## CONCORD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF TRUSTEES LAKE COUNTY, OHIO REGULAR MEETING

Concord Town Hall 7229 Ravenna Road Concord, Ohio 44077

January 15, 2020 7:30 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Present on behalf of the Board of Trustees:

Carl Dondorfer, Chairman Morgan McIntosh, Trustee Amy Lucci, Trustee Amy Dawson, Fiscal Officer

Also Present:

Andy Rose, Administrator Michael Lucas, Esq., Legal Counsel

Melton Reporting
11668 Girdled Road
Concord, Ohio 44077
(440) 946-1350

7:30 p.m. 1 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Good evening. I'd like to call 2 to order the Concord Township Board of Trustees meeting for 3 January 15, 2020. Please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance. 4 5 (Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Ms. Dawson, the roll call, 6 7 please. MS. DAWSON: Mr. McIntosh? 8 MR. McINTOSH: Present. 9 MS. DAWSON: Mrs. Lucci? 10 MS. LUCCI: Present. 11 MS. DAWSON: And Mr. Dondorfer? 12 13 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Present. We will need an approval of the minutes for 14 15 January 2, 2020, which was the organizational and regular Trustee meeting. 16 17 MR. McINTOSH: Mr. Chairman, I move to accept the minutes as written for the January 2, 2020, organizational 18 19 meeting. 20 MS. LUCCI: I second. 21 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: All in favor? 22 (Three aye votes, no nay votes.) CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Elected officials reports, Amy, 23 Fiscal Officer. 24 MS. DAWSON: Okay. I -- Actually, Andy gave my 25 26 report at the last meeting because I was unable to do so. the one thing I will be asking you to give your blessing to 27 28 this evening is the end of the year financial report. You did receive them about a week and a half ago. 29

Right.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER:

30

MS. DAWSON: And we did finish the year with \$5,100,000. So you will see that under Old Business this evening, and that's all I have.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Okay. Thank you.

Trustees' report, Mrs. Lucci.

MS. LUCCI: The only I have to report is I am happy to be here, nice to meet new faces today, working hard to get acclimated, meeting some of the -- and going over some of the Service Department with leaders and looking very forward to serving Concord. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Mr. McIntosh.

MR. McINTOSH: Just happy to be here, excited to start to get underway here for 2020. And I just didn't mention it last month but I had had some conversations with folks in my neighborhood and the community about the fire station and various conversations on social media regarding citizen advisory committees and so forth. So I've been having some ongoing dialogue about that.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Okay, great. I had some contact with a resident on Coleridge Road. We've been working on a road improvement issue that needed repair. We remedied that. We're going to do some more work on that in the spring.

I did field a question from a resident on Prouty
Road that had some concerns for traffic safety in regards to
speeding vehicles and reckless vehicles. I made some contacts
with the Sheriff's Department there. They're going to be
doing some traffic enforcement and spending some time out
there.

And that's all I have right now.

Mr. Rose, under Department Reports, Administration,

please.

MR. ROSE: Thank you, Mr. Dondorfer. Members of the Board, Mrs. Dawson, members of the audience, good evening. I want to take a few minutes to touch, touch on the fire station and update you on that project. The Fire Chief and I have been exploring options to move forward on this very important project. We have been and continue to rereview stations in northeast Ohio that have been recently constructed. We're going back through our previous research on those projects in order to see where we can reduce costs in order to fund the project without going back to the community for additional funding.

We're also exploring the option to possibly relocate the project across the street. That's where the soccer field is on 608. And that would be in an effort to reduce community impact and it may result in reduced costs, but that's very early in the process. Financing options are being explored in conjunction with our Fiscal Officer.

Another piece of this update involves the architect. As you are aware, we are currently out of contract. We are waiting for a quote on the cost to redesign the station under a smaller footprint, changing some of the, possibly, changing some building materials in order to reduce costs. We have not received a quote from the architect as of this afternoon but we anticipate one in the near future. And once I get that, I will share that, Chief and I will.

I am well aware there is a group of citizens that's anxious to become involved in order to provide input to the station redesign. According to the December 12, 2019, press release, they are going to provide a list of names, along with

their associated areas of expertise. While we are -- I, I am speaking for myself. While I always welcome citizen input -- I'll talk to anybody -- I personally believe the citizens of Concord Township will be satisfied if the station is built without the ask of another tax levy. In fact, I had a meeting with the treasurer and spokesperson of the group and he stated as such.

We, the Fiscal Officer, the Fire Chief, myself, we should be prepared to give the Trustees a more robust update on possible courses of action by the end of the month.

Hopefully, at the staff meeting, well, we will have a better update for you. So that's my nickel on the fire station.

On another note, I want to touch on the subject of NOPEC. We have been a NOPEC energy affiliate since 2001. Recently, we received a handful of calls of concern regarding the fact that customers were switched to NOPEC from their current electric and/or gas provider. This was done for customers who were out of contract with their utility provider. It should be noted NOPEC did send a letter to all households in November and December explaining the opt-in and opt-out process and procedures and what they needed to do. Unfortunately, it's likely some of these notices were probably misidentified as junk mail by some of our residents. We are going to publish some information on our website by the end of the week on how NOPEC works and how residents can easily opt out if they wish. And as always, we're always available to answer resident questions concerning this matter.

And then, finally, I received and accepted on behalf of the Trustees a resignation letter from Ken Weidig. He is our part-time fire education specialist. He will be retiring

on January 30th of 2020. We wish him well, happiness and 1 2 success in his retirement. And that concludes by report, sir. 3 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Thank you, Mr. Rose. Okay. We are now to the audience portion of the 5 meeting tonight. If you would like to come up to the podium 6 and speak, I just ask that you state your name and address. 7 8 Thank you. 9 MR. MASSIE: Good evening. My name is Brian Massie, 8196 Rainbow Drive. I say congratulations to the new 10 Trustees. Welcome to the, the battle, as they say. 11 I have two items, really cost containment items. 12 13 just received the Grapevine, a very professional magazine. am just wondering what it costs to produce that. Do you know 14 15 cost per copy and what's the total cost that we spend? MS. DAWSON: I don't know the cost per copy but I 16 17 can get that information for you. MR. MASSIE: If you could, that would be 18 19 appreciated. MS. DAWSON: It's also supplemented by advertising. 20 21 So --22 MR. MASSIE: Yeah, I am just wondering what the net 23 cost is. And the reason, the reason I ask, it's very 24 professional, glossy, very, very well done. Do we do that in-house as far as the layout or is that, is that done by an 25 26 advertising company for us. 27 MS. DAWSON: I think it's both. So Deb puts 28 together a basic layout, sends it, and she gets back --MR. MASSIE: I just give you a point of reference. 29 We produce a newspaper, Lobbyist for Citizens. For eight

30

pages it costs us 7 cents a copy. We're going to produce one for 14 pages. It will cost us 14 cents a copy for full color, just to give you a point of reference.

The second item, we saw an article in the News

Herald and we don't necessarily believe everything that we

read in the News Herald. My experience with them has been

less than satisfactory when I deal with county issues, such as

The Better Flip, been very disappointed in the reporting.

This has to do with the -- This was an article dealing with

Painesville and Concord Township and the shared use of a

ladder truck, and it says in the article that Concord is not

interested in sharing the cost. I just wondered if that was

true or is this another misreporting.

MR. ROSE: If I can take that, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Okav.

MR. ROSE: We currently share a ladder truck that we split the cost with the City of Painesville. That ladder truck has since been taken out of service. It's got some significant structural issues and it's, it's not safe to operate as a firefighting apparatus. The City of Painesville asked us if we wanted to go halves on another apparatus to the tune of about \$600,000.

MR. MASSIE: Six hundred thousand each?

MR. ROSE: Each. They're about \$1.1 to \$1.3

million. We passed on that. We have the ability to get

mutual aid from a ladder truck in Painesville Township. We

have mutual aid agreements, well, all Lake County.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  MASSIE: So we have agreements with other townships.

MR. ROSE: We do. Chardon has a ladder truck.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: With Chardon, also. 1 MR. MASSIE: 2 Yeah. MR. ROSE: While we would love to have a ladder 3 truck, it's just -- it's not in the budget. 4 5 MR. MASSIE: It's cost prohibitive. MR. ROSE: And with trying to finance and come up 6 with ways to finance the fire station, it just doesn't work. 7 8 MR. MASSIE: Yeah. So this is just a matter, we've 9 already got the arrangement with another township. 10 MR. ROSE: We do. MR. MASSIE: Okay. Thank you so much. 11 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Thank you, Mr. Massie. 12 13 MS. LUCCI: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Mr. Hach. 14 15 MR. HACH: Good evening. Tom Hach, 11575 Fay Road. And I just have a couple questions. So I'm not sure if I 16 17 heard a contradiction or not but, Mr. McIntosh, when you spoke, you mentioned that you had an opportunity to talk to 18 19 people about the possibility of people working on the citizens committee to support the fire station. When I heard Mr. Rose 20 21 speak, it sounded as if that was not necessarily in the, in 22 the offing. 23 MR. ROSE: That's my opinion, sir. 24 MR. HACH: Okay. MR. McINTOSH: And my comment was that I took 25 26 questions in my neighborhood from neighbors who approached me 27 and asked what was going on based on comments on Nextdoor. 28 That was my dialogue was just explaining there. I -- Nothing more than to communicate there is comments on Nextdoor about 29 it and that's what, really, people had asked what we were 30

doing and I said we're not doing anything right now with it. It's conversation online. And we hadn't, as of that time, been approached or had -- I've not had any dialogue with you about it, so I just basically said we, as a Board, haven't talked to anybody about it. It's just --

MR. HACH: Okay.

MR. McINTOSH: -- whatever's on -- You know what's out there, I mean, the press release and different things.

People have asked me what it's about and I have explained it to the best of my knowledge.

MR. HACH: Okay. Is it the Trustees' intention to put together an advisory panel or --

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: What do you mean -- What do you see as an advisory panel? Maybe that's where we're having some differences.

MR. HACH: So let me ask you, as trustees, you know, there was a significant vote against the last effort to build fire stations, which we all agree need to be done, right?

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Right.

MR. HACH: There is almost universal agreement that that needs to happen. Is there any reason why you wouldn't want advisors, you know, to reference the community in this process so that we have that buy-in that we all seek?

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: We are going to welcome members of the community to come in and give input, which is what you're asking for. We believe it's important, as we move this project forward, to get community input.

MR. HACH: So what's the mechanism?

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: I am asking you what you -- Maybe that's where the contradiction or what you're thinking

of as far as the way you perceive that. I see, as we start to frame this project and get some opinions on how we're going to move it forward -- because we all agree it's a priority -- is that we are going to invite people to come in and give ideas and input on how to move this thing forward. That might be people that are in your group, in your collective group that you are going to bring a couple members in to bring your message or bring your ideas. There might be some other people from outside of that community. I have talked to several members that are willing to come in and sit down and also contribute some ideas. So that's, that's the way I sort of see it. Whether that's in office hours or in special meeting or during a township trustee meeting, it's facilitating the ability to have interaction with people.

MR. McINTOSH: I think to Mr. Dondorfer's question, I guess, a couple things. So to be very straightforward, what the feedback I got was very negative. It was, what is this, and I don't want to see you do that, kind of a thing and, you know. It was very direct communication about people objecting to the notion of doing it. Isn't that your job, is what I was told. Okay?

So from my standpoint, you know, I was involved in the appointment process of Ms. Lucci and getting her into the township. So January 1 came, I became a trustee. And I've been studying the Fire Department. Okay? So I am finding my way through that process and learning those things. So -- And I haven't been made aware of who is on the committee. The press lease said it was to be later announced. And in the little bit of research that we had received from Mr. Rose, there was conversation about other townships and how they used

citizen advisory committees and there was conversations about bylaws. So to Mr. Dondorfer's question, I guess, maybe I am, and it sounds like Carl is as well, a little unclear as to exactly what you're proposing.

To that effect, I am never opposed to resident feedback. As I read about fire stations and understand all of these things, there is a lot of things going on here. I have (inaudible) a lot of statistics and looked into a lot of details on the fire station, still exploring that, don't have any recommendations on what the next plan is yet.

So I think we're at a point where we're not deliberating or looking at anything yet, so I don't have a particular way in or way not. There is a lot of things to be said here and I completely value resident input. So whether there is a formal committee tht works in some kind of a structure or not, it's not to say that we don't want to dialogue with residents and be open and explain what's going on and decisions.

I think, you know, what's the scope of the committee you are proposing? How does it -- How do you stand to have it work, I think, would be a question I have.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: I think that's what you were looking for. We exchanged emails. You wanted involvement in the process. We are going to welcome that. We believe it's important. We all have the same goal in mind. Okay? Another one of the goals was we needed to be affordable.

MR. McINTOSH: Right.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  HACH: So we all agree with that. So I guess I was hoping that there would be, I think, more proactivity on the part of the Trustees to --

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: More what?

MR. HACH: Proactivity.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Proactivity.

MR. HACH: Maybe that's not a word. So I guess I would have hoped that the Trustees would be more proactive in trying to engage with, with the, with the community on this. So let me give you an example of what we've done with Riverside schools. We were successful in passing a levy to build two elementary schools. So we put together a formal committee to help us in the design process. So we invited people from -- who held elected office, we invited residents. It was a formal process. There were probably, over the course of the design process, we probably had 10 or 15 meetings and people from the community were able to be part of that exchange, dialogue and process.

I wouldn't see why you wouldn't want to do something similar in this context. There -- Obviously, we all agree that we need new fire stations but, clearly, there was not consensus on how to move forward based on what happened in November. And why would you potentially risk not having feedback, immediate feedback on things that maybe are not --

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: I'm not --

MR. HACH: I was very patient with you, so let me just -- I'm almost done. Why wouldn't you want that, that collaboration to get to that consensus that everybody can buy into and be proud of and happy with the fire stations or fire station, however it works out, that moves forward. That's my point.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Okay. Whoever said that we didn't want collaboration? I mean, I guess where I am

going --

MR. HACH: So just to be clear, formal collaboration. Certainly, you know, it's great that you want to -- you're willing to listen to people. But, frankly, having a committee that meets on a regular basis and has collaboration with what's going on, understands what's going on with the design process and the financing, that's really where, where the rubber meets the road and where you get the most value from, from having community input.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Okay. Well, I don't necessarily agree with your statement that we're not proactive. This is our second meeting since coming together as a board. We've been very busy and involved in getting up on task, everybody, with the fire station project, as well as hiring a department head and some other things that we had to do with our initial business.

MR. HACH: Okav.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: And setting the budget for the year. So I don't agree with that --

MR. HACH: You've got a lot going on, there is no doubt.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: -- that we're not proactive. You're entitled to that. That's not accurate. Okay? I have always maintained and we have collectively agreed that we are going to collaborate with the community on this fire station project to move it forward. I can't tell you at this point exactly what that's going to look like as far as what you're saying is a committee. Again, this is our second meeting.

