CONCORD TOWNSHIP ZONING COMMISSION LAKE COUNTY, OHIO SPECIAL and REGULAR MEETINGS

Concord Town Hall 7229 Ravenna Road Concord, Ohio 44077

July 10, 2018 7:00 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Zoning Commission members present:

Morgan McIntosh, Chairman Frank Schindler Richard Peterson Andy Lingenfelter Susan Germovsek

Also Present:

Heather Freeman, Planning & Zoning Director/Zoning
 Inspector
Jared Winer, Planner/Assistant Zoning Inspector
Stephanie Landgraf, Esq., Legal Counsel

Melton Reporting
11668 Girdled Road
Concord, Ohio 44077
(440) 946-1350

7:00 p.m.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Good evening. I am going to call to order a special meeting that we are going to start that will be conducted prior to the regularly scheduled or the Concord Township Zoning Commission meeting. So this special meeting, the purpose for this meeting is consideration of a sketch plan for proposal for our reservation conservation development as requested by Mr. Richard Sommers, of Sommers Real Estate Group, pursuant to Section 16.28 of the Concord Township Zoning Resolution.

So present this evening is Zoning Commission members Rich Peterson, Sue Germovsek, Andy Lingenfelter and Frank Schindler, as well as there are Trustees here, Heather, from the Zoning Office.

So, Heather, do you want to get us going on the -- MS. FREEMAN: Certainly. Yeah, I can start you off.

So as Mr. McIntosh indicated, Mr. Sommers had requested this preapplication meeting in accordance with Section 16.28, which is the first step that's required prior to establishing any new residential conservation development district. Mr. Sommers has submitted a yield plan and a sketch plan for our consideration. It must be demonstrated that the yield plan is reasonable and that the proposed street layout and the number of lots could be developed under the existing R-4 Residential zoning district.

Furthermore, the sketch plan indicates the -Mr. Sommers' initial plans for how he may develop the property
if it were to be rezoned as a residential conservation
district development.

Just real brief, this is about 24 acres off of

Winchell Road coming out of a 30 acre parcel just south of Concord-Hambden right outside of the existing Concord Ridge Development. It does meet the minimum development area that's required for a proposed RCD, which is 20 acres.

I think, at this point, we would let Mr. Sommers come up and explain his yield plan and his sketch plan and then, after that, we can have some input. We have some other county agencies here that will be reviewing this project if it does move forward and go through the subdivision review process. So we can open it up to them for any comments or questions or concerns that they may have in regards to this as well.

MR. SOMMERS: Good evening. My name is Rick Sommers, Sommers Real Estate Group. We're proposing to develop this Lilly property under the current RCD District option per the Concord Township code. As Heather said, per the RCD development, we have generated a 22, 22 lot yield plan that could be done on this property. We've also generated, the next thing, a proposed 26 lot subdivision with open spaces as required. We actually are above and beyond the requirements of the code.

I think tonight this is an informal meeting, so we are here for comments and we are here for suggestions. Some of our ideas on why to do this as an RCD development, number one, we're creating buffers to the north and to the south that protect the adjacent neighbors so there is not houses real close together back to back. You will notice that on both the north side and south side of the property there are green space areas.

These green spaces are proposed to be converted to

open meadows and will create a natural buffer that will be preserved in perpetuity by the use of a conservation easement, and that will be recorded. It will run with the property forever. It basically sets the parameters for what can and can't be done with the property. It's very limited use, basically, walking. Can't build anything on it, can't grade it. Basically, stays as it is in perpetuity.

We would propose to plant native trees and plants in the areas to speed up the transition from farm fields to wooded meadows.

These green spaces will also preserve and protect the corridor, a stream corridor that runs between Scarlet Drive and the proposed extension of Lilly Lane. These green spaces will provide smaller lawn areas and provide natural areas to manage and minimize stormwater runoff.

We will also be proposing to record deed restrictions that will be approved by the township that will maintain the integrity of the neighborhood similar to what we did at Concord Ridge, houses that are minimum square footages much larger than the township requires, exterior elevations no repeat rules, to name a few. Outbuilding sizes are limited to approximately 180 square feet. That's basically the gist of the deed restrictions that we would be proposing.

I would entertain any suggestions or questions.

MR. PETERSON: A question on the open area. Who would enforce the protection of the land to keep neighbors from putting, you know, lawn debris back there and so forth?

MR. SOMMERS: That's a good question. What we have done at Concord Ridge -- I don't know if they'll take this one but we will propose it to them -- Lake County Soil and Water,

we pay a fee and there is an annual inspection that's done.

And if somebody is seen encroaching that area or dumping grass clippings or things like that, then they would receive a letter from that authority. It's not on the homeowners' association. I think that's very important.

MR. PETERSON: I do recall we did that in the other development. I thought it was a good idea.

MR. SOMMERS: The other thing that we do and we're just starting to do it with Concord Ridge now because it is moving along in the development process, is we put these fiberglass markers on the property corners and along the property line so people recognize where that buffer starts and where their property ends.

MR. SCHINDLER: The people that buy into this, are they made aware of these regulations and what they can do and what they can't do?

MR. SOMMERS: Absolutely. First of all, they don't have any right to go into that area, and that's explained.

And when they buy a property, they're given a copy of the deed restrictions and they have to acknowledge those deed restrictions, which also include the limitations on the conservation area.

There is a minimal, I believe, in Concord Ridge right now it's \$100 a year, so there is a minimal HOA fee that goes for those inspections. And there is some green grass to mow along the streets and open areas in that particular subdivision that are maintained by that fee, also. So people are well aware of it. It's been very well received in Concord Ridge because almost every lot has a conservation area behind it or adjacent to it, other than a few corner lots.

MR. PETERSON: What is the average lot size in the conservation plan?

MR. SOMMERS: By code, it's required to be a minimum of a half acre.

MR. PETERSON: So they are all half acre?

MR. SOMMERS: Yes. That is part of your township code.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, right.

MS. FREEMAN: Mr. McIntosh, I have a few comments, I guess, from the Zoning Department. I did want to correct you. You had indicated on your sketch plan that you had 26 lots but, actually, you have 24.

MR. SOMMERS: I'm sorry.

MS. FREEMAN: So the yield plan had indicated, if it were developed under the conventional R-4 zoning district, that he could get 22 lots on there. So that with his yield plan, he is trying to establish the base density. So on his yield plan, he is showing that, that he could potentially develop 22 lots under the current zoning. He is showing sep -- or sanitary sewer servicing what appears to be the majority of the lots but I wasn't clear if you were doing -- if all of the lots on your yield plan were serviced by sanitary sewer or couple of them were from septic.

And then also on the yield plan you have indicated that there was that possible environmental, environmental sensitive area on the northwest corner. Once that's determined that if it is or not, the township would like to see all of that protected in the open space, including the appropriate riparian setback if there was one on that.

MR. SOMMERS: That would not be an issue. And I

stand corrected on the 24 lots. The yield was bounced around 1 2 in our --MS. FREEMAN: Right, yeah. The design changed a 3 couple times, which based on, based on his sketch plan, he has 5 just -- he has about 42 percent of the property preserved in open space, which would allow him an 11 percent density 6 increase over the conventional 22 lots, which gets him to the 7 8 24, which would be in compliance with the standard set forth 9 in the Zoning Resolution. 10 MR. LINGENFELTER: Now, would the, would the northwest corner sensitive area with the riparian setbacks and 11 associated delineations, would that affect the lot sizes on 12 13 Sublot 23 and 24, possibly, or no? MR. SOMMERS: I, at this point, can't answer that 14 15 question yet. I think that we would need to determine -- We have to get a wetland determination and a stream corridor 16 17 determination. But those lots have to be half acre. If there were to be a riparian setback, I believe, by code, can come 18 19 onto the lot. MS. FREEMAN: It can, right. It was just a 20 21 reference. 22 MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. 23 MR. SCHINDLER: Where it says here "not part of the 24 RCD subdivision," what does what mean? 25 MR. SOMMERS: I am sorry? 26 MR. SCHINDLER: This part where it says "not part of" --27 28 MR. LINGENFELTER: Those are lots out front. They're on Winchell Road there. 29 30 MR. SCHINDLER: These are already homes that are

```
built?
1
               MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah, they're already lots, yeah.
2
    They are going to cut through?
3
               MR. SOMMERS: Those lots can't be serviced by
5
    subdivision -- or by sewers. So under RCD, the lots have to
    be serviced by sanitary sewers, so those aren't part of this
6
    subdivision.
7
               MR. SCHINDLER: I see.
8
9
               MR. LINGENFELTER: What street is that you're tying
    into? Is that --
10
               MR. SOMMERS: That's Winchell.
11
               MR. LINGENFELTER: Winchell. No, I mean where it's
12
13
    tying into.
               MR. SOMMERS: It's actually an extension of what we
14
15
    named it, Lilly Lane.
               MR. LINGENFELTER: Lilly Lane, okay. I was just
16
17
    trying to get an orientation on that.
18
               MR. SOMMERS: If you drive --
19
               MR. LINGENFELTER: Right.
               MR. SOMMERS: If you go down that street and drive
20
21
    straight, there is a little bit of a stub there.
22
               MR. LINGENFELTER: Right, yeah, where it bends and
23
    then --
24
               MR. SOMMERS: Correct.
               MR. LINGENFELTER: -- goes down to Meredith?
25
26
               MR. SOMMERS: Correct.
27
               MR. LINGENFELTER: Yeah, right.
28
               MR. SOMMERS: It actually, Meredith, the name
    continues to that intersection.
29
               MR. LINGENFELTER: Right. Yeah, I know where you're
30
```

