## CONCORD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS LAKE COUNTY, OHIO REGULAR MEETING

Held via Webex Teleconference and YouTube Live Streaming

Concord Town Hall 7229 Ravenna Road Concord, Ohio 44077

December 9, 2020 7:00 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Board of Zoning Appeals members present:

Ivan Valentic, Chairman
Blair Hamilton, Member
Chris Jarrell, Member
Francis Sweeney, Member
James Rowe, Alternate Member

## Also Present:

Heather Freeman, Planning & Zoning Director/Zoning
 Inspector
Michael Lucas, Esq., Legal Counsel (via Webex)

Melton Reporting
11668 Girdled Road
Concord, Ohio 44077
(440) 946-1350

7:03 p.m.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Good evening. The Concord

Township Board of Zoning Appeals meeting for December 9, 2020, is now in session. I would like introduce the Board. To my far left is Skip Sweeney and Jim Rowe. I am Ivan Valentic.

To my right is Chris Jarrell and Blair Hamilton to the far right. To the far, far right is Heather Freeman, our township Zoning Inspector.

Under the advice of counsel, we ask that anyone speaking tonight must be sworn in, so please stand to be sworn in. I'd ask that anybody that's on the phone, that you will also be sworn in at this time. Please stand. Please stand and raise your right hand.

(Whereupon, the speakers were sworn en masse.)

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Thank you. This evening when you're presenting, come to the microphone, state your name and your address and confirm that you've been sworn in. Anybody that's on the phone, we will give them a chance to speak as well and we will confirm that they've been sworn in as well.

Heather, were the legal notices published in a timely manner?

MS. FREEMAN: Yes, they were. And, Mr. Chairman, just so you know, we do have attorney Mike Lucas on the line.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay.

MS. FREEMAN: I see he is on the line. You might want to verify that he can hear what's going on.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Mike, I believe you're on the line. Can you confirm that you can hear us okay or type something in the chat maybe as well.

MR. EDGAR: Mr. Valentic, this is Chad, with Soil

and Water. During the initial first few minutes of the 1 meeting, it was very difficult to hear but that last one was 2 quite clear. 3 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay, Chad. Is Mr. Lucas on the line? (No response.) Okay, I 5 am not sure if he is. 6 I see him here. 7 MS. FREEMAN: CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: We have two -- We have Old 8 Business, Appeal Number 2018-41, Randy Viviani, of 7757 9 10 Concord LLC, they have requested to table this appeal and this will continue to stay on here until that can be resolved. 11 12 New Business, we have two variance requests for this evening. A three-vote majority is required to either approve 13 or deny an appeal. If your request is denied, you have to 14 right to file an appeal. 15 So first is Variance Number 2020-71, ProBuilt Homes, 16 on behalf of the property owner, Mountainside Farms LLC, is 17 18 requesting a variance from Section 17.07(A) to allow for the 19 construction of a single-family dwelling and related soil 20 disturbing activities within the riparian setback area, which 21 is otherwise prohibited use, for the property located at 22 7996 Burgundy Court, current parcel number 08-A-023-E-00-23 048 - 0. 24 Chad, just to confirm, were you able to hear that 25 okay at that point? 26 MR. EDGAR: Yes, sir. 27 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: All right. 28 MR. ROWE: I think you, the last three numbers, I think you flipped. 29

Just as a matter of the record, Mike

30

MR. LUCAS:

Lucas is on the line.

MR. ROWE: I thought I heard -- Where is it? I thought our heard "480" instead of --

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: 084-0. Thank you, Jim.

Thank you, Mike. We got you.

Okay.

MR. DAVIS: All right. Thank you, Chairman Valentic, and everyone else tonight. I've been here on numerous times before for Mountainside Farms for variances similar to this. I will try to be brief because I know most of you were on the Board, if not all, before. Mountainside Farms was platted prior to -- when I say "platted," meaning it was designed and built and the road put in prior to the riparian variance being installed. On a hillside, there is numerous streams. The developer was not afforded the opportunity to move the road layout so it would work with the riparian.

So this lot in question, again, is a half acre lot in what I would consider an executive level subdivision where you're having larger homes. And this lot, especially, the creek not only is in the front yard but it is sort of angling as you head north. So a good portion of the lot is now unusable by the riparian.

So what we're requesting here, we do have a client that is purchasing this home. So we have -- What we're requesting is to grade into the riparian and install the front walk in the riparian. We've pushed the house back as far as we can. We're at the rear setback line with the rear of the home and, you know, we have tried to do everything possible to stay as far away from the stream as we can. And, you know, we

would ask that, you know, in lieu of the hardship of where the stream is on the lot and that it was designed, the subdivision was designed prior to the riparian, we would hope that you would, as you've done in the past, grant a variance so that we can grade into it. The house itself is not in the riparian. It is just some of the front walk and the grade off the front of the house.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: What's the status of the house right now?

MR. DAVIS: It's sold. We have a customer. There's a resident that's purchasing the home.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. When they purchased the property, were they aware of the riparian setback?

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ DAVIS:}$$  Well, they have not, they have not closed on the purchase yet.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay

MR. DAVIS: They are aware of the riparian. We met them on site. We explained it. You know, I believe Mr. Edgar is going to talk about rotating it. We tried to rotate it in all the different directions and, at the end of the day, with the needs of the client and with trying to stay as far away as possible, this was, you know, deemed to be the best solution.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay.

MR. DAVIS: And we do have the developer, Larry

Nadler -- I am doing this because it's my customer but it's on

behalf of him because my customer hasn't closed yet. The

developer himself is on the phone if you wish to speak with

him.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. Did you guys -- I understand you looked at maybe the position of the house.

What about a retaining wall or something to reduce the encroachment into the riparian setback, did you look at anything like that?

MR. DAVIS: Well, yes and no. Ultimately, what we want to do here is going to be, pretty much, identical to what we did on sublot 100 up the street, which is the, you know — The guy that bought Sublot 100 bought Sublot 101. He is retaining it, not building on it. But if you remember, we're grading it exactly like that. We are going straight down and it will be a natural rock that we — On that one at 100, we have rocks in there to retain the soil. I don't know if you have seen that. We have rocks. And Chad can help me with the verbiage. It's a ground cover vine thing and then mulch that holds the thing back.

And I think Chad mentioned, we do, just to answer Chad's question in his recommendations, as, you know, we've told our client that we are not allowed to do anything in the riparian other than what's approved in the variance. So we are not, we do not intend to, you know, to do anything else contrary to the Concord ordinance within the riparian.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: What are we putting back then in that disturbed area that's in the riparian? That's not going to be dirt, that's going to be left natural even after it gets disturbed?

MR. DAVIS: I mean, if you, you know -- I guess I was confused by some of the comments but if you would rather, on the street side, that we seed that, I am sure the client would much rather have that. We were just, as of right now, we were leaving everything in the riparian natural.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: No, I understand that. I think

we have -- I think it's recommended to leave everything in the riparian area natural. My question is around the area in the riparian corridor that you are grading and filling.

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: What is that? What are you going to put back in that area?

MR. DAVIS: Well, that's going to be like what was done up the street at 100 with we're going to use strategically placed rocks into the hillside, right, and then you put -- and I am not good at the term. I am not a landscaper but it's like a, gosh, ivy-type thing that retains the soil and you put mulch in with it.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay.

MR. DAVIS: Exactly, it will look exactly like we did at 100.

MR. ROWE: Yeah, there is a point in Chad's notes even where he does not see favor in seeding.

MR. DAVIS: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah, we would -- I think the point is I think he is emphasizing that we put native plants back in there.

MR. ROWE: Right, absolutely.

MR. DAVIS: I do want to address one other thing, so if you bear with me. You know, this was what I would call initially like an ephemeral stream, you know, it just sort of comes out of that hillside, you know, south of where Lake Erie College built up for their -- or north of where Lake Erie College built up for their equestrian center. You know, it used to flow pretty good because, when none of those houses were built on the hillside, all the water would go to that

stream. Now that those houses are built and all their downspouts are tied in and they're going to the storm sewer, which exhausts on the other side of, on the north side of Caribou, there is very little water in that stream now. I mean, there is not a lot, you know. So I don't, I don't feel like, you know -- It's not like that whole thing is ever going to fill up with water because most of the water has been diverted by either sump pump structures or by tied in downspouts of the uphill houses.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Anyone else have any questions?

MR. SWEENEY: Yeah. I just want to get, kind of,

the lay of the land here. Across the street is, and beyond

the houses across the street going north, I believe, there is
a retention pond.

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

MR. SWEENEY: It's pretty big, too, isn't it?

MR. DAVIS: It used to be a lot bigger. I mean, I don't know how long you've been around Concord but that used to be a pretty high dam.

MR. SWEENEY: Yeah, no, I recall that.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  DAVIS: Part of the whole deal was they had to lower that, yes.

MR. SWEENEY: Right. And in the back of this house to the west, is there also a small creek?

MR. DAVIS: No.

MR. SWEENEY: I took a look at that today and I just, it seemed like there was just a small, I mean, nothing of any real significance.

MR. DAVIS: Nothing on this lot. I think what you're talking about, so the property to the west on the rear

line of this lot is owned by Lake Erie College. About 20 feet into that is where, like, some water comes down the hillside. It really hasn't even almost channelized yet.

MR. SWEENEY: Yeah, it doesn't --

MR. DAVIS: And you will notice, Larry Nadler, the developer, he added a little yard drain there to catch that because it was rushing out into the road. But that's not on the rear of this lot, that's coming off of Lake Erie College property.

MR. SWEENEY: Okay.

MR. DAVIS: It may be slightly channelized but it sort of doesn't really matter. It's not on this lot but it's very small.

MR. SWEENEY: Yeah, it's inconsequential.

MR. DAVIS: I live in Mountainside Farms, so I remember, I mean, when that road was first put in, that little bit of water was flooding over and putting mud on the road and that's why Larry added that little drain there.

But, so that's what we're hoping to do. And, you know, this, knock on wood, we're sold out in Mountainside now, so there shouldn't be any of these variances anymore. The new subdivision, we're designing around the 25 foot riparian properly. So --

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Anyone else on the Board have questions? No. You can be seated.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. Thank you, all.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Thank you.

Chad, you're on the line. I will let you maybe start first and then we will open it up to the public, if you want to expand on your recommendations briefly. We've all

read them and we have them in front of us.

MR. EDGAR: Okay, thank you. I would like to ask a clarification question from George. Can he hear me?

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yes, he can hear you.

MR. EDGAR: Okay. So one of the comments that I generated was, What's the intent of the ground between the stream and Burgundy? Is the intent to leave the existing vegetation exactly as it is?

MR. DAVIS: Well, so the, at the right-of-way, you know, the part that's in the right-of-way, that's grass right now, and then when you step off of that it's a bunch of prickers. So, I mean, I can't speak for my client but I am assuming they're going to convert those prickers into grass, but we're not grading on that or putting any fill because that's in the riparian as well. You know what I am talking about, Chad? All those, there's like, you know, all those prickers.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  EDGAR: Yes, sir. That was the clarification I was looking for.

So I think my comment would be in relation to the final disposition of the vegetation in there, my recommendation would be to plant that in native vegetation. Turf grass isn't native. I understand the goal of a homeowner but I am looking at it from the goal of protecting the stream and the infrastructure, green infrastructure that the stream provides.

So other than that, you know, I agree that, with George's statement that that lot was platted beforehand, a very small lot, not a lot of options for them. I think a slight rotation of the footprint of the house where it was

more parallel to the stream rather than parallel to Burgundy, maybe 10 degrees of rotation at most, would pull some of that footprint of the fill as it rounds the front elevation and goes around to the north elevation, would kind of pull that footprint of that fill out of the riparian a little bit more. That would make the back yard not a perfect rectangle but there certainly is plenty of space to the north there not in the riparian that could be established for general lawn and landscaping usage. But that, it's a pretty similar lot to the other variances that have been brought up in that subdivision.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Chad, I haven't seen what was completed on sublot 100. Is that, George, that you referenced? Have you seen how that finished out and it was completed, by chance?

MR. EDGAR: No. I've got 400 active lots in Lake County right now --

MR. DAVIS: Chad, that one, Chad, to refresh your memory, that's the --

MR. EDGAR: (Inaudible.)

MR. DAVIS: I am sorry. To refresh your memory, that's the house immediately to the south going up Burgundy on the same side of the street with the white siding. Probably, you'd remember it.

MR. EDGAR: Okay, okay, yeah, I've got a general recollection of what that looks like.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: So, Chad, are you recommending -- Obviously, you want anything that's in the riparian corridor in that setback to remain native. Are you saying that you would like to see that anything that's, you know, in between Burgundy Court and the creek, all of that

area even if it's outside of the riparian, to stay, to remain as native vegetation?

MR. EDGAR: Well, I think my wish would be that anything in that riparian corridor be maintained in woody vegetation because that's what ultimately provides the most erosion control and the most benefit for water quality. So if there is areas outside of the riparian setback between that and Burgundy, that's a bonus. It's certainly close enough to the stream that it would provide shade cover and filtration of runoff from surrounding areas, but I don't have really any justification to say it should be.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Gotcha, okay. So you just want --

MR. EDGAR: Outside of it would be good.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah, okay. So you are just looking, at a minimum, that riparian setback gets restored with native species, okay.

MR. DAVIS: Can I make a couple of comments?

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Uh-huh.

MR. DAVIS: So the 10 degree rotation, we are okay with. However, the big issue why we didn't do it, Chad, is it pulls the front corner of that garage, the southeast corner of that garage, because as we rotated it, as we rotated it that 10 degrees, we've got to pull that closer so that that back corner isn't in the rear setback. And so when we did that, yeah, it sort of, it could create less of an area into the riparian but the problem is now we've got to put the sidewalk even farther into the riparian, which means I've got to go flat, at least, 4 feet away from the house for the front walk anyway. So once we did that, we didn't really see that much

of a difference. That's why we went back to, you know, because we did try to angle it. It's just a tough lot because so much of it's in the riparian.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ \ \operatorname{EDGAR}:$\ I$$  appreciate you went through that effort.

MR. DAVIS: And then the only other question I will make on the native vegetation, you know, if you put yourself in, you know -- Larry Nadler put this development in with the intent of putting executive level homes there. Obviously, this got placed on that afterwards, which has had a negative impact on this lot. He had to sell it -- He is selling it at a discount. It was impossible to sell, right? We finally found someone who was willing to take some of the accommodation.

But imagine if you're building a \$600,000 house and you've got to leave prickers in your front yard. Like, you know, I think it would be fair, as we've done on all the other riparian variances where, you know, because before it was said that you could plant grass in the riparian. I think it would be fair to allow them, on that street side, you know, the street side, you know, to plant grass in the riparian on the street side so they can have some nice street appearance. I feel that would be fair to the client. I don't want to, you know -- There is not a ton of water going there and, at some point, we've got to look at aesthetics, I would think.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah, I don't -- We're not here to approve them clearing and doing, you know. We are just only here to approve the additional fill and earth disturbing activities within the riparian zone. That's all we're here, I mean --

MR. DAVIS: My client's aware of what they can and 1 can't do per the -- You know, we have your ordinance. So, you 2 know, we shared that with the client so they are aware of 3 that. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: And I am sure someone will be 5 out to review during construction to confirm, you know, that 6 you are following those, the ordinance. Okay. 7 MR. DAVIS: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Any questions for Chad from the 9 10 Board? MR. ROWE: No. 11 12 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Thank you, Chad. I am going to ask that, if there is anyone else in 13 14 the room that's either speaking for or against this appeal, if they would like to come up? 15 16 (No response.) I would ask that, if there is anybody on the 17 Okay. phone that would like to speak for or against this appeal, 18 19 that they speak now or put something in the chat maybe if you 20 want to speak and then we can get you all set up. 21 (No response.) 22 Okay. So it doesn't sound like we have anyone else 23 that's here to speak for or against this appeal. So if there 24 is no further questions, the public hearing for Variance 25 Number 2020-17 is now closed to the public. Can I get a 26 motion to approve Variance Number 2020-71? 27 MS. JARRELL: So moved. 28 MR. ROWE: Second. 29 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Open for discussion on the

Anybody want to -- I don't know. I do say that I am

30

Board.

glad that we're done with Mountainside. I know, George, I 1 know you are, too. 2 MR. DAVIS: Me, too. 3 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: I would say that my only thing is, I feel like I am slightly disappointed in the fact that 5 maybe we didn't see some of the tweaks and things that they 6 7 tried. I would've liked to maybe see even a wall version shown of what it would look like with the wall just to try to 8 reduce it a little bit more. I mean, it's not a huge variance 9 10 request but it's a decent variance request. So they are in a difficult situation. So that's my piece. Anyone else? 11 12 MR. ROWE: I think adhering to Chad's line to stick with the native vegetation and so forth, I mean, I am not --13 Again, as he points out, if you've got grass, it's not a 14 situation in his favor. 15 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah, I don't think they're 16 allowed to clear and put grass in that riparian zone, correct, 17 18 Heather, or do we have to make that a stipulation? 19 MR. HAMILTON: Based on the zoning? 20 MS. FREEMAN: Based on the zoning, they can 21 cultivate a lawn. 22 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Oh, they can? 23 MR. DAVIS: Yeah. 24 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. 25 MR. ROWE: Well, as I say, it's a sticky situation. I mean, if the guy who spends his lifetime figuring how to do 26 27 this, you know, is saying not really in favor of saying no, 28 no, you're not right, you know --29 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah. 30 MR. ROWE: I say, I've had experience living along

the riparian and, you know, it's better to stick with 1 dictates. 2 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. Skip? 3 MR. SWEENEY: No. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Chris, anything to add? 5 MS. JARRELL: No. 6 MR. HAMILTON: I guess I will just say, outside of 7 granting this variance, anything that does happen in the 8 riparian, as long as it happens per the zoning, I am fine. 9 10 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. MR. ROWE: That's well put, yeah. 11 12 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: I think what Jim is saying is that, it sounds like, Jim, there was a recommendation that 13 14 they put back the native plants. It doesn't sound like anybody is pushing for that on the Board. I am just reading 15 16 the situation here. So we could just, you know, we don't have to make that a condition. It's just look at it the way it is. 17 18 MS. JARRELL: I think what Mr. Davis is suggesting 19 with, you know, putting in a bunch of rocks and foliage in 20 there, and it correlates with the rest of the development, and 21 that makes sense. 22 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. 23 MR. ROWE: I just -- Chad was in agreement on the 24 lot 100? 25 MS. JARRELL: I don't remember that but --26 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah, I think he made 27 recommendations but we may not have accepted all those 28 recommendations at that time. I don't remember, to be honest 29 with you.

Okay. I wasn't involved with that one.

