CONCORD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS LAKE COUNTY, OHIO REGULAR MEETING

Concord Town Hall 7229 Ravenna Road Concord, Ohio 44077

October 10, 2018 7:00 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Board of Zoning Appeals members present:

Ivan Valentic, Chairman
Francis Sweeney, Vice Chairman
Blair Hamilton, Member
Brandon Dynes, Member
Todd Golling, Alternate Member

Also Present:

Heather Freeman, Planning & Zoning Director/Zoning
 Inspector
Michael Lucas, Esq., Legal Counsel

Melton Reporting
11668 Girdled Road
Concord, Ohio 44077
(440) 946-1350

7:00 p.m.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Good evening. The Concord

Township Board of Zoning Appeals for October 2018 is now in session. I would like to introduce the Board. To my far left is Skip Sweeney and Brandon Dynes. I am Ivan Valentic. To my right is Todd Golling and Blair Hamilton. To my far, far right is Heather Freeman, our Zoning Inspector.

This evening, under the advice of counsel, we ask that anyone speaking must be sworn in. If you plan on speaking, please stand and raise your right hand. Thank you. All right.

(Whereupon, the speakers were sworn en masse.)

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. Thank you. Please be seated.

This evening when you're presenting or speaking, come to the microphone and state your name and address for the record and also confirm that you've been sworn in for the record. Okay?

Heather, were the legal notices published in a timely manner?

MS. FREEMAN: Yes, they were.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: All right. Thank you.

Tonight we have two appeals. A three-vote majority is required to either approve or deny your appeal. If your request is denied, you have the right to file an appeal, and then you can see Heather if that happens.

Okay. First is Variance Number 2018-39, Lake County Committee on Family Violence, d.b.a. Forbes House, on behalf of Rylan, Inc., is requesting a variance to Sections 22.02 and 22.03, Table of Uses, to permit a domestic violence abuse

shelter within an existing building located at 7480 Auburn Road, located in the B-1 restricted retail district. Please come up and present your case.

MR. DOLCE: My name is John Dolce. I live at 11175 Caddie Lane, Concord, Ohio. I happen to be the board president of Forbes House. What we're looking to do here --

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: And you've been sworn in, sir?

MR. DOLCE: I'm sorry. I've been sworn in, yes.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Very good. Okay. Thank you.

MR. DOLCE: Unfortunately, what we're looking for is another shelter for the clients that we represent in Painesville now. We have a place in Painesville that we currently have seven bedrooms that we house our clients seven days a week, 24 hours a day, 365 days a year. And, unfortunately, we're looking for more space to house our clients, you know.

With this new shelter, we hope to get into a little bit more mental stuff in regards to counseling. We're looking to put in a garden for them. The building has been empty for about two years now. There's not a lot of -- We'll be doing no exterior work. What we will be doing is interior. We will be putting in another maybe seven to eight bedrooms, a kitchen, play area for the kids, something that when they leave home they have a place to come where it's safe.

So my appeal is if we can change and ask for a variance on that.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. Does anyone have any questions for him from the Board? I do not have any at this time.

MR. GOLLING: Is this in addition to or replace of?

MR. DOLCE: Yes, this will be in addition.CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: You said you're adding bedrooms.

MR. DOLCE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: How many?

MR. DOLCE: Well, we're working with Joe Myers, the architect from Willoughby. Right now the building is, actually, completely emptied.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay.

MR. DOLCE: We're in the process of trying to get -Once we get this approved, hopefully, then we will sit down
with him and see how many bedrooms we can fit in and what
we're trying to do as far as -- We would like to have a
meditation room for them, somewhat of a library. We are
actually looking to get a -- We've had a donor who's been
willing to donate some money to put in like a fitness, little
fitness center for them.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay.

MR. DOLCE: Yeah. Again, it's just a place so they can come to and feel safe away from what their situation is now.

MR. HAMILTON: So you don't anticipate expanding the footprint of the current building?

MR. DOLCE: Not at this time, no, not at all.

Again, we're not going to close the shelter we have in

Painesville. That will be an existing shelter. We have staff
there. Like I said, we have seven bedrooms there and
approximately six offices. But, unfortunately, again, we
just -- we don't have space anymore. What we do in that
situation, we'll rent some rooms from other hotels and we'll
put them in there until we are able to counsel them. And we

take them to court and we have advocates that work with them to get them in a better place after, you know, they've stayed.

They're allowed to stay with us for about 60 days. We give them all the counseling and help that they can and then we move them on to a different housing development for them. But they leave -- Like, I don't know if you know what the shelter is. I mean, they leave in the middle of the night with their kids sometimes with nothing. You know, we help what we can.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Do you think you've got enough parking? Is there sufficient parking there? You are not going to expand that?