talking about.

MR. SOMMERS: Okay. Any other questions for me?

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Yeah. Any other questions for Mr. Sommers?

MR. SCHINDLER: Not from me.

MR. SOMMERS: Thank you.

MS. FREEMAN: Is there anyone -- Dave Radachy, from Lake County Planning Commission, did you have any comments on the proposed sketch plan or yield plan from your perspective?

MR. RADACHY: On both the, both the -- The process

that we will go through if this goes, if the sketch plan and yield plan are considered to go forward, then the developer will submit to do the district amendment change and we will do the two public hearings, you guys will do the two public hearings and we will make a recommendation on the case. And, at that point, we will actually send some subdivision comments. Once the zoning is happening, we will go through the subdivision process and we will do a preliminary plan and a final plat on this development.

The road going out to Winchell Road, initial review, initially, the initial design was a cul-de-sac and we told the developer we were going to require a connection to Winchell Road to try to alleviate some of the issues that might be occurring in Summerwood and then Stanford Springs. We thought it was very important to have an actual connection for Concord Ridge to an actual major road as opposed to going through the other two subdivisions.

The road, there might be some issues with the block length. We will have to get a measurement on it when it actually gets submitted as a development plan, so we are kind

of locked into the way, the way this property is developed as the last one. We have Concord Ridge to the north and to the, to the west. We have Stanford springs to the south. And then we have some lot splits to the north, also. So we really don't have another spot to run another road, make another connection to Concord-Hambden or to Winchell. So we are kind of stuck with we might have to review the block length issue, where block lengths are usually no longer than 1,400 feet. So we will have to deal with that at that point.

The subdivision, we will consider the subdivision, when it does come in, would go to this line here where it says "not part of the subdivision," go out to Winchell Road, around the subdivision, around the road and back to where it says "not part of the subdivision" and then back over. So this little stub of the road would be considered part of the subdivision. And then you will have to divide out those lots away from the property at a later time by lot split if he wants to do more than one lot.

The 22 lots seem, they seem to meet township zoning and then meet our requirements for road geometry, so we would probably, if this were to be submitted as a preliminary plan, we probably would approve it.

Do you have any questions for me on subdivision issues?

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Thanks, Dave.

MR. RADACHY: Okay.

MR. SCHINDLER: Heather, our Fire Department, I believe, requires two entrances to, to get into a subdivision, right? That's why that road still has to be there?

MR. RADACHY: Our subdivision regulations state that

we can require -- you have to have one -- Actually, the Fire

Department doesn't, can't require on subdivisions. It is a

county function. We work hand in hand with the Fire

Department as much as possible to get what -- to fulfill their needs.

MR. SCHINDLER: Right.

MR. RADACHY: The subdivision requirements require one connection to a dedicated right-of-way for each subdivision. We can require more connections if we feel it's necessary and that's what we, that's what we're basing our decision on requiring this to go out to Winchell Road, is that we felt that Concord Ridge needed more than two connections. Currently, it has a connection to Stanford Springs and a connection to the crossroads of Summerwood, Phase 1, and Forest Valley. We felt that we needed a third connection into the subdivision to help with emergency access to it.