30

MR. ROWE:

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah. 1 MR. ROWE: Okay. 2 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. Anything else? 3 All Then we will go with -- Then it's approval on the variance for Appeal Number 2020-71. A yes vote approves the 5 6 variance, a no vote denies the variance. Heather, please call 7 the vote. MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Sweeney? 8 MR. SWEENEY: Yes. 9 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Hamilton? 10 MR. HAMILTON: Yes. 11 MS. FREEMAN: Ms. Jarrell? 12 MS. JARRELL: Yes. 13 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Valentic? 14 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yes. 15 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Rowe? 16 MR. ROWE: No. 17 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. Your variance has been 18 approved. Thank you. 19 20 MR. DAVIS: Thank you, all. Have a good holiday. 21 MS. JARRELL: You, too. 22 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: You, too. 23 Next on the agenda is Variance Number 2020-72, James 24 and Marian Mantel are requesting a variance from Section 17.07(A) to allow for the construction of a single-family 25 26 dwelling and related soil disturbing activities within the 27 riparian setback area, which is otherwise a prohibited use, 28 for the property located at 11805 Cali Drive, current parcel number 08-A-004-D-00-060-0. 29 30 MR. CHORBA: Good evening. Frank Chorba, from Land

Design Consultants. We did the site plan for this lot. And Mrs. Mantel is here with me tonight.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: And you've been sworn in, sir?

MR. CHORBA: Yes, I've been sworn in.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: And can you give your address for the record?

MR. CHORBA: Company or home? Company.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Company is fine.

MR. CHORBA: Land Design Consultant, 9025 Osborne Drive, Mentor, Ohio.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay.

MR. CHORBA: As I said, we designed the site plan. Wetland C and wetland D that you see in there are in the process of being mitigated through the Army Corps of Engineers. Basically, it's up to the point where everything is approved and they just have to send in the fee for the mitigation.

We placed the house so that we could be on the 50 foot setback and kind of equidistance from the banks of the stream all around the house to keep it as far away as possible and still remain behind the setback, and the house is ending up on basically the highest portion of the property. That was our reasoning for placement of where it is. If need be, that stream through wetland D is going to stay the way it is and to the west of that. Even though that portion of wetland is being mitigated, that could remain natural without affecting the building of the house.

I got a bunch of comments from Soil and Water tonight and it was mentioned that the stream is moving. The banks of the stream as shown on our drawing were done by a

real topo done two years ago, maybe two and a half years ago, and you can see where the floodplain is over to the right-hand side of the drawing on the easterly portion of the property.

The stream is east of where the floodplain actually is, which shows some of the movement that's taken place since the floodplain was designed on the FEMA maps.

Basically, we show the green area where we're disturbing the riparian setback. What we did is basically graded out from the house and then drew that along where the grading lines ended. I would imagine, if need be, the slopes could get steeper going toward the stream with like maybe some kind of retaining landscaping around the house and it can drop down in more of the, some of the green area toward along the stream could be left natural the way it is now by pulling the contours back with landscaping around the house.

And would that be all right with you if --

MS. MANTEL: Yes, definitely.

MR. CHORBA: I guess, if there is any other comments or questions, I would be glad to answer them but --

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: So this is Jordan Creek, right?
MR. CHORBA: Pardon me?

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: This is Jordan Creek through the property?

MR. CHORBA: Yes. And then there is, there is that stream to the, on the east side of the house where you see through wetland D. That's from a culvert that's coming across the street. We're leaving that. Through that wetland area, we're leaving it as it is.

MR. HAMILTON: You have a comment that that will be mitigated. What's involved with that?

MR. CHORBA: Basically, the Army Corps gives you permission to fill the wetlands, to place fill in so they wouldn't be there anymore.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: And this property was purchased after our riparian setback was established, correct? Our riparian setbacks were established in July 2016.

MR. CHORBA: Do you know when you purchased it?

MS. JARRELL: This lot was bought in 2017. I don't know what month.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. Anyone have any questions?

MS. JARRELL: Can you just kind of take us through the process of getting all this stuff approved and where you're at there because there was a lot of stuff here, so if you could just kind of walk us through that, if you would.

MR. CHORBA: Well, of course, the first thing we have to do is get the variance for the riparian setback and then we were going to complete the wetland mitigation with the Corps. And after seeing these comments tonight, we're probably going to have to meet with Soil and Water and address these as best we can to get to build a house on this property.

Is Chad still on the line?

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah, Chad is still on the line. Yeah, we will get to Chad, too.

MR. CHORBA: Okay.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: I mean, we had -- Some of us are more familiar with streams and the impact that a stream can have in a riparian setback. So we've had some training in, you know, with us to kind of educate the Board. Like, if I were to pull out a picture of a site that the reason we

established riparian setbacks is so we wouldn't end up in the situation that we have here this evening with this lot. I mean, this is a significant, significant impact to the riparian corridor, to the stream floodplain that's getting proposed. And that's, that's our, that's the difficulty we have right now is to find a way to really understand, you know, why you guys are attempting what you are trying to attempt and if we should allow you to do that.

MR. CHORBA: And the difficulty we have is that this is the only spot we can possibly put a house.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah.

MR. CHORBA: So the only other thing we can do is, I was reading through these comments real quick tonight and Chad mentioned moving it, moving the house southerly, which would be encroaching into the normal setbacks. We'd have to get a variance for that from the township if we did move the house forward toward the road.

MS. JARRELL: I think it would be easier to get something like that.

MR. CHORBA: Well, it still wouldn't solve the problem but it would help.

MS. JARRELL: So, I mean, I'm curious. I mean, the very first question that we always ask is if, you know, the property was purchased with the knowledge of the setback requirements.

MS. MANTEL: No.

MR. CHORBA: They didn't know about it when they purchased the property. They knew about the frontage setback but not the riparian setback.

MS. JARRELL: Okay. And you didn't really answer my

question on what this process is because I see this preliminary JD in here. You know, just take me through the approval process with the powers that be.

MR. CHORBA: Well, first it goes to, first it goes to the township to get zoning approval and then it goes to the county engineer and, I believe, Soil and Water at the same time to get approval for the site plan.

MS. JARRELL: I am talking about the EPA.

MR. CHORBA: Oh, the EPA.

MS. JARRELL: And the Army Corps.

MR. CHORBA: Okay. That is, basically, that got started a couple years ago and we, our company, didn't do it. We hired, the Mantels hired HZW to present it to the Corps. The Corps came out and looked at the land. They had to agree with where we said the wetlands were. And then we asked permission to fill this .02 acres and .03 acres of wetland. And we got to the point where they said they would approve it to be mitigated, in other words, they would allow us to fill those wetland areas and the Mantels would have to pay a fee to be able to do that, and that's where we are now, but we wanted to get approval through the township prior to them paying that fee so that that would be taken care of.

