CONCORD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF TRUSTEES LAKE COUNTY, OHIO REGULAR MEETING

Concord Town Hall 7229 Ravenna Road Concord, Ohio 44077

October 4, 2017 7:30 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Present on behalf of the Board of Trustees:

Paul R. Malchesky, Chairman Christopher Galloway, Vice Chairman Caroline Luhta, Trustee Amy Dawson, Fiscal Officer

Also Present:

Kathy Mitchell, Administration
Michael Lucas, Esq., Legal Counsel
Chief Matt Sabo, Fire Department
Frank Kraska, Service Director
Heather Freeman, Planning/Zoning Director
Debra Esker, Recreation Director
Sergeant Larry Harpster, Sheriff's Department

Melton Reporting
11668 Girdled Road
Concord, Ohio 44077
(440) 946-1350

7:29 p.m. 1 CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: I am going to call the Concord 2 Township Board of Trustees October 4, 2017, meeting to order. 3 Please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance. 4 (Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) 5 CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Okay. Roll call. 6 7 MR. GALLOWAY: Good job. That sounded good. 8 MS. DAWSON: Mr. Malchesky? CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Present. 9 MS. DAWSON: Mr. Galloway? 10 MR. GALLOWAY: I am here. 11 MS. DAWSON: Mrs. Luhta? 12 MS. LUHTA: Here. 13 CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Okay. Reports. Amy? 14 15 MS. DAWSON: Do you want to do minutes? CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Oh, approval of minutes. 16 September 20, 2017, minutes? 17 MR. GALLOWAY: I had no changes. 18 19 MS. LUHTA: No changes. CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: No changes, okay. Then we will 20 21 approve the September 20, 2017, minutes. 22 Amy, report. 23 MS. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Malchesky. As of 24 September 30th, we were 75 percent of the way through the calendar year. Treasury balance for the 20 active funds within 25 26 the Township Treasury was \$7 million. Treasury balance includes a million dollars in funds set aside for specific 27 28 projects. Year-to-date expenditures were 59 percent of the 29 amount appropriated for 2017. The revenue received year to 30

date is 98 percent of the amount budgeted for. This includes second half of our 2016 real estate taxes. We are well within budget. We will not be receiving real estate tax revenue again until the end of the first guarter 2018.

Tonight I am going to discuss Fund Number 2021, the Gas Tax Fund. The motor vehicle tax, or gas tax, is commonly known began in 1925. Motor vehicle fuel wholesale dealers remit this tax. The amount is distributed by the Ohio Department of Taxation with the state receiving 75 percent and all other local governments receiving the remaining 25 percent. The money must be used for road purposes. Gas tax revenue to local governments has remained stagnant over the last six years.

If you have any other financial questions, please do not hesitate to call my office, 354-7516. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Thank you.

Connie.

 $\mbox{\sc MS.}$ LUHTA: All I have to report is that I went to an Eagle Scout ceremony on Sunday.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Good.

MS. LUHTA: That's it.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Chris.

MR. GALLOWAY: Mr. Chairman, like yourself and Connie, we were at the Eastern Lake County Chamber of Commerce joint luncheon with the Rotary at Quail Hollow Resort. And the most interesting thing to come out of that was an announcement from Quail Hollow Resort ownership that they are -- they have pushed out the previous management company that they had hired. The ownership group for Quail Hollow that bought the hotel two years ago is actually out of New Zealand, out of Auckland, and

they had hired a management group based outside of Phoenix that had been running the hotel on their behalf for the last couple years. It sounds as if they've replaced them or removed them and are now managing it directly and are going to start renovating, extensive renovations to the property.

So that is, that's a good thing for Concord. It was -- had become a real concern for the township as it relates to its viability and its future success. And it sounds like they're starting some room renovations now and they're going to be working on the ballroom next and so it sounds like they will be putting in a lot of money into that facility. So I know we are happy about that.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Yeah. They're going to bring some of their old events back that I think are very halpful -- helpful and very popular.

MR. GALLOWAY: And as you know, we, we had started having meetings and discussing with the county what our course of action would be if that hotel were to go under or to shutter so that it didn't become an empty, vacant, you know, eyesore in the middle of the township. And so I am thrilled that we don't potentially have to have those kinds of planning and meetings and contingency plans on the shelf, as you like to say.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Sure, sure, sure.

MR. GALLOWAY: So that's great news. And hen on Saturday, Paul and I got called to -- There was an EPA emergency response team out looking for pollution that was in Kellogg Creek and we spent a little bit of time running around with the EPA in the creeks in western Lake -- or western Concord and eastern Mentor trying to find the source of what was polluting Kellogg Creek.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: It was Mentor.

MR. GALLOWAY: It was Mentor. It was Mentor that did it.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: It was Mentor. It was not, it wasn't better in Mentor that day.

MR. GALLOWAY: Yeah. They had had some water main breaks and -- on a tributary to Kellogg and they had done a bunch of work on some concrete streets which involved cutting and replacing some -- grinding of concrete and things. And with the rain event, all that dust and particulate flushed into the storm sewer and then into the creeks, which is what made the creeks, Kellogg and everything, all cloudy white downstream. So we found it and that was the, that was the adventure for Saturday afternoon.

So that's all. You know, I've had a few meetings with resident just on zoning matters. And so that's all I have.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: You know, again, I had the same topic that popped up again, Kathy, is Painesville water and water main breaks and where we are with the county. I'd love to get back to some of these residents with regards to some answers. The main complaint is Pinehill and the concerns are, as we get closer to winter, that the freezing and the thawing may break some more.

And they're talking about they're fixing a break and as they're finishing that, that patch, it's breaking 18 to two feet right next door to it, so -- and they can't get out of the hole. So, you know, we'll -- I'll call Jason at the, you know, at the county administrator. But just if you get any word or you hear anything, let me know.

MS. MITCHELL: Okay.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: With regard to department reports, Kathy, what have you got for us?

MS. MITCHELL: Did you want to have Mike talk a little bit?

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Sure. Mike, you got a report?

MR. LUCAS: Well, one was thrust upon me. There was
a -- several residents, as I live in Concord, have asked me
questions about some of the zoning issues that have arisen and
that, one of them, of course, is the innovative site use. And
it was clear to me, to some extent, that some explanation needs
to be made from a legal standpoint as to what's entailed with
the innovative site use at the present time, number one; and
number two, how the process works itself because I think there
is a misunderstanding about that. And I get it because it's a
legal principle, a series of legal principles and that.

One of the things that, you know, came up was, well, how can they still be considering that when it's been repealed? And one of the things that came up, "Well, we don't want the Trustees to vote for this." And so I am just going to give kind of a brief overview of the law on this. And then I am sure everyone here will not have their minds changed one way or the other. I am just going to explain how the law works on this.

So the first thing is that the innovative site use, which was the subject of the zoning amendment and series of zoning amendments that ultimately was repealed by the Trustees, encompassed a large area along the Auburn-Crile Road corridor. And that innovative site use, regardless of the mechanics of it, is no longer on the books and it never was on the books

because they repealed it as it was proceeding through the process itself. It was never validly enacted.

What is on the books though, so as, again, a point of information, is there is an innovative site use that is permitted and didn't have anything to do with the zoning amendment process. It is permitted. And I will get into a little bit more detail about that in a minute but it is permitted in the Capital District as an innovative site use.

And just so everyone is aware, how did it get into the Capital District? It got into the Capital District, it began in 2014 and ultimately was enacted in 2015, in February, February 6, 2015. And how it got in there was it went through a process like every other zoning amendment process as required under the Ohio Revised Code.

What does that mean? It means that the following was done: There was a text that was presented for this particular use in the Capital District. It was before the Zoning Commission. The Zoning Commission scheduled a public hearing on it. They also sent it over to Lake County Planning Commission who reviews it and makes recommendations concerning this particular text. That was done. Lake County Planning Commission recommended the text. There were some modifications but they recommended the use. There was a public hearing in front of the Zoning Commission.

After the Zoning Commission hears the public regarding the pros and cons and how, you know, the input they want to provide, they make a recommendation, they don't make a decision, they make a recommendation as to the particular text to the Board of Trustees. The Board of Trustees receives a recommendation of the Zoning Commission, the information that

was provided by the Lake County Planning Commission, and they schedule a public hearing.

They schedule public hearing. The same process is reviewing the text, having citizens and residents of Concord come in and state whether they're in favor of it or against it. Then they vote on it. In this particular case, after the two public hearings, the review by the Zoning Commission and recommendation and review by the Lake County Planning Commission, they put this particular use in the Capital District and that passed February 6, 2015.

So that's long before the amendment process began to expand and get into a little more sophisticated detail of what was the subject matter of the proposed legislation that ultimately was repealed by the Township. So that's the first point.

The second point is, as it's positioned now as a potential use in the innovative -- excuse me -- in the Capital District, what does that mean? Well, the first thing that's important is it's not a permitted use, it's a conditional use. And under the Ohio Revised Code, when you have a conditional use that's applied for, which would be in this case the innovative site use, it doesn't go in front of the Trustees. And in point of fact, and I will explain this a little bit more detail, the Trustees don't have anything to do with the approval of the use. Under the Ohio Revised Code, a conditional use, which is what this is in the Capital District, is heard before the Board of Zoning Appeals. The Board of Zoning Appeals takes the evidence, they make their decision, and then the use is either granted or it's not granted.

And I will mention, as an example, a relatively

recent example of that was the Riverside School District coming in front of the Board of Zoning Appeals. The Trustees didn't have any decision-making in that either. They went in front of the Board of Zoning Appeals. They make the decision and there is no next step to the Board of Trustees. Whatever the decision is at the Board of Zoning Appeals, it goes to court if anyone appeals it and that's how it works.

So when there is discussion and, you know, I tell my neighbors, you know, regarding the innovative site use because it's a hot topic, the Trustees, under the current zoning text, if somebody comes in and wants to put an innovative site use in -- and by the way, again, it's only in the Capital District -- they have to go in front of the Board of Zoning Appeals. That is it. The Trustees don't vote on it one way or the other.

Now, this particular use, and just as a general definition, a conditional use permit means -- As I said earlier, it's not a permitted use, it's a conditional use. And there are certain conditions under the Zoning Resolution that have to be met, and if they're not met, the conditional use permit is denied. And if they are met, at least in an abstract matter, the Board of Zoning Appeals is supposed to then vote in favor of it.

So, again, with reference to this particular use, it's limited to jurisdiction in front of the Board of Zoning Appeals, number one. Number two, they have to meet certain conditions within this particular zoning section of the Township Resolution, which is Section 13. And with regard to this particular use and the conditions, there is two other components to it. There is actually a design approval process

and also a site plan review process which interpolate some of the conditions that have to be met. Those are also not in front of the zoning -- or excuse me -- in front of the Board of Trustees. They're in front of the Planning Commission (sic.). And there is two separate areas and sections of the Concord Township Zoning Resolution where the Zoning Commission, independent of the Board of Zoning Appeals' approval, reviews both the design plan and the site plan and make sure it meets some of the -- it meets the criteria that's set forth in those particular provisions of the Zoning Resolution itself.

So, again, the amendment process, that I realize is the subject of a hot topic, doesn't have anything to do with this particular currently existing use, which was established in February of 2016 -- excuse me -- 2015 after all the public hearings I previously described and that.

"Well, what about this RFP process and that?" The RFP process, there is no vote on that. Whoever the developer, if one emerges -- and one hasn't emerged yet to be properly considered -- but if one emerges, right now, that developer is limited to presenting an application in front of the Board of Zoning Appeals, having the matter heard at a public hearing before the Board of Zoning Appeals and they make the final decision whether the developer has complied with the uses and conditions under the Zoning Resolution.

Similarly, that same developer that's a product of the RFP process, if one ultimately springs forth and is, you know, deemed to meet the criteria that the Board is looking for, at least, in terms of the Capital District, he still has to go in front of the design review process and the

requirements in front of the Planning Commission. And all three of those components have to be met before the use is actually then established. And, again, there is no vote on the part of any of the Trustees in that.

So that's just a general parameter of what our current Zoning Resolution states. It's also an overview of how, basically, an amendment process takes place under the Ohio Revised Code that ends up with how, in this particular case, there is a site plan conditional use feature in the Capital District alone, so -- and, hopefully, distinguish between what was there in February of 2015 as opposed to what is not there and never was there because there was never a validation of the amendment as proposed on both occasions and that. So that's my Zoning 101 overview. So --

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Thank you, Professor.

MR. LUCAS: You're welcome.

MR. GALLOWAY: Thank you, Dr. Lucas.

MR. LUCAS: I am missing Vanessa's famous PowerPoint presentation but we didn't get a chance to hook up and synchronize.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: I'll get credit for that.

MS. PESEC: They won't let me make them anymore.

MR. LUCAS: I know, I know. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Kathy, anything else you want Mike to add to your report?

MS. MITCHELL: No. He did a great job.

Well, for my report, I guess piggybacking on some of the items that Mike has addressed, Mr. Lucas has addressed, I would like to, I guess, clarify and bring up some points related to the town center RFP process. I have also received a

handful of -- more than a handful of questions regarding that.

And so I thought it was, you know, again, useful to make some statements here and clarify that. So I am just going to go through my list.

So, at the present time, the RFP process, in our RFP process that began in May, one developer has been qualified to provide a proposal as part of Phase 2, which is the part that we are currently in. The developer has created and submitted a draft visionary plan only to date. As part of that plan, no Section 8 housing has been included in the developer's visionary plan. The developer has not submitted a proposal for consideration yet.

Again, that was a draft first step visionary plan for consideration. No plan or proposal has been approved, therefore, at this point by the Township. No master developer agreement is in place or has been agreed upon to move forward with a developer. In this case, right now, as I have stated, we have one developer that has submitted to move forward in this part of the process.

This particular developer has just requested an extension of the timeline. That extension has been moved to November 15th in order for their team to further assemble their response and formal plan for consideration. Concord is not under any obligation to approve the developer's proposal once submitted. Again, it is at our election to decide, once we have reviewed everything, if the plan that is submitted is, is acceptable or not.

So some people also have raised concerns and questions regarding, when the zoning text was repealed, how that affected the mixed-use town center concept. And as I

previously stated, the town center concept and the RFP process was not put on hold and is still moving forward. And as I indicated at the last meeting on September 20th, I confirmed we were in Phase 2 of that process.

What is different, as Mike alluded to and I will just emphasize again, is the zoning boundary that was part of the proposed zoning amendments. Since the repeal of those text amendments, the area within the corridor that allows for this type of development was reduced to the original boundary of the Capital District.

There has also been questions regarding the residential piece of the mixed-use town center concept that needs to be clarified. The current zoning requirements for an innovative site PD allows for a limited amount of residential units as a component of a proposed mixed-use commercial development. The predominant uses within the proposal have to be commercial, primarily retail and personal service uses, restaurants and offices.

To be more specific and as stated in the code -- I am sorry to be technical but that is the only way I know how to explain this -- not more than 30 percent of the gross acreage of any proposed innovative site plan development shall be used for housing, that includes both townhomes and condo units above commercial structures. Also, under the existing zoning, no vertical stand-alone, multi-family buildings are permitted with any -- within any proposed innovative site plan development. The innovative site plan development, just again to clarify for everybody, is the use listed in the zoning text that would allow for a mixed- use town center concept.

So this means no high rises. Okay? We get that

question a lot. No high rises. The C, Capital District does not allow for buildings beyond 60 feet, and that was put into the code back in 2015 at the same time Mike mentioned, on February 6th.

So in anticipation of this future development and also in conjunction with response to concerns with the residents, our contract with the Sheriff's Department was updated in 2016, in part, for additional police protection and patrols. Last year, also, a public safety levy was passed to expand emergency services available to the community.

As far as the impact on traffic, 90 and 44 are obviously considered major highways, not neighborhood streets, and they are designed to handle traffic for potential development. As we know, ODOT just completed \$13 million in traffic improvements, road improvements for safety, as well as to help facilitate future economic development.

With regard to the property that was purchased by the Township at the corner of Capital Parkway and Auburn Road for a potential mixed-use town center project, the land was paid for by income tax dollars generated through the Joint Economic Development District and distributed to the Township. It did not come from residents' property taxes. That is a question I also get a lot.

Under the JEDD contract agreement between Concord and the City of Painesville, these income tax revenues that are generated through specifically the JEDD District are required to be used, in part, and promoted for economic development.

The purchase of the land was intended to control and guide future development and avoid a hodgepodge of business and fast food chains developing on individual lots, thereby creating

multiple access points along Auburn Road.

The purpose and intent behind an innovative site plan development is to provide an opportunity for a planned, cohesive development, creative design, a variety of land uses and unique site features that will contribute and improve the values of the surrounding properties and sustain this commercial corridor well into the future. The current zoning and stringent development standards were created with the help of professional land use planners to ensure that that was the path we took.

So in closing, if residents have questions of this nature or other questions that are not being answered tonight, I am asking that you contact me or other members of our staff. We have a wonderful group of staff. They're all in the front. We've got Deb Esker, our Recreation Director; Heather Freeman is our Planning and Zoning Director; Frank Kraska is our Road and Service Department extraordinaire; and Chief Matt Sabo is the be-all and end-all of fire and safety in Concord Township.

So believe it or not, we are actually pretty good at trying to answer those questions. If we can't answer your questions, we will do our best to find the answers for you. So please use us to answer your questions.

We will be launching, for your information, a Concord facts page on our website -- there is a placeholder on it now -- that will include this and other related information for the public to view. There has been a lot of questions to our staff in recent months, and we want to make sure that the information available is in one location on the website rather than sending everybody to different tabs and different parts of it. So stay tuned for that. I hope that helps. And please,

again, call if you have any other questions.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: All set, Kathy?

MS. MITCHELL: That is enough, yeah.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Okay. Thank you.

Fire Department, Chief Sabo.

October, we've faced Fire Prevention Week or Fire Prevention

Month. So in October our fire educator, Ken Weidig, dedicates

his time throughout October in Concord Township schools,

meeting with the children, meeting with the teachers and

spending time to teach them about fire safety. You may see Ken

and the firefighters and our equipment at the schools providing

that education.

This year's theme is, "Every Second Counts. Plan two ways out." So you will see that on the television station, you will see it on our website and it kind of looks like this. So always plan two ways out.

In the month of May -- or May, wow -- September, we had a total of 215 events, including 16 motor vehicle crashes, 23 agency assists, 14 fire alarms, one odor investigation, 34 basic EMS rescues, 70 paramedic rescues, 22 public assists, three calls for wires down.

And many of you probably saw in the paper about the structure fire that we had recently. That family of four was displaced. Their home sustained severe damage. And I will stress once again, as I do continuously, there is no reason for anyone in Concord Township not to have working smoke detectors in their home. I have cases of smoke detectors to give. So if anyone needs smoke alarms, smoke detectors, please call us and we will make sure that they're installed properly. And we

check, we go out and check smoke detectors. So if you have any issues, please call us.

The only reason that family -- I feel the only reason that family survived was because one family member was sleeping on the couch adjacent to where the fire started. Otherwise, we would have four fatalities. I don't want -- I don't want to face that.

Our fire prevention bureau was busy as well with three plan reviews, 22 annual inspections, 10 follow-up visits, four special inspection licensing events, 25 fire protection system testings. And these are all focused on commercial buildings throughout Concord and state, state facilities.

We installed one residential lock box. This is a program that's available to our residents -- typically, it's our senior citizens -- so that we don't, if you call 911 and you can't come to the door, we don't have to break the door to get in. So it's a lock. It's a box that mounts to your front door and inside that box is a key to your home. And the only person that has access to that key is the Fire Department. No one else has access to that key.

We also did six public education events and one PR event, which was Super Heroes Day at Quail Hollow. So that's always fun to play with the kids and have them come out and check out the trucks.

MR. GALLOWAY: What was your costume? Were you the Hulk?

CHIEF SABO: I was. I got angry.

And three consultations.

MR. GALLOWAY: That's right.

CHIEF SABO: Unfortunately, I missed it. I was

supposed to go there but we had a family emergency, so I missed it. But thank you very much.

MR. GALLOWAY: Thanks, Chief.

MS. LUHTA: Thanks, Chief.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Frank, what's going on in the Service Department?

MR. KRASKA: Well, after a pretty heavy start to the meeting tonight, I guess we get down to the meat and potatoes now, right? I shared a quote from John Wooden yesterday that "It's the details that are vital. Little things make for big things to happen." So that's what I am going to give you guys, little details.

The Service Department has recently received inquiries regarding our playground equipment in Concord Hills Park on Hoose Road, primarily the height and condition of the swing set. As a result, we have ordered new chains that are plastic coated to meet current standards and it will both lengthen and respond to the concern that was raised.

This week the Garden Club donated a Japanese umbrella pine tree to further enhance the landscape at Concord Hills Park. You will find this gem located close to the pavilion.

Next week we are hoping to pave the walking path at Barchester Park just as we did earlier this summer at Concord Hills Park which, by the way, has a steady stream of activity and is well used, correct?

MR. LUCAS: That is very true. God's country.

MR. KRASKA: Meanwhile, the Service Department crews in September completed our crack seal program and we are beginning to wind down our Dura Patching for the season. We have completed maintenance on the face of Oakridge Dam,

removing overgrowth, cleaned the pond forebay on Eagle Ridge in conjunction with Lake County Stormwater Management Department.

We cleaned various roadside ditches on Cascade,
Conley, Pinehill and Marlo, and also replaced driveway culverts
on Althea and Breezewood.

This leads us into our road program. Our Service Department concrete crew continued to make progress in September replacing close to 1,100 square yards of concrete pavement on Colleen Drive, Conestoga Trail, Carriage Hills Drive, Equestrian Court. This brings our season total to nearly 3,000 square yards.

Pacheco Cement was awarded a contract to replace

Gallant Way cul de sac and repair sections on Waterfowl Way -which I can report has been completed as of today -- which are
both located within Quail Hollow subdivision, combined
replacement with nearly 1,400 square yards.

I know I have said it before, though I have to say it again. Fixing roads creates inconveniences to residents living close by the construction zones and often creates delays from their normal daily patterns. Again this summer, I admire Concord residents that recognize and accept road improvements for their end result, not the expedience of the start to the finish. As an example, this week I received this note from a resident on Conestoga Trail:

"We recently had our section of the court (we are at 8075 Conestoga) redone by the Service/Road Department. We want to express our thanks and how pleased we were by the professionalism and good work of the crew. They daily completely cleaned up the work area when finished and always left a pathway for vehicles to navigate even while

excavating/pouring/et cetera. The work was done with very little inconvenience and the road is much improved. Thanks again."

With a little patience, the reward can be quite palatable. Once again, thanks from the Service Department for this year's accomplishment.

That's, when I say thanks, I say thanks to the community because it's been really easy to work within these work zones and stuff because people really accepted our folks, as well as our contractors, in accomplishing, you know, the difficult projects that sometimes have to be carried out.

On Saturday, October 14th, from 8:00 a.m. 2:00 p.m., our final brush and yard waste dropoff will take place.

Service Department personnel will be on hand to assist residents in the offloading of these materials at our Service Department garage located at 7229 Ravenna Road, right behind the Town Hall. Tree limbs, twigs and branches four inches in diameter or less will be accepted. Yard waste will be accepted in biodegradable bags and easily-handled containers which can be dumped by hand. No plastic bags, please, are permitted.

The brush will be chipped and made available to the residents on a first-come, first-serve basis during regular business hours, Monday through Friday, 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. Now, we do send out the yard waste to have it recycled and reused again as well.

As we approach the winter season, mailbox snow barricades are available to Concord residents. Applications can be obtained on our website at www.ConcordTwp.com or stop by Town Hall during business hours. The cost for the barricades this year, which include installation, is only \$40. Over 500

residents are already participating and we installed 10 just this week.

Please visit township website -- or our township website for regular updates, current events and related information. In closing, we wish our residents well and have a good evening.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Thank you.

MR. GALLOWAY: I figured you would end with a "Go Tribe."

MR. KRASKA: Go Tribe.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Heather Freeman, Zoning Department.

MS. FREEMAN: Good evening. Thank you. During the month of the September, the Zoning Department office has been busy processing zoning permit applications. We processed a total of 41 and collected over, just over \$5,800 in fees just in the month of September. Of those 41 permits, the most requested zoning permit applications were for fences, sheds, and single-family dwellings.

Year to date, our office has processed a total of 354 zoning permit applications. Last year total we did 408, so I think we are on track to exceed that number. And then as far as permit applications for new dwellings, we are at 69 for the year compared to last year for the year-end we were at 77. So we're going to probably exceed last year's number slightly as well.

Also during the month of September, the Board of Zoning Appeals heard two application requests for conditional use permits of which one was denied and one was approved.

That's it.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Thank you.

MS. LUHTA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Deb, Recreation Department.

MS. ESKER: Good evening. The Concord Township
Recreation Department is offering an engaging variety of
leisure classes this fall. And when you're not watching the
Indians game and cheering on the Tribe to victory this
postseason, check us out for these fun and informative classes
designed with our residents in mind.

We've got Tai Chi for strength and relaxation; Pound, a class that uses weighted drumsticks to rock out your work out; Essential Oils 101; Photography From A to Z; adult cooking classes using fall produce, like cabbages, apples and pears; A Kids' Monster Mash Cooking Class; Mah Jongg, how to play the game as well as strategies to win it; Computer Controlled Christmas Lights, where you learn to program your holiday lights to music; Dot Rocks, a class where you paint beautiful designs on rocks that you can keep or leave in nature for others to find and enjoy and maybe rehide; Put Your Best Self Forward, a class that's designed to help you look your best anytime, anywhere; we have fall tennis camps going on at Concord Hills Park; and Ghost Stories of Lake County at Concord's historic Old Stone School all this month.

Seats are available in most of the classes, so go online to ConcordTwp.com and sign up or call the Recreation Department to register.

Thanks to all those who attended this afternoon's 55 Plus Group gathering that explained the upcoming changes to Medicare. Our next 55 Plus Group gathering is Wednesday, October 18th, when the League of Women Voters will host a

candidate's forum at the Community Center.

Please join the Recreation Department and the businesses at Grist Mill Village on Thursday, October 26, for our 9th Annual Halloween Haunt. The Haunt begins promptly at 6:30 p.m. inside Reider's Market Cafe where kids receive their first treats of the evening. And then they trick-or-treat their way through the shops at Grist Mill Village, including the businesses across the parking lot, so parents must accompany the kids. The Haunt will proceed regardless of the weather, so please dress appropriately, which at this point could be flip-flops and shorts or your winter parka. It's Ohio.

Thanks to Reider's Market and the businesses at Grist Mill Village for their continued participation in our Haunt and to Concord Township Fire Department because they always bring a fire truck and treats for this family-friendly event.

As always, neighborhood trick-or-treating will be on Halloween, October 31st, from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m. So please watch out for those little goblins roaming around your neighborhood and help us keep them safe.

Get your zombie on at the Thriller Dance Workshop and Party on Friday, October 27th, at the Concord Community Center. Learn the choreography in Michael Jackson's *Thriller* video and then bust a move at our second annual Thriller Halloween Zombie Party. Zombie attire is encouraged and will be photographed.

If you love taking pictures, enter the Concord

Township Photo Contest this fall. Photos will be on display

from October 21st through November 9th at the Vista Springs

Quail Highlands Gallery at 76 -- at 7960 Auburn Road, just

south of the Community Center. Entry forms can be found on the

township's website or picked up at the Community Center, and the deadline to enter is October 19th.

As the holiday season approaches, take the stress out of parties by renting the Concord Community Center for your get-together. It's the perfectly centrally located place to hold your holiday party. You can check out pictures of our facility on the township's website or stop by for a tour and details. We're located at 7671 Auburn Road across from TriPoint Hospital.

All this information and more can be found in the fall edition of the township newsletter, the *Concord Grapevine*, and on the Concord Township website at ConcordTwp.com or contact the Recreation Department directly at (440) 639-4650.

And I'd also like to give one more shout out to the Fire Department because they occasionally do staff trainings for the Recreation Department and another one is happening the evening of October 18th where they're going to train the Recreation staff in emergency management, some medical training refresher, active shooter refresher, severe weather refresher. We appreciate that they do this for us and it gives our staff more skills in case of an emergency situation when our guests are renting the Community Center. So thanks again to the Fire Department. Thank you, and go Tribe.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Deb, I have a question.

MS. ESKER: Sure.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: So we had some, some complaints this year about having Halloween on -- or trick-or-treating on Halloween because it's a Tuesday from 6:00 to 8:00. And the ability to change that was affected by the simple fact that we had every single other community is going to do it on

Halloween. So I guess the question becomes, if we start earlier -- and I am not sure if it's your department or our department -- to start working with the, you know, surrounding communities about maybe making it, whether it's a Friday or Saturday.

And so I guess when you have your event at Grist Mill, maybe check with some of the mothers or get some input and then we can start to advocate their position to the other communities. You tell us whatever the best way to do that is, whether it's on your end or our end or both ends. But, you know, I think their movement is to have it sometime during the, during the weekend.

MS. ESKER: Sure, will do.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Okay. Thank you.

MS. ESKER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Concord law enforcement.

SERGEANT HARPSTER: Good evening.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Good evening.

SERGEANT HARPSTER: The month of September, the Sheriff's Office handled 1,440 calls for service in Concord Township, two injury accidents, 12 property damage accidents. We had an adult male arrest for possession and trafficking and drugs and two for disorderly conduct. We had 273 crime prevention cards, 19 citations issued, 127 warnings on traffic stops, two driving under suspension citations, and one OVI.

New investigations for Concord Township: We had assaults on Auburn Road, Melridge, Girdled Road and Village Drive. We had a breaking and entering on Auburn Road, a breaking and entering to a vehicle on Easton Way, a burglary on Deerhaven. We handled drug cases, two on Auburn Road, one on

Andrea Drive and one on Concord-Hambden Road. Theft cases, we had three thefts on Auburn Road, four on Girdled Road, two on Concord-Hambden, two on Hermitage, two on Barchester, one on Sittingbourne and one on Fredle Drive. We had a vandalism on South Meadow and a stolen vehicle on Auburn Road and a stolen vehicle on Morley Road. And that's all I've got. Go Tribe.

MR. GALLOWAY: Well done, well done. Sergeant Harpster learns fast.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: All right. Senior Service Levy,
Issue 4.

MS. BARAJAS: Hello. Good evening. Thanks for having me say a few words today. My name is Alyea Barajas. I am the senior services coordinator with the Board of Lake County Commissioners, and I just want to give you all some information today about Issue 4, which is the senior services levy.

So in 1992, Lake County voters approved a half mill levy to fund senior services across the county. The voters have renewed the levy every five years and recognize the importance of the services provided with the levy funding.

Today these include home-delivered and congregate meals, social work and homemaker services, programs at the senior centers across the county, home maintenance and modification projects, and much more.

However, we face two big challenges in maintaining these programs. Number one, federal funding for senior services continues to decline and is now lower than 2008 levels. In fact, today the federal government only invests \$29.75 for every senior.

Second, the demand for senior services here in Lake

County is growing rapidly. The percentage of Lake County residents 60 and older will increase from 24 percent to 34 percent by 2030 and will actually outnumber youth 20 years and younger here in Lake County. This significant growth is higher than both the northeast Ohio region and the state has a whole.

As we age, chronic disease, disability, elder abuse and neglect, affordable housing, poverty, isolation and inadequate nutrition will present growing challenges.

To help make up for the major funding gaps and growing needs, we are placing an issue on the November ballot to renew and slightly increase the existing levy. An increase in levy funds would allow for additional senior services, such as adult guardianship services and expanded protective services for older adults. The issue would be an increase of 87 cents per month per \$100,000 home value. Without it we risk having to drastically reduce the services that thousands of our oldest and most vulnerable elderly citizens count on every day.

Thanks. That's all I wanted to say.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Thank you.

Okay. That takes us to the audience portion. Anyon want to speak? Come on up here. If you would just state, although we know your name, would you just state your name for the record and your address, it would be much appreciated.

MR. MASSIE: Yes. Good evening. My name is Brian Massie, 8196 Rainbow Drive here in Concord. And I would like to start by first making a sort of a public service announcement. Perhaps those in the audience would like to hear this and maybe those at home.

But I want to tell you about an experience I had with the News Herald. I wrote an opinion piece for the News Herald.

It had to deal with illegal aliens. The News Herald took it upon themselves to change the words "illegal aliens." They inserted "undocumented immigrants." When I called the News Herald, they said that the Associated Press had determined that the phrase "illegal aliens" will not be used and it will be substituted with "undocumented immigrants."

I think it is very, it is very sad when our free press will not report what is actually happening. They bring it upon themselves to make changes to what our citizens are saying.

The next question I have is for the Trustees. I sent an email to the Trustees asking for a meeting. Did you get that email?

MS. LUHTA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Yes.

MR. MASSIE: We did not have that meeting. May I ask, did you have other meetings with other residents that may be your supporters?

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: No. In fact, I thought, if I understood it correctly, I think I was designated to meet with you and --

MR. MASSIE: I said all three, any of them, it did not matter. I was not specifically saying you.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: I will, I will meet with you.

And I apologize. I thought we extended that to you. If you want to wait and after this, after tonight, and we will set up a meeting time.

MR. MASSIE: Yeah, because I truly believe that the Trustees must represent all of the residents.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Sure.

MR. MASSIE: And not just your supporters.

I have to say that the -- I have done a lot of inspection of the financial information and one thing that comes to mind is that safety levy. I have to say that I am vehemently opposed to your making that a combined police and safety levy. Also, I also am extremely upset that you made it a continuous. For those that don't know, continuous means forever. You've taken the vote away from the taxpayers. I don't think that was a very wise decision.

I noticed that NOACA provided a \$2,049,000 loan for our project. How instrumental was NOACA in developing the plan or your vision for the town center? Did they have any input or was this vision the vision of the Trustees? Because I can tell you that, for those that may be listening, NOACA has been known to support the Marxist U.N. Agenda 21 social engineering to redefine America. The United Nations program lays out a comprehensive plan of sustainable development locally, nationally and globally in every area where humans affect the environment. Basically, it's U.N.'s plan to establish control over all human activity, including man's reputed contribution to climate change. The U.N. is at the hub of the global network working to submerge the independence of nations in a world government controlled by the elite. So if they had input in that, I object to their having input in what's happening in my local community.

I mentioned last time that we spent \$319,000 on Risinger, the consulting group. I also found out that we spent \$85,000 with Buxton Company. As I understand, they're a company that develops demographic studies for your area. With all of the other consulting groups, we spent probably close to

\$500,000 on consultants for that project.

I also noticed that in talking to County

Commissioners, our local government fund distribution, money that is given by the State of Ohio back to the communities, there's 26 different political cities, villages, townships.

Concord Township ranks 17. There is \$9.5 million distributed by the state. We got \$114,000, 1.19 percent. I would like to make sure that the Trustees are fighting for us.

I am going to the, I am going to the County Commissioners tomorrow. We may not get any more money but why Mentor gets a million and a half, Lake Metroparks gets \$155,000 and we get \$114,000. I want the Trustees fighting for the people in this community.

I am also a little surprised. I mean, you catch me off guard. I thought you had told me in prior meetings that the town center project was dead, won't have to worry about it. It's over. I am now hearing Kathy say that it's not over. I am confused. Is it over or not?

What I am looking for, gentlemen and Ms. Luhta -- I don't mean to exclude you -- I am looking for Trustees to fight for their people. As I told you before, I think that, if we stay on our current path of these escalating real estate taxes, we are going to start pricing those living on fixed incomes out of their homes that they worked all their lives to achieve. I just don't think that's fair. Please fight for us. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Thank you.

All right. Any additional audience portion before we address Mr. Massie's questions?

MS. PESEC: Hi. Vanessa Pesec, 11705 Cali Court. First of all, I would like to thank you for that very detailed

discussion regarding the whole master developer concept. I think it's really important because people are, as Mr. Massie said, very surprised when they realize that the town center concept may become a reality with the existing zoning.

Just for the record, I was, of course, at the Lake County Planning Commission and attended the Zoning Commission meeting and the Trustee meeting in 2015 and was opposed and gave a number of reasons why I didn't feel that that was appropriate at the time, and I still feel the same way.

I would like to state the obvious. The Trustees hire all of the people, the Administrator, the people in the Zoning Department, and you also appoint all of the people on the Board of Zoning Appeals and the Zoning Commission. So you are in charge of what goes on in the township and the buck does stop with you. So it's nice to say, well, the Board of Zoning Appeals are the people who are going to be making the decisions or somebody else is going to be making decisions, but you've been steering this boat for quite sometime and I hope that you will steer it in the right direction.

As I said, people were very surprised. Fifty-seven companies were notified of the RFP here in 2017, including it being on the Urban Land Institute website. Of course, this was a password protected website on the Concord website but only the people that knew the password could get in, so that's why it's surprising for people to hear about the RFP and the master developer because the citizens would not and could not get onto that site, only if you had a special password.

But of the 57 plus people, companies that were given this master developer proposal, only one company responded, which I feel is a pretty poor response rate. This simple fact

shows that the town center in Concord Township isn't a good idea. Developers don't think it's viable.

I think that there are three reasons that the town center isn't a good idea. We have talked about them in the past. Concord needs long-term, sustainable tax-generating revenue from commercial and light industrial companies, not residential units inside that area. We have precious 8 percent commercial and we need to keep it that way.

Retail is dying, we all know that. And we'll be left with decreasing value residential units and vacant retail office space. Just look at the Willoughby project that was a similar project, a little bit different but similar in Willoughby. The commercial places are empty.

And, third, increased traffic and congestion. Your traffic studies on which the road construction that was just completed didn't include this town center, this high density town center concept. So we will be back to having to have additional road construction and additional traffic.

For these reasons, many citizens request that you do additional homework and that you realize that the town center concept in Concord isn't viable, that you educate everyone, including the Zoning Commission and the Board of Zoning Appeals, based on your additional homework. We hope that you will also let the citizens have a voice in the type of development Concord should invite into this area and that we encourage all residents to hear all sides of this story. And feel free to go to the Defend Concord website, DefendConcord.com, for more information, and contact us as well.

You have -- You can make all the difference in

whether or not the master developer is assigned and we hope that you will say no. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: All right. Any additional members of the audience that would like to speak?

MS. ANDREWS: Me. I just have a couple of quick questions.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: All right. Thank you.

MS. ANDREWS: Faith Andrews, 10441 Prouty.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Faith, just let's not make it political. And so -- And I am not saying that you are, so if you just would, but Vanessa knows that she is not supposed to make it political. If you would just please comply.

MS. ANDREWS: I can't, I can't believe that you would even -- I'm offended.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: No. I don't --

MS. ANDREWS: Here are the two questions I have.

There has been a lot of rumors. I have heard about the income tax coming into the JEDD. Can you confirm that or not? That's the only -- That's one question I have, is I've heard that there is income tax being proposed coming into the JEDD for the residents that would -- anything within the JEDD. Okay? So I just need to have an answer on that.

And then I know the legislation that has been repealed took away referendum capability for residents with regard to development in the JEDD. I am just wanting to know whether or not, under the current zoning laws, we still have referendum capability. Thank you very much for allowing me to speak.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: I didn't mean to -- All right.

Listen --

MR. GALLOWAY: Do you want me to?

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: No. Just real quick, we're trying not to make this matter about politics. We're talking about governance, not a particular candidate, not a particular position. I didn't mean to imply anything else except that the last speaker, I thought, misspoke and I was just making sure that we all understood the rules.

With respect to, Faith, your, your question with regards to the Joint Economic Development District, which is the JEDD, produces an income tax, it has been producing that income tax since 2008. That's the purpose of the Joint Economic Development District.

If there is a mixed-use development inside a JEDD and there is a resident that is inside that mixed-use development, pursuant to the JEDD, that individual could be, could be -- would have an income tax as well. That is a change in the law that the Ohio Revised Code did back in September of 2016.

Additionally, prior to then, residents were allowed to be inside a JEDD, they just couldn't start there. So they could be added on later on. So that's, that's the history of the law.

With regards to referendums, referendums can always take place after something is passed and within -- I believe it's by filing within 30 days to the Board of Elections. So, so there has been no, no change in referendum law. That's pursuant to the Ohio Revised Code. And then, again, the JEDD law is, again, pursuant to the Ohio Revised Code.

Getting back to Mr. Massie's comments, and I want to take some time because I actually share his sentiments on some and then others. With regards to the safety levy and the

combined levy of the police and fire, they were combined because we found, historically, that our police and our fire work hand in hand together. That was created by many times when there is an incident, police and fire are both called there. And so, therefore, they have been working together in a cohesive group. We need them both, we need on the scene both, and so we combined them as a safety levy. The continuous portion of that is right. It provides a base and stability for both organizations.

That being said, historically, Concord Township has repealed levies that were not necessary or overburdened. And I can tell you that back, I believe, in the '80s, Connie, you repealed the last police levy.

MS. LUHTA: It was in the '90s.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Nineties?

MR. GALLOWAY: Yeah, it was '92.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Ninety-two.

MS. LUHTA: Ninety-three.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: So there is an historic precedent with regards to that.

With regards to NOACA funds, NOACA had no, no decision-making with Concord Township on anything. However, they did provide us funds.

And when you mention that the, you know, whether or not the township fights for funds from other agencies, they do so, NOACA being one, ODOT and safety levies -- or safety dollars have been two that assisted in the creation of the recent project.

The Buxton numbers and the Risinger numbers, although you and I may disagree on the value of those numbers, what is

created is they provide analytics so that we can provide to entities that would like to relocate to Concord Township. If we're talking about building up this commercial corridor and we're talking about stabilizing our taxes for senior citizens and stabilizing our tax dollars for our residents and we're talking about making improvements on the 8 percent of that commercial corridor, we have to provide analytics to get entities here. And so that's why Starbucks is here, that's why Drug Mart is here or being built here, that's why other entities are going to be coming here.

Talking about town center and what that town center looks like, I don't necessarily know what everybody's vision of what that looks like, whether or not a developer comes here and provides a vision or what Chris Galloway's vision is or Connie Luhta's vision is or what any resident's vision is for that. However, if we're talking about a developer that wants to come here and put something here, that will be presented to the Township. That will be presented to the Board of Zoning Appeals if it has to be a conditional use permit or the Zoning Commission or the Trustees in whatever fashion that, you know, somehow that entity wants to arrive here. And there is going to be public hearings on that and I hope that residents come here and help and shape that so that it mixes with the fabric of Concord Township.

That being said, I don't know of anybody who could say that the town center is a bad idea if we don't exactly know exactly what the town center is. What we're looking for is, is some entity that decides they want to develop that area and shape that. Now, what that does is that builds out our commercial corridor. If it's on Concord Township's property,

it's going to be a brand new entity that's going to pay significantly higher property tax dollars, which should relieve the tax burden of residents. It will pay Joint Economic Development District JEDD tax dollars, that would be income tax dollars, so that would also additionally not burden the residents but, in fact, what it will do is we will have more tax dollars coming into this, this township so that we can go ahead and stabilize our property tax dollars.

I don't have any additional. Connie, do you have something?

MS. LUHTA: No.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Chris?

MR. GALLOWAY: Yeah. I would just really quick, on Faith's questions, I would say that some of that is some of the confusion and lack or sort of a nonunderstanding of, of what the JEDD is. And I see a lot of that when I talk to the public about the JEDD and RFP and the zoning text overlay. There is a lot of cross-pollination of these things and I think a little bit of confusion. I think you sort of outlined it.

I mean, the JEDD itself is a district that is established jointly by the City of Painesville and the Concord Township for the purpose of establishing, among other things, an income tax within that designated area. So by definition, so when you have somebody ask you, "Faith, you know, I hear there is an income tax coming to the JEDD," that's what a JEDD is, in part. Now, it also is other things. It provides discounted electric and water to those that are in it. It also, you know, provides economic development support, businesses development support. But by definition, one of the major functions of a JEDD is the income tax.

Now, that being -- I think what the real question that people are probably asking you is, and I had somebody ask me today, is this going to apply to everybody in the township? And the answer to that is no. A JEDD is not allowed to be applied specifically to residential properties. So it cannot be applied to somebody on Andrea Drive or on South Meadow or anything of that nature, or Prouty Road.

The State of Ohio, two years ago, did change the JEDD laws where they previously did not allow for, as part of a commercial development, residents within a JEDD to be subjected to the income tax, those residences within a mixed-use development. And the mixed-use development is completely separate of a JEDD. They are not the same thing. They are very -- They are completely separate things. One is a private development, a JEDD is a government entity, and then subsequent zoning is its own matter as well.

The State of Ohio changed that law that said, if you have a mixed-use development, those that choose to live within it would also be subjected to the JEDD income tax. So unless somebody were to move into a mixed-use development that was within the JEDD, there would be no subjection of the income tax, the payroll tax to, to anybody. So the population as a whole, you know, those of us in our neighborhoods and our homes or anything, it does not apply.

You also asked about the repealed legislation. And as it relates to that, that text and the JEDD, again, that's zoning text. The JEDD is completely separate. The JEDD has nothing to do with zoning. There is no function of zoning within the JEDD. The JEDD is its own separate entity that doesn't have any impact, affect or anything of that nature on

zoning. Zoning is established by the township and the township 1 alone. 2 And as Paul mentioned, the referendum process that 3 was discussed as part of that repealed legislation is, 4 therefore, null and void. And under Ohio Revised Code, the 5 referendum process remains in all other elements of the zoning 6 7 text. Correct me if I'm wrong. I mean, I think anybody can 8 referendum anything, any vote of Trustees, I mean, even if we 9 vote on a P.O. MS. LUHTA: 10 No. MR. LUCAS: No. 11 MR. GALLOWAY: No? 12 13 MR. LUCAS: No, no. The statute that they're talking about is 519.12 and it's specifically referenced with the 14 passage of legislation through the process that I described at 15 the beginning of the meeting. So at the end of the meeting, 16 the process, if the Board of Trustees, hypothetically, puts 17 into effect a new zoning classification, the township residents 18 have a period of time under the statute in which to file a 19 referendum, get the signatories to put it on the ballot and 20 21 that. 22 MR. GALLOWAY: What decisions by the Board of 23 Trustees are subject to a referendum? 24 MR. LUCAS: Well, legislative. MR. GALLOWAY: Any legislative? 25 MR. LUCAS: I don't want -- You know what? 26 27 519.12 provision deals strictly with zoning. I don't know 28 because I didn't look at potential referendum outside of that.

MR. GALLOWAY: I was always under the impression that

So --

29

30

any legislative action by the Board of Trustees is potentially 1 subject to referendum under that same process. 2 MR. LUCAS: No. 3 MR. GALLOWAY: Maybe there are some limitations. 4 MR. LUCAS: Yeah. There is -- The zoning passage is 5 definitely by statute. 6 7 MR. GALLOWAY: Well, yes. 8 MR. LUCAS: I don't know. I would have to look to 9 see what other provisions of the referendum would apply. never been historically brought out ever, although it's being 10 asked and I understand that. There is no -- I mean, when 11 you're passing, for instance, a zoning -- If you're passing 12 legislation, all right, authorizing the township to enter into 13 a contract, there is no referendum on that. All right? 14 MR. GALLOWAY: Okav. 15 MR. LUCAS: There is no referendum when you're 16 authorizing a road improvement on a particular area because 17 grant money is involved and you want to have a delineation of 18 that with reference to a resolution. There is no referendum on 19 that. 20 21 MR. GALLOWAY: Okay. Good. Thank you. 22 MR. LUCAS: By the way, whatever is extant right now, 23 there is no referendum on that. 24 MR. GALLOWAY: Right. 25 MR. LUCAS: Okay. So --26 MR. GALLOWAY: Well, I appreciate that clarification. 27 I would just, Mr. Massie's comments, as Paul 28 mentioned, NOACA is not involved in anything that we do as it 29 relates to our zoning, RFP process, mixed-use development

proposals, fire levies, nothing. So I am not sure how to

30

answer it any more directly than that. I would say that the dollars that are received, a little over \$2 million from NOACA, were, in fact, a grant towards our process, which is, again, is acting as a pass-through agent from the federal government towards our road project.

And then regarding the local government fund, Brian, I would say that, you know, listen, I welcome your involvement. You will know that the dollars that Concord Township receives, you know, that is typically based on a formula based on the types of programs that communities are doing. I think if you looked at that list -- and I haven't seen the list you referenced ranking communities. My guess is the townships in this county are all at the bottom and that's because of restrictions that we have under Ohio Revised Code in terms of what we are allowed to do as a government. Entities like Mentor and Willowick and Willoughby do a lot more type of social net programs and other things of that nature, so that they are then eligible for funds that we are not necessarily eligible for.

But I would take some exception to the suggestion that, that we don't fight for the residents. I am in my 12th year in this job and I have fought for this township within thousands, thousands of man hours of mine, whether it's advocating for this township before the Commissioners, in Columbus in front of our legislature and changes to Ohio Revised Code that would assist this township and other townships, going to DC to advocate for this township. Just two weeks ago I was there on private business, took time out of my, out of my schedule to go meet with the Postal Service, go meet with Congressman Dave Joyce because, as a lot of people know, a

lot of folks would love to see our own ZIP Code in Concord Township. We have three ZIP Codes and people ask us all the time, "Why can't we have we have our own ZIP Code as Concord Township?" So I went and met with them.

And, you know, so folks know, the only way you can get a ZIP Code is through an act of Congress. And as luck would have it, right now, there is a Postal bill in committee in the House and the Congressman has indicated that he will insert language into that for Concord Township to get its own ZIP Code. It's been a long time coming on that, a good decade waiting for a Postal bill, waiting for a vehicle for us to be able to pursue something like that.

Whether it's, you know, out in a stream on a Saturday looking for a source of pollution in Kellogg Creek that was -- had generated calls from our residents, whether it's fighting ODOT to get additional dollars for projects in this township, tightening our zoning code, tightening up the RCD zoning, installing riparian setbacks so that we work towards improving our stormwater, working on grants through the federal government, going and meeting with the U.S. Department of Agricultural to get grants to remove those condos below the Brightwood Lake dam, we have advocated for this township night and day on a whole plethora of issues.

So I welcome you to the fight on it, but please don't suggest that we're just sitting here one meeting a month or two meetings a month on a Wednesday and sort of just -- I don't know what you think we're doing. But I can tell you right now that I have spent an exorbitant amount of time advocating for the residents of this township in a whole plethora of ways, whether it's financially working to bring Starbucks here --

That was a five-year process. And why? Because a lot of people asked for it. People wanted it. So we do those things. We work at those things.

So if I seem a little impassioned, I am because the suggestion that we're not fighting for our residents, I think, is erroneous.

And, lastly, no one up here ever said the town center project was, was dead. In fact, I specifically remember answering Vanessa's question about the RFP process and what the outcome is going to be and where is it going and saying, "We don't know because it's ongoing." The legislation that revolved around zoning text overlay was terminated by this Board because we didn't feel we could build a consensus within the community on the elements of that text.

That doesn't mean that I have changed my opinion on the fact that Concord Township should be doing everything it can to exert control and drive direction on the development in that corridor. If we do not try to exert that control and try to push what would be a better outcome, we will end up with a disjointed group of hodgepodge uses up and down Auburn Road, Crile Road, in that area, and it will look more like Vine Street. You have heard me say it before. It will end up looking like Tyler Boulevard in Mentor. And I think, at the end of the day, that is a failure for this township because I think that end result, looking like that, there is not anybody in this room or out there in TV Land that's going to be happy with that.

And so, yes, we do -- we are, we are committed to trying to have a cohesive vision for that corridor that fits what Concord Township is and we will continue to work toward

that within the constructs of the existing zoning, as Mike and Kathy had so eloquently outlined earlier. I guess I will leave it there.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Just one last thing. You know, people, members of the Board of Zoning Appeals and members of the Zoning Commission are appointed by the Trustees. But I will tell you that there are members of both those committees who have been on for decades that have been here.

MS. LUHTA: Oh, yeah.

CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: And I can tell you that I don't, I don't see them often. I don't speak with them often. But they're their own independent people, just as people that are on the Planning Commission are their own independent people that I don't think they take any, you know, any direction by the Commissioners that appoint them.

I think that people are independent people that live here in Concord Township that want to make the right decisions in Concord Township. I think there are some decisions that are very, very easy for them to make. There are some decisions that are very, very hard to make. And from what I know of their process, they do their homework. And, you know, they don't get paid to do their homework but they do their homework and, before they arrive here, they have already seen sites and reviewed documents and reviewed plans and had questions with both, you know, Heather, sometimes Kathy when she was in that position or Mike's office in going through those things.

So, you know, I think those individuals do a lot for this township and in shaping it the way it looks and the way it behaves today. So I --

MR. GALLOWAY: I think it's important to point out 1 that, yes, the Trustees appoint. Some of them we inherited. 2 Some there were resignations, we put in -- we interviewed 3 people, asked for applications and put people in those 4 places. 5 MS. LUHTA: Right. 6 MR. GALLOWAY: But those boards are, under Ohio 7 8 Revised Code, fully autonomous. They do not work at the behest 9 of the Trustees. They do not -- They are not removable They are in five-year terms. Their terms are longer 10 than trustees. That's by design under Ohio Revised Code. 11 I can tell you that it has always been the practice of this 12 13 Board that we do not interfere in their business and, they, they come to their own conclusions on matters and, you know, 14 that's how we operate because that's how the law intends us to 15 operate and because they are autonomous boards and we treat 16 17 them as such. 18 MS. LUHTA: Right. Sometimes the things that we want to do take years. And as an example, about ten years ago, I 19 tried to get our own ZIP Code and was unsuccessful. 20 21 surprised that you even were able to talk about it. 22 MR. GALLOWAY: Well, it's, you know, it's just one of those things that there is a Postal reform bill. 23 24 MS. LUHTA: Yeah. 25 MR. GALLOWAY: So there is now an opportunity. MS. LUHTA: That's right. 26 27 MR. GALLOWAY: So, you know, I just --28 MS. LUHTA: That's great. MR. GALLOWAY: So I decided to go for it. So we'll 29

Keep your fingers crossed that Congress finds a way to

30

get something done and moves that bill out of the House into 1 the Senate and so forth. 2 MS. