CONCORD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF TRUSTEES and ZONING COMMISSION LAKE COUNTY, OHIO PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE

Held via Webex Teleconference
 and YouTube Live Streaming

Concord Town Hall 7229 Ravenna Road Concord, Ohio 44077

February 3, 2021 6:30 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Present on behalf of the Board of Trustees:

Morgan McIntosh, Chair Amy Lucci, Vice Chair Carl Dondorfer, Trustee Amy Dawson, Fiscal Officer

Present on behalf of the Zoning Commission:

Hiram Reppert, Chair
Andy Lingenfelter, Vice Chair
Frank Schindler, Member (via Webex)
Rich Peterson, Member (via Webex
Rich Iafelice, Member
Ashley Garcar, Alternate Member

Also Present:

Heather Freeman, Zoning Director/Inspector Marty Pitkin, Assistant Zoning Inspector Michael Lucas, Esq., Legal Counsel

Melton Reporting
11668 Girdled Road
Concord, Ohio 44077
(440) 946-1350

6:30 p.m. 1 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay, good evening. 2 like to call to order the special meeting for, Concord 3 Township's special meeting for a pre-application conference for a proposed Residential Conservation Development district. 5 6 So this evening we have members of the Concord Township Zoning Commission, as well as the Board of Trustees here to have a 7 dialogue about an application, a pre-application -- so we 8 don't have it yet -- regarding a potential RCD district. 9 10 addition to the boards, we have Ms. Heather Freeman, our Zoning Inspector, here. So I am going to go through and just 11 12 I want to get an assessment. I've got from the Zoning Commission, I believe, I see here present Andy Lingenfelter. 13 Frank Schindler, are you online? 14 (No response.) 15 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: I don't hear Frank. I see Hiram 16 Reppert here. Rich Peterson, are you online? 17 18 MR. ROSE: You are not broadcasting through the 19 phone, sir. 20 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Oh, they're not here, I guess. 21 MR. ROSE: I am mirroring the meeting and I don't 22 hear you coming through, that's why. Maybe you're on mute. 23 MR. DONDORFER: I think you are on mute there. 24 MR. ROSE: You might be on mute. 25 MS. FREEMAN: I unmuted it. 26 MR. DONDORFER: Is that the red button? Yep, try it

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay.

27

28

29

30

now.

MR. ROSE: Now you are coming through.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Let's do this again. All right.

So we are here for a pre-application meeting, Concord

Township. We have the Zoning Board and the Trustee Board here
to review a pre-application for conservation zoning. Just to
catch up, I am going through our Zoning Commission members.

All three trustees are here. I have Andy Lingenfelter
present, Hiram Reppert.

Frank Schindler, are you on virtually or via phone?
MR. SCHINDLER: I am on the phone, yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: And Rich Peterson?

 $\mbox{MR. PETERSON:} \mbox{ I am on the phone, also, Morgan.}$

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Great. And I see Rich Iafelice here in person. And our Zoning alternate, I saw her come in, Ashley Garcar.

Okay. So what I will do is ask the applicant to come forward and just give a short presentation of their plan and then we'll go through the boards for questions and comments regarding this possible application. I do want to clearly communicate that this is, it's a public meeting from the sense that it's able to be viewed but there is no public comment at this time. This stage in the process does not have a public comment section. If the application comes forward and we go through this process, there will be two public hearings, one with the Zoning Commission and one again with the Board of Trustees, so that would be the appropriate time for public comment.

I do want to add that we would expect anybody that's listening just to listen and not to make unsolicited comments. If you do make comments through our meeting, we will consider that disrupting a public meeting and we'll take appropriate action.

So with that, I would like to welcome the applicant and ask them to come up and go through their proposed plan.

MS. MOORE: Hi.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Hello.

MS. MOORE: So I know we're talking --

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: If you could first just state your name and address for the record so --

MS. MOORE: My name is Allison Moore and I live at 8055 Fernway Court, Concord Township.

So I just, before we started with the zoning plan, I just wanted to spend a few quick minutes and just give you a little bit of background and tell you a little bit about the community that we're proposing today. So I -- Thank you. So we are calling this the Villas at Canterwood Farms, and it's an Epcon community by 20th Century Construction. For those of you who may or may not know 20th Century Construction, we've been building homes, single-family homes, and developing communities throughout northeast Ohio since 1981. We're family owned and operated and we are all residents of Concord Township, so very heavily invested in the community.

knows somebody who lives in an Epcon community. They are, they have been building communities for those 55 years and older since 1986. They, along with their partners, have developed over 400 communities across 31 states in the country, and here is just a little snapshot of their locations and the communities that have been built. There's been about 30,000 Epcon homes to date or as of 2019.

Now, you may wonder, why this partnership between us and Epcon? And, you know, really it comes down to clients

that we've been building homes for, that we built homes for 20, 30 years ago have reached out to us and said, "You know, we love our 20th Century home but we're ready to downsize. We're ready to, well, let's call it 'right size.'" They really just, you know, they want to get rid of the big yard. They're ready.

So as we kind of thought through, how should we go about doing this, you know, should we do it ourselves and come up with it or should we look elsewhere and see if we should partner with someone? When we came across Epcon, we felt that it was a really nice fit. Our 40 years of building homes and their 35 years delivering a lifestyle and home plans that really meet the needs of this 55-year-old and older community, we just felt that it was a really, really nice pairing and that we would be able to provide, you know, a lot of value to our -- to the people in the community who are looking for this product.

Now, what makes the Villas at Canterwood Farms different? First and foremost, it's the lifestyle. It's a low maintenance living. So they don't have to worry about coming home from a vacation and shoveling snow. They don't have to worry with mowing their lawn. It's all going to be taken care of for them. In addition, the community amenities. So we're planning on a clubhouse, a fitness center, and are hoping to put in a pool as well. We, obviously, are still working through the numbers but, you know, it's really a place that the residents can come and be social and get involved with the residents, whether it's a happy hour book club or morning coffee with the guys. You know, it, really, it provides such a lifestyle that many are looking for.

Oh, sorry. It's a little touchy.

Also, the home plans, the're a very universal design. The home buyers are going to have options to design features that they want in their house now, not only for now but also in the future so that they can really age and make this their forever home. Not only are they functional, they're attractive. They're nice, open-floor layouts with, you know, a separated master bedroom and guest bedroom. Each home has a private courtyard for their enjoyment.

Again, these are just three samples of, you know, what we will be offering them and there is options. The limit -- There is a lot of options for them to choose from. Some things they might know they want, other things they might not even know are out there, and it's just what's really great about these communities having, you know, just worked for 35 years to really nail down what these clients are looking for.

Also, the community impact versus the single or -- I am sorry -- the traditional single-family homes. So these folks are, you know, they're empty-nesters. They don't have school-age children, and so the schools, there is minimal impact on the schools.

In addition, the traffic is reduced. So the next slide I will show you, essentially, these folks are leaving their homes about 50 percent less a day than a traditional family, single-family development, which is great because you have, you know, increased tax revenues but you're not necessarily having the additional expenses associated with this type of community.

So this is a little bit hard to see. So on the left-hand side, there is, it's showing you the traffic in a

24-hour period, the daily traffic for a senior adult detached community, and on the right it's showing you traditional single-family detached community. Now, it's really almost nearly impossible to read up there but I will read it for you. So if you're at about 62 lots, folks in these, in the 55-plus type of community are traveling, making about five trips a day, a little over five trips a day versus the single-family detached house, it's a little over 10. So overall it's about 336 trips in a 24-hour period versus 638.

In addition, those green -- those blue bars, rather, they're not leaving at the same times. They are kind of steady throughout the day versus a lot of traffic during peak, peak drive times. So their habits are different than a traditional single-family home.

And, finally, you know these are owner-occupied houses and it's different because it's not, it's nothing, like nothing that's been produced in Concord Township. And we're really excited about it because it's an opportunity to keep lifelong residents in our community.

I said I would be brief. I just -- Does anybody have any questions?

MR. DONDORFER: No.

MS. MOORE: Okay.

MS. LUCCI: Thank you.

MR. DONDORFER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. I guess I would ask, is there anybody else from the applicant's side that would like to come forward and make a presentation or share with us any comments or anything?

(Whereupon, discussion was held as the easel was set

up.)

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: If you could give us your name and address for the record.

MR. SMUL: Jeff Smul, 7992 Still Water Court, Concord Township.

So we present -- We produced a yield plan based on the zoning code and what they're looking for based on half acre lots. We produced 62 marketable homes and lots under the current yield plan. And we took into account the wetlands are all in green. We showed the impact that the houses would have in like a lighter green. We show the retention areas on the property -- and I am trying to think of what else -- and the streets.

We had a previous meeting with Heather and Dave Radachy and kind of got their input before we kind of finalized this to present it just to make sure we were on the same page and we were kind of, you know, on the right track with how things were going. So this is what we came up with to get the yield of 62 lots.

MS. FREEMAN: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. I think you misspoke. On your yield plan, you have 56 lots.

MR. SMUL: Oh, I am sorry, 56. I am sorry. I was thinking of the density, the bonus calculation. Sorry.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: So you've got, okay, so 56 in your yield plan and you're at 62 on the RCD?

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ SMUL}\colon$\operatorname{RCD},$\operatorname{yeah}.$\operatorname{Sorry}.$\operatorname{I}$$ was thinking of the RCD. My fault.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: I think Allison mentioned that you can maybe -- I am sorry. I had a question. If it's more appropriate for her to answer, we can have her come back up.

But you talk about the clubhouse and fitness and, hopefully, a pool. Where does that fit into your plans? I mean, it doesn't sound like that was definite.

MR. SMUL: As far as, you mean, the pool?

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: She said clubhouse and the fitness room and then, hopefully, a pool. I was just curious what dynamics are playing into how you'll make that decision or if you have determined that yet.

MR. SMUL: Has the final determination been met a hundred percent? No. We're definitely leaning towards it. We're looking at trying to make sure we get the correct yield.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay.

MR. SMUL: Because, obviously, you need to spread those costs over more lots, the more lots you can spread costs over. You know, there is other plans, too. There is other ideas that we could bring to there as well. Pickleball courts is something that Epcon has been doing lately. So we're going to try to make this as attractive as possible as a community area so, you know, people feel like they're part of the community rather than just the house in the neighborhood and, you know, you've got to drive for everything. This way, people can socialize, get together and, you know, enjoy coming back home and their neighbors.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Oh, did you have something? Go ahead.

MR. DONDORFER: Apparently, we have learned that there is some burial sites on the property there. How do you expect to remedy that if this plan moves forward or what are your intentions regarding that?