MR. DOLCE: No, no. We've had some preliminary meetings with Joe and the builder and we currently have the same parking that we have now. The grassy area that we have in the back, we're hoping to put in some gardens.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay.

MR. DOLCE: So the clients can, you know, have a garden, grow tomatoes, you know, things like that.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. Any other questions from the Board?

MR. DYNES: No.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: No? You guys are all good? Thank you.

MR. DOLCE: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Thank you. You can be seated.

Is there anyone else here this evening that's either speaking for or against this appeal that would like to come up? If there's no further questions, the public hearing for Variance Number 2018-39 is now closed to the public.

I will entertain a motion to approve Variance Number 2018-39. 1 2 MR. DYNES: So moved. MR. HAMILTON: Second. 3 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Thank you. Open for discussion on the Board. Anything you guys want to talk about? It's 5 pretty self-explanatory to me. Either of you guys, anything 6 you want to mention? 7 MR. HAMILTON: I'll just make a comment. I mean, 8 there are other conditional uses in this district that could 9 be seen in what's trying to be accomplished here. 10 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah. 11 Todd, you good? You are in deep thought over there. 12 13 MR. GOLLING: Yeah. I was just thinking about the footprint questions. I mean, if seven bedrooms are good, you 14 15 know, ten would be better. And it's definitely a good service for people to go but, you know, it's -- The expansion on here 16 17 is, I mean, there's really not a whole lot they can grow into, at least -- I'm thinking out loud here. I am good on the 18 19 rest. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. All right. If that's the 20 21 case, the question is on the approval of Variance Number 22 2018-39. A yes vote is for the approval of the variance, a no 23 vote denies it. 24 Heather, please call the vitae. MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Golling? 25 MR. GOLLING: Yes. 26 27 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Dynes? 28 MR. DYNES: Yes. MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Sweeney? 29 MR. SWEENEY: 30 Yes.

MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Hamilton? 1 MR. HAMILTON: Yes. 2 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Valentic. 3 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yes. Your variance has been approved. Thank you. 5 Good luck. 6 Okay. Next on the docket is Variance Number 7 8 Steven Olbrysh and Donna Olbrysh are requesting a variance from Section 17.04(B), 17.07(A), to allow for the 9 construction of a single-family dwelling with a 50 foot 10 riparian setback in lieu of the 75 foot required for the 11 property located at 7325 Brookridge Lane. 12 13 Please come up and present your case. MR. OLBRYSH: Steve Olbrysh, 7549 Parker Drive, 14 15 Mentor, Ohio. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: You've been sworn in, sir? 16 17 You've been sworn? MR. OLBRYSH: I have. 18 19 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. MR. OLBRYSH: My wife, Donna, and I own the property 20 21 at 7325 Brookridge Lane. We purchased this property from the 22 developer in July of 2010. The house plans and the site plans 23 were approved by the developer in October of 2010. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: October of 2010? 24 25 MR. OLBRYSH: Yes. For various reasons, major 26 health issues among them, we have been unable to build. Early 27 this year, we decided to sell the property. We found a buyer. 28 And as the buyer was doing their due diligence, it was discovered that Concord Township, in July of 2016, had passed 29 riparian setback regulations with a 75 foot requirement. 30

After working with the Barrington Consulting Group, who created the site plan, it was determined that this property is not buildable without a riparian zoning variance. We are requesting a 25 foot variance.

Also, prior to tonight's meeting, Concord Township
Zoning notified all adjacent property owners by mail. No such
notification was afforded affected property owners prior to
the passing of the new riparian requirements in 2016.

In addition, the site plan showed the elevation of the proposed walkout basement was over 6 foot above the 100 year floodplain.

The real estate taxes on this property are \$1,459.36 per year. All taxes are, of course, current.

I have asked Dave Novak, of the Barrington

Consulting Group, to accompany me this evening. He can answer any questions specific to the site plan or the requested zoning variance. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Did you, I guess, did you guys consider any other site plan layouts besides this one that was provided, or a different house footprint?

MR. NOVAK: Well, let me --

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Dave, name and --

MR. NOVAK: My name is Dave Novak, from Barrington Consulting Group. The address is 9114 Tyler Boulevard. And, yes, I have been sworn in.

I would -- This is the same document that was sent to you as part of the application process but I like to color things up so it's a little easier for everyone to understand.

MR. LUCAS: Thank you, Dave.

MR. NOVAK: You're welcome.

And I think what -- This diagram, again, is the site plan that was submitted for the application. And there is a dash line that kind of goes down. At the bottom of the slope there, that's Kellogg Creek. That's the existing creek.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: In purple?