MS. JARRELL: Is there a contract on this property or what? I mean, because you already live in the development.

MS. MANTEL: We live on Nancy Ann, correct. What do you mean, a contract?

MS. JARRELL: Are you selling this? Are you going to be living there?

MS. MANTEL: Oh, I am going to be moving, yes. I want to build a one-floor ranch home and downsize from, you

know, our big home up on Nancy Ann Drive, yes. That's the 1 reason I bought the lot. I was told we could build on it. 2 MS. JARRELL: Maybe you should come up here and give 3 us your name and address. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah, I was going to say, go 5 through the whole give us your name and address and confirm 6 7 you've been sworn in. MS. MANTEL: I am sorry. Go on. Where was I? 8 MS. JARRELL: State your name and address. 9 10 MS. MANTEL: Oh, my name is Marian Mantel, 7595 Nancy Ann Drive, Concord. 11 12 MS. JARRELL: And you have been sworn in? MS. MANTEL: Yes. 13 MS. JARRELL: Okay, great. So you are moving from 14 your house? 15 16 MS. MANTEL: Right. MS. JARRELL: And you're going to be, you want to 17 18 build a ranch on this property. MS. MANTEL: Correct. 19 MS. JARRELL: And you had no idea that --20 21 MS. MANTEL: No. 22 MS. JARRELL: Any of this? 23 MS. MANTEL: None. We had no idea there was 24 wetlands on it. We bought it with the, you know, there were 25 no wetlands, everything was fine and we went into it and we 26 bought the lot, and then I bought some other property next to 27 it so I'd have a bigger area to build on, and that's where we 28 are now. I want to downsize. I want to build a smaller home 29 and I am hoping we can get some of this approved so we could 30 start moving forward on it.

```
MS. JARRELL: There are substantial issues and a
1
    whole lot of comments from Soil and Water.
2
               MR. ROWE: Well, very salient is the opening
3
    recommendation regarding this property.
               MS. JARRELL: What are you talking about, Jim?
5
                         From Chad's recommendations.
6
               MS. JARRELL: Oh, well, I don't think that
7
    Mrs. Mantel was aware --
8
9
               MS. MANTEL: No.
10
               MS. JARRELL: -- that it wasn't suitable at all for
    residential development. I mean, you bought this from Home
11
12
    Savings, right?
13
               MS. MANTEL: No, I bought this from Cali Development
    or Cali Properties.
14
               MS. JARRELL: Cali, it didn't indicate that on the
15
    Auditor's site.
16
              MS. MANTEL: No. I bought the lot next to this lot
17
18
    from Home Savings.
19
               MS. JARRELL: Oh, okay.
20
               MS. MANTEL: And I conbined both 39-B with 38-A or
21
    something like that to make this section here.
22
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: I don't know. Anyone else have
23
    any questions?
24
               MR. SWEENEY: Yeah. Ms. Mantel, I want to ask you a
25
    couple of questions. Now, you lived in this neighborhood for
26
    how long?
               MS. MANTEL: Pardon?
27
28
               MR. SWEENEY: You lived in the neighborhood for how
29
    long?
30
               MS. MANTEL:
                            Twenty-two years.
```

MR. SWEENEY: And Nancy Ann is a couple minute walk 1 2 from here. Um-hum. MS. MANTEL: 3 MR. SWEENEY: From this? MS. MANTEL: Yes, right. 5 MR. SWEENEY: Okay. So you must have driven past 6 7 this lot a long time. MS. MANTEL: Right. 8 MR. SWEENEY: Many times, thinking about it, what 9 10 you want to do? MS. MANTEL: Absolutely. 11 12 MR. SWEENEY: The possibilities. Did you ever take a walk on the property? 13 MS. MANTEL: No. 14 MR. SWEENEY: Or your husband or I don't know who 15 16 your --MS. MANTEL: We did a walk once, yes, and it's so 17 thick with vegetation and trees, we really couldn't get very 18 19 far but we did do a walk but not all the way into it because you -- I couldn't walk it. 20 21 MR. SWEENEY: Yeah, it's pretty overgrown. 22 MS. MANTEL: Right. 23 MR. SWEENEY: And that lot, had that lot been up for 24 sale the entire time you lived in Cali? 25 MS. MANTEL: I really don't know. I know the person 26 I bought it from had called me. He knew I was trying to 27 downsize and said he wanted to sell it, Joe Cali. And I said, 28 yeah, I'd be interested in it. And, you know, I asked, I 29 says, "Is there any problem?" No, nothing going on. I just 30 want to sell it and be done with it, you know, selling all his

property up here. So I bought it and I was told that, you 1 know, all lots are buildable and I went into it with really 2 good faith and --3 MS. JARRELL: Who told you that it was buildable? MS. MANTEL: Well, I was told any lot was buildable 5 6 when I called Land Design, you know, but, of course, nobody, 7 we didn't have these plans or anything like that. So I bought the lot. And then a year later or a couple years later Home 8 Savings had these two lots that I knew weren't really 9 10 buildable but I wanted to, you know, buy it so I'd have the land next to this, the good property, to make sure that I had 11 12 enough property to build on. So --13 MS. JARRELL: May I asked how much you paid for the lot? 14 MS. MANTEL: I paid \$25,000 for the sublot. 15 MS. JARRELL: The subject lot? 16 MS. MANTEL: That lot, and \$5,000 for the one next 17 to it. 18 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: The other thing that kind of 19 20 jumped out at me and I think Chad brought up, too, was this 21 100-year flood limits. You know, I don't know how accurate. 22 I mean, they're not very accurate because the creek's moved. 23 You know, this is from FEMA. I am not sure when they mapped 24 Maybe, it maybe was a while back because the creek's in a 25 different position from where that's located. And Chad's 26 alluding to the fact that, you know, there might need to be a 27 new H&H study done to confirm the FEMA flood limits. Are you 28 aware of that or do you --29 MR. CHORBA: Not till tonight. 30 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Not till tonight.