MR. HACH: What are you afraid of with a committee?

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: I am not -- I didn't say I was

afraid of anything.

MR. HACH: Okay. Well, then certainly -- Then why wouldn't you embrace it?

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Who said we didn't embrace it?

I guess I am not following --

MR. HACH: I can't pin you, I can't pin you down and that's, that's a problem.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: We are just in, we are in the process of trying to determine how we are going to move this project forward and what venue that is going to be as far as bringing in members of the community to move this project forward. I didn't say I was against anything.

MR. HACH: Okay. But you -- I understand, and I don't want to discount the -- We've had a change in leadership. We have an opportunity within Concord to kind of -- There's been animosity, there is no doubt. I think most of you would acknowledge. This is an opportunity to start with a clean slate. This is a big project. This is -- Whatever is decided is going to last in Concord for the next 75 years probably. Let's -- Why not have that collaborative opportunity where we're sitting down in a formal way and, and get input from people in the community on how to move forward? I mean, that's -- I don't see what could possibly be a reservation on any of the three of yours parts.

MR. McINTOSH: Well, again, I guess I would say I echo Carl's statements. I don't know that we're not saying that. But, again, I've ask a question specifically with respect to how -- I think, if we're going to have a committee, we need to understand members, you know, how it's going to function and what that process is.

And the other side of it is, just like we've, you know, we did with the trustee position, I think it needs to be open to, you know -- ACT has prepared a list of people that's interested in the community. It seems to me we have a mandate, as elected officials, to represent all of Concord. So it seems to us we would want to, you know --

MR. HACH: Broad based, it needs to be broad based.

MR. McINTOSH: -- open that process up and solicit other people. I think we need to assess whether that's a worthwhile project. And, again, as I said earlier with respect to -- You asked for clarification on my report. I also spoke to a bunch of residents that objected to the notion of having such a committee. So I would say for myself, I am weighing the pros and cons of that.

And one thing I would like to add is that you mentioned something about the defeat of it and so forth.

Let's also, I guess -- I don't know -- be fair in the sense that that was, that was a project that was spearheaded and presented by a completely different board and we have a whole entirely new board here who is trying to get our head around the decisions they made, why they were --

MR. HACH: Mostly new board.

MR. McINTOSH: Right. Mr. Dondorfer came in at the very end of that project. So when you think about the architect decision that was made years ago and the design that came together, it was --

MR. HACH: Right.

MR. McINTOSH: So, you know, speaking from my experience and what I've been doing the last couple weeks is getting my head around that plan and why it is the way it is.

So I don't feel personally, at this point, prepared to discuss that until I am educated, you know. I don't want to have a conversation until I know what I am talking about, for one.

MR. HACH: Okay. Fair enough.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Mr. Hach, we understand, as you've told us and communicated in your emails, the bond levy failed. We all have the same goal in hand --

MR. HACH: We do.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: -- as far as completing fire stations.

MR. HACH: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: I've had numerous conversations with residents in Concord Township, most of which said that it was too costly. It needs to be affordable. They're not necessarily concerned about the plans or don't really know what needs to be in a fire station other than it needs to be affordable. We believe -- And none of us has ever said that it is not important to solicit community input in a project like this. Nobody is disagreeing with that. What I am telling you is that, as the Trustees, we're elected by the residents of Concord Township to make decisions in the best interest of the township. That's what we're going to do. And we are going to welcome community collaboration. We never said we weren't.

MR. HACH: Okay. But this is the point I am trying to get at. I get -- And I appreciate it. It's great that you are willing to sit down and listen to people's input but it is a far cry in one-on-one conversations than if you had a group of people that, that were selected, broad based, including ACT, from Affordable Concord Taxes, to sit down and work

through the process with you so that, when it is, you know, signed, sealed and delivered and the motion was made on the floor to -- or within the meeting that, yes, let's move forward, that you had the vast majority of Concord behind the effort. Why wouldn't you want to build that into the process? What -- Again, it could be my hearing but you seem to be resistant to that and I am not really sure why.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: I am not saying I am resistant to anything. I am saying --

MR. HACH: But you haven't --

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: We have not defined what the venue is going to be for that. So I would -- We will definitely take what you are saying under advisement. We're going to have some discussion here in the very near future on how we're going to move this, this project forward.

MR. HACH: Well, this, and I am -- I am sorry. I cut you off.

MR. McINTOSH: No. And I was going to say, I think I will go back to what I said a couple times now is, again, ACT has made it clear they have a committee together and I am very interested in names and, again, a little more -- I mean, what is your thought? You keep talking about an organized thing. So, you know, put something together in a sense that tells us how you envision it working. I guess, you know, I have seen, I have seen a draft of some concept of bylaws and I have to -- Again, we're elected by the residents of Concord to govern the community. I have to have an understanding about how this is going to work before I can completely evaluate. So are you asking me to come up with bylaws for your committee or that kind of thing?

MR. HACH: I would think that that would be in your purview is to figure out how it would operate. We are certainly -- We represent a significant portion of the community that, that wants to move forward in a collaborative way, in a way that builds consensus, in a way that gets us the fire stations we need for the future. So -- But I don't think it's up to us to dictate that. I do believe, as I have outlined, as I have outlined in my email correspondence, which, obviously, are not public but just, in a nutshell, is that, that we do -- We worked with a lot of people. Obviously, a lot of people on election day agreed with us. do believe it is valid. There is no other group, no other individual that better represents the vast majority of the vote that spoke on November, back in November. And so I do think that we need a seat at, you know, a seat at the table, along with many other people. It needs to be broad based.

But I, you know, I believe that is incumbent upon the Trustees to figure out how that mechanism works. I gave you the example of what happened at Riverside schools. I don't believe there were bylaws per se but there were, you know, there was consensus and feedback given and tweaks made and things like that. I don't know why that couldn't serve as a template.

MR. McINTOSH: There was no mission, there were no parameters, there was no document that drove how that committee worked, how it was selected, who selected it, yadda, yadda?

MR. HACH: There were, I mean, we did go out and we selected a broad cut, so from business and regular residents and elected officials and things like that. So I mean that,

as far as the makeup, it was important. Obviously, not everybody can participate but we did want to have and we did have a broad-based collection of people that represented different segments of our constituency.

MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair, if I may.

Mr. Hach, is this, the committee that was put together for the elementary school, is this like the one I was invited to that I participated in for the high school?

MR. HACH: No.

MR. ROSE: It was run by a facilitator and it was -- MR. HACH: Indeed you were there and I thank you for

conceptual in trying to get basic feedback from the community.

attending that meeting. That meeting was kind of more

design phase, there was a committee that was made up of people

from the schools, I participated from the Board, and then we

What I am talking about is in the design phase, the actual

had people from business, we had people, community members, we

had parents, and there were probably 10 or 12 different people

that were involved with that. And as we were going through in

20 the design phase working with the architects --

MR. ROSE: Did the architect facilitate that, sir?

MR. HACH: He did, yes. I mean, they did facilitate
that. But in this case, I think that we are talking more
fundamentals and so getting involved with something like that
as I described. And, certainly, I would be happy to provide

more feedback on the experience that we had at Riverside but

27 | I --

MR. McINTOSH: That's, I think that's fair. I guess, in my mind, is a little bit more of a -- Again, you say a committee, you say engagement, and then, you know, that

leaves me with a pretty broad perspective on all the different ways it can go. So, I, you know, I am not trying to be -Again, we want input. I am not trying to be standoffish here but, again, provide a little bit more color for me or us. And maybe, maybe tonight isn't the time to talk about how that functions and maybe there is a time we can talk about how that experience -- It sounds like that might be a more lengthy conversation but, again, that's what I am asking for is a little more clarification how you --

MR. HACH: Okay. I'd be happy to discuss that a little further.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Sure.