MR. NOVAK: In purple. There is a blue line that represents a 25 foot setback off the edge of the creek; there is a green line which would be the 50 foot, which is what we're asking for; and the pink line represents the 75 or what's current based on your regulations.

And part of when you ask the question whether any other houses or layouts of the lot, I think it's kind of obvious that, if you look at where that 50 foot riparian setback is, it would have to be a very --

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: You mean the 75?

MR. NOVAK: Or the 75. This would have to be a very long and narrow house. We also showed, you know, when they were anticipating building on the property, it was shown with a two-car side load garage and there is one front load garage there, also. And that's why, you know, the side setback that's shown is 21 feet. And the reason for that is to be able to get out of the garage, turn around and go down the driveway head first because you surely wouldn't want -- This is a relatively steep driveway. There is really no place to put it. The only other place to put a turn-around, if you had a front load garage, would be in the front, which this really doesn't afford the ability to put a turn-around in the front.

MR. GOLLING: Steep how, like steep incline?

MR. NOVAK: Yes.

MR. GOLLING: Like you're going up a hill?

MR. NOVAK: Yes. I would, I'd have to double check but we're probably approaching 10 percent on that driveway.

So all of these conditions were taken into account back in 2010 when they had purchased the property, worked with Little Mountain Homes to design a home that really fit this lot.

The other colored line on here, there is a red line that kind of goes along the creek, gets -- meanders through there. And, again, that's the 100 year flood elevation. So even in a 100 year storm event, it's anticipated that, you know, the water would never rise above that red line.

So I believe we're trying to be cooperative here and it would have been my recommendation that we ask for the variance to be 50 feet or we only go to a 25 foot riparian setback so that we can really use and enjoy that property because, if you look at the blue line, that would allow, afford my clients to use the property in the back and to the, to the west side of the property.

Right now, when you go to the green, that whole side over towards the creek, which is one of the nicer features of the property to be able to go over there and see the water meandering through that creek, we're going to be very restrictive as to what we can do other than walk across that property. So --

And, again, as my clients mentioned that, you know, they purchased the property in 2010, I believe. The regulations went into existence in 2016.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: 2016.

MR. NOVAK: And now we are here in 2018.

One of the other things, and I am sure that a lot of

you have seen these standards that everybody uses for determining hardships and so forth. And if we can go down through them real quick, number one is whether the property would yield a reasonable return or whether there can be a beneficial use of the property without the variance? And I would say definitely not because without the variance, in our opinion, the lot is almost unbuildable.

Whether the variance is substantial? Again, the requirement is 75. I think we should go to 25 but they're willing to go to 50 to kind of try to keep in the spirit of the regulation.

Whether the, Number three --

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: So what's your answer to that one. Is it substantial, yes or no?

MR. NOVAK: I say no because of the hardship that this requirement has placed upon the property because, without the variance, the property is useless.

Number three, whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or adjoining properties suffer a substantial detriment? Again, I say no. We have the creek, and the people to the west are on the other side of the creek up the hill off of the -- I can't remember the street name over there.

Whether the property owner purchased the property with the knowledge of the zoning restriction? That's no.

They purchased it on July 19, 2010. The plat was recorded in February of 2005, way before the regulations. And the new code went in July 15th of 2016.

Whether the problem can be solved in some other manner than granting the variance? Again, I would say no

because we need the variance in order to be able to build on
-- build a structure on the property.

And Item Number seven, whether the variance preserves the spirit and intent of the zoning requirement?

Again, I would say we're trying to do that by meeting halfway between the 75 and what I think is reasonable, the 25.

So that's really all I have other than, you know, again, this lot is 1., almost 1.3 acres. And when you go down to the 50 foot, if you apply the 50 foot riparian setback, just a little over a half acre is usable. Currently, the way it is right now with the 75 foot riparian setback, this 1.3 acre piece of property is reduced to about four-tenths of an acre of usable property, which is a 70 percent take of their piece of property that they paid good money back in 2010 for.

So, again, we're here to answer any other questions that the Board might have.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: So maybe I will ask one of the -- one question to start. And so is the topography in the creek alignment based on the year 2010 survey?

MR. NOVAK: Yes.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Did you guys go out and verify that this is still the alignment of the channel in that area because it has been eight, you know, eight years since then? And so I only ask because your variance is based on this, you know, dimension. But if the creek, say, shifted 10 feet --

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR.}}$ NOVAK: We have not gone back out and relocated the creek.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay.

MR. DYNES: And who is actually building? You mentioned earlier somebody might be under contract or you are

looking to sell it but the potential buyer examined it? 1 2 MS. OLBRYSH: We're looking to sell. MR. DYNES: So you're --3 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Come to the microphone, please. MR. DYNES: You haven't been sworn in or 5 acknowledged yet rather. 6 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: 7 Yes. 8 MS. OLBRYSH: Donna Olbrysh. I own the lot at 7325 Brookridge Lane. 9 10 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: You've been sworn? MS. OLBRYSH: I have been sworn in. 11 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Thank you. 12 13 MS. OLBRYSH: So in the perfect world, we would have built and we wouldn't have been here. We would have built 14 15 eight years ago. But because of multiple reasons and my husband's health, we decided to finally sell the property. 16 17 had a buyer with a young family that loves Concord and wants to be in the Mentor school system and there is not a lot of 18 19 lots out there in that category. And it wasn't until they reneged on the deal four days later that we found out. I 20 21 didn't even know the word "riparian" before today -- or before 22 then. 23 MR. DYNES: I appreciate that. My question, is this 24 the home that you had proposed to build at some point? MS. OLBRYSH: Yes. 25 26 MR. DYNES: This is not -- Is this currently your 27 plan then to actually construct this home for yourselves and 28 move to the property? No. We, we gave the plat -- We had to 29 MS. OLBRYSH: apply for a zoning permit and be rejected to apply for a 30

variance to sell the land to someone that wants to build, and they would build in a similar footprint to what we had given them, that what we had proposed initially.

MR. GOLLING: But could the new buyers go in there and say, "We're fans of long, skinny houses," and they don't want this footprint at all? I mean, they could totally reject this, this thing on here.

MS. OLBRYSH: They did a site plan with their builder -- and I don't know who their builder was -- but it was similar in size of a one-story ranch house. And it would, I think, even be narrower than our present house but I don't know how much narrower.

I will add that both my husband and I are retired now and this is a substantial lot. It's about \$100,000 lot. And if we don't get a variance, it will be a financial hardship to my husband and I.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: So it's clear, you're looking for that 25 feet setback change, I guess, across the whole property, not just where you're building a house because the house, you know, if I look to the -- As an example, Dave, up front, you want that change but you're not building in that area.

MR. NOVAK: Right.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: So you are looking for the whole area.

MR. NOVAK: But, actually, if you look at the -- The yellow line represents the limits of the property. Okay?

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yes.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ NOVAK: And the green line is the variance, would represent that 50 foot. Once you get almost to the

front of the house, if you project the front of the house over to the western property line, that riparian setback goes off the property. So it doesn't affect us. But, again, we don't -- At worst case, I guess, we would say the northern property line up until it intersects the 50 foot requirement, if you guys wanted that little bit of area, I guess, along the north property line or that angled property line.

What I am saying is this line right here, the yellow line, represents our property line. So once it crosses that, our property line, I guess I'd have to -- You might want to talk to your legal advisor but, in reality, once it crosses our property line, if you're granting us the variance on our piece, I don't know that that variance crosses the property line. I would say it does not.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: No, it does not. But I guess the point is the riparian setback, what we're trying to do is protect the riparian corridor. So you're looking for an X percentage change in the variance. We can potentially reduce that variance amount if we say that this pink line, instead of going all the way up there, you know, ties in here and this is still -- or, you know, as it comes through, this doesn't become part of -- this is maintained as riparian buffer up front, you know, when we look at the area of what you guys are requesting. Is that an option or are you looking for the whole thing?

MR. NOVAK: I think, again, only because of the restriction of the side yard and the rear yard overlooking the creek, which is one of the nice features of this piece of property, that we're already being restricted back there -- I would think that somebody would want to be able to have the

use and the enjoyment of that, I am saying to say, that 20 feet. I don't know that it's exactly that 20 feet from the pink line to the property line in the front yard.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: So we received a letter from Chad Edgar at Soil and Water. You know, his Comment Number 3 is that the proposed building and fill placement setback currently consists of dense, woody vegetation. The riparian setback's water quality function will be compromised with the proposed improvements.

How much they are compromised, I don't know, maybe Chad doesn't know either. But I am looking to -- I am asking the question, is there any wiggle room in what we are proposing here to try to reduce the water quality impact to the stream?

MR. NOVAK: Well, I guess, is there wiggle room in the back then? If we can get some additional relief in the back of the house and along the side of the house, I think it might be reasonable.

But, again, you know, Mr. Edgar, I can appreciate his opinion. It's just, again, you're taking a lot that's almost 1.3 acres and, when Concord enacted these rules, you took 70 percent of the lot away from these folks.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Well, Dave, here's the thing.

MR. NOVAK: I realize that we're trying to do a

balancing act of trying to preserve as much as you can -
CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Right.

MR. NOVAK: -- to protect the residents of Concord.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Right. And we're here and that's why this Board is the lucky group that has to look at these variance requests and use what you present and our

opinion to try to determine what's the best solution here and try to work with you guys to develop what we think is the best solution and kind of hash this thing out.