MR. SMUL: Yeah. So there is a burial site. I

believe it's 150 years old plus. It's going to go done 1 through -- I don't know. Mike Lucas can probably talk about 2 the procedure more about this. It's supposed to be done 3 through a resolution; is that correct? Yeah. So Mike is very aware of the burial site and we've talked to our attorney and 5 6 he's talked to Mike about the procedure that we need to 7 finalize it and remove it and, actually, move it, I should say, not remove it. 8 MR. LUCAS: We talked about the procedures 9 10 statutorily that would be required to remove it. MR. SMUL: Right. 11 12 MR. LUCAS: With the authorization of the Trustees and that. And I'm still talking to Tony Aveni about wanting 13 to go out and have an informal inspection of where it's 14 located and that, just so you know. 15 MR. SMUL: Okav. 16 MR. LUCAS: Because I don't think I mentioned that 17 to you last time we talked. 18 19 MR. SMUL: Yeah. So it's been ongoing and it's 20 going in the right direction. It's just a matter of, you 21 know, when it will happen between, you know, the resolutions 22 and all that. 23 MR. LUCAS: Right. 24 MR. SMUL: So we are, we are going to take care of 25 it. 26 MR. DONDORFER: And whose responsibilities is that? 27 MR. SMUL: It's --28 MR. LUCAS: Well, assuming that the Trustees wish to 29 proceed forward with the resolution to that effect, at least,

initially it's in the hands of the Trustees. However, there's

30

been some rigorous discussion about having 20th Century Construction handle the cost in that and some willingness expressed to do that, depending on when we get everything finalized, which we appreciate on behalf of the township.

MR. DONDORFER: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay.

MS. LUCCI: It looks like there is some existing structures, some houses that are already in the area that plan to stay up?

MR. SMUL: Um-hum, yeah. Initially, the house on the east -- or, sorry, western portion of the property, we were intending to take it down; but the property owner on the eastern portion had actually expressed some interest in actually looking at it and possibly might be a location that he might want to move to. So right now, they're going to stay but they can be taken down as well. So we kind of had our final plan based on those houses staying and then, if we want to take them down, then we can and build a different house on there as well.

And I think on the RCD plan, once we get to that, you will see on Canterwood Trail where the former Loxterman house is, there is two lots to the south that are wider. So we're trying to make that transition from existing, the single-family, and kind of transition over to the -- our community, newer community.

MS. LUCCI: It looked like there was one house on, I think, the other side that, in the RCD plan, the back of the house would actually be facing the front of the road.

MR. SMUL: Correct.

MS. LUCCI: Okay.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Which house is that? Is it on 1 the, it's depicted on --2 MR. SMUL: Oh, yeah. 3 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: So on the RCD plan that you're presenting, the house that you are referring to is in pink; is 5 that correct? 6 7 MR. SMUL: Yes, yes, the pink. CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: In the back, Amy, the property 8 you're talking to is which? 9 10 MS. LUCCI: I believe it's on the right there. CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Forty-one? 11 12 MR. SMUL: Yeah, that one. MS. LUCCI: Yes. 13 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: So this would be the rear of the 14 house? 15 MS. LUCCI: Yes. 16 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: 17 Okay. 18 MR. SMUL: Yeah. We plan on putting, you know, landscaping in front of it so you're not looking at the people 19 that eventually move in there. Right now, the homeowner, the 20 21 property owner is in there. And when he decides to sell it or 22 leave, we will put some landscaping up there. But that's the 23 plan, is to landscape that area. 24 MS. DAWSON: Mr. Chairman. 25 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Yes? 26 MS. DAWSON: May I ask a question? 27 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Sure. 28 MS. DAWSON: These are public roads. Are you 29 planning a street lighting district in there? Are you going 30 to petition the Trustees for street lighting? Are you having

street lights on these roads, or requesting them since it's a --

MR. SMUL: We didn't get that far about street lighting. We'd probably prefer it just because, when we did Summerwood, I don't think street lights were required but we put them in any way.

MS. DAWSON: Well, yeah. There is a process to petition the Trustees.

MR. SMUL: Oh, okay.

MS. DAWSON: I wasn't sure whether these were public roads or private roads.

MR. SMUL: They're public, yes.

MS. DAWSON: So you would have to go through the whole process if that's something that you wanted to do.

MR. SMUL: Okay, okay.

MS. DAWSON: And Heather can help you through that.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Is there any more questions from the Trustees or up here? I am going to move through the Zoning Commission, actually. Actually, before I get to any more, is there anyone else from the applicant's side that has anything else they want to add at this point?

Okay. Seeing none, what I am going to do for the Zoning Commission, I was going to go through the list sort of in the order I checked you in. Just, that way, I make sure I catch everybody and then those that are virtual, we don't step on each other as far as talking. So I am just going to go down and if you have any questions for the applicant or comments, if you have none, just please say that you don't have any and we will move along. And I will start with Andy Lingenfelter.

MR. LINGENFELTER: Thank you, Morgan. For the lots that I am not -- I am seeing the lots in your RCD plan, the existing home structures, lot 25, lot 39, and lot 41, as far as I can tell. Is that correct? Lots 25, 39 and 41, those are the existing homes, correct? MR. SMUL: Correct. MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. I don't see them on your yield plan. So did you just include a house in your yield plan or did you include those structures in your yield plan? MR. SMUL: We did the yield plan based on what the current zoning would allow. MR. LINGENFELTER: But those are existing homes, correct? MR. SMUL: Yes. MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. So would there be a reason why they wouldn't be in your yield plan? You haven't subtracted them. You know what I am saying? MR. SMUL: Well, we're not technically going to be building the yield plan. MR. LINGENFELTER: Then why did you do the yield plan? MR. SMUL: Because to get to the RCD, we have to present a yield plan. MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. MR. SMUL: That's, yes, that's what they're asking us to do is, we want to do RCD but they're asking us to design a drawing which we're not technically going to be building. MR. LINGENFELTER: But in your yield plan, you don't have the existing structures. So how would you accommodate

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

those in your yield plan?

MR. SMUL: Well, we're not building the yield plan. 1 MS. MOORE: It's just based on the number of acres. 2 The yield plan is just based on the overall acreage. 3 MR. LINGENFELTER: Right, I understand. But I just, I am just curious as to why they wouldn't be, those structures 5 wouldn't be on the yield plan because those, I would think 6 that they wouldn't be lots. Are those lots owned by somebody 7 or are they part of this? 8 MR. SMUL: Well, they're part of the property. 9 Wе 10 will own them. MR. LINGENFELTER: So nobody is living there? 11 12 MS. MOORE: No. MR. SMUL: No. 13 MS. MOORE: They won't be, no. We're purchasing 14 them. 15 MR. ROSE: Are you going to tear them down? 16 MR. SMUL: Well, that's part of the process of why 17 we kind of left, on our final plan that we want to build, is 18 19 leave those as a lot, so we have the option to tear them down or someone can move in. Like I kind of explained, like, the 20 21 homeowner on the eastern property had expressed some interest 22 of, you knoa, maybe that could be a possibility of him moving 23 into there. 24 MR. LINGENFELTER: Lot 41? 25 MR. SMUL: Yes. 26 MR. LINGENFELTER: Okav. 27 MR. SMUL: Now, that's all just hearsay and talk but we want to keep our flexibility open and not be kind of locked 28 29 into anything. 30 MR. LINGENFELTER: Right.

MR. SMUL: So to move forward, we said, let's plan 1 accordingly for that. So --2 MS. MOORE: The plan is that they may be coming down 3 but, hopefully, keep them up if someone buys them and moves into them. 5 MR. LINGENFELTER: Now, can you tell me, what is the 7 structure on Hoose Road that's got the gray thing on the road right-of-way. What is that? 8 MR. SMUL: That's the clubhouse. 9 MR. LINGENFELTER: Oh, that's the clubhouse. 10 MR. SMUL: Yeah, that's the clubhouse with the 11 little gray. I know you have the small plan. It's harder to 12 13 see. That's, like, parking. MR. LINGENFELTER: Is that a parking lot? 14 MR. SMUL: Yeah, that's parking slots. 15 MR. LINGENFELTER: Gotcha. I was wondering what the 16 lines were. I wasn't sure. 17 MR. SMUL: Yeah, yeah. It probably should have had 18 19 a little symbol on the corner. MR. LINGENFELTER: Right, okay. So that's the 20 21 clubhouse. Now, and the pool is going to be there with the 22 clubhouse? 23 MR. SMUL: Yeah. It's marked on there. It's the 24 little blue area. 25 MS. MOORE: Right there. 26 MR. LINGENFELTER: Are there any other structures 27 anywhere else that would be associated for the residents? 28 MR. SMUL: Not currently planned, no. 29 MR. LINGENFELTER: So strictly that clubhouse, it 30 will be on the corner with the pool and that will all be all

in that one area? 1 MR. SMUL: Yes, yes. 2 MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. Is there a reason behind 3 the structure on the retention pond that runs -- the long, skinny retention pond? You couldn't do anything with that 5 beyond that? Is that your thought? I know it's just a plan 6 but I am curious. 7 MR. SMUL: Wait. What structure? 8 MS. MOORE: Right here? 9 10 MR. LINGENFELTER: The long, yeah, skinny Suez Canal. 11 12 MR. SMUL: Oh, you are talking about the retention 13 area? MR. LINGENFELTER: Yes, the retention area. 14 MR. SMUL: Okay Yeah, that's just the way the 15 engineer kind of designed it. I think once -- This is a 16 preliminary. 17 MR. LINGENFELTER: Sure, right, I understand. 18 19 MR. SMUL: Yeah. So once we get the actual 20 surveying data, it could change a little bit, could be tweaked 21 a little bit, could find a different area for retention. 22 based on the data that he pulled off GIS, this is kind of what 23 he came up with. 24 MR. LINGENFELTER: Now, in your calculation, did you 25 include the retention pond in your calculations for your open 26 Is that included or was that excluded? I am just 27 asking. I'm just curious. 28 MR. SMUL: The retention, it should be included, I 29 believe. 30 MR. LINGENFELTER: I am just asking.

MR. SMUL: Yeah.

MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. Same thing with the one in front that's bordering Hoose there?

MR. SMUL: Yes.

MR. LINGENFELTER: And then on the lots on the back end, on the western part of the property that are marked, delineated as wetlands and then wetlands impact, you have two lots that are directly on that. Is there going to be any consideration as far as, you know, because it looks to me like that the one on lot 16, the back corner of that house would kind of impact the wetland. Is that your thought?

MR. SMUL: Yeah, it's showing impact. And I know your copy is probably pretty small but it is showing light green, an impact there.

MR. LINGENFELTER: Right, right.

MR. SMUL: And then towards the back of the lot it's darker green.

MR. LINGENFELTER: Right.

MR. SMUL: So I think we're under the half acre. I know we're under the half acre threshold for wetlands. We tried to --

MR. LINGENFELTER: Are there plans to mitigate or you're just -- Are you going to mitigate that or are you going to leave it as is.