MR. SCHINDLER: All right. Thank you.

MS. FREEMAN: Frank, Deputy Fire Chief Ron Terriaco has seen this proposed layout and he does like the fact that the road does go all the way out to Winchell, from the Fire Department perspective.

MR. SCHINDLER: Thank you.

MS. FREEMAN: Was there anyone else representing from the county that had any concerns or comments about these plans this evening that wanted to speak?

MR. HOUSER: Hi. I'm Steve Houser, with Lake County Stormwater Department, and we will conduct our normal review process for the plans as well to determine stormwater management best management practices for the proposed development. So we go through that analysis as well.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Is there any other -- any comments from any of the other agencies here this evening?

Heather, any final comments?

MS. FREEMAN: I think, you know, I would say,
Mr. Sommers, that the yield plan is reasonable. And, you
know, as far as establishing the base density, I think 22 lots
is correct. And based on your sketch plan with the 42 percent
open space, that does permit you the 11 percent density
increase, which would allow you to go up to the 24 lots total,
should you want to move forward with this project. So that's
all I have.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. If there's no other questions or comments from the Board, we will go ahead and close the -- or adjourn the special meeting. We will take a couple minutes between our regular meeting.

(Whereupon, the special meeting was adjourned at 7:08 p.m. and there was a break until the regular meeting was called to order.)

7:12 p.m.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Good evening. I am going to call to, call to order tonight's Concord Township Zoning Commission meeting for Tuesday, July 10, 2018. Just for those who may be tuning in online or through television, we are starting the meeting a little bit late this evening due to the fact that we had a special zoning meeting prior to this that was not televised.

I also do want to take a minute to make an announcement as far as the agenda for this evening. We've got several items on the agenda. I became aware late yesterday that there was an email circulating around the township that

there will be a vote tonight regarding a rezone, and that is 1 2 an inaccurate statement. We have a site plan review. MR. LINGENFELTER: Surprise, surprise. 3 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: We have a tabled work session, 5 we have approval of minutes, and correspondence report. have an audience portion scheduled this evening but there is 6 7 no vote taking place to rezone any property. There is no 8 public hearing. And there is no planned, other than the audience participation portion, there is no planned public 9 hearing this evening. So just if you are here for that 10 purpose, I want to make it clear that that's not an agenda 11 12 item this evening. 13 Our first item tonight is a Site Plan Review Application Number 35, for Mr. Hugh Thomas, of BL Companies, 14 15 is requesting a plan approval for proposed site plan improvements for the property located at 8059 Crile Road. 16 17 Is the applicant here this evening or a 18 representative? 19 MR. THOMAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Would you please state your name 20 21 and address for the record, please? 22 MR. THOMAS: Hugh Thomas, of BL Companies, 23 3755 Boettler Oaks Drive in the city of Green. 24 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Mr. Thomas, could you 25 just take us through your application or your plan there? 26 MR. THOMAS: Sure. This is the landscaping plan. 27 And we received the staff report which recommended additional 28 plantings. MR. LINGENFELTER: Excuse me. It's upside down. 29 MR. THOMAS: 30 Is it?

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Oh, yeah, it is. 1 MR. LINGENFELTER: There we go. 2 MR. THOMAS: Very good. 3 MR. LINGENFELTER: That's better. MR. THOMAS: I do have, if you want, 11-by-17s. 5 could hand those to you. I also have two copies of this if 6 you would like to have a copy closer to look at. 7 8 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Actually, if you have copies, 9 yeah. 10 MR. LINGENFELTER: Is it smaller than this? Because if it is, I am interested. 11 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: That would be helpful. 12 13 MR. THOMAS: Here you go. MR. LINGENFELTER: Look at that. There we go. 14 15 we're talking. That's excellent, beautiful. MR. THOMAS: So, overall, the project is improving 16 17 toward the rear of the building a truck dock to service full sized WB-67 tractor trailers safely and provide for their --18 19 provide for movements by improving the driveway, the drive apron, and safely to navigate them to the shared driveway 20 21 easement to the north, to the Discount Drug Mart driveway. 22 We are providing 52 parking spaces. They don't 23 require that many right now or anticipate but we're providing 24 that for future expansion and so that the stormwater basin and 25 so forth accounts for any future expansion they may have. 26 We applied for a couple variances, all to do with 27 landscaping and screening, primarily focused on safety because 28 there's a lot of things going on in that small area behind the building, trucks, there's scrap metal dumpsters that 29 require -- that are about 8 feet tall and there's forklifts 30