We understood, I think, Concord understood, once they adopted those rules, there's a lot of people that are grandfathered in. We had a ton of them already come through here. We are going to have many, many more to come. So I am just trying to ask questions to see if we can come to a happy medium somewhere with this request.

And then I guess another question I would have as a follow-up, there is a front yard setback with the lot. It has to be a certain dimension.

MR. NOVAK: Correct.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: I think it's 100 feet. Did you take any time to look at, well, what if we pushed the house up a little bit further to maybe, you know, reduce -- because when I look at the impact, the impact is mostly in the back.

MR. NOVAK: Right. But, again, if you look, there is a dashed line just in front of the house, okay, and that is actually where the lot is 100 wide at.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Right.

MR. NOVAK: So could this house be moved forward? Yes, maybe two feet.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: But --

MR. NOVAK: To get to that line.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: To get to the line. But could we -- Did you look at a front yard setback variance to help?

MR. NOVAK: We did not.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay.

MR. NOVAK: We did not.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay.

MR. LUCAS: Dave, just so I am clear, this riparian setback variance is not fact sensitive to a particular house, correct?

MR. NOVAK: That is correct.

MR. LUCAS: Okay. So -- And the chairman is asking you questions about moving the house here. It's an abstract discussion because there is somebody else that's going to be building this house.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Or a different house.

MR. LUCAS: Right.

MR. NOVAK: Right. But, potentially, a different house. And I can appreciate the Board's question. Okay? But the problem is that without a variance --

MR. LUCAS: Right.

MR. NOVAK: -- they can't sell the lot. So we are kind of stuck here between, well, it may not be the exact house but at least they can demonstrate to a potential buyer that a house of this width located at this location in the property, based on the variance that, hopefully, is granted this evening, they could build this house.

MR. LUCAS: Yeah. And don't get me wrong. I don't think we're stuck on the house. I just want to make sure everyone understands that, when you're talking about can we move a house here or move it further back, the house we're talking about isn't necessarily the footprint of the house you've got on the exhibit that you produced. You're just talking about having a straight riparian setback of 25 feet and then the builder will come in, knowing the one thing for certain, that you have 25 foot variance on the riparian

setback. If you want -- The young lady said that he could make it narrower but it's not tied in to the house and I just want to make that point clear.

MR. NOVAK: No, that's correct. And, again, if some potential buyer was to come in and need a wider house, for whatever reason, then the 25, if we were granted that 25 foot variance to the 70 -- or the 50 foot setback, riparian setback line, they would truly have to come before this Board and argue their case again. But we're stuck. We can't sell the property as it is, period.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: And you're not --

MR. DYNES: Well, that's why I asked the question I asked about the home and the buyer and what you had.

MR. NOVAK: Well, they had, they had a potential buyer and when they found out about the riparian setback -
MR. DYNES: You need a variance to run with the land

so you can sell the property.

MR. NOVAK: -- the deal went away, plain and simple. And like I said, I don't -- I am not opposed to maybe granting something along the, you know, that front portion of the lot but I would hope, if we're going to make that negotiation, that we could negotiate and get, grant, get a little bit more in the back so that the people have some enjoyment of this piece of property, it's not hindered by they can't mow it, they can't take a tree down, they can't do anything in that riparian setback.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: You're aware of the rules, what you're allowed to do and not do in that riparian setback? You can't build a patio, you can't build a driveway, none of those improvements.

And you're only looking for the variance on this 1 2 side of the creek, not on the other side of the creek because the creek runs through the property, correct? 3 Right. Essentially, again, you'd have MR. NOVAK: 5 to go down the slope, cross the creek and, by the time you get to the other side, there really isn't much usable land. 6 7 there is a sanitary sewer easement that goes through the --8 through that lower area down there. So that property, other 9 than the beauty to be able to sit at the top of the hill and enjoy the, you know, nature as the water rambles through the 10 babbling brook -- I don't know if that's the right term I want 11 to use but --12 13 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Skip, you got any questions? MR. SWEENEY: 14 No.CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Anybody else? Blair? 15 MR. HAMILTON: 16 No.17 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Todd? MR. GOLLING: I just -- Why can't the garage be 18 19 flipped to the back, the house just scooched up front, and then it looks like it would kind of fit? And I'm trying to 20 21 put Legos to go and see --22 MR. NOVAK: I guess I am not quite following you 23 but, again, if you == what I am kind of hearing you say, 24 almost flip the house 180, you know, flip it front to back. MR. GOLLING: Yeah. 25 26 MR. NOVAK: Regardless of where the rooms are but 27 that's what you are saying. 28 MR. GOLLING: Uh-huh. MR. NOVAK: I am not quite sure how that works 29 30 because, again, that green line encroaches onto the property.