MR. SMUL: No, leave it as is, yeah. And when the final design, you know what I mean, when we get the final data and all the readings and things like that for the plan and retention area, it could tweak a little bit. We're trying not to impact the wetlands as much as possible. As you can tell, our plan, pretty much, stays out of that area. We would

prefer to be under .1. 1 MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. What about lot 4? 2 mean, lot 4, that house is right smack in the middle of the 3 wetlands. MR. SMUL: That one can really not do anything 5 That's pretty much where that road's got to go. For 6 Lake County Planning, you know, Canterwood Trail has to 7 connect to another street up to Hoose. 8 MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. 9 10 MR. SMUL: So that was the most logical spot and, unfortunately, we could not avoid that. And I think that's 11 .09, I believe. 12 MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. All right. And then the 13 cemetery, it's, what, in 21, lot 21, the cemetery, or, no, 21? 14 22? 20? 15 16 MR. SMUL: It's kind of in that cul-de-sac, actually, by 17, 18 area, from what --17 18 MR. LINGENFELTER: Oh, 17, 18. MR. SMUL: 19 Yeah. 20 MR. LINGENFELTER: Gotcha. 21 MR. SMUL: It's like right in the front of those 22 lots. So that's another thing. You know, once we get that, 23 obviously, relocated, it won't be an issue. 24 MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. And then Canterwood Trail 25 will all connect all the way through -- What is that, Hobby Horse? I forget -- Hobby Horse back there, I think? 26 27 MR. SMUL: Yeah, yeah, so it goes, yeah. 28 MR. LINGENFELTER: Hit Hobby Horse and then it will join to Hoose Road? 29 30 MR. SMUL: Yeah, goes on the other side of Button.

MR. LINGENFELTER: Gotcha, okay. 1 MR. SMUL: So, yeah, there should be good access, 2 two accesses out of there. 3 MR. LINGENFELTER: Have you given any thought on lots 27 and 28 where they transition from the RCD into 5 existing, the existing homes? Have you given any thought to 6 transitioning that in any way, shape or form? 7 MR. SMUL: Yeah, that's where I was mentioning 8 earlier, 27 and 28, they're wider lots. So we might, more 9 10 than likely, have those as single-family homes. They might not be Epcon product but they might be, could still be a ranch 11 12 or could be single family. 13 MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. MR. SMUL: So that's why we made them wider, is to 14 accommodate a different product. 15 MR. LINGENFELTER: I understand. 16 MR. SMUL: Yeah. 17 MR. LINGENFELTER: I understand we're basically 18 19 spitballing here and, you know, nothing is in stone but I just 20 want to understand where you are going with this. 21 MR. SMUL: Yeah, the intention on that, on those two 22 lots, is to make them wider lots so, like I think I mentioned 23 earlier, the transition from Hobby Horse, Canterwood Trail 24 coming up and then, all of the sudden, now you're kind of in 25 the new community. So we're trying to make that transition --26 MS. MOORE: Flow. 27 MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. 28 MR. SMUL: As best as possible. 29 MR. LINGENFELTER: Right, gotcha. I think that's, 30 pretty much, it for me at this point. I think you answered

all my questions. Thank you very much.

MR. SMUL: Oh, you're welcome.

MR. LINGENFELTER: Appreciate it.

MR. SMUL: If you have any questions, email me, call me.

MR. LINGENFELTER: Oh, you know me. I'll ask.

MR. SMUL: I know.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Moving through the list on the Zoning Commission, Frank Schindler, participating by the phone, if you have any comments or questions for the applicant.

MR. SCHINDLER: I just have a comment. We have developers come in for conservation development. My understanding is you always want to be able to minimize your footprint in the area being considered to be developed, in other words, minimize all the area and don't disturb the land as it is. You keep the enhancement and the beauty that people can enjoy. And I notice on this plan, you have already decided to alter the two existing ponds that are there into such a configuration you're going to disturb everything that we look to keep. They're going to be altering both those ponds. And that's one of the things that I find kind of disturbing.

That's the reason why we want developers to use this plan, to minimize the construction areas and to enhance the beauty of the land. But then this plan that you are proposing, you're going to be altering both those ponds, which means you're going to be disturbing them. And Lord knows how many years it would take to get back to something that's nice looking and maybe keep the wildlife coming in and maybe making

in that area, fish or whatever that might be there. You're losing it all by this type of development and I find that somewhat disturbing, to be honest with you. That's my comment.

MR. SMUL: Can I comment on that?

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Sure.

MR. SMUL: Those are man-made ponds, just so you know. They're not, they're not natural ponds. Those are man-made. The owners of the property put those on there.

MR. SCHINDLER: But aren't those ponds already nicely -- I mean, they're ponds themselves. I am sure they already have grown up over the years and they already have a character of their own. And from what I understand, you're going to be going back in there and digging them up and changing everything, which diverts, you know, defeats the object of keeping the land pristine, as it is today. It's going to take years for it to ever take some kind of, you know, character of its own once you've torn into it and altered it. That's my understanding, what you're planning on doing.

MR. SMUL: We are going to try to develop the ponds as best as we can to meet all the natural drainage of the property. So that's, either way, any pond or retention area is going to have to be adjusted a little bit because we -- This is a preliminary. So once we get the final preliminary, once we do all the engineering, the engineer is going to -- these, those ponds could be sufficient on how they are but we're going to have to figure out, they're going to have to do calculations and work with Stormwater to meet that regulation.

MR. SCHINDLER: I would, purposefully, that's one of

the things I would take under consideration in looking at this 1 proposal, is how much those ponds, for example, or anything 2 else is going to be altered in such way that we have defeated 3 the purpose of the enhancement of the property the way it currently is, in this pristine condition as it is today. I 5 just wanted to let you know what I am going to be looking at. 6 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Yeah. I think just to, I mean, 7 unless Frank has anything else to add, I think, you know, the 8 purpose of the pre-application meeting is just for this 9 10 pre-dialogue before you apply. So, you know, it's nice that Frank shares his perspective and you can kind of go to, you 11 12 know, have that in your mind. So when you get to the point of application, that's -- you have heard a Zoning Commissioner 13 express concern about that point. 14 MR. SMUL: Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: So moving through the order, 16 I've got Hiram next on my list, if you have any questions, 17 18 comments. 19 MR. REPPERT: Sure. Lots, I guess, 8 through maybe 20 11, 8 through 10, they're in the existing pond right now. 21 that really going to be a buildable lot? 22 MR. SMUL: Yes. 23 MR. REPPERT: You are going to set a house in a 24 pond, a filled-in pond? 25 MR. SMUL: The pond is not going to be there, yeah. 26 MR. REPPERT: I know, but it's going to be filled 27 in, right? 28 MR. SMUL: Yes, correct. 29 MR. REPPERT: Okay. 30 I should say, yeah, it will be altered. MR. SMUL:

MR. REPPERT: Okay. Well, then lots 42 through 45, 1 they're, in my opinion, they're debatable whether they're 2 going to be buildable or not with the slope of the land. That 3 drops off pretty quick. MR. SMUL: These are going to be walk-outs, the 5 6 basement. 7 MR. REPPERT: The basement, that's for sure they 8 are. MR. SMUL: Yeah. 9 10 MR. REPPERT: And then --MR. DONDORFER: Hiram, which one were you talking 11 12 about? MR. SMUL: Yeah, we built on --13 MR. REPPERT: 45 through 42. 14 MR. SMUL: Yeah, we have built on slopes like that 15 16 before, so that's not new. MR. REPPERT: And then coming in on Canterwood 17 18 Trail, you did the transition on the one side of the road but not the other side. Why is that? Lots 26 and 27 is your 19 20 transition from one, from R-1 into your RCD, but 28 and 29 and 21 30 aren't, those three don't -- that side of the road doesn't 22 have a transition. 23 MR. SMUL: No, it does not. 24 MR. REPPERT: Any reason why it wasn't? 25 MR. SMUL: You know, we decided just on that side of the street for right now, with the house there looking on the 26 27 left when you're driving or depending which way you're driving, that you are kind of looking at that side of the 28 29 street, so you're not looking at the other side of the street. MR. REPPERT: Well, okay. And then the one thing I 30

noticed, that the parks are all in the back, in the back of the lots and there is no access to any of the parks, except the one with the proposed swimming pool, from the street. So you have to go through somebody's yard to get into one of the parks, any of the parks.

MR. SMUL: Well, those, a lot of the parks are going to be wooded. So that's why we're trying to create one community area, so everyone can go and enjoy that open space but yet have the beauty of the property behind the lots so people look out their windows in the back and see all the trees and all the greenery. So that's where we want people to go to congregate in one area rather than in five different parks on one piece of property.

MR. REPPERT: All right. Thank you. That's it for me.

MR. SMUL: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Rich Peterson, participating by phone, comments? questions?

MR. PETERSON: Yes, I have just a question about the open space, which is about just under 14 acres, divided into what are four distinct different parks. My question is, what are your thoughts on landscaping of those areas, particularly along the perimeter of Hoose Road and Morley Road?

MR. SMUL: Landscaping, well, landscaping on Morley Road, that's a ravine in the back there. We can't even touch most of that on Morley Road because there is a big ravine there and there is trees, pretty much, along that whole street.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ PETERSON: I know along Hoose Road there are a number of old fruit trees that blossom every spring and

they're kind of where the reconfigured existing pond is today and the pond will be there in the future. But the other areas along Hoose Road, would there be any thoughts of planting something, like pine trees or something like that, along that perimeter so that the neighborhood is kind of set away from Hoose Road?

MR. SMUL: Yeah, we discussed something about putting along that Hoose Road because of just the way the houses on -- face the back of those lots. We haven't come up with a landscape plan yet but that's a good point of addressing that. Yeah, I mean, I agree with you. We should address the landscaping along Hoose Road.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. SMUL: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Rich Iafelice, who is here in person.

MR. IAFELICE: Thank you, Morgan. Thank you for being here and answering some questions. Mine would start, if I may, to the yield plan, so kind of a technical observation that I find the yield plan is not fully developed enough. I don't think the 56 is a reasonable number if you view the yield plan fully developed by an engineer. So there are a number of grading issues, the way the lots are situated, particularly on the wetlands on the yield plan. I do not see -- or I recommend that the yield plan be more fully developed by the engineer to justify 56 lots.

MR. SMUL: I guess I am not --

MR. IAFELICE: It's technical and, again, I don't want to belabor the meeting this evening but I don't think the yield plan is sufficiently developed to justify 56 lots, just

an observation. 1 MR. SMUL: I quess, what specific are you referring 2 to? 3 MR. IAFELICE: I'd have to get into it technically. MR. SMUL: Oh, well, then you can, if you want to 5 6 email me some points because we spent a lot of time and adjustments and we met with Dave and we met with Heather on 7 this plan and went over it and over it and over it. So I just 8 want to understand what we did not address on here that --9 Okay. We can, yeah --10 Sure. The second is related to the MR. IAFELICE: 11 wetlands. Have they been affirmed by the Army Corps of 12 13 Engineers? MR. SMUL: Yes. On the western portion, yes. 14 the eastern portion, not yet. 15 MR. IAFELICE: Okay. So then has the property --16 They have determined a jurisdictional determination for those 17 wetlands? 18 19 MR. SMUL: Are you talking about the eastern 20 portion? 21 MR. IAFELICE: The wetlands in general, has a 22 jurisdictional determination been made by the Army Corps of 23 Engineers? 24 MR. SMUL: Yeah. They affirmed, yes. The study we 25 have and the map we have of that has been affirmed by the Army 26 Corps. 27 MR. IAFELICE: So then what was the conclusion on 28 the ponds? 29 MR. SMUL: The ponds, we can, it was like a half 30 acre that we can fill.

