trying to do. 20 21 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, they keep changing their mind on 22 things. 23 MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah, they're still at it here. 24 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I can't imagine. 25 MS. FREEMAN: Not with the township per se but, you 26 know, they're moving, slowly moving through the county 27 approval process. 28 MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah. 29 MR. IAFELICE: Well, take a look at 36.9. MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, I wrote that down. 30

MR. IAFELICE: Because major mod -- that's what's 1 happening in Canterwood. 2 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: I am going to write that 3 down. MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah, me, too. 5 MR. REPPERT: I am doing that now. 6 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: 36.9? 7 MR. IAFELICE: It's the last --8 MR. REPPERT: The last paragraph. 9 10 MR. IAFELICE: I clipped it. 36.10. MS. FREEMAN: I can come back --11 12 MR. IAFELICE: Sorry. MR. SCHINDLER: 36.10. 13 MR. IAFELICE: It's on page 36.9. 14 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Hiram would've caught that. 15 MR. IAFELICE: Deviations from Approved Plan. It's 16 even called "Deviations." 17 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Hiram, would have caught it. 18 19 MR. SCHINDLER: Yeah, Hiram would have caught it. 20 MR. IAFELICE: It's even called "Deviations," yeah, 21 "Deviations from an Approved Plan." 22 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: All right. Anything else, 23 gentlemen? A great discussion, by the way. I think that was 24 very, very productive. 25 MR. IAFELICE: Let's heat it up. 26 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Good stuff. 27 MR. REPPERT: I am glad I brought it up. 28 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, I am, too. 29 MR. IAFELICE: That's why I brought the book. 30 CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Right? I knew you brought

```
that for some reason. Nobody carries that around for no
1
2
    reason.
               MR. IAFELICE: Yeah, it wasn't for show.
3
               MR. REPPERT: I have mine out in the car.
               MR. SCHINDLER: Wait a minute. I have mine here.
5
               MR. IAFELICE: Oh, you do.
6
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: Yeah, great discussion, I
7
    think, good exchange of information there and I really
8
    appreciate that.
9
               MR. SCHINDLER: I bring it with me all the time,
10
    gentlemen.
11
               MR. PETERSON: I sleep with it.
12
               MR. IAFELICE: I sleep with it.
13
               CHAIRMAN LINGENFELTER: All right. With that, if
14
    there is nothing else, this meeting is adjourned.
15
               (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:28 p.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
```

STATE OF OHIO 1 CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA 2 ) I, Melinda A. Melton, Registered Professional 3 Reporter, a notary public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that, to the best of my ability, the foregoing proceeding was reduced by me to stenotype shorthand, subsequently 5 transcribed into typewritten manuscript; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of said 6 proceedings so taken as aforesaid. 7 I do further certify that this proceeding took place at the time and place as specified in the foregoing 8 caption and was completed without adjournment. 9 I do further certify that I am not a friend, relative, or counsel for any party or otherwise interested 10 in the outcome of these proceedings. 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office this 28th day of December 2021. 13 *Melinda A. Melton* Melinda A. Melton Melinda A. 14 Registered Professional Reporter 15 Notary Public within and for the 16 State of Ohio My Commission Expires: 17 February 4, 2023 18 19 20 21 Feb. 4, 2023 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29

30