MS. JARRELL: So I am curious. When you closed on 1 the property, title would have known that it was in a 2 floodplain. That would have been disclosed to you. 3 MS. MANTEL: It never was, no, there was no mention of it. 5 MS. JARRELL: I mean, that is a requirement. 6 MS. MANTEL: I could look at my title papers but 7 nothing was ever brought up that it was in a -- it's not -- I 8 thought it's not in a floodplain. 9 10 MS. JARRELL: It is in a floodplain. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah. Well, part of the 11 property is definitely in the floodplain and there might be 12 more of the property in the floodplain if you go, if you, 13 really, if they do the H&H study and determine what the 14 existing 100- year floodplain actually is. This survey is how 15 old, did you say, two years? 16 MR. CHORBA: I think it was done in August of '17. 17 18 MS. JARRELL: I just don't think we have enough 19 information. There is way too many questions. Did you get the property insured? 20 MR. SWEENEY: 21 MS. MANTEL: No. Insured for what? 22 MS. JARRELL: She would have title insurance, that 23 would --24 MS. MANTEL: I have title insurance. 25 MR. SWEENEY: I mean, subsequent to purchasing the 26 house. 27 MS. MANTEL: There is no house on it. 28 MS. JARRELL: There is no building on it. 29 MR. SWEENEY: I think your answer is no. 30 MS. JARRELL: Yeah.

MS. MANTEL: There is no house on here. 1 MS. JARRELL: There is no house on it. 2 MR. SWEENEY: I know, but that wasn't my question. 3 MS. MANTEL: No. MR. SWEENEY: Okay. I just, I took a drive past 5 this and I got out and I looked around on this property, and 6 7 I've got to tell you, there is a lot going on. There is a lot of elevation change. There is a lot of, there is a lot of 8 stream meandering, not to mention, when you look at the 9 10 property, it looks like a swamp, the entire property. Did it ever occur to you that you might not be able to build on it? 11 12 MS. MANTEL: No. MR. SWEENEY: Did you -- You mentioned your builder 13 said, "Oh, there is no problems with that." Have you talked 14 to him in the past three years? 15 16 MS. MANTEL: I talked to him about a year ago and he says he swears that when he -- He was the original owner of 17 18 the whole property there and that area is where they had the 19 sand pit. And he swore to me there was no wetlands, there was no issue with it. 20 21 MR. SWEENEY: If you look at old pictures of this 22 area, I would think the term "sand pit" would be an 23 understatement. This guy owned the entire Cali Woods 24 property? 25 MS. MANTEL: Cali Woods, right. 26 MR. SWEENEY: And he told you it's fine, it's fine, 27 you can build on it? 28 MS. MANTEL: Um-hum. 29 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Regardless, if -- I mean, we can 30 let Chad talk, too. My view on this whole thing is that,

regardless, this is a very large impact to the riparian zoning code. It's a huge impact. I mean, it's not minor. And I haven't heard enough or anything really today that's going to convince me of why we should give a variance to this. So I am really having a hard time with that. You know, more information or not, I don't know if it's really going to change much, in my opinion.

But if there is no further questions for either of them, then I would like to let Chad kind of go through his comments and then you guys can maybe ask Chad questions if you have questions for Chad. Does that make sense?

Chad, can you hear me?

MR. EDGAR: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. The Board has a copy of your comments, your recommendations. The property owner was given the comments earlier this evening. If you would like to just kind of go through your points and just kind of let us know kind of where you're at, what you're thinking, and then maybe we will have some, you know, comments for you or questions.

MR. EDGAR: Sure, thanks. You know, the lot was platted before the riparian setbacks, which were 2015, correct?

MS. MANTEL: Yes.

MR. EDGAR: The setbacks were in '15?

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: I believe so.

MR. EDGAR: The Mantels bought the lot in '17, I believe, according to the Auditor's website. It has enough frontage, it has enough acreage that you could put a house in there but it doesn't mean that it's suitable. Again, you

might have enough acres on a 1 to 1 slope leading down to Lake Erie Bluff. Does that mean it's buildable or suitable? It might meet the county standards that there is -- or the township standards for having enough room but that's a separate question, in my head.

The reason that the township enacted their riparian setback is because you were experiencing substantial erosion and flooding, loss of property value, culverts getting destroyed, a lot of infrastructure being damaged that was falling on your staff and the residents to have to fix. This site represents all of those issues. The reason you have these setbacks in place is to stop problems from starting in these areas or from having improvements that are going to cause additional problems in these areas for downstream residents. And in my opinion, this project will.

that is a potential. But I don't believe that this plan represents that. There is not enough questions answered. There's been enough migration of that stream recently to put it completely outside the mapped floodplain. That should give the Mantels cause for concern alone for building their house for their investment. But that really doesn't tell you where your riparian setbacks are going to be impacted either.

So I think that that H&H study that they're going to have to do to get a permit to fill in the floodplain in that wetland area, that will give them the information they need to adjust that boundary so that you will know how much of your setback is going to be impacted and will allow you to make a more informed decision. I think the migration rate of that channel should be evaluated at the same time so that they can

properly plan for siting the house and know that, hey, this channel is going to move this far in this many years.

That, obviously, is a hot spot of issues.

Immediately downstream we had the houses that had to be removed from the floodplain because they were constantly getting flooded. Immediately above it, you've got the Sarah Lee culvert that is now almost completely full of sediment from that channel. There is just a lot of work that needs to be done, in my mind, to convince me that this site is not going to cause problems for downstream homeowners and probably the Mantels in the very near future. So I could take questions.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Does anyone from the Board have questions for Chad? When Chad talks about the migration of the channel, we can really, you can see it as, you know, that blue line was put maybe where the floodplain was mapped by FEMA and then you can see that the channel has even moved outside of that. The channel is outside of the 100-year floodplain that was mapped by FEMA at one point. So it's definitely moved and it's moving towards where the house is being located. I think that's, that's just one area. Several other areas we can see that it's moved and migrated.

MR. EDGAR: Yes, it's certainly a very active channel, much more active than a natural erosion rate.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah. And it's not just a -It's a pretty large watershed and creek through here as well.

Does anyone on the Board have questions?

MS. JARRELL: Have you considered getting this approved JD completed?

MR. CHORBA: Approved what?

MS. JARRELL: A jurisdictional determination from the Army Corps. In their letter from 2018, they recommended, you know, maybe getting that done. And they also strongly recommended -- This was back in September of 2018, so, you know, that's over two years ago. They strongly recommend, you know, having this be reevaluated in five years but, you know, per Chad's observations that, you know, that may need to be moved up just because it's moving. So in this letter from the Army Corps, they're saying that this preliminary jurisdictional determination -- I don't know -- maybe that's the fast track but they're requesting or suggesting maybe getting an approved JD, which is a stronger study, I would suspect, and it seems like that you need to get something more updated at this time. MR. CHORBA: Where? MS. JARRELL: Am I looking at that incorrectly? MR. CHORBA: I don't have that letter. Where is it? MS. FREEMAN: She is referencing this, the Army Corps. MR. CHORBA: The one from the -- Okay, the one that came through from HZW. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Have you received -- Have they received the JD already? Do you know if the owners had

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

received the JD? Has it been approved, the jurisdictional determination?