MR. IAFELICE: The ponds are considered waters of the state, so Ohio EPA, you may require a permit from Ohio EPA to basically --

MR. SMUL: You may. I will have to talk to my wetlands consultant because he said we can --

MR. IAFELICE: Yes.

MR. SMUL: Those are man-made ponds, as he explained and we knew. So you can fill up to half an acre of a man-made pond.

MR. IAFELICE: Okay. So my observation, again, is man-made or not, it's existed for a number of years and now it's become a water of the state. So just advice to the engineer.

MR. SMUL: Okay.

MR. IAFELICE: Ohio EPA has got to probably issue a permit for you to do what you're doing.

And then towards that, again, more technical is the stormwater management concept is not fully developed here, as expected.

MR. SMUL: Yeah, just a preliminary, right.

MR. IAFELICE: But just by the contours, it doesn't seem feasible that the drainage can be accommodated going everything south to north as you're demonstrating here. So it would seem to me there is going to be some intermediate basin or some other way to manage the stormwater.

MR. SMUL: Yeah. That's, like I said, we're having our engineer, now, he, you know, he did a field study, he did a topo. So they're going through their process of putting in all the data. So this is all preliminary based off of GIS, which, you know --

MR. IAFELICE: Understood. So, again, my advice, my suggestion is a fully developed yield plan, as well as this, may impact your design significantly.

The third item, I will piggyback on my colleague here related to building on a, building where a pond has set for years is to look at the geology, the subsurface and potential of underground streams in that location so that you design a proper foundation for whatever you build there.

MR. SMUL: Yeah, we're building slabs.

MR. IAFELICE: I have seen them settle.

MR. SMUL: Yes, yes.

MR. IAFELICE: Very quickly, too.

I'll piggyback again on my colleague related to Canterwood and the -- you had identified at 26 and 27 the concept of building a single-family home. Then you're just translating the problem from Hobby Horse down a lot and then the next lot. So still there is, there is still going to be a single-family home next to RCD, if you know what I am saying.

MR. SMUL: Yeah, yeah, anytime you transition from the old subdivision to the new piece of property, there's a transition, yes.

MR. IAFELICE: Right. The way I look at this is create buffer. Use that opportunity for open space to buffer the R-1 from the RCD -- so that's kind of what Hiram was asking about and what Andy was. That's just an observation, again -- as opposed to building single family. Well, then it's still, it's still adjacent to an RCD, the next unit.

MR. SMUL: Right.

MR. IAFELICE: Lastly, just conceptually, the presentation indicated clients of 20th Century. This is

apparently based upon your clients. Was there a market study 1 that you had undertaken? Is this, is there a market for this 2 or --3 MR. SMUL: Yeah, I can -- Probably, Steve can speak to that better because they built these throughout the 5 6 country. 7 MR. IAFELICE: What I am saying, a market, I mean, as opposed to single-family, quarter acre lots, is there a 8 market here, potentially, for a more denser, consolidated, 9 10 preserve more space, like townhomes or duplexes? Has there been any analysis or a market study to look at that as opposed 11 12 to this? MR. SMUL: We have not pursued townhomes or 13 14 duplexes. MR. IAFELICE: Okay. Well, that's just --15 MR. SMUL: I don't know if that would transition 16 well from a single family to -- I think they might be more 17 18 upset, the residents that are on Canterwood Trail and Hobby I think they would want to kind of see something 19 close, similar. I don't even know. Is townhouse even -- We 20 21 really didn't pursue that option. 22 MR. IAFELICE: Okay. Well, on the other side of 23 Ascot Farms, we have duplex condos on the other side, right 24 off of Hoose. 25 MR. SMUL: Is that transition from a different 26 subdivision? 27 MR. IAFELICE: It comes right off of Hoose. It's right next to Ascot Farms. 28 29 MR. SMUL: So it's got it's own separate entrance.

MR. IAFELICE: Entrance, yeah. Just a question.

30

MR. SMUL: Yeah, no, we really felt like the 1 retirement homes, downsizing is a big market and Epcon builds 2 them throughout the country. 3 MR. IAFELICE: I appreciate that. I was just suggesting, could it be in something other than a single-5 family home? That's all. 6 7 MR. SMUL: Okay, gotcha. MR. IAFELICE: Thank you, Morgan. 8 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: And, Ashley, any comments, 9 10 questions? MS. GARCAR: No. 11 12 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Any further comments from the Board of Trustees? 13 MR. LINGENFELTER: Morgan, can I ask another 14 question, please? I can't see you. 15 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Sure, Mr. Lingenfelter. I can't 16 see you. Yeah, go ahead. 17 18 (Whereupon, there was discussion as the easel was 19 taken down.) 20 MR. LINGENFELTER: I think if you look at -- Thanks, 21 I think when you look at the aerial shot of this Heather. 22 and, you know, and take away the white, you know, of both the 23 RCD plan and the yield plan, this is, I think, the aerial 24 photo is much more telling in regards to some of the geography 25 and topography you are dealing with here, especially, you 26 know, Hiram specifically brought up, on the RCD plan, houses 27 42 through 48, you know, on that cul-de-sac. If you look at 28 that, I mean, if you look at the gradations there, I mean, 29 that's not a gently-sloped area. I mean, those grading lines 30 are extremely tight, indicating a very, very sharp dropoff

there. 1 MR. SMUL: Yes. 2 MR. LINGENFELTER: So you say walk-out basement. 3 say half of the back of the house is hanging off a cliff. 4 Okay? But that's, I mean, that's just --5 MR. SMUL: No, I hear what you are saying. But we 6 developed property in Broadview Heights and, literally, houses 7 were half built in ravines. And guess what. Those were the 8 best houses. People spent the most money on those lots 9 10 because the view is absolutely beautiful. So what in your mind, I guess, could be the worst lot, in somebody else's mind 11 12 could be the best lot because they want to walk out. don't want anybody behind them. They have trees. They have 13 greenery. So, you know, beauty is in the eye of the beholder. 14 MR. LINGENFELTER: It's not my thought. It's 15 16 iust --MR. SMUL: Yeah. 17 18 MR. LINGENFELTER: I am looking strictly from the 19 township standpoint. MR. SMUL: Right. 20 21 MR. LINGENFELTER: I mean, who buys what for their 22 own personal residence is their business. I don't really 23 care. 24 MR. SMUL: Right. 25 MR. LINGENFELTER: But I am thinking about what the 26 impact is and the layout and the design overall and what, how 27 things are put in here as a part of the design and how that is going to play with what's going on here. I think that's a 28 29 challenged area. I mean, that's just my personal opinion in looking at this. 30

And I think another area that I think you should take a look at or seriously consider is on the other side in the other cul-de-sac off of Cambridge Court there. It looks to me -- and I don't, again -- just from the aerial view shot that that area in that corner almost looks like there is some gentle sloped, pointed areas that run through there that almost kind of look like there might be a stream or a creek, you know, bed that kind of runs down that, and that would be in the far western corner.

MR. SMUL: Yeah, there's not. We did a wetlands study on there and it's been affirmed. There is no stream in that area.

MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. When you look at the aerial shot and you look at the gradations and everything there, it looks to me like it kind of focuses down that area with the pointed, you know, all the pointed lines going down there. It looks like that might be a creek bed or some sort of ravine with a potential stream in that.

MR. SMUL: Sure.

MR. LINGENFELTER: Those were the two things that just, you know, came up as I was looking at, you know, especially, what Hiram brought up. I thought Hiram brought up a great point with those lots on that cul-de-sac and are those really legitimately houses that can be counted as your design. But I am sure you're going to give that some good thought. And I also think Rich's comments on the, Rich's comments, Iafelice, on the pond and some of the other things, I think, were very valid concerns. And I think I would, you know, if we get to a point where you're going to be in front of the Zoning Commission, I would hope that you'd be prepared to

address those issues. 1 So, other than that, I am good. Thanks, Morgan. 2 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. I've got one more comment 3 here from Hiram and I also do want to recognize we have Dave Radachy, from Lake County Planning Commission. So I will let 5 Hiram shoot and then give Dave an opportunity, if he's got 6 7 anything that he wants to add. MR. REPPERT: On the RCD plan, what's the contour 8 9 spacing? 10 MR. SMUL: The RCD, I believe those are 2 feet. MR. REPPERT: That's every 2 feet? 11 12 MR. SMUL: Yeah, two. MR. REPPERT: Okay. And the same for the yield 13 plan? 14 MR. SMUL: Yes. 15 16 MR. REPPERT: Okay. MR. SMUL: Like I said, it was pulled out of GIS 17 site, so that's what they have. So once we get the actual 18 19 engineer's survey, we will have a better read on the land and 20 the topo and everything. 21 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Dave, would you like to, 22 any comments? 23 MR. RADACHY: Just real quick on the RCD -- on the 24 yield plan, first of all, our first issue is kind of, well, on 25 Morley Road, the sight distance is questionable. The Planning 26 Commission had varied Thatchum not to connect to Morley Road 27 because of sight distance issues, and so the location of this 28 road might be kind of iffy. There is a driveway to the two 29 houses that comes up closer to the sublots off of Thatchum, so

there might be a better location for a road. But without

30

actually doing engineering work on it, we can't say that for certain.

MR. SMUL: Yeah, I did have an engineer look at that, so I can forward that to you.

MR. RADACHY: Okay.

MR. SMUL: He addressed that.

MR. RADACHY: Lot sizes, there were four lots, three lots on the yield plan that do not meet minimum lot size for R-1. Sublots 30, 38 and 46 and 42, those, none of them meet .505 of an acre. They're all at a half acre. Those are all too small. Sublot 42 is at the bottom of a hill. Generally, sewer goes the other way. Sanitary sewer usually goes the other way. So this lot would be very difficult to get sanitary sewer to, so it would be difficult to declare it a .505 lot. One of the criteria for a .505 lot in R-1 is sanitary sewer. That would need to have a grinder pump, at the very leastm; or they could declare that to be a septic lot, which is .75 of an acre. But then you have to deal with the wetlands and you can't put a septic into a wetland.

On this plan, they were proposing to have all, looks like they're having all the existing buildings torn down.

That's all I had on that one, on the yield plan.

On the RCD plan, on the, where the long, existing proposed pond that they're going to do on the north side, behind 49 and 48, is that more than 25 feet wide? According to your regulations, the open space has to be 25 feet wide.

MR. SMUL: It's 25 feet.

MR. RADACHY: Okay. That needs to be marked.

There is a driveway for the two existing houses currently. You're going to keep that for maintenance of that

wetlands or that retention pond on the open space coming off of Morley Road?

MR. SMUL: That would be abandoned.