MR. HACH: I'm not, I'm not trying to catch you, you know, flat-footed. I know there is a lot going on.

Obviously, this is at the top. And the reason that we're here is because this has got to be one of the issues that people -that you are trying to address, so it needs to be discussed now even though it is very early in your tenure. But I do, I see this as an opportunity to really build consensus and confidence throughout the community and this is a real opportunity is what this is.

The last question I have is I know that -- It sounds like Mr. Rose and Chief have started some preliminary thinking and different things. Has, has there been discussion among the Trustees as to what the budget is going to be?

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: There is some discussion on budget. We're working on that right now. There is no lack of proactivity.

MR. HACH: That was a poor word.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: We are, we are working on that.

We will have a budget when with we get to that and we will 1 2 welcome community input and we will have discussion on how that's going to be, I guess, compiled or defined. 3 MR. HACH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: In the very near future. 5 MR. HACH: Terrific. 6 MS. DAWSON: We haven't had any formal discussions. 7 8 MR. McINTOSH: No. MS. DAWSON: We're still collecting information 9 based upon what can stay and what can go. So we have an idea. 10 MR. HACH: Terrific. So it's in the mix. It's 11 being done. 12 13 MS. DAWSON: Yes. MR. HACH: Okay. Terrific. All right. Thank you 14 very much. 15 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Thank you, Mr. Hach. 16 17 Yes, sir. MS. DAWSON: Oh, Lord. 18 MR. LEE: Hi. Stephen Lee, 7391 Far Hills. 19 very quickly, I can clarify a lot of these questions. 20 21 is a misunderstanding on these names to be announced and who 22 they are versus the ACT group, which I am part of. Just to 23 start with a general, community advisory panels have been 24 around for decades. I've been on your side for two of them, two companies I've worked for, and I've facilitated and formed 25 26 at least three more. So they are formal -- I'm sorry --27 formal or informal but they're ongoing. They're established, 28 bylines or not. They just have parameters. And, you know, for Concord, they don't have to be formal. I've worked with 29

Hoover, PBG, Buyer, the chemical company, and those are big.

30

Those are multi-year. They were mostly, you know, EPA or, you 1 2 know --MS. DAWSON: Have you worked with any other 3 government agencies, Mr. Lee? 4 5 MR. LEE: No, I haven't. I'm a corporate -- but so the principles -- let me finish -- the principles are the 6 same. Google "CAP." It's the principle. So it is not at 7 8 this public meeting, one-off or several, or a special town hall information meeting because that information goes but 9 10 then there is nothing to follow up on. So I've just got to be really clear. Now, here, here today, for this -- I am sorry, 11 you're Amy -- for this municipality, ACT was not seeing action 12 13 of more openness, more collaboration, more transparency. So 14 we did that press release to push some buttons. Okay? So did 15 it have an effect? I don't know. I am not sure if it did or not. We thought it did. Carl, we thought you had a 16 17 conversation with one of our members. CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: I did. 18 19 MR. LEE: And said you plan to and you're going to have representation, and that's great. 20 21 You don't think that's worth it? 22 MS. DAWSON: Okay. Excuse me. I have a --23 Mr. Lucas, can you clarify this for me, please? It is my 24 understanding that any formal committee of the Trustees has to 25 have announcements in the paper, special meeting notices. 26 MR. LEE: Yes, yes, yes. MS. DAWSON: You can't have an informal. 27 28 MR. LEE: I'm not -- All right. So, again, let me finish. So this is going to be your committee. It has to be 29

your committee. We would like representation. We'd like

30

maybe more than one seat but we won't be greedy. We would like at least that one seat. Now, you have to understand there is a difference between these subject matter experts. That's not who we're suggesting or requesting representation. Yes, you are going to have more people on the committee. You are going to have, what, eight to ten. I am making this up. It's your committee. You have to decide. It has to have minutes, and those minutes have to be made public and those minutes have to be carried over to the next meeting.

MS. DAWSON: Correct.

MR. LEE: Now, the subject matter experts, we're still working with folks. We have advisors that won't be public, there is -- to inform us. But we are going to make announcements, as we stated, as we publicly stated in the news release. We will make announcement on who these people, volunteers, interested individuals, Concord residents who want to be involved and that will happen. We have a person who is an architect. Is he going to take over your architecture firm? Of course not. First and -- I am sorry -- a finance person, a municipal finance person. Who else do we have? Oh, a hazmat, fire, emergency services, hazmat person. These are people to inform us.

The news release was very, very, very specific. We are offering these individuals as an objective third party at a meeting that may have discussion about construction or design. Well, then our, if you would like, we would have that person attend that meeting. It doesn't have to be. Finance, budget, our finance person, a municipal finance person might attend or we would just meet with him or her the night before, the day before, the week before to get intelligence so that

```
our representative is informed. It's just a way to make sure
1
    everybody is informed. We're not going to force anything.
2
    Again, the news release, very, very specific.
3
               CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Okay, Mr. Lee.
               MR. LEE: Okay. Okay, what?
5
               CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: I am listening to you.
6
               MR. LEE: I am done, except I have one question.
7
                                                                  So
8
    you said people oppose us.
               MR. McINTOSH: Yes.
9
               MR. LEE: Why would they oppose us? Actually, I saw
10
    a lot on Nextdoor, some really belligerent --
11
               MR. McINTOSH: I am going to -- I don't think --
12
13
               MR. LEE: No, I understand.
               MR. McINTOSH: It's not my job to relay --
14
15
               MR. LEE: No, no.
               MR. McINTOSH: -- those, those sentiments.
16
17
               MR. LEE: No. You, you participated in a stream
    that I started. And the one guy, he's like a -- one of your
18
19
    zoning people, Zoning Commission, was belligerent, absolute
    belligerent and, all of the sudden, he closed his Nextdoor
20
21
    account. He was gone. Andy, Andy.
22
               MR. McINTOSH: Okay.
23
               MR. LEE: So I, I mean, I saw some of the
24
    opposition. It's like --
25
               MR. McINTOSH: I'm not going to --
26
               MR. LEE: I am not asking you to.
27
               MR. McINTOSH: Again, I am only sharing.
28
               MR. LEE: Yeah, I am telling you.
               MR. McINTOSH: Residents said to me, "Don't do it.
29
30
    I object to it, " and there was multiple people.
```

MR. LEE: Oh, I bet there was. 1 2 MR. McINTOSH: Wasn't just one or two. Okay? MR. LEE: Oh, I bet there was. 3 And, you know, again, I am not going MR. McINTOSH: to speak for them. They can speak for themselves. 5 MR. LEE: No, I am not asking you to. I didn't ask 6 7 for an individual. I was asking --8 MR. McINTOSH: Right, right. MR. LEE: -- was this on Nextdoor or was it 9 10 individuals? MR. McINTOSH: No, it was in my neighborhood, 11 12 in-person conversations. 13 MR. LEE: So, again, I want to make sure. representation, the person who you like, maybe two, is from 14 15 our ACT committee, the core committee. They're not the subject matter experts. Those are our resources. We're 16 17 offering them but you don't have to. You can say, "Screw you. We've got the best architects in the nation. We got Chief 18 19 Sabo. We got our own firefighters," and you can say that. That's fine. They're still going to inform us. 20 21 So when our representative comes to meetings that we 22 hope you form on an ongoing basis, not one-offs, we're just 23 better informed so we're not like activists. So that's all. 24 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Thank you, Mr. Lee. 25 Anyone else? MS. BREWSTER: Denise Brewster, 7207 Alexander Road. 26 27 I am listening to the discussion and I've really been --28 because I had talked to you on the phone. I, you know, have come from a school background. I know how, you know, you have 29 to work with parents and you have to work with community and,

30

obviously, your own administration. But, pretty much, you know, we kind of take the view that you want to be proactive, not reactive. And I think what was wrong with the last process -- and I know you came into it late, Carl, and we had several conversations when you just came on board because, at first, it was going to be a continuing levy and we kind of moved off that and ended up with the 28-year thing.