and so forth. And to make up for that, we want to provide additional screening. And in the staff report there were several recommendations how we improve upon our landscape plan that we have incorporated into this plan that you see here.

And that's basically the scope of the project.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: This is a landscaping proposal because a new tenant or what's happening with the property?

MR. THOMAS: New tenant, they moved in. They have a light manufacturing facility. That's why they wanted to update and improve the facility. A new sanitary connection is also part of the improvements and that's what, kind of, prompted all the improved landscaping.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Questions from the Board?

So you had indicated that, that you responded to the recommendations in the staff report?

MR. THOMAS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. And so given that, Heather, does that change the recommendation?

MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Chairman, yeah, I had an opportunity to view this plan briefly before our meeting this evening and I do concur with the applicant here that he has made the changes that we were requesting on providing some additional landscaping to screen some of the parking on the south side near the southern driveway, establish a couple more planting areas near the parking spots, also indicated a couple more shrubs to go up against the base of the building in front of it, and then also an additional spruce tree, spruce tree to screen some of the parking that's on the north side.

So as far as I am concerned, they have met what, initially, staff was going to recommend as conditions, so I

would probably amend my staff recommendation to be to 1 2 recommend approval on this project this evening. CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: I have a question. If I recall 3 in something I read about this, there was a comment with 4 5 respect to there is a north, a south drive and then there is, kind of, one indicated in the drawing in, like, the middle. I 6 drove by the property this evening. There is actually not a 7 drive there but there is a door or some kind of an access in 8 the front of the building. What is the plan for that? 9 10 MR. THOMAS: Nothing right now. CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. 11 MR. THOMAS: It's there. 12 13 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. MR. THOMAS: And I don't anticipate, really, having 14 any plan for that in the immediate future. 15 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. I just -- It mentioned 16 17 it. It said that it was there but then I drove by and didn't see anything. It's all grass now, correct? 18 19 MR. THOMAS: Right. CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: There's no --20 21 MR. THOMAS: Yeah. We didn't want to disturb it. 22 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Gotcha. 23 MR. THOMAS: Just let it go how it is. 24 MS. GERMOVSEK: So you will share the driveway with Drug Mart? Like, right now, there is just one entrance. 25 26 MR. THOMAS: Yeah. Up here there is already a 27 concrete apron and there is a shared driveway easement here so 28 that, when trucks circulate, they will come up, back in and pull out that shared driveway easement. 29 MS. GERMOVSEK: It's empty right now, right? 30