> MS. JARRELL: That's what I am asking.

MR. CHORBA: Everything's been approved.

MS. JARRELL: Well, this is just the preliminary one. Where is the final one?

> MR. CHORBA: They have to pay for the mitigation and

the final one will come through.

MS. JARRELL: Oh, the chicken or the egg kind of thing here, isn't it?

MS. MANTEL: I have to clarify one thing. According to what you said about the buying that property in '17, I think that was the property I bought from the bank. I bought the big lot, like, I think it was in 2015, the sublot 39, whatever.

MR. CHORBA: Was it these two together?

MS. MANTEL: No, it wasn't. Originally, there was just this one and then I bought these two lots, 39-A and B, and this was -- or this was 39-B and this was 38-A and C or something.

MS. JARRELL: Chad, did you look that up? I obviously looked at the wrong one in my haste. Chad, did you look that up and see when this property was purchased?

MR. EDGAR: I can try and do that, yeah. I am at home sitting on my phone, so I am trying to listen and read my email and do the Auditor's website on my phone but I will make an effort right now.

MS. JARRELL: Reading his email. Well, in any event, you know, there is just so many questions and I feel like you -- I mean, I'm real in estate. So you got a significant discount on buying these properties, I mean, significant. Property of that size right now in Concord would probably be \$150,000 or more. I mean, there is -- And you're probably spending a big ole penny trying to get all of this approved.

MS. MANTEL: Right, I am.

MS. JARRELL: I just, I mean, my sense -- and I

don't know until we take the roll -- but I am a landowner's advocate. Let me just emphasize that. But this particular property is not really too buildable. And even before the riparian issues, if you did buy it before the riparian issues were in place, still dealing with the EPA and wetlands, I mean, I know that firsthand how arduous that can absolutely be. And I am suspecting that you probably were not represented by a realtor or an attorney when you bought this. Am I right or wrong?

MS. MANTEL: Correct, correct.

MS. JARRELL: So I am very sorry. I mean, I feel for you that you bought this property. And, of course, the guy sold it to you because he was getting anything he could get for it. That's just my take on it. But there is just so many things going on here.

MS. MANTEL: What do I do with it now? I mean, will the city, will the township buy it? I mean, can we use it as a -- What can we use it as?

MR. SWEENEY: Well, that's not our role here.

MS. JARRELL: Yeah. And I don't -- And as a real estate professional, I wouldn't know what to tell you about that either. You know, it's caveat emptor. You have to, when you buy pieces of property, you just have to conduct your due diligence to make sure, you know, looking at restrictions, looking at ordinances and all of that.

MR. ROWE: I would agree to that.

MS. JARRELL: So I am very sorry.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Does anyone else on the Board have any questions for the applicant or for Chad? Do you have any questions for Chad on your end of things?

MR. SWEENEY: I do have a question for Chad.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Hold on. Skip, Skip, go ahead.

MR. SWEENEY: Chad, I am looking at the green area, which is the impact area. And do you see to the left of it the edge of the setback on the creek in blue, blue dash lines? Are you, when you talk about migration rates, I mean, is there a very real possibility that this or any part of this creek is going to move significantly over the years?

MR. EDGAR: Yeah.

MR. SWEENEY: Okay. Thank you.

MR. EDGAR: So there are different ways to do lateral migration studies, physically measure them over time and you can come up with averages or you can do your best with the sort of aerial photograph if you've got enough record that you can see them, and that's a little bit less scientific but that's a way.

But what we need to understand is that it's not a constant rate that it moves one inch per month for every month. It's typically a very small amount of erosion on a very daily basis that we couldn't measure and then, all of the sudden, you get a good storm and it moves 4 feet, and then it stays where it's at for a while and then it moves 5 feet the next big storm and then it stays where it is for a while. So it's a regression or -- excuse me. Lateral migration rates are a tricky thing to measure. So without setting up survey equipment and measuring that over years, it would be tough to say, here is the safe box.

MR. SWEENEY: Well, yeah, I am not asking you to stick to any sort of prediction but the potential for significant migration is all I am really after.

MR. EDGAR: Yes. 1 And if I am looking at this correctly, MR. SWEENEY: 2 is this, is the creek, is the migration rate, I mean, it's 3 actually flowing into the proposed property area on the outside bend; is that correct? 5 MR. EDGAR: Correct. 7 MR. SWEENEY: All right. MR. EDGAR: That's where erosion occurs is on the 8 outside of the meander and it is meandering towards the 9 10 proposed home location. And to answer the question about the purchase of the 11 property, there are three parcels that come up on the 12 Auditor's website when you search the last name Mantel. 13 14 is their existing home site and two on Cali. Both of those are showing on the Auditor's website as being purchased in 15 '17. So they still have to get that rectified if there was an 16 earlier purchase date. I am just putting what I see on the 17 Auditor's website. 18 We combined them in '17 or '18, we 19 MS. MANTEL: 20 combined. I will get all my records. 21 MS. JARRELL: Well, it's still two parcels. You 22 didn't --23 MS. MANTEL: We bought three parcels. I combined 24 two parcels to make the 2 acres and then I have another parcel 25 on the other side of the stream. 26 MR. EDGAR: Using the most recent when you combine 27 the two parcels, using that date. 28 MS. MANTEL: Okay, that's what he's using, but I 29 bought the original parcel way before that.

MS. JARRELL: I mean, I am thinking it's neither

30

here nor there in regards to riparian.

MS. MANTEL: Rihgt, I know what you mean. I know what you mean.

MS. JARRELL: Wetlands are always a big issue. They're always a big issue.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: I mean, again, going back to what Chad said from the beginning and what we have talked about, we put the riparian setbacks in place because of degradation to our watershed, to the streams, the flooding within Concord Township. And I think the point, I guess, what's happening if this were to go forward is that this is, could potentially just expedite further degradation and flooding downstream from here because it's a significant impact to the riparian corridor and the floodplain. I mean, it's this close to Jordan Creek. So that's something that, I guess, we have to consider if, you know, we do give the variance.

MR. ROWE: Yeah.

MR. HAMILTON: And I think not to mention that it imperils whatever is built there, potentially.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah.

MS. JARRELL: It just may not be a great place for you to build your house.

MR. CHORBA: Well, we want to ask, we're not going to get any further tonight. So could we table this and then we're going to go and probably talk to Chad and see if there is any possibilities or whatever and then decide what to do from there? But if we could just table it tonight, that would probably be the best thing.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay.

MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Chairman, before we do that, you 1 may want to see if there is any other public on the phone that 2 want to speak. 3 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Great point. Is there anybody else on the phone that would like to speak for or against this 5 Again, you can use the chat to let Heather know that 6 7 you want to speak. (No response.) 8 MS. FREEMAN: 9 No. 10 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Nothing? 11 MS. FREEMAN: I guess not. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. We do have a few people 12 on the phone, so I will just give you one more chance to jump 13 14 on if you want to speak against or for this appeal. (No response.) 15 16 Okay. I would like to -- So can I put forth the motion and then we need somebody to, a motion to table this? 17 18 MR. LANGAN: Hello. Can anyone hear me? 19 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah, we can hear you, sir. MR. LANGAN: I am sorry. I was having trouble 20 21 getting in. I will only take a moment. 22 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Could we have your name and address for the record? 23 24 MR. LANGAN: Sure. My name is Joe Langan, 25 11744 Cali Drive. 26 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: And have you been sworn in, sir? 27 MR. LANGAN: I think I was virtually. I've been on 28 the whole time. 29 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Were you on when we, when I 30 swore everybody in the room and on the phone?

MR. LANGAN: Yes, sir. 1 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. Go ahead then. 2 MR. LANGAN: I just wanted to very quickly say, you 3 know, I really empathize with the situation of the property But, you know, being immediately upstream from this 5 property and the variance request and seeing it every day, you 6 know, I have a great amount of Jordan Creek that flows through 7 my property and, like I said, I am immediately upstream. 8 Having had this property for seven years and what I paid for 9 10 it, you know, I'm just very concerned. And I think you've brought up all of the issues but as someone who directly 11 12 stands to be impacted by this, it concerns me a great deal. 13 So I appreciate the thoroughness that the zoning committee is putting into this and the consideration that you are giving 14 Again, I am sympathetic to the property owner but I am 15 also sympathetic to myself and other property owners. 16 that's all I wanted to say. Thank you. 17 18 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Thank you. 19 Heather, is there anyone else? MS. FREEMAN: 20 No. 21 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. Do I have to have someone 22 put the motion or can I put forward a motion to table Variance 23 Number 2020-72? 24 MS. JARRELL: So moved. 25 MR. HAMILTON: Second. 26 MR. LUCAS: Mr. Chairman. 27 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yes? There we go, Mike. 28 MS. JARRELL: Did we do that wrong? 29 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Go ahead, sir. 30 MR. LUCAS: Mr. Chairman, Mike Lucas speaking again.

MR. ROWE: Who? 1 MR. LUCAS: Don't blame me for saying that. 2 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Go ahead, Mike. 3 MR. LUCAS: My question I had -- I apologize for this -- did you close the public hearing on this? 5 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: No, we have not closed the 6 7 public hearing on that. That is a good point. MR. LUCAS: Okay. So what you want to do, you want 8 to just recess the public hearing to the next meeting then. 9 10 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. MR. LUCAS: And that will move -- to enable the 11 applicant to talk to Mr. Edgar and that, which I think is, 12 from what I am hearing, is the primary purpose we want to do 13 this, which is fine. 14 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah. So we haven't closed it, 15 so we would then -- Do we need a motion? 16 MR. LUCAS: Actually, Mr. Chairman, I believe, as 17 the chairman, you can determine to recess it. 18 19 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. All right. MR. LUCAS: Until the next meeting. 20 21 MR. ROWE: You're the man. 22 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Easy enough, okay. So we're 23 going to, we're going to take this variance request 2020-72 24 and recess it and revisit it at a later time. 25 MS. FREEMAN: At the next meeting. 26 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: To our next meeting. 27 MS. FREEMAN: To the next meeting. 28 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yes, which is --MR. ROWE: January 13th. 29 30 MS. FREEMAN: January 13th.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: And I would just encourage you to reach out to Heather and to Chad, go visit the site, get your boots on and get somebody to get you through there, take a look at it. And there's probably some other people at the county you might want to talk to, also, in regards to the floodplain. So I would speak to the floodplain administer as well. You can -- Just, just some ideas. So I will let you guys take it from there.

MR. EDGAR: So if I could jump in real quick, their engineer has already reached out to Bruce Landeg, who is the floodplain administrator in the unincorporated areas, and he has advised what they need to, what they need to do. So their engineer is aware of the next steps for that process.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. Thank you, Chad.

MR. EDGAR: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. So we're good. So then we're going to move -- You can be seated if you want to stay for minutes or you can leave.

(Whereupon, discussion was held off the record.)

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Great. So next on the agenda is the approval of minutes. Can I get a motion to approve the minutes from November 10, 2020?

MR. SWEENEY: So moved.

MS. JARRELL: Second.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Is there any changes to the minutes, additions, deletions? No. All in favor of approving the minutes for November 10, 2020, as written say "aye."

Do you want to abstain, sir?

MR. ROWE: I abstain.

(Four aye votes, no nay votes, one abstention.)

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. The minute from November 10, 2020, have been approved. As Jim said, our next meeting is January 13, 2021. It is going to be a much better year, looking forward to it. The Concord Township meeting, Board of Zoning Appeals meeting is now closed. (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:11 p.m.) 

STATE OF OHIO 1 CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA 2 ) I, Melinda A. Melton, Registered Professional 3 Reporter, a notary public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that, to the best of my ability, the foregoing proceeding extension reduced by me to stenotype shorthand, subsequently 5 transcribed into typewritten manuscript; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of said 6 proceedings so taken as aforesaid. 7 I do further certify that this proceeding took place at the time and place as specified in the foregoing 8 caption and extension completed without adjournment. 9 I do further certify that I am not a friend, relative, or counsel for any party or otherwise interested 10 in the outcome of these proceedings. 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office this 22nd day of December 2020. 13 14 15 16 Melinda A. Melton 17 Melinda A. Melton Registered Professional Reporter 18 Notary Public within and for the 19 State of Ohio My Commission Expires: 20 February 4, 2023 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29

30