When you flip the house over, you really can't move it to the east because we would probably still have -- we would have to have a side entry garage and we need enough room to get a vehicle around the garage, around the side of the house. You know, it's almost a square box.

I mean, fortunately up in that, the front corner where the -- on the west side of the house, you know, the house itself sits back a little bit. But, again, as Mr. Lucas alluded to, is we can sit here and play with, as you call it, your Lego blocks and move things, move things around. But when they sell the lot, it needs to be a house that somebody wants to live in. And, again, we have a footprint that works with a set of plans that these folks already paid for. And if they would have built in 2010, we wouldn't be here.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah. I guess the thing I struggle with is, you know, you say that it's not substantial. I mean, I see the 25 feet across that whole riparian setback as substantial. I mean, that's a lot of riparian corridor on a decent size stream that's getting cleared, but that's just my opinion on that.

I don't, I don't want to make the lot not buildable either but I think the request is pretty substantial. And that's where I was going to try to get to, is there a way to reduce that impact somehow, but it doesn't sound like you -- It sounds like you want to stick with the 25 over --

MR. NOVAK: Well, again, I guess I would have to say, what are you suggesting? Because if you look at the grading, again, like I mentioned, this lot rises quite a bit as it goes back to where the house is. We've tried to keep the grading reasonably tight to the house. Again, the county

requires, you know, a maximum slope of three to one, which is 1 what's shown here. You know, the front door is wedged in, I 2 am going to say, behind that garage where the front porch is. 3 So you have to have a flat area from the driveway to get 5 around the garage to get to the front step. So we've got to grade almost to the property line right there where the --6 there is a box that says "745" in it. 7 8 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. 9 You good, Brandon? You guys good over here? 10 said you're good. Okay. I don't think we have any other questions. 11 12 MR. NOVAK: Okay. 13 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: You can be seated. Is there anyone else that's here speaking for or 14 against this appeal that would like to come up? Come on up, 15 sir. 16 17 MR. BABRAUCKA: I haven't been sworn in. I'm Bob Babraucka, 7321 Brookridge. 18 19 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: All right. We've got to get you sworn in. 20 21 MR. BABRAUCKA: I am the next-door neighbor. 22 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Please raise your right hand. 23 (Whereupon, Mr. Babraucka was sworn in.) 24 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Thank you. 25 MR. BABRAUCKA: So I just want to know what the --26 How is it going to impact my house? So I live right next to them. 27 28 MR. GOLLING: Bob, where are you? On this map, are 29 you to the east? 30 MR. BABRAUCKA: Yeah, I'm --