MR. RADACHY: The open space behind 28 through 32 is actually landlocked. That needs to have some sort of access, an easement or a fee simple so the owners of the open space have access to the open space for maintenance purposes. The location of the Canterwood was recommended to the developers. Regulations would allow it to be moved 150 feet offset off of Trotwood but a four-way intersection is what we would recommend for this property. So we were happy with the location but it doesn't have to be there. We didn't tell him he had to put it there but that's where we would like it to be.

They're showing parking spaces in the right-of-way and that's going to be on top of sanitary sewer lines, water lines and storm sewers and in your right-of-way. It's a public road.

There are some regulations that we will have to adhere to in the subdivision regulations for conservation development and we require 35 foot design buffer from wetlands. So we would like to see them design sublots 15 and 16 -- They're proposing to, they're proposing to mitigate some wetlands. They might need to show that these houses are going to be further away, 35 feet away from the edge of the wetland as a design standard. We don't have regulate -- After the plat is filed, we don't have any enforcement authority on that though.

Does anybody have any questions about the basic subdivision process or any questions on the development?

That's all I have.

MR. DONDORFER: Thanks, Dave.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: I have some correspondence here from Stormwater Management, a couple, two different emails.

I'm just going to go ahead and read them for the record so that you have that feedback as well. The first one is from Chad Edgar to Heather Freeman, dated today.

"The R1 plan shows wetland locations and topography that suggest there are potentially streams on the property. Has the applicant obtained an approved wetland delineation?

If so, the appropriate riparian setbacks should be incorporated on the R-1 plan.

"Also, the open space in the RCD plan should not include stormwater infrastructure. The goal of a 'Resource Conservation District' plan is to protect the natural resource function of the parcel prior to development. A stormwater pond in open space does not accomplish that task. The percentage of open space calculated should only include natural open space; not utility lines and stormwater infrastructure.

"Overall, the proposed RCD plan does protect the majority of wetlands on site. However, the percentage of open space is inflated in my opinion. The plan should be redrawn with only natural areas in the open space." That's from Chad Edgar, a resource specialist.

And the second one, also received today, Wednesday, the 3rd. "Hi Heather. I won't be able to make the pre-app meeting tonight for Canterwood Farms but did have some comments on the pre-lim RCD plan I want to get to you. If you have any questions on these feel free to let me know.

"Plan appears to show the eastern, existing pond to be reconfigured and constructed right along the rear property lines of the houses on Isley Square. The existing topography slopes towards the houses on Isley which may put those homes directly downstream of the reconfigured pond's embankment depending on how the subdivision grading is proposed (ie it would be a safety issue if the embankment ever failed and released water towards those houses on Isley. This is subject, this is subject to how they will grade the subdivision though.)

"The rear of lots 12 through 21 need to be captured and routed to a stormwater pond prior to being discharged off site.

"Stormwater ponds and storm sewers to meet Lake County standards.

And, "Houses to have access to yard drains and drainage features.

"One comment on the R1 yield plan that may be a stretch to reach is that Cambridge Court and surrounding homes would need stormwater management. With the existing topography, I don't think this area's water would be able to make it to the existing pond to the east, therefore another practice would be to implement -- need to be implemented somewhere near Cambridge Court, thus maybe impacting more wetlands or lots. We ran into a similar issue on Eagle Point trying to make arguments but since in depth engineering isn't required on these plans it's difficult to say what would or not be possible. Steve."

members and Mr. Radachy.

So if I don't have any more comments from the Commission, you have some information. I think you got some feedback from boards here tonight, some things potentially to think about. And I know that our Zoning Board and our Zoning Department remain available for your consultation to sort of work through these things.

Do you have any questions for us before we wrap this up or anything you would like to say in closing?

MR. SMUL: No. I appreciate the feedback. It's going to help us develop a plan that, you know, everybody is on board with, hopefully. So that's our main goal is to just get a plan that works for everybody.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay.

MR. SMUL: So the comments are definitely welcomed. We will take those into consideration and try to implement them in the plan.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Yeah, thank you for showing up.

MR. DONDORFER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: And, again, yeah, I hope you take the comments we've had here constructively. This is our opportunity to dialogue with you before you make an application.

MR. SMUL: Right.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Obviously, if you made an application and we were in process now, you'd be further into it, and it's probably nice to have this feedback now. So we like the fact that you're interested in doing something in Concord and we like conservation. So --

MR. SMUL: It would be, I think, it would be a really nice community in the area that I don't think there is anything like it. CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. MR. DONDORFER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: All right. Thank you. MR. SMUL: Thank you. CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: All right. With that, I am going to end the special meeting. And I think what we will do is take a five-minute break just to transition from the special meeting to the trustee meeting. So we will close this meeting and we will convene the trustee meeting in about five minutes. (Whereupon, the pre-application conference was closed at 7:31 p.m.)

STATE OF OHIO 1 CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA 2) I, Melinda A. Melton, Registered Professional 3 Reporter, a notary public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that, to the best of my ability, the foregoing proceeding was reduced by me to stenotype shorthand, subsequently 5 transcribed into typewritten manuscript; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of said 6 proceedings so taken as aforesaid. 7 I do further certify that this proceeding took place at the time and place as specified in the foregoing 8 caption and extension completed without adjournment. 9 I do further certify that I am not a friend, relative, or counsel for any party or otherwise interested 10 in the outcome of these proceedings. 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office this 11th day of February 2021. 13 14 15 16 Melinda A. Melton Registered Professional Reporter 17 Notary Public within and for the 18 State of Ohio 19 My Commission Expires: 20 February 4, 2023 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29

30

CONCORD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF TRUSTEES LAKE COUNTY, OHIO REGULAR MEETING

Held via Webex Teleconference and YouTube Live Streaming

Concord Town Hall 7229 Ravenna Road Concord, Ohio 44077

February 3, 2021 7:30 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Present on behalf of the Board of Trustees:

Morgan McIntosh, Chair Amy Lucci, Vice Chair Carl Dondorfer, Trustee Amy Dawson, Fiscal Officer

Also Present:

Andy Rose, Administrator
Michael Lucas, Esq., Legal Counsel
Matt Sabo, Fire Chief
Tim Brown, Service Director
Heather Freeman, Zoning Director
Debra Bechel-Esker, Recreation Director

Melton Reporting
11668 Girdled Road
Concord, Ohio 44077
(440) 946-1350

7:38 p.m. 1 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Good evening. I would 2 like to call to order the Concord Township Board of Trustee 3 meeting for February 3, 2021. Would you please rise and join me in the Pledge of Allegiance. 5 (Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) 6 7 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Mrs. Dawson, the roll call, please. 8 MS. DAWSON: Mrs. Lucci? 9 MS. LUCCI: Present. 10 MS. DAWSON: Mr. Dondorfer? 11 MR. DONDORFER: Present. 12 MS. DAWSON: Mr. McIntosh? 13 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Present. 14 Next order of business is the approval of minutes 15 from the January 20, two thousand and --16 17 MS. DAWSON: Twenty-one. 18 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Is that supposed to be '21 19 trustee meeting? 20 MS. DAWSON: Correct. 21 MS. LUCCI: Mr. Chairman, I move that we approve --22 MR. ROSE: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. That's my 23 fault. 24 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: It threw me off there, Andy. 25 MS. LUCCI: Mr. Chairman, I move to approve the minutes from January 20, 2021, regular trustees meeting. 26 MR. DONDORFER: And I will second. 27 28 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: All in favor? 29 (Three aye votes, no nay votes.) 30 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Moving on, Elected

Official Reports, starting with Amy Dawson, Fiscal Officer.

MS. DAWSON: Thank you very much, Mr. McIntosh. As of January 31st, we were 8 percent of the way through the new calendar year. Treasury balance for the 19 active funds within the township Treasury was \$5.4 million. The Treasury balance also includes \$374,237 in funds set aside for specific projects. Year-to-date expenditures were 14 percent of the temporary budget for 2021 and revenue was 1 percent. So we will be slightly over budget until the real estate taxes come in during the month of March.

We will be holding our annual Appropriations
Workshop with the Trustees on Friday; and after completing the draft, I will ask the Trustees to approve the 2021 permanent appropriations the second meeting in February.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call our office at 354-7516.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Thank you.

MS. DAWSON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: And Trustees, Mrs. Lucci.

MS. LUCCI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We had a couple meetings the last couple weeks, an economic development and our strategic planning meetings with our department heads, along with our Fiscal Officer. We heard great information about the township, how we've grown, what our needs are, and they've offered great insight into things to consider for the near future. We discussed, you know, roads that need repaired, equipment issues, some structures to consider. We have talked about our bicentennial year coming up, plans for recreation. And we are in process of prioritizing things based on needs and, of course, fiscally, being fiscally

responsible.

We have a lot of decisions to make. Obviously, our fire station project is still forefront. Our intention is to keep our community safe and maintain the general welfare by, you know, eliminating service interruptions, if possible, looking for good directions that best serve Concord fiscally again and safety wise. We are looking at, for the Fire Station project, all options very closely. We're intently researching what the best decisions are to move this forward. If you have any questions, please feel free to reach out with any feedback.

This is also our annual Ohio Township Association

Conference and we're doing this virtually this week. So we

are enjoying some nice presentations which enhance our ability

to serve Concord.

And we are also continuing to monitor the COVID situation and the vaccination schedules, and those are moving along, I think, pretty well for Lake County.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Thank you, Mrs. Lucci. Mr. Dondorfer.

MR. DONDORFER: Thank you, Mr. McIntosh. I will start out, I had a couple calls from some residents regarding some safety issues in the township. As Mrs. Lucci indicated, safety is a priority for this Board of Trustees. With that, a resident expressed concern again regarding the traffic signal at the intersection of Morley and Prouty due to a recent serious accident that was there. We are in the process, with the Lake County Engineer's Office, of having that intersection evaluated by a private consultant. It looks like down the road that that traffic signal will be replaced, more than

likely, with some type of left green turn signal. We are staying on top of that and it is a priority for the Board.

Also, I had a concern about the recent accidents on the I-90 corridor, specifically in the area of 202. I am very familiar with that area from my law enforcement days. And due to the, I think, a lot of it is -- maybe the Fire Chief will agree with me -- is weather related. It is the way that that lake effect comes over that area. But at the same time, the residents, some residents had concern on whether or not ODOT was making needed passes through there with pretreatment of the roadway and salting and plowing and things of that nature. I assured them that, you know, we had spoken with our Service Director and confirmed with ODOT that, you know, they're aware of the issue there.

We also are -- I assured the resident that we have a variable speed limit that was implemented there. And as soon as we have inclination or information that the weather is turning bad in that area, it's immediately relayed to the state and they mitigate that speed limit to a lower speed. So we are on top of that and we want to make sure that people traveling through that area do stay safe.

I echo Mrs. Lucci's statements regarding the strategic planning meetings we had. We were very busy. The last, the last couple weeks, we had two great meetings. It was very beneficial to me to hear about, first, the plans that were discussed and put into place over the years in Concord Township prior to this Board coming into office. It sort of, for me, delineated a road map of where Concord has been, where it was prior, where we're moving towards, things of that nature. So that was very informative.

We also did meet with all of our department heads during the other meeting regarding forecasting of future needs from their departments. In Concord Township, we have a lot going on. As Amy said, we heard from Service on the roads that need repair and a plan for that; what's going on with Recreation, our bicentennial; and, lastly, the Fire Department. And I can tell you that we are very determined to move this plan forward responsibly with a lot of dialogue, not only with the Board and township officials but, you know, willing to entertain input from the community, as we did in the stakeholder meetings.

I think it's important, as we move this project forward with the, you know, the approval of the levy, we have an obligation to our residents to, you know, give them the forum to participate in this and to give us feedback. And we, our plan collectively, I know, is to be transparent and responsible as we go through this project to make sure we do it appropriately and we do it the right way. So I'm looking forward to that.

And we hope all of our residents are staying safe and healthy during, you know, the pandemic. We wish everybody the best. Again, I'd like to remind people to patronize our local establishments as we're navigating through these challenging times. And if we can be of any assistance, please don't hesitate to contact myself or any of the other Trustees.

Thank you, Mr. McIntosh.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Thank you, Mr. Dondorfer.

I received a few calls since our last meeting. It's the second call, actually, in the last few months about AT&T service. And I know I've been talking with Andy, our

Administrator, about finding out what their intentions are in a couple of different pockets of the township. I know people were requesting either the U-Verse or AT&T fiber service that I didn't realize wasn't in as many places as I thought it was. So we are hunting down that one.

I've taken a few zoning questions regarding some potential violations and violations. I've been talking to Ms. Freeman about some potential changes in the zoning code. And we just wrapped up a pre-RCD conference. For anyone that wasn't paying attention or wasn't at that meeting, that was a conversation about an applicant looking to rezone some property in Concord to take advantage of our conservation zoning, and it was the first step in our process where they got to dialogue with the Trustee Board and the Zoning Commission and some county officials as far as how that might go. If that application comes to fruition, there will be a public meeting process where both boards will have a hearing and a public meeting process. So the public will have an opportunity to comment at that time.

Did have a couple concerns with respect to some of the ongoing, what the impact to our community is going to be as far as the construction of the fire department. I know there's some questions as far as how it will impact the neighborhood when we get around to working on Station 2 over there on North Meadow and Prouty Road and I have had some people ask about service and how that's going to go. We're, obviously, working on that with the Fire Department and with our architect. So there's a lot of moving parts there to discuss.

And I am also enjoying OTA, although I am a little bit

disappointed I don't get to go to Columbus. Sitting on a Zoom meeting, it is too easy to get distracted and do something else, but there's been some good stuff. The legislative update was interesting. And I thought it was fun that the governor made light of townships in the sense that he talked about, in his opening remarks on Monday, he said -- What was it? He talked about living in a township and said that, "Well, you know, Fran and I have protesters outside of our house." And he said one of the things that they do, the protesters do to encourage people to come is say, "Well, you don't have to worry about getting in trouble because they live in a township. There is no noise ordinance." I thought it was a pretty good punch line to open up. It was on a CLOUT meeting, Coalition of Large Urban Townships. I did that this afternoon, got some -- Our Service Director, Tim Brown, is in trouble because I sat in on a road, maintaining safe roads thing. So we're going to have a conversation at some point here.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

But I think that's about it for my report at this point. So we will continue on with the meeting and we will open up with our Administrator's report, Mr. Andy Rose.

MR. ROSE: Thank you, Mr. McIntosh, members of the Board, Mrs. Dawson, audience members. And to our law director, Mr. Lucas, a happy birthday to you, sir.

MR. LUCAS: Thank you very much. There's no place I'd rather be.

MR. ROSE: Good to see you tonight celebrating your birthday with us here at the trustee meeting. Thank you.

On tonight's resolution (sic) is Resolution 2021-02 regarding the bond issuance for the Fire Stations Project. I

am happy that our bond counsel, Mr. Ryan Callender, sitting here in the first row, is here to address anything that you may have or ask for amplification on once we get to that item on the agenda, and that is Item C on the agenda.

There is another resolution, 2021-03, that authorizes us to accept the latest NOPEC grant for energy efficient upgrades. This year's grant amount is approximately \$45,000. So I encourage passage of that.

The design development documents, as you are well aware, are complete and they've been submitted to us by the architect's team. Thanks very much to the Fire Department, we have placed those drawings on the website under the "Concord Facts Fire Stations" tab. For anyone who wishes to view them, they are out there. Due to their size, they were broken into two parts but the complete sets are there for viewing.

This ties into Item E, which is on the agenda, which is the next round of architect fees, which moves us into the detailed construction documents, along with the bidding and procurement services for both stations. This amount is slightly less than the original amount that was quoted on May 29th of 2019, almost two years ago, so this is no surprise. And the previous amount was approximately \$732,000 and this one is \$725,000 and some change.

As I discussed during our strategic planning meeting, the township-wide trash contract proposal is currently with our legal counsel for review. So once I get that back from legal counsel with his comments, I am sure there will be more discussion prior to making any decisions on going out for bid with the Board.

In speaking of the strategic planning meeting, all

the slide presentations from all the departments have been posted on the website. They're under the "Public Meetings" tab. The agenda was previously posted and now the entire -- I think there is 69 or 70 slides from all the departments. They've all been posted in the same order as the agenda on the website for anyone who wants to view those. And that concludes my report. Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Thank you, Mr. Rose.

And next we will be hearing from our Fire Department, Chief Sabo.

CHIEF SABO: Good evening, everyone. For the month of January, we had a total of 199 events. We had 131 EMS calls, with 102 transports to area hospitals. We had three fire events in Concord, one vehicle fire, one chimney fire, and one oven fire. We gave mutual aid to our neighbors 17 times and we received mutual aid 16 times.

Our fire prevention bureau was, once again, busy in January. They completed 40 inspections, accounting for approximately 60 hours. They had four plan reviews, which included Seven Brothers Distillery, Golden Gloves, Well Shake Shop, and COVID Testing Ohio. They used about six hours for training and continuing education for their own certifications and performed one fire investigation in Concord and then one mutual aid, which accounted for six hours. We had two residential lockboxes installed and two returned.

They keep checking on the construction sites.

Golden Gloves is in -- complete. The COVID Testing Ohio is also complete. And Well Shake Shop, demo is complete and they're working on or waiting on sprinkler change plans.

Just as a reminder, Friday, February 5th is Wear Red

Day. This is an American Heart Association women's initiative to raise awareness of heart disease in women. Cardiovascular disease is the number one killer of women. Join the movement to help our mothers, wives, sisters, and daughters live happier, heart-healthier lives. Learn the signs and symptoms of heart disease and stroke, learn CPR -- which we do offer, by the way -- and know when to call 911. And if you are not sure when to call 911, just call us if you're not sure and don't know what to do. We'll help you through it.

On a side note, lately, you know, we reported at the strategic planning meeting a lot about the temporary conditions at Station 2. The information I had at the time was, kind of, caught me off guard with the quotes that we were getting on temporary tent facilities to house the fire engine. I am not going to accept over \$100,000 to rent a tent for 12 months, that's just extreme.

So we have some options. We have two circumstances that we have to deal with on that side of town during -- for temporary conditions, the first being housing the firefighters, so probably like a mobile home type atmosphere or idea. Whether we rent that or purchase and then resell, those are a couple options that we're looking at. Moving away from the tent idea, the tent rental for the engine, we're looking at a temporary structure that can be put up and used for our engine and then taken down and relocated or repurposed in some way.

So those are a couple of the options that we're looking through. Still waiting on quotes from several people but that's certainly where we're at now with the temporary conditions. That's been what I've been working on since our

strategic plannning on Friday.

Do you have any questions for me?

MS. DAWSON: No, but I would like a comment.

CHIEF SABO: Sure.

MS. DAWSON: So the Trustees are aware -- I don't know whether I emailed you or not -- originally when I discussed with Mr. McIntosh the purchase order for this evening, I planned on taking some of that out of the Fire Department Capital Projects Fund. And with speaking with Chief today, the two of us determined that the money that's in that account right now is probably good to put toward any type of temporary facilities. So when I request agreement for the LeMay Erickson purchase order, all of that will be taken out of the JEDD Fund initially instead of the \$75,000. And I apologize. It was just one of those kind of crazy days. It can be changed anytime before the payment comes through, but we thought, for budgeting and planning, it will be nice to separate so that we can keep track of the temporary facilities as opposed to being commingled in all the JEDD funds. Okay?

MR. DONDORFER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. I don't -- I assume, as you get a little further on harder options, we will have some opportunity to take a look at that and pro/con and all that stuff.

CHIEF SABO: Yes.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: So I appreciate your diligence and it's nice to hear that you are kind of like, I am drawing the line in the sand and I am going to rethink this. I think we appreciate that.

MR. DONDORFER: Right.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Your willingness to be flexible on that, so that's good. I look forward to continued discussion about how we're going to solve that problem. So thank you.

CHIEF SABO: You bet. Thank you.

MR. DONDORFER: Thanks, Chief.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Moving along, Mr. Brown, our Service Director.

MR. BROWN: Good evening. In the month of January, the Service Department responded to 11 dispatches for snow and ice conditions. We used approximately 540 tons of road salt. This weekend I had the -- or this week I had the opportunity to sit with the Administrator during the Ohio Township Association virtual presentation on road maintenance and construction, so bring it on. (Laughter.) The presentation covered the different options in maintaining, preserving asphalt roads, which we will definitely look into.

When the crews are not out fighting the snow, they've been busy maintaining and restoring equipment and performing building maintenance, such as painting and building new countertops for the Community Center restrooms.

The mailbox snow barricade program continues to be successful. The Service Department installed eight new barricades last month. The barricades are available to residents for a charge of \$40. Residents can find the snow barricade application on the Concord website.

We continue to work with the Lake County Stormwater Department to enhance water drainage throughout, stormwater drainage throughout the township. We are also looking forward to the bid opening for the 2021 road resurfacing projects in

the coming week.

We would like to remind residents to contact the Service Department with any questions, concerns at 350-3225 or email at ConcordTwp.com. The Service Department hopes everyone stays safe and healthy for the rest of the winter season. Does anyone have any questions for me?

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: No.

MR. DONDORFER: Thanks, Tim.

MR. BROWN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Moving right along, the Zoning Department, Mrs. Freeman.

MS. FREEMAN: Good evening. During the month of January, the Zoning Department processed 21 zoning permit applications and collected \$3,650 in fees. Additionally in January, we performed 43 site inspections for open zoning permits and followed up on one new potential zoning violation.

As far as the zoning boards activities, last night our Zoning Commission met at Town Hall for their monthly meeting. We continued our work session to discuss potential zoning amendments to the RCD district regulations and microdistilleries. For those of you that might not be aware, one of the duties of the Zoning Commission is to act in an advisory capacity to the Board of Trustees on matters concerning amendments to the Zoning Resolution and the Zoning Map. In addition, the Zoning Commission also reviews applications for site plan review for new commercial projects, nonresidential uses, and multi-family projects.

Next week, our Board of Zoning Appeals will have their meeting. This month's agenda includes one hearing for a variance for a residential property and a hearing for an

application for conditional use permits that are being requested for a commercial property on Gold Court. The meeting information can be found on the township website at ConcordTwp.com under "Public Meetings." I am happy to answer any other questions about any of those items or any specific questions about the application from residents that might be interested in attending or listening in at those meetings. So thank you.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Thank you.

MR. DONDORFER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: All right. And Deb Esker, from Recreation.

MS. ESKER: Good evening, everybody.

MR. ROSE: Good evening.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Baby, it's cold outside, but with Valentine's Day in a few short weeks, we've got plans to warm you up with some high-energy classes and some heartwarming programs. So here is what's happening in February. Power up that PowerPoint, Rick, please. Thank you.

The Community Center is the place to be for residents and guests looking for fun and inspiring leisure classes this month. Our February class schedule includes Martial Arts for adults and juniors, POUND, Yoga Pilates Fusion, Baton Twirling For Kids, a stained glass class that makes these cute little Crazy Birds, a chalk couture class that makes spinning signs, a twig art class, making a Valentine heart, and a Getting Ready for Retirement class. We're also offering another family-fun adventure box kit this month that includes materials to make a Valentine birdhouse, sun-catchers, a heart-shaped pizza, and more. Sign up online

through the township website or call the Recreation Department for personal assistance.

Our senior socials have resumed this year in a safe and creative way. Earlier today, our first senior program of 2021 was a drive-by soup give-away, courtesy of the Concord Family Restaurant, located at 9853 Johnnycake Ridge Road in Concord Plaza and well-known for their awesome soups. Our next senior social will be a spring craft lesson through Zoom on February 17th. Seniors are encouraged to call the Recreation Department to get easy instructions on how to participate. And thanks again to Concord Family Restaurant for their kind donation and sponsorship of our Soup for You program today.

The Community Center will host another Red Cross Blood Drive on Monday, February 8th, from 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. There are plenty of appointments still available, so please consider signing up at RedCrossBlood.org. All donations are tested for COVID-19 antibodies and results are available within one to two weeks through the donor account that you set up when you schedule your appointment online.

Valentine's Day is just around the corner, and to celebrate, the Recreation Department is teaming up with Concord's own Peace, Love and Little Donuts for a special Valentine's treat. Just drive through the parking lot of the Community Center on Friday, February 12th, between noon and 2:00, and pick up your free Valentine and treat. Stay in your car and we will hand it directly to you through your window. This is our way of saying we love our residents. Quantities are limited and preregistration is required. Simply sign up through our website or call the Recreation Department for

personal assistance. And, again, thanks to Peace, Love and Donuts for sponsoring this program.

There is still time to enter Concord's Best Snowman Contest. Build your best snowman and take a picture of yourself with your masterpiece and enter it in the contest by February 28th. Don't miss your chance at winning this year's trophy and neighborhood bragging rights. Entry forms are online, or simply email your picture to Recreation@ ConcordTwp.com and we'll take care of the rest.

Entries for Concord Township's 31st Annual Photo
Contest are being accepted now through February 26th. Enter
online at our website or simply drop off your photos at the
Community Center and we'll take it from there. Then cast your
vote for your favorite picture between March 6th and 21st.
Prizes will be awarded to the Best of Show and first, second
and third place in each category. Anyone can enter this
contest and images can come from virtually anywhere, including
your phone. So send in that picture you took of the beautiful
sunset and that happy puppy because that 2021 trophy could be
yours.

And, finally, the Recreation Department is sending out big hugs and well wishes for a very happy Valentine's Day. I'm reminded of one of my favorite quotes frequently seen on the internet this time of year, which really fits with the past 12 months and also in honor of Mr. Lucas's birthday today. "Tell someone you love them today because life is short, but also shout it in a foreign language because life is terrifying and confusing." But, seriously, we're choosing to focus on the love, so happy Valentine's Day, everyone, and thank you for your time tonight.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Thank you, Deb.

Okay. And wrapping up Department Reports, we will have Concord law. And this evening, we have the privilege of having a retired Lake County Sheriff's deputy give the report. So, Mr. Dondorfer, take it away.

MR. DONDORFER: Unfortunately, our representatives at the Sheriff's Department had a last-minute schedule conflict, so I am honored to be wearing my old hat. So with that, the Sheriff's Department had 939 calls for service. Of those calls, there were a total of 24 accidents, 11 injury accidents and 13 property damage motor vehicle accidents.

A total of six arrests for the month. There was an arrest of a juvenile male for disorderly conduct and underage consumption, an arrest of an adult male for disorderly conduct, an arrest of another adult male for telephone harassment and filing a false police report, an arrest of an adult male for a protection order violation, and an arrest of an adult female for a motor vehicle theft involving OVI/DUI and possession of illegal narcotics, and the last arrest was of an adult female for possession of illegal narcotics.

A total of 37 alarm responses. There were four citations issued for motor vehicle infractions, 68 written warnings. There was one driving under suspension citation and one DUI.

Business checks: 115, crime prevention cards: 75.

That's when the deputies are out in the neighborhoods

patroling and might come across some suspicious circumstance

or open garage late at night or something else that they do a

community prevention card on.

New criminal investigations for the month of

January, there was one breaking and entering of a vehicle, there was four disorderly conducts, three domestic disturbances, there was a drug investigation, nine threats/harassment reports, there was 11 mental health case reports, eight scam reports, two stolen vehicles, 55 suspicious circumstances, ten theft investigations, and one vandalism. That's all I have, Mr. McIntosh. Thank you.

Moving right along to the next item on the agenda would be the Audience portion. Is there anybody from the audience present in Town Hall that would like to say anything? (No response.) Okay. And hearing none, is there anybody participating virtually that would like to speak? (No response.)

Okay. We will move along to our Old Business, which we have none, and we will be moving into our New Business. I know during our reports everybody mentioned the strategic planning meeting and I didn't say but we did have some great amount of information. I think it was wise that we broke it into two meetings because I think that was probably in excess of at least seven to eight hours of some really in-depth, some good history going back a number of years to understand why certain things are the way they are and some of the events that got us where we are. And, of course, we got the departments to give us their look going forward.

And, you know, it's interesting. I was thinking about something with respect to the township. I mean, we've got multiple department heads that have been here. I mean, our Administrator is coming up on his third anniversary.

We've got Mr. Brown, who is coming up on his first year

anniversary. And we have, I know Chief's been here for five years. And Ms. Freeman has been a department head for about five years?

MS. FREEMAN: Six years.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Six years, okay.

MS. FREEMAN: No, no, no, department head for three years.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay, so three years. And we've got two trustees that are about a year. So it was interesting and, you know, we have our senior here, Ms. Dawson, who is our resident who has all of the -- knows where all the bodies are buried, I guess.

MS. DAWSON: I used to.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Yeah. Lost track of that?

MS. DAWSON: Well, I am no longer cemetery sexton.

a lot of things to cover and so I kind of wanted to take a minute with the Board and talk about some of our key take-aways. I know we've had a lot of requests to explore the trash hauler contract. We've got a bicentennial coming up, we're almost a year away from it. We've got the fire stations. We've got a lot on our plates. So I wanted to see what the Board's take-away was there or big take-aways.

MR. DONDORFER: Yeah, I think there is, like you said, there is a lot going on in the township. Those meetings were very beneficial. You know, we have a lot of development and programs and plans going on in Concord. You talk about, you know, the bicentennial. There has been a lot of planning in that. We are looking at an excellent event to celebrate our bicentennial. There's a lot of work and effort that goes

into that.

We've had a lot of good discussion on our roadways that we're responsible for and how we plan on, you know, taking care of those throughout the years.

The fire station is, you know, obviously, our priority right now. We had some good dialogue with the Chief and Deputy Chief on some initial discussions on how we're going to move that project along. But we have, you know, a long way to go with that and that's why I think we are collectively going to have some discussion in these meetings so the public, one, is aware of what our plan is, and we're bringing in, you know, Mr. Callender tonight to, hopefully, give us some summaries on one of our votes tonight.

So, you know, zoning, there's a lot going on with zoning. We talked about the zoning violations and, you know, what has been done in the past and where we're looking at going in the future as far as any type of, you know, violation or what type of action needs to be taken.

So there has been a lot that has gone on that we're discussing and that we're moving towards.

MS. LUCCI: Yeah, I agree. It was very nice to have, sort of, a summary first of the funds and how our funds, revenue sources, and didn't really hear too much about COVID and how it impacted some of those funds other than maybe the gasoline tax, I think. So that was good to hear. But, you know, there certainly was a lot of needs and some wants and, you know, it's up to all of us to sort of prioritize them. You know, this is all about Concord, so how we make those decisions based on, again, the general welfare, like I said, the safety of our community. But just nice to hear from each

department where they're at and give us some different perspective as far as how we prioritize those needs.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Ms. Dawson, do you have anything to add?

MS. DAWSON: No, other than we will continue those discussions over the next two weeks. So I thought it went very well.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Yeah, I agree. And I think just to recap things, there's a lot going on in this township.

This is a growing township. There is a lot of things, there is a lot of things happening, there is a lot of needs, and there is -- we have to manage our resources. We don't have everything. We can't do everything we want when we want and we're going to have to manage that and that's going to be the responsibility of this Board to do that.

But I think it was a good, a lot of good things happening. I think we're responding well to things that people in the community are expecting Concord to either do or take a look at. And, you know, when you take a look at the department reports we got tonight, I have the utmost confidence that our departments are being run responsibly and we have a great crew. Everybody gives us good feedback every month. So it's been a great year and I look forward to moving forward with that, working with everybody.

So before we get into the New Business, I would like to invite Mr. Callender to come up. I know that I had an opportunity to participate in a conference call with the Administrator and our Fiscal Officer about this process of how we're going to be issuing this bond and I know that my fellow trustees didn't have an opportunity to get that overview. So

if you could take us, Mr. Callender, through where we -- what we've done since the passing of the levy and what's in front of us today and some of the steps that will come after, it would be really helpful.

MR. CALLENDER: Sure, no problem. Mr. McIntosh, members of the Board, it is my pleasure to be here after almost two and a half years in discussions with the township over this and with, I think, six different trustees.

MS. DAWSON: Same Fiscal Officer.

MR. CALLENDER: Exact same Fiscal Officer.

MR. DONDORFER: There's the stability right there.

MS. DAWSON: Right.

MR. CALLENDER: That's true. And the same Administrator.

MR. ROSE: Thank you.

MR. CALLENDER: So once the voters approved your levy, of course, the first thing we waited for was the certification. Once we got the certification, we started talking internally with your financial adviser, PFM. And the recommendation from them was to sell your bonds in a public market, as opposed to a private placement with a bank or something, and to sell them competitively, as opposed to using one, one particular underwriter. So this is going to kind of be the -- I will get to it in a second but, eventually, your bonds will, on one particular day, will go to a kind of an eBay of bonds, if you will. And, therefore, you get a wide variety of interest and a wide variety of different institutional investors and mom-and-pops that might be interested in investing.

So the step that you have in front of you today is

the authorizing resolution that authorizes that particular type of sale. And the goal here, I have worked with your financial advisors, again, PFM, and Mr. Lucas to make sure that you have as much flexibility within this resolution as possible. And the reason is, you approve this, obviously, before. You are not going to the market tonight. You are going to be going to the market probably in about a month to six weeks, somewhere around there. And so the goal always with these resolutions is to build in as many levers and buttons and fine-tuning mechanisms as we can build in in order to give you the flexibility so the day that you go to market you get the best rate possible. So I apologize for the length of the resolution. That's part of the reason why the resolution is so long.

You also may have seen in your emails we are in the process of preparing, with your -- Ms. Dawson and the township Administration, an official statement. So that's your prospectus that will go out to potential bond holders that tells the investors all about Concord Township, no matter where they are, whether they're around here or anyplace in the nation. And it also describes the bond. It tells the investors that the bonds are going to be tax exempt, which will be a lower interest rate. It tells the bond holders what the bonds are going to be used for, the proceeds will be used for, building fire stations. And that is coming along quite nicely. We're actually pretty, I would say, within a couple weeks of having that complete. And that will be very nice. It's. To the extent you haven't looked at it, it's a thick book, has all sorts of demographic and statistical information about Concord. It would be really nice for you to have going

into the future, and we can get printed copies of that, too, for you.

MR. DONDORFER: Great.

MR. CALLENDER: So after tonight, if you choose to adopt this resolution, the next step is finishing that OS. At the same time, PFM, your FA, and I will assist you going to the rating agencies in order to get an official rating for the township. That will occur over the next two to four weeks. That, most likely, will include a virtual meeting with folks at, whether it's Moody's or S&P, whatever PFM advises, and, again, for you to explain what the township is all about.

Once we get that rating, then we're ready to print that official statement and put it out into the market, and we'll have chosen one particular day, which -- I don't know -- the schedule right now is sometime in end of March or early April, something like that. But one particular day -- that's fluid at this point -- but one particular day will be chosen, again, for this kind of eBay of bonds. So the market will know that it's out there. There will be, the way that that official statement is distributed, it will be distributed to several different banks and institutional investors in order to drum up interest and excitement in order to get you the best interest rate possible.

And, literally, mostly institutional banks will provide bids that will be due at a very particular time.

Those will come in electronically. You will be able to review those with your financial advisor. And, at that point, you will take a certificate of award, which is what this resolution calls for, and takes all those options that you're providing for in here, narrows them down to what the market

wants on that particular day and you award the bonds to whoever has the best bid.

About two weeks after that, you actually get the funds. We actually close the bonds, much like a housing sale or something. We take all that information in and then, as a lawyer, I have to create, you know, a pile of documents because, otherwise, it's not legal unless you have a pile of documents. But there are a large -- Sorry, Mr. Lucas.

MR. LUCAS: Right on.

MR. CALLENDER: Happy birthday.

MR. LUCAS: I could not agree more.

MR. CALLENDER: So it takes some time to draft those, plug in all the final information. So somewhere around two weeks after you have priced those bonds you will actually close and you will have the funds in hand.

And with that, I would be happy to answer any questions. If you want me to go through the resolution or just answer questions, however you choose.

MR. DONDORFER: I'm okay. I think it was very informative.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Thank you.

MR. DONDORFER: Thank you.

MS. LUCCI: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: All right. If there is no questions for Mr. Callender, we will move to New Business. So the first item on the agenda would be a vote to approve the January financial report.

MR. DONDORFER: Mr. Chairman, I will make a motion to approve the January financial report.

MS. LUCCI: I second.

MR. DONDORFER: All in -- Sorry.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: All in favor?

(Three aye votes, no nay votes.)

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: You're only supposed to jump to back one job tonight.

MR. DONDORFER: I was thinking about my old hat again.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Item B, presentation of the Fiscal Officer certificate, Amy Dawson.

MS. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. McIntosh.

"To the Board of Trustees, Township Trustees of Concord Township, Ohio: As the Fiscal Officer of Concord Township, Ohio, I certify, in connection with your proposed issue of bonds, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$8,000,000, for the purpose of constructing, furnishing and equipping fire station buildings and improving the sites thereof, that:

"One, the estimated life or period of usefulness of each class of those permanent improvements is at least five years; and

"Two, the estimated maximum maturity of the bonds, calculated pursuant to and in accordance with Chapter 133 of the Ohio Revised Code, is 26 years; (i) being my estimate of life or period of usefulness of those improvements; if and to the extent a portion of the proceeds of the Bonds is to be allocated to a class or classes having a maximum maturity of less than 26 years but in excess of five years, then the maximum maturity of the Bonds would still be at least 26 years by reason of a sufficient portion of the proceeds of the Bonds allocated to a class or classes having a maximum maturity or

an estimated period of usefulness in excess of 26 years, and" 1 -- sorry -- "(ii) based on the fact that the Bonds were 2 approved by the electors with a maximum maturity of 26 years." 3 It's dated this day, February 3rd of 2021, and I signed it Fiscal Officer of Concord Township, Ohio. And here are your 5 official copies. 6 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: All right. Thank you. 7 Moving on to Item C is the Resolution 2021-2. 8 MS. LUCCI: Mr. Chairman, I move to approve 9 10 Resolution 2021-02, a resolution providing for the issuance and sale of bonds in the maximum principal amount of 11 12 \$8 million for the purpose of constructing, furnishing, and equipping fire station buildings and improving the sites 13 14 thereof, together with all necessary appurtenances thereto. MR. DONDORFER: Mr. Chairman, I second. 15 16 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Is there any discussion? MS. LUCCI: I think we're good. 17 18 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: I guess, hearing none, I will 19 just say, before we go ahead and vote on this, that a lot of hard work went into this and I am excited that we're moving 20 21 forward, and also know that this is going to do a lot of great 22 things in Concord and serve a very important need. 23 MR. DONDORFER: I agree. 24 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: So with that, Ms. Dawson, would 25 you call the roll. 26 MS. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. McIntosh. 27 Mrs. Lucci? 28 MS. LUCCI: Yes. 29 MS. DAWSON: Mr. Dondorfer? 30 MR. DONDORFER: Yes.

MS. DAWSON: Mr. McIntosh? 1 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: 2 Okay. Moving on to Item D. 3 MR. DONDORFER: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion to approve Resolution 2021-03, a resolution authorizing all 5 6 actions necessary to accept Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council, NOPEC, Energized Community grants. 7 MS. LUCCI: I second. 8 9 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: All in favor? 10 (Three aye votes, no nay votes.) CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Moving on to Item E. 11 12 MS. LUCCI: Mr. Chairman, I move to approve a purchase order to LeMay Erickson Willcox in an amount not to 13 exceed \$725,354.56. 14 15 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: A second? MR. DONDORFER: I second. 16 17 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. And any discussion? MR. DONDORFER: You know, I would just say, you 18 19 know, we knew going into this, these stakeholder meetings, that this figure was out there from LeMay Erickson when it was 20 21 given to the prior board. We knew that we were going to have 22 this expenditure when we decided to keep on LeMay Erickson for 23 this project. So the money has been set aside and 24 appropriated for this expense. So I think it's important that 25 the community is aware of that, that we knew this going in the 26 stakeholder meetings for the levy and that figure was 27 addressed, I believe, at those stakeholder meetings. And 28 according to Mr. Rose, it looks like it came in a little bit less than was determined back in -- what was that -- 2019? 29

MR. ROSE: May of 2019, sir.

30

MR. DONDORFER: Yeah. So --

MS. LUCCI: Yeah, I agree. It's good to clarify that this is not anything extra since, you know, this new plan. This was something that we knew of back in May and it did come down a little bit less.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. And I want to, again, it is important to know that this was the commitment that this township made. And when we made the decision a year ago to stick with LeMay Erickson and not reshop this as far as other architecture firms, that was, you know, essentially, had we chose to leave them and said we didn't like these fees, we would have spent more money to go with a new architect. And so that's where we're at.

I also want to recognize the fact that this is, the amount, is here for the construction prints for both fire stations and that's all in there.

MR. DONDORFER: And that also includes, just to reiterate, the bidding and procurement for both of those.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Yes. So we're taking this to bid with that and that's going to allow us to bid them successively or independently. So the idea is just getting these designs that the department spent years working on to the point where we can take them out to bid and start making the decisions we talked about making in the community at the stakeholder meetings.

So with that, I will say all in favor? Opposed? (Three aye votes, no nay votes.)

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay, so that resolution passes.

Vote on Item F.

MR. DONDORFER: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion to

approve the final plat for Quail Hollow Number 10 Subdivision 1 Phase 1B Planned Unit Development. 2 MS. LUCCI: I second. 3 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: All in favor? (Three aye votes, no nay votes.) 5 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. That wraps up, leaving us 6 7 with Future Meetings and Announcements, Mrs. Dawson. MS. DAWSON: Thank you. On February 9th, at 8 11:00 a.m., there will be a bid opening for the combined 9 10 Concord Township and Painesville Township Resurfacing Project for 2021. It will be held at the Lake County Engineer's 11 Office. 12 On February 10th, at 7:00 p.m., BZA, Board of Zoning 13 Appeals, will be held here at Town Hall. 14 On February 15th, we'll be closed in observance of 15 16 President's Day. Also, I am going to backtrack. On February 5th, at 17 4:30 p.m., will be the Appropriations Workshop here, held at 18 19 Town Hall. 20 On February 17th, 6:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m., trustee 21 office hours at the conference room in Town Hall; and at 22 7:30 p.m., trustee meeting here at Town Hall. 23 And then there were some Recreation announcements 24 that Deb covered in her presentation this evening. So that's 25 all I have. 26 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: All right. And with that, we 27 will conclude tonight's meeting. Thank you. 28 (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:28 p.m.) 29 30

STATE OF OHIO 1 CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA 2) I, Melinda A. Melton, Registered Professional 3 Reporter, a notary public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that, to the best of my ability, the foregoing proceeding was reduced by me to stenotype shorthand, subsequently 5 transcribed into typewritten manuscript; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of said 6 proceedings so taken as aforesaid. 7 I do further certify that this proceeding took place at the time and place as specified in the foregoing 8 caption and extension completed without adjournment. 9 I do further certify that I am not a friend, relative, or counsel for any party or otherwise interested 10 in the outcome of these proceedings. 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office this 11th day of February 2021. 13 14 15 16 Melinda A. Melton Registered Professional Reporter 17 Notary Public within and for the 18 State of Ohio 19 My Commission Expires: 20 February 4, 2023 21 22 23 49 49 444 4 9 24 24 24 Feb. 4, 2023 24 25 26 27 28 29

30