mean, there is nothing in there, or what's in there now? 1 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: The building? 2 MS. GERMOVSEK: The building. 3 MR. THOMAS: Oh, the building, yeah. MS. GERMOVSEK: Okay. 5 MR. THOMAS: And they do have traffic. 6 MS. GERMOVSEK: I mean, I drove by there. 7 8 just, like, there's no signage or anything. MR. THOMAS: No. The building is there. They will 9 put up a new sign. And they do have truck traffic delivering 10 product and so forth but they're not using that shared 11 12 driveway easement right now. They're maneuvering and coming 13 back out the southern drive right now. 14 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. McIntosh, if I could add a couple 15 comments maybe to clarify for Sue. When Discount Drug Mart came in and got their project approved by the township and the 16 17 county, part of one of the stipulations on the county approving their driveway location was them coming to an 18 19 agreement with this adjacent property owner, which was a 20 different owner at the time, on doing the shared driveway and 21 was going to force Mr. Blossom to remove that most northern 22 drive. So they are now working towards that ultimate goal by 23 removing that northern drive and getting the trucks to go out what's been agreed upon by the county. 24 MS. GERMOVSEK: I see. 25 MR. SCHINDLER: So the only issue we ever had was 26 27 just landscaping since the last time? 28 MS. FREEMAN: Right. Those were the, from our perspective, right, just a couple landscaping issues that are 29 30 in compliance now with the revised plan.

```
MR. SCHINDLER: Okay.
1
2
               CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Any other questions for
    Mr. Thomas?
3
                     Thank you.
               Okay.
               Okay. Do I have a motion to recommend approval?
5
               MS. FREEMAN: A motion to approve?
6
               CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: A motion to approve?
7
8
               MR. PETERSON: So moved.
               CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Second?
9
               MR. SCHINDLER: I second.
10
               CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Heather, can you call the roll,
11
12
    please.
13
               MS. FREEMAN: Sure. Mr. Schindler?
               MR. SCHINDLER: Yes.
14
15
               MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Lingenfelter?
               MR. LINGENFELTER: Yes.
16
               MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Peterson?
17
               MR. PETERSON: Yes.
18
19
               MS. FREEMAN: Ms. Germovsek?
               MS. GERMOVSEK: Yes.
20
               MS. FREEMAN: And Mr. McIntosh?
21
22
               CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Yes.
23
               MS. FREEMAN: Your application has been approved.
24
               CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: And the next item on our agenda
    is the tabled work session for the Town Hall Neighborhood and
25
    Town Hall Common Districts -- Commons Districts. Excuse me.
26
    Tabled.
27
28
               So moving on to the next agenda item is the approval
    of the minutes from the June 5, 2018, meeting. Do I have a
29
    motion?
30
```

MR. PETERSON: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion to 1 approve the June 5, 2018, minutes as written. 2 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: And a second? 3 MR. SCHINDLER: I second. CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: All in favor? 5 MR. LINGENFELTER: I'll abstain because I wasn't 6 here. 7 8 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Any opposed? None. carries. The minutes are approved. 9 10 (Four aye votes, no nay votes, one abstention.) CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: The next order of businesses is 11 the correspondence report from Zoning Commission members. 12 13 Frank? MR. SCHINDLER: None from me, Mr. Chairman. 14 15 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Andv? MR. LINGENFELTER: 16 No. 17 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Sue? MS. GERMOVSEK: Nothing. 18 19 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: And Rich? MR. PETERSON: Nothing. 20 21 CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: I also had no correspondence. 22 At this point, we are at the audience participation 23 part of the, part of the evening. So I would welcome up 24 anybody from the audience who wishes to speak or ask 25 Commission members any questions. 26 MS. PESEC: I am sorry to bother you. Do you mind? 27 They have some of these that do it automatically. 28 Vanessa Pesec, 11705 Cali Court, Concord. question that I had was, when somebody is making a 29 presentation and they're using a board, the audience members 30

can't see it nor can anyone on the TV see it. So I was wondering if, with current, you know, state of technology, we could either put it on, you know, utilizing some computer or overhead or, you know, something like that so that everybody could be able to see and so forth. That would be really helpful, you know, for everybody. So I request that you look into that. I'd appreciate that.

And the other thing is that regarding your -- the tabled Town Hall Neighborhood, I do encourage you to look at it in a wholistic way and look at it with -- in combination of the Town Hall Commons and maybe even the BX that are north and south of that and look at it as an area, as sort of like a corridor study so that you're looking at it really with, with all eyes.

There was a lot of discussion in the March minutes where you were discussing this before it was tabled where you looked at it from the developer's point of view. The developer hasn't had any -- We haven't had any interest from developers. What have the developers wanted in terms of density? And that is surely an important component because they are doing the developing, but there are also other important viewpoints and things that we all should be considering when we look at rezoning property, be it a small 8 acres or, you know, 100 acres.

And so I would encourage you to look at all of the kinds of things that you would when you would rezone property, and that would include citizen input, so trying to get citizen input as you're working through the work sessions and work plans as opposed to waiting until you're all done and you've thought through things and everything is all done and then you

have a public hearing on it because, at that point, it's really late, late in the game for people to make, you know, points and for them to be incorporated into anything that, that you do.

I know I worked a lot with ADA and the county issues and accessibility. And we know that when we talk to architects ahead of time, we then have the input in place. If you wait until after their whole plan is done and all the lines are drawn and then you try and add your input, it's really late and it's really difficult to make the changes, so I think, similarly, with the corridor study and Comprehensive Plan or, you know, any kind of time that you're thinking comprehensively about these kinds of issues. So I would appreciate it. That's it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay. Is there anybody else from the audience that would like to participate in our meeting this evening?