But the main thing is that, you know, we felt that the plan was already done and then we're in a reactionary mode as citizens because, you know, it's already done. So, you know, we tried to offer as much information as possible. I probably called Andy too much. But, anyway, we did succeed in at least saving the playground, moving the footprint of that whole building over, eliminating a parking lot, and then we made a lot of other suggestions. But obviously, you know, we had to test it out in the community to see, what is the community thinking? Are they going to support this idea? And we know the results, so we move on.

And my only thing would be is that we try to remain as open-minded as possible. It's hard because you're always going to have memories of what happened but you have to move forward. And so, at this point, I just want to talk about a little bit because you had mentioned design versus cost. And, you know, the specifications that go into a plan -- and you know this because you have a strong construction background -- you know, whether it's the heating system or the amount of concrete or the exterior finish, all of that, it relates to design but it also just tells you how much it's going to cost. So if you're going with brick or you're going with cultured stone, big difference in price. So -- And we were looking at

concrete driveways and things like that, trying to eliminate, 1 2 because that type of concrete, with the heaviness of the equipment, is very costly. 3 And so, you know, that's kind of where I was coming from because I don't know if we were understanding each other 5 on the phone that day. So --6 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: I understood. 7 8 MS. BREWSTER: Okay. And the only other question I 9 had, is there -- because I remember hearing it in the conversation but is there a fiscal advisory group? 10 MS. DAWSON: That's still (inaudible). They've only 11 been here for two weeks. So we had a fiscal advisory group --12 13 MS. BREWSTER: Okay. MS. DAWSON: -- that their terms were ended. 14 one of those, one of those individuals has submitted her 15 resume to be, you know, a part of whatever group there is. 16 17 MS. BREWSTER: Okay. MS. DAWSON: But this is their second meeting. 18 19 They've been on board for two weeks. MS. BREWSTER: Okay. 20 21 MS. DAWSON: So they are going to look at that the 22 second quarter of this year. 23 MS. BREWSTER: Okay. So, I mean, there has been a 24 fiscal advisory group in the past? MS. DAWSON: Sure. 25 26 MS. BREWSTER: And now this is like a new group 27 or --28 MS. DAWSON: No. MS. BREWSTER: -- or a start of it? 29 30 MS. DAWSON: No. It's just on hold right now.

MS. BREWSTER: Okay. 1 2 MR. McINTOSH: We had terms expiring and it was presented. So we were in the transition of making 3 appointments. And with everything going on, we felt we wanted 4 5 to give it time to make thoughtful appointments. So we are 6 just waiting --MS. BREWSTER: Okay. 7 8 MR. McINTOSH: -- to screen when we can get into the flow of what we're doing. 9 10 MS. BREWSTER: Okay. So in that case, because that's an advisory group, like, how did that work? I mean, 11 were they appointed? 12 13 MS. DAWSON: Yes. 14 MS. BREWSTER: You know, were there posted meetings? 15 MS. DAWSON: So, yes, they were appointed. Each Trustee got a pick and they were appointed. It was an 16 17 auditor-based committee and it was their responsibility to sit down and do as the Trustees wanted as far as auditing. 18 19 give you a couple of examples. One of the individuals audited all of the pieces parts in the Service Department. 20 21 MS. BREWSTER: Okay. 22 MS. DAWSON: I had another individual -- And this is 23 along with attending the audits and things like that. Gosh, 24 now you are going to make me think, Denise. I have an individual who reviews all the financial statements every 25 26 single month before the Trustees get them. 27 MS. BREWSTER: Okay. MS. DAWSON: She was the one who is a former fiscal 28 officer of another entity and she asked to be reappointed. 29

Okay.

30

MS. BREWSTER:

MS. DAWSON: But with the Trustee appointments, they 1 2 have a department director appointment and several other individuals. I think they felt that they needed to sit down 3 and figure out who it is they wanted to appoint. Generally, 5 in the past, we've had experts such as bankers, accountants, municipal entity leaders, that type of thing. 6 7 MS. BREWSTER: Right, okay. 8 MS. DAWSON: And I am going to speak for the Trustees here without even asking them. My guess is that that 9 10 will continue. There were formal minutes in the past. were -- I mean, that's all done formally. So --11 MS. BREWSTER: Okay. So are there -- They don't 12 13 actually have a meeting. Like you said --MS. DAWSON: Yes. 14 15 MS. BREWSTER: -- one person -- Or they do have meetings? 16 17 MS. DAWSON: They're given assignments and they do what they're going to do in this particular case. But I can 18 19 speak specifically for the former fiscal officer. She was asked to review the financials on a periodic basis and she 20 21 did. Obviously, that was more of an informal thing. 22 MS. BREWSTER: Yeah. 23 MS. DAWSON: And then if she had any questions or 24 concerns, she either asked me or she went to the Trustees. So --25 26 MS. BREWSTER: Okay. I just didn't know how that 27 worked because when it said "advisory group," I just wondered 28 if it was something that it was a model to follow or expand 29 upon.

MS. DAWSON: Oh, you mean the financial advisory

30

thing. 1 2 MS. BREWSTER: Or to expound upon with this. MS. DAWSON: Okay. So, again, it was an auditor 3 function. In 2003, the State Auditor decided that that would 4 5 be a good idea, which I agree with. MS. BREWSTER: Okay. 6 They basically mandated that everybody 7 MS. DAWSON: 8 I don't know whether everybody did. 9 MS. BREWSTER: Okay. The -- Mainly to help during the audit. 10 MS. DAWSON: Now, the audit used to be a long, drawn-out process. 11 auditors would come in here for weeks and weeks and weeks. 12 13 Now they do everything by email. 14 MS. BREWSTER: Yeah, schools too. 15 MS. DAWSON: So part of that function is no longer necessary. We haven't discussed any names or, you know, if 16 17 they know bankers or --18 MS. BREWSTER: Riaht. 19 MS. DAWSON: -- people in the financial industry. don't think we're there at that point. And so they made a 20 21 pledge to me to start in the second quarter. Will this group 22 of individuals be involved in the financial or in the fire 23 station? My guess would be yes. But it also depends on how 24 much time they have to volunteer. 25 MS. BREWSTER: Right. 26 MS. DAWSON: So -- And it was an audit committee. 27 We had a CPA on the committee. He changed the name because it 28 was not fiscally audited. He had a big problem with that. that's where the Financial Advisory Committee comes in. 29 MS. BREWSTER: Well, it sounds like a good way to 30

get input. 1 MS. DAWSON: Sure. 2 MS. BREWSTER: And, you know, have a check and 3 balance. 4 And the other thing I wanted to say is, I was able 5 to attend my first JEDD meeting the other day, 8:00 in the 6 7 morning on a Friday. 8 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Early morning, right? MS. BREWSTER: Yes. And I got to meet Amy there. 9 So the notes were very detailed, so I was able to really catch 10 up on what happened in October and I know they meet four times 11 and there is going to be a strategic planning meeting and it 12 13 sounds like it's going to dovetail after Concord has their meeting, which I guess -- I don't know if that's been set yet 14 15 or not. It was -- I think, Andy, you mentioned it might be at the end of February but hard to say. So that's not set? 16 17 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: I have that on the --MR. McINTOSH: We haven't set it yet. 18 19 MS. DAWSON: They haven't set it. They haven't gotten there. 20 21 MS. BREWSTER: Okay. All right. But, yeah, if 22 anyone hasn't gone, it's worthwhile going. 23 MR. McINTOSH: I just want to thank you. And there 24 is one thing that you said that I just think maybe bears worth -- You mentioned last time, with respect to the fire station 25 26 and the project and when you started coming to meetings and so 27 forth. And I guess I would like to just say, you know, that 28 was the previous board. You know, this is a new board and 29 give us a chance to --MS. BREWSTER: Oh, yeah. Well, and I look at --30

MR. McINTOSH: From the standpoint of, then to go back to what Mr. Hach and Mr. Lee have been saying as far as community engagement goes, I mean, we have no record of that.

MS. BREWSTER: Right.

MR. McINTOSH: One way or the other. So that was another board's decision about how they took the project. You know, as I have said, we're in this process of getting educated. And I definitely, you know, as much as you folks understand through engagement in the community over the summer, understand people's thoughts and impressions of the fire station project, and I have my own conclusions based on feedback that I had as well, the bottom line is I think we all agree that communication and feedback from the community is important. So I think I look at this Board and our challenge is, how does that -- how do we accomplish that?

MS. BREWSTER: Right.

MR. McINTOSH: Again, being early in the process of just getting our heads around -- at least me getting my head around the project, I wouldn't say that I am very clear on how that would proceed but I do value it.

MS. BREWSTER: Right.

MR. McINTOSH: And, you know, give us a chance to put our presentation forward about how we feel about public engagement and, and realize the last board was the last board and they did things the way they did.

MS. BREWSTER: Right. And I understand the position you were in because you came into it as, yeah --

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: As we discussed --

MS. BREWSTER: So you had to be kind of together.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Like we discussed on the phone,

we both agree there needs to be, you know, a forum and a 1 2 vehicle for community involvement in the project, which we're going to facilitate. 3 Right. MS. BREWSTER: CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: So we're working on that. 5 MS. BREWSTER: Okay. Well, we're here as a 6 7 community. 8 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Thank you. 9 MS. BREWSTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Anyone else in the audience 10 portion? 11 Sir. MR. RZEPKA: Richard Rzepka, 7236 Cascade Road. 12 13 MS. DAWSON: Okay. I am sorry. Could you spell your last name, please. 14 15 MR. RZEPKA: R-z-e-p-k-a. MS. DAWSON: Thank you. 16 17 MR. RZEPKA: I just have one question involving very This is a very important project. When will you 18 19 have a tentative timeline for its completion? CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: I guess I can't give you a 20 21 definite answer on that. This is our second meeting. We're 22 just in the process of getting acclimated and up to speed on 23 the project parameters and some options. So we are -- It's, 24 again, like I stated at the beginning of the meeting, this is 25 our number one priority. So we are working proactively to 26 push this thing forward and move it forward collectively, not 27 only the Board of Trustees but with community engagement, and 28 we are going to work on facilitating and getting that done in a timely fashion. I can't give you a direct timeline. 29 MR. McINTOSH: Mr. Rose, correct me if I am wrong 30

but construction was about 12 months for Station 1. Is that 1 2 -- We were going to start moving earth this April had it passed and it would have been expected to be done early in 3 '21; is that correct? That's correct. 5 MR. ROSE: MR. McINTOSH: So we're looking at, you know, you're 6 7 looking at a 12-month construction window. So as however long 8 it takes us to get options and take a look at financing, I 9 mean, and then --10 MR. RZEPKA: A ballpark estimate. MR. McINTOSH: You know, how --11 MR. RZEPKA: 2030? 12 13 MR. McINTOSH: No. I mean, I think -- I was elected to a four-year term. I certainly hope I get two fire stations 14 15 built in the next four years. Let's say that. MR. RZEPKA: Okay. But --- And you will publish the 16 17 timeline soon or I imagine it's got to be one of your priorities. 18 19 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Yes, sir. MR. RZEPKA: Thank you. 20 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Thank you. 22 Anybody else from the audience? 23 MR. LINGENFELTER: Good evening. 24 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Good evening. 25 MR. LINGENFELTER: My name is Andrew Lingenfelter. 26 I live at 7890 Forest Valley Lane -- excuse me -- Concord. 27 am the person that was referenced in an earlier conversation 28 from another person but I don't consider myself to be a belligerent person. But I am looking at the citizen advisory 29 30 panel that this township elected duly in November, and there

was an additional person, Amy, was appointed by that group. And I don't think that there is really a need or any desire on the township standpoint to have some sort of a citizen advisory committee, especially one that's unelected, unappointed, and is just formed under, under no direction or request by the township officials. They didn't seek any sort of election. They just, they just anointed themselves as an activity group to kind of muscle their way into the process and trying to use a, what I consider a, you know, a fairly one-sided defeat on a tax levy, to use that as a justification or a mandate from the township to appoint this panel.

I don't think that's the case at all. I think if we wanted to really analyze this, we could probably lay this at the feet of a lot of other issues in the township, one of which was probably the school levy that was passed the previous year. That certainly didn't help in terms of everybody coming back and wanting to open up their pocketbook again after getting racked with such a large tax increase over the school levy. So I think it's kind of disingenuous for certain school levy people to advocate for this kind of a thing after they engineered that, that tax increase, but that's neither here nor there.

I don't think that, you know, with Morgan having a 66.98 percent victory in the election, Morgan McIntosh, and, Carl, you have a 100 percent, percentage in your favor for reelection into your position -- you ran unopposed -- I don't think that gives you any more of a mandate to govern this township than if you won the election by a couple of percentage points. I think that your job is to basically take care of the township business. And I think the township

residents indicated in this last election that they felt -they put the people that they felt were most suited to do that
into the positions that you're in and I think full trust, you
know, in the township Trustees to be able to put together a
group if need be to come up with any decisions on how the fire
station should be processed.

I think that if there is to be any sort of an advisory panel, I think it's one that should be put together by the Trustees. It is something that should be advertised in the News Herald. It is one that should be put out there on social media and we should give every citizen in the township an opportunity, if they're interested, to step forward and participate in this, in this committee. Okay?

How you allow or how you would in any way justify allowing some small group that puts together an advisory panel with no, with no transparency to the township, with no authority by the township, and allow them to inject themselves and give them a seat at the table because they feel that a 60 percent margin on a levy defeat somehow entitles them to that, I think that's wrong. I think it's an incorrect way to go about this.

I have served on two advisory panels for this township in the years that I have lived here and both times they were, those advisory panels, were well advertised. They were put out there for public purview. The panels were selected and everybody sat on the panel and it was a very good experience. Okay? So I don't think that there is anything wrong with the idea of a citizen advisory panel or a committee for these types of things, but I think it's wrong to allow a small group that puts themselves together and forms a 501(C)

or whatever, creates a political action committee, that that somehow invites them to insert themselves into the process.

I think it's something that we should allow the Trustees, if you decide, if you feel that it's necessary, to put together a committee of subject matter experts or other people that, you know, financial people or whatever, construction people that you feel could provide some additional insight into the process and I think that's a decision that you should make. Okay? Because I think the township residents made a decision as to the people that we -- that everybody felt comfortable with by a pretty large margin. Okay?

So I guess the other thing I think, when I think about this citizen advisory panel, you know, this is also the same group of people that engineered the defeat of the levy. Okay? And they used what in my opinion is some rather unscrupulous methods in the process. They spread a lot of disinformation and misinformation about the levy and the cost associated. I think they promulgated a bunch of fear in the minds of some of the residents as to how much it was really going to cost. I think they took a lot of creative license with the way they presented it. They also posted signs for the anti-levy in illegal places all over the township. Anybody that lives in the township that drove around, you could see where those signs -- Those signs were not legal. They were in road right-of-ways, on vacant lots. That's not, that's not the way -- And then we get to take those people that ran kind of a campaign and then we're going to put them and then let them insert themselves into the process of advising the township? Come on. I think we can do much

better than that.

I think we can, I think we can put together a much better panel than the people that choose to use those kind of methods and tactics to defeat, you know, a tax levy.

Lastly, I think, you know, my response to this group is pretty simple. If you want direct input on how the township goes, pull a petition, file for the election, run a campaign, go door to door, meet your fellow citizens, and run for election and win a seat, and then you will be given all the opportunity to give all the input you want.

And as far as I am concerned, what went on this evening, I think, was a pretty good example of giving citizen input. I mean, there were several people that stood up here and took quite a bit of time to discuss what their thoughts and feelings were unabated. I didn't hear anybody from the, from the Board interrupt them or be discourteous or in any way disrespectful. They listened to what they had to say and they said what they had to say.

I think this must not be allowed to stand. I don't think that we should allow any panel to insert themselves in the process because if we do, I think that we will open a Pandora's box of interlopers and malcontents moving forward for any, any type of levy or any kind of decision for this township moving forward. I think it would be a very, send a very bad signal because what do we do? We manage the township by committee? I don't think so. I thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Thank you, sir.

Anyone else from the audience?

MR. RZEPKA: I reject being considered a malcontent.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Sir, this is a formal meeting,

so please come up to the podium, please. 1 2 MR. RZEPKA: Richard Rzepka. I reject being characterized as a malcontent just because I don't happen to 3 agree with somebody. Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Anybody else from the audience 6 portion? Okay. That will move us to Old Business. I believe 7 8 we have one item in Old Business this evening, which is the December financial report, Mrs. Dawson? 9 10 MS. DAWSON: Yes, that's correct. CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: (Inaudible.) 11 MS. DAWSON: We finished the year at \$5,103,614 12 13 overall. So --CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Okay. We need a motion to 14 approve that. 15 MS. LUCCI: I move to approve the December financial 16 17 report. MR. McINTOSH: Second. 18 19 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: All in favor? (Three aye votes, no nay votes.) 20 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Okay. On to New Business. 21 22 believe we have a resolution. 23 MS. DAWSON: Yes, that's Resolution 2020-02. 24 could just give you a basic background on it. CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Please. 25 26 MS. DAWSON: So we have an Agency Fund. It's got 27 approximately \$4,800 in it. I'll specifically talk about 28 that. Its main purpose back in the day was to hold onto security deposits until rentals were completed at the 29 30 Community Center and then the money was released. The State

Auditor decided that we needed to be in compliance with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, Statement Number 84. And our accounting software was changed in December to basically state that, if it's going to remain in an agency fund, it needs to be a fiduciary fund. It's not a trust fund. It, really, it needs to be moved back into the General Fund because it's a function of the Community Center. So it's almost -- It's a wash transaction, basically. The month comes in, the money goes out, and not for a long period of time.

So after some -- several discussions with the State Auditor's Office and Mr. Lucas, we decided, at least for the time being and maybe permanently, just to transfer those funds back into the General Fund from which they came originally to start off.

So I am going to hand that to Mr. McIntosh. You need to make -- read that paragraph, please.

MR. McINTOSH: Mr. Chairman, I move to vote to accept the Resolution Number 2020-2, a resolution by the Concord Board of Trustees authorizing the closure of township Agency Fund 9001 and transfer of those monies held in that account to township General Fund 1000, and thereby ensure compliance with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, Number Statement 84, Fiduciary Activities.

MS. LUCCI: I will second.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: All in favor?

(Three aye votes, no nay votes.)

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Next on the New Business is a conditional offer of employment to Melissa Hopper, part-time assistant fiscal officer, at \$18 per hour, 15 hours per week, pending completion of new hire paperwork.

Amy, do you want to talk a little bit about that? 1 MS. DAWSON: Sure. Yes, Melissa came in, she met 2 with Cindy and Andy and myself. She has, I would say, she 3 averages 15 years with a banking background. She is looking for something very part time, which we were looking for as 5 well. She has a master's degree from Cleveland State. Her 6 7 undergraduate was from Bowling Green. And she currently runs a couple businesses on her own, just can't wait to become 8 involved with Concord Township. She is a resident. 9 10 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Great. MS. DAWSON: So I would love to have you welcome her 11 12 on board. 13 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Great. I will look for a motion. 14 15 MR. McINTOSH: Mr. Chairman, I move to make a conditional offer of employment to Melissa Hopper for part-16 time assistant fiscal officer at \$18 an hour for 15 hours per 17 week, pending completion of hire paperwork -- new hire 18 19 paperwork. MS. LUCCI: I will second. 20 21 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: All in favor? 22 (Three aye votes, no nay votes.) 23 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Next is an amendment to 24 Stoneridge Estates' preliminary plan, previously approved for the Stoneridge Estates residential conservation development. 25 26 I believe we have a representative, Dave Novak, here just to give a brief summary to the township residents on this. 27 28 Mr. Novak.

MR. NOVAK: Good evening. My name is David Novak.

My address is 9114 Tyler Boulevard, Mentor, Ohio. I am the

29

30

president of Barrington Consulting Group. Just real briefly, this was a project that started quite a few years ago and Phase 1, I think, the buildout of the improvements and so forth was done somewhere around 2012.

We're now trying to go and finish the development but since 2012 Concord passed different -- some new regulations when it comes to riparian setbacks and wetlands and so forth. So because of those new regulations, it's made it -- We had to change the plan to comply with those regulations. So this new plan complies with those regulations and, you know, it's the same number of lots. The open space has increased ever so slightly. And so we're just here to get, you know -- if I need to answer any questions or, you know, ask for your approval for the new plan.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Make a motion to approve?

MR. McINTOSH: I -- Just for the record, we did have time with you in office hours to ask a number of questions and I think, to that point, my questions have been satisfied during that conversation.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Yeah, we did have significant, significant discussion in office hours as far as the changes and recommendations by Heather Freeman, with our Zoning Department, and we're satisfied with the changes that have been made. Do I have a motion?

MR. McINTOSH: Mr. Chairman, I move to accept, to approve the amended Stoneridge Estates preliminary plan, previously approved for the Stoneridge Estates residential conservation development.

MS. LUCCI: And I second.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: All in favor?

(Three aye votes, no nay votes.) 1 MR. NOVAK: Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Next under New Business is --3 MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Yes. 5 MR. ROSE: I am sorry to interrupt. For Item D, you 6 are going to be working to set a public hearing for the 7 8 proposal by Ralph Victor Construction. That has already been to the Zoning Commission and is coming up. I would recommend 9 that you allow a half hour for that. So I would suggest 10 February 3rd prior to our next meeting, make that public 11 hearing at 7:00 to allow ample time for resident input. There 12 13 was quite a bit of resident input at the planning or -- I am sorry, boy. There was plenty of discussion at the Zoning 14 15 Commission -- I apologize for misspeaking -- and I would anticipate that a number of those residents will return for 16 17 the second public hearing, so I would allow for ample time. Ι just wanted to interject that. 18 19 And then we can adjust the office hours. Instead of 6:30, we will make that 7:00 to 7:30, if that's okay with the 20 21 Board. 22 MS. DAWSON: No. MR. ROSE: But that's --23 24 MS. DAWSON: No. You're going to put office hours at 6:00, 6:30 to 7:00. 25 26 MR. ROSE: Oh, I am sorry. It will be shortened, 6:00 to -- blah -- 6:30 to 7:00 and then the public hearing 27 28 will start at 7:00. MR. McINTOSH: 6:00 to 7:00? 29 30 MR. ROSE: I am sorry. I have that. Yes, I

apologize. 1 2 MS. DAWSON: Whatever you want to do. MR. McINTOSH: On the 3rd. 3 MS. DAWSON: You guys set that up. 5 I just want to make sure you give plenty MR. ROSE: of time because that --6 MR. McINTOSH: 6:00 to 7:00 for office hours. 7 8 MR. ROSE: I want to make sure folks have an 9 opportunity to speak. 10 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: So we're going to make a motion for a public hearing. It's going to be set on February 3rd at 11 7:00; is that correct? And office hours will be from --12 13 MS. DAWSON: Yeah, you don't have to vote on it, you 14 just set it. 15 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Okay. MS. DAWSON: So do you want --16 17 MR. ROSE: Yeah, we're changing that. MS. DAWSON: You want 6:00 to 7:00 office hours that 18 19 day? 20 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Correct, yes. 21 MR. McINTOSH: On the 3rd. 22 MS. DAWSON: Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: And then we will have the 24 public hearing set for 7:00. 25 MS. DAWSON: Okay. CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: To 7:30. 26 27 MR. ROSE: And that will be on -- Well, there is a 28 typo on the agenda. That will be on Monday, February 3rd, because we're going to be down at the Ohio Township 29 Association on Wednesday, February 5th, which is why we 30

changed that. And the formal published schedule has it on Monday, 2/3, and I apologize for the typo. That was a crazy day.

MS. DAWSON: Would you like me to do the future meetings announcements, Mr. Dondorfer?

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Sure.

MS. DAWSON: On Monday, January 20th, we will be closed in observance of Martin Luther King Jr. Day.

On Friday, the 31st of January, at 9:00 a.m., there will be a staff meeting here at the conference room.

Tuesday -- oops, sorry. Monday -- I almost messed up. Monday, February 3rd, from 6:00 to 7:00 p.m., we will have office hours here in the conference room; at 7:00 p.m., we will have the public hearing; and the regular Trustee meeting will be directly following the public hearing.

On Tuesday, the 4th of February, at 10:00 a.m., there will be a bid opening on the road projects from the Engineer's Office; and at 7:00 p.m. that evening, the Zoning Commission meeting here at Town Hall.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Thank you. Before we move into executive session to consider appointment of a public employee, I would like to thank everybody. There was a lot of discussion here tonight. I can assure you that, as chairman of the Board, I am collectively speaking on all of our parts that we are committed to serving the best interests of the township and working with the community on this fire station project. So we will do our due diligence to move this project forward. And I would thank everybody that was here tonight for coming up and speaking, and we appreciate your input.

MS. DAWSON: You need to ask for a motion.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: And I need a motion now to move 1 2 into executive session to consider employment of a public employee pursuant to Ohio Revised Code 121.22(G)(1). 3 Mr. Chairman, I move we go into MS. LUCCI: 5 executive session. CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Is there a second? 6 MR. McINTOSH: Second. 7 8 MR. LUCAS: Wait, wait. So the record is clear, to discuss the employment of a public employee. 9 10 MS. LUCCI: To discuss --MR. ROSE: Just give her the language, Carl. 11 MS. LUCCI: I move to go into executive session to 12 13 discuss the public employment position pursuant to Ohio 14 Revised Code 121.22. 15 MR. McINTOSH: Second. CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: All in favor? 16 17 MS. DAWSON: No. Roll call. MR. LUCAS: No. You've got to have a roll call 18 19 vote. MS. DAWSON: Roll call. 20 21 MR. ROSE: Right. 22 MS. DAWSON: Mrs. Lucci? 23 MS. LUCCI: Yes. MS. DAWSON: Mr. Dondorfer? 24 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Yes. 25 MS. DAWSON: And Mr. McIntosh? 26 MR. McINTOSH: Yes. 27 28 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Thank you for those that are attending. And if you -- You are free to go if you like or 29 you can stay and wait for us to return from executive session. 30

Thank you.

(Whereupon, the Trustees went into executive session at 8:38 p.m. and returned to the regular meeting at 9:28 p.m.)

Session on January 15, 2020, Concord Township Board of Trustees. We were in executive session to discuss the current vacancy of our Service Director position that is going to become vacant pursuant to a retirement. With that, we held second interviews on three very qualified candidates this evening prior to the meeting. And with that, we had some very good, constructive discussion and, you know, I believe that we're ready to proceed with some more discussion on a Service Director, filling that vacancy.

MS. LUCCI: Mr. Chairman, I would make a motion to select Mr. Tim Brown as Service Director and authorize our Township Administrator to finalize his terms of employment in that position with the township.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Is there a second?

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: All in favor?

Second.

MR. McINTOSH:

MR. LUCAS: Wait. You want to maybe have a brief discussion about that.

CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Oh, yes, have a brief discussion. Like I said, we held interviews this evening with three very qualified candidates. They all have extensive background in service oriented work as far as road work, road projects, administrative requirements and things of that nature and we are very fortunate to have these candidates before us tonight. And with that, you know, I felt also that,

you know, Mr. Brown was well qualified for the position and 1 2 was the, you know, best candidate that we had to move Concord in the direction that we're looking to move. 3 MR. McINTOSH: Yeah, I appreciated that he's had 5 some experience here in Concord and his supervision and his experience in Mentor. It just -- I think he will make a good 6 7 fit here with the people. I see him, you know, kind of 8 fitting in well with our service crew maybe and he's got some leadership experience and he's been a part of the Concord team 9 in the past. 10 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Right. 11 12 MR. McINTOSH: I think that's a plus. 13 MS. LUCCI: All three applicants had many different qualities that, you know, put them in -- could put them in 14 15 this position but we felt, I felt Mr. Brown's background would serve our -- this position probably in the best way for 16 17 Concord. CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Great. So with that, we are 18 19 voting? All in favor, right? All those in favor? (Three aye votes, no nay votes.) 20 21 MS. DAWSON: Did you "aye"? 22 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: I did "aye." 23 MS. DAWSON: All right. 24 CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: Yes, I did. MS. DAWSON: I missed it. I just wanted to make 25 26 sure. CHAIRMAN DONDORFER: And that concludes our Concord 27 28 Township Board of Trustees meeting this evening. Thank you for joining us. Have a good evening. 29 (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 9:31 p.m.) 30

STATE OF OHIO 1 CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA I, Melinda A. Melton, Registered Professional 3 Reporter, a notary public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that, to the best of my ability, the foregoing proceeding was reduced by me to stenotype shorthand from the video 5 recording of same, subsequently transcribed into typewritten manuscript; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of said proceedings so taken as 6 7 aforesaid. 8 I do further certify that I am not a friend, relative, or counsel for any party or otherwise interested in the outcome of these proceedings. 9 10 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office this 3rd day of February 2020. 11 12 Melinda A. Melton Melinda A. Melton 13 Registered Professional Reporter 14 Notary Public within and for the 15 State of Ohio 16 My Commission Expires: February 4, 2023 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30