MR. NOVAK: He would be to the east. 1 MR. BABRAUCKA: I am the white house that --2 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: The only neighboring house. 3 I'm sorry? MR. BABRAUCKA: The only house that's right next CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: 5 door. 6 MR. BABRAUCKA: Yeah, yeah, yeah. 7 8 MR. GOLLING: Go ahead. 9 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. MR. BABRAUCKA: So, I mean, I am a little concerned 10 about the 25 feet. That's a lot. I mean, I have seen that 11 creek turn blue in the spring from all the fertilizer that 12 13 goes into it. I don't know, you know -- Not from that lot but in general, you know. It's really weird but the creek turns 14 15 like a blue/green in the spring with all the fertilizer from everywhere or whatever causes it. It's just weird. That's my 16 17 concern. I would be, you know -- That's what I am trying to 18 19 And as long as it doesn't impact my house, the sale of my house or, you know, it's not going to cause me any drainage 20 21 issues. I've got enough wet there in part of my property. 22 that's all. I am concerned about the -- So the concern I have 23 is it's going to be closer to the creek, right? That's where 24 the house is going to go? That's where the house would go? 25 Is that what -- That's what they're saying here? Because I 26 haven't seen what they're --27 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Do you have one of these plans? 28 MR. BABRAUCKA: No. MR. NOVAK: Here, he can have -- I have another one. 29 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Dave, why don't you just stay up 30

```
here, too.
1
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Exactly. So just show, so --
2
               MR. NOVAK: Here is your house.
3
                               Right, yep, that's it, yeah.
               MR. BABRAUCKA:
               MR. NOVAK:
                           This is where the --
5
               MR. BABRAUCKA:
6
                              Right.
7
               MR. NOVAK: -- potential house --
8
               MR. BABRAUCKA:
                              Right, sure.
9
               MR. NOVAK: -- would go with a 21 foot side yard to
    the side of the house.
10
               MR. BABRAUCKA:
                              Right, okay.
11
               MR. NOVAK: Yours is probably -- I don't know if
12
13
    it's at minimum but 15 feet is actually minimum.
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Right, yeah. Mine is, right, right,
14
    right.
15
               MR. NOVAK: Again, we're talking on the other side
16
17
    of the property.
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Right. So you would build -- They
18
19
    want to maybe move the house toward the creek or --
               MR. NOVAK:
                           No.
                                The requirement is this pink line.
20
21
    This is the way the code reads.
22
               MR. BABRAUCKA:
                               Right.
23
               MR. NOVAK: As represented by this pink line.
24
    asking to be able to reduce that variance --
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Sure.
25
26
               MR. NOVAK: -- setback to the green line.
27
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Right, gotcha.
28
               MR. NOVAK: That's what we're asking.
29
               MR. BABRAUCKA:
                               Okay.
30
               MR. NOVAK: So that this house or some similar
```

```
house --
1
2
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Right.
               MR. NOVAK: -- could be built in this location.
3
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Okay. I see what you're saying.
    Because that's what it was, that's what was approved ten years
5
6
    ago?
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: But that doesn't mean that
7
8
    that's where the house will go or that will be the house.
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Right, right. No, I understand.
9
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: But they get that. That entire
10
    area is buildable from the green line to the --
11
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Yeah. I mean, I get the dilemma.
12
13
    I mean, if I was rich enough, I would buy the lot from you
    but -- Okay.
14
15
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay?
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Yeah.
16
17
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: That answers your questions?
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Yes. Thank you.
18
19
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Do you have anything else for
    the Board?
20
21
               MR. BABRAUCKA: No.
22
               MR. SWEENEY: Mr. Babraucka.
23
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Stay up there, please.
24
               MR. SWEENEY: I have a question for you.
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Me?
25
26
               MR. SWEENEY: Yes.
27
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Stay up at the podium for just a
28
    moment.
               MR. SWEENEY: How long have you lived at this
29
    address?
30
```

```
MR. BABRAUCKA: Gosh.
1
2
               MR. SWEENEY: Let me just ask you, were you there in
    2005, 2006?
3
               MR. BABRAUCKA: No. We moved in in 2007. I think
    it was April of 2007.
5
               MR. SWEENEY: Was that a year after the
6
    torrential --
7
8
               MR. BABRAUCKA: We were there for the -- that huge
9
    flood.
10
               MR. SWEENEY: You were there for that?
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Yeah. The water -- I don't know if
11
    you're familiar with that area.
12
13
               MR. SWEENEY: What did the water do?
               MR. BABRAUCKA: I am sorry?
14
15
               MR. SWEENEY: What did the water do? Did you notice
    on that lot?
16
17
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Yeah. So it never, I mean, it would
    never, I don't think -- It did not go where they're proposing
18
19
    to have the -- The walkout was going to be where? Show me
    that. The walkout was going to be.
20
21
               MR. NOVAK: This side right here.
22
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Here?
23
               MR. NOVAK: Yeah, right here. This is actually
24
    where the creek is, the purple.
25
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Oh, oh.
               MR. NOVAK: So this would be --
26
27
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Yeah, yeah. I'm sorry. Wasn't the
28
    walkout going to be over --
               MR. NOVAK: The walkout is going to be right there.
29
30
               MR. BABRAUCKA: Yeah. The walkout, when I was
```

there, it -- that would not, the water would not have went 1 2 into that house. I mean, it sits high enough. It spills over into like my neighbor's, you know, across the creek. It 3 spills all into their floodplain. So it would have never --5 MR. SWEENEY: And that was like a 500 year event. MR. BABRAUCKA: Yeah. 6 MR. NOVAK: Actually, if you look at the road grade 7 8 down there near the culvert, it's about 741. The basement 9 floor as we have proposed here is 746. 10 MR. SWEENEY: And the creek is 735? MR. NOVAK: Right. But even, I mean, it would cross 11 over the road. 12 13 MR. BABRAUCKA: Right. That's why --MR. SWEENEY: Before it got any higher. 14 MR. NOVAK: And cross the street before it would get 15 to this proposed house. 16 17 MR. BABRAUCKA: Right, that's what I -- yeah. Because it never, in that storm, it did not come across the 18 19 road. It came pretty close but did not cross the road. MR. SWEENEY: Okay. Thank you. 20 21 MR. BABRAUCKA: So any more? 22 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Thank you. 23 Is there anyone else that's here that would like to 24 speak for or against this appeal that would like to come up? Okay. With that being said, there is no one else 25 26 speaking for or against the appeal, the public hearing for Variance Number 2018-40 is now closed to the public. I will 27 28 entertain a motion to approve Variance Number 2018-40. MR. DYNES: So moved. 29 30 MR. SWEENEY: Second.

CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: All right. Got a second. 1 2 Discussion for the Board? Anybody want to start the discussion? 3 Heather, what was the setback in two MR. SWEENEY: 5 thousand --CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: There wasn't one. 6 There wasn't any? I thought there was 7 MR. SWEENEY: 8 a min -- Wasn't there even a minimum back before the new regulations, like a 20? 9 10 MS. FREEMAN: No. MR. SWEENEY: So there wasn't any? 11 12 MS. FREEMAN: No. Just the standard side yard 13 setback from property line. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah, the side yard setback. 14 15 MS. FREEMAN: No riparian setback. MR. SWEENEY: So theoretically --16 17 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: That's the problem, there wasn't anything. You know what I mean? 18 19 MR. SWEENEY: Right, right. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: That's also an issue. 20 21 MR. DYNES: I appropriate what the riparian setback 22 I appreciate the protections it tries to afford. 23 think, in looking at these particular folks and the situation 24 they're in front of us with, it's a hardship. Had they built previously -- And I understand now they're never going to sell 25 26 this property without the variance being there. And if they 27 have to go through that exercise, they're probably stuck with 28 it for a long period of time. So I get it. I think the riparian stuff is good and bad. In this 29 particular case, I appreciate you going through the test with 30

```
us. I think we most all know that by heart but thank you,
1
    David. You highlighted it well and I see it as a true
2
    hardship.
3
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay.
5
               MR. DYNES: My opinion.
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Blair, do you have anything you
6
    want to add?
7
8
               MR. HAMILTON: No. It's tough because, you know,
    given the inability to make any other stipulations in terms of
9
10
    setbacks to the front or some other area, we're dealing with
11
    an unknown. So --
12
               MR. DYNES: Well, and whoever, if and when someone
13
    does build, they're going to have all the restrictions that
14
    are there presently anyway.
15
               MR. HAMILTON: Right. But if we were to say, you
    know, your front yard setback could be 75 feet --
16
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah. We can't --
17
               MR. HAMILTON: We'd have some options.
18
19
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC:
                                   Yeah.
               MR. HAMILTON:
                             But we can't do that.
20
21
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: We can't do that up here right
22
          They need to come to some --
23
               MR. HAMILTON: Right.
24
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Show us something.
25
               MR. HAMILTON: Right.
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Todd?
26
27
               MR. GOLLING: I'm good.
28
               CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: You're good.
               Okay. I mean, I don't have anything else to add to
29
    the conversation.
30
```

So the question is on the approval of Variance 1 2 Number 2018-40. A yes vote approves the variance, a no vote denies the variance. 3 Heather, please call the vote. 5 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Dynes? MR. DYNES: Yes. 6 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Sweeney? 7 MR. SWEENEY: Yes. 8 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Hamilton? 9 10 MR. HAMILTON: Yes. MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Golling? 11 MR. GOLLING: Yes. 12 13 MS. FREEMAN: Mr. Valentic? CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yes. 14 15 The variance has been approved. MS. OLBRYSH: Thank you very much. 16 17 MR. SWEENEY: Good luck. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Yeah, good luck. 18 19 Next on the agenda is the approval of minutes. would like to call a motion to approve the minutes from 20 21 September 2018. 22 MR. SWEENEY: So moved. 23 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: How about a second? 24 MR. HAMILTON: Second. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Thank you. Is there any 25 26 discussion or additions or deletions regarding the minutes? MR. DYNES: I will abstain. I wasn't here. 27 MR. GOLLING: Abstain. 28 CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: All right. You guys are good 29 with the minutes as written? 30

MR. HAMILTON: Aye, yes. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Okay. The question is on approval of the minutes from September 2018. A yes vote approves the minutes, a no vote does not. All in favor of approving the minutes as written say aye. Skip, aye? MR. SWEENEY: Aye. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: All right. MR. SWEENEY: Sorry. CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: You're abstaining and Todd is abstaining, for the record. The minutes from September 2018 have now been approved. (Three aye votes, no nay votes, two abstentions.) CHAIRMAN VALENTIC: Our next meeting is on November 14, November 14, 2018. The Concord Township Board of Zoning Appeals is now closed. (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 7:46 p.m.)

STATE OF OHIO 1 CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA I, Melinda A. Melton, Registered Professional 3 Reporter, a notary public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that, to the best of my ability, the foregoing proceedings were 5 reduced by me to stenotype shorthand, subsequently transcribed into typewritten manuscript; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of said 6 proceedings so taken as aforesaid. 7 I do further certify that this proceeding took 8 place at the time and place as specified in the foregoing caption and was completed without adjournment. 9 I do further certify that I am not a friend, relative, or counsel for any party or otherwise interested 10 in the outcome of these proceedings. 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office this 26th day of Octtober 12 2018. 13 14 15 16 Melinda A. Melton 17 Registered Professional Reporter 18 Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio 19 20 My Commission Expires: February 4, 2023 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30