MR. FALCONE: Thank you. Yes, I'm Marc Falcone. I live at 10185 Page Drive. I oppose any changes to the Town Hall Neighborhood that would allow zoning into that neighborhood. Primary concern is the commercial value of that property, as we have seen today that some discussion about Discount Drug Mart and the business next door needing some landscape, some buffer zones. I think this commercial property is for viability of Concord Township low taxes or I should say fair taxes to all, both commercial and residential.

A couple questions I have for the Zoning Commission. Does commercial light industry generate more income for the township than residential? I would like to hear, you know, a result on that because a lot of it's been hearsay that

residential is more of a deficit to the township but I would like to hear your comment on it.

How much commercial light industry property is needed for Concord to remain financially solvent, particularly in the long term?

And how does high density residential affect the viability of the township, the whole township?

I think we need to look for the -- in the future and I think commercial property is very valuable. As we have seen these insurgence of businesses coming to Concord, it helps with the tax base for everybody in Concord. Thank you.

MR. DUNN: My name is Paul Dunn. I live at 7074 Brightwood Drive, and I'd like to make a couple of comments on the Section Number 2, the work session, the Town Hall Neighborhood and Town Hall Commons that's been tabled.

Obviously, when you table something, that means that you can decide to bring it up whenever you feel like bringing it up. And I think that the residents have an expectation that we would know ahead of time for what's going to be on your schedule for a particular meeting so, if the residents have some degree of interest in that particular item, they would know that that would be a meeting they should attend. And you folks know darn well that the last time that you had your meeting this room was filled with people, basically, opposed to that particular item.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Which, which meeting?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ DUNN: It was last month. It was the one, it was the one that the room was filled.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Okay.

MR. DUNN: Okay? That's, that's my comment. Please

let the residents know what's going to be on the agenda.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Just for clarification, the agenda is on the township website, every meeting is. This agenda has been published. This is the second month or third month this has been a tabled issue; is that correct? Second month, I think.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, a couple.

MS. GERMOVSEK: Right.

CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: It's been a few. We haven't -And a work session is a work session. It's when we sort of
just discuss amongst ours and it's general conversation. It's
not necessarily we would create anything binding. That never
happens. It's conversation. We don't vote and nothing
happens in a work session other than to move forward and then
create a public -- then at which point there is hearings and
there is conversations before any text amendments or anything
takes place. So a work session is work. It's been on -- This
particular issue has been tabled for several months but we
also did have open work sessions for two to three months at
some point earlier this year, just so you're aware.

So I encourage you to -- And I appreciate that some people here this evening have feedback and input. We do have ongoing -- Agendas are posted. We do have a running audience participation, for instance, like this to get feedback. And we've had a couple rezone meetings and some work sessions where we haven't had any audience participation. So feedback and so forth that's come forth this evening is definitely welcome, it's appreciated and we definitely encourage feedback. So thank you.

MR. DUNN: Thank you.

MR. LINGENFELTER: We're sneaky, sneaky, sneaky. CHAIRMAN McINTOSH: Is there anybody else in the audience that would like to come forth and speak or share some thoughts with the Zoning Board? Okay. Moving on through the agenda, the next meeting for the Zoning Commission is August 7, 2018. If there is no further business this evening, I will close the meeting. (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 7:32 p.m.)

STATE OF OHIO 1 CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA I, Melinda A. Melton, Registered Professional 3 Reporter, a notary public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that, to the best of my ability, the foregoing proceeding extension 5 reduced by me to stenotype shorthand, subsequently transcribed into typewritten manuscript; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of said 6 proceedings so taken as aforesaid. 7 I do further certify that this proceeding took 8 place at the time and place as specified in the foregoing caption and extension completed without adjournment. 9 I do further certify that I am not a friend, relative, or counsel for any party or otherwise interested 10 in the outcome of these proceedings. 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office this 31st day of July 2018. 12 13 14 15 16 Melinda A. Melton 17 Registered Professional Reporter 18 Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio 19 My Commission Expires: February 4, 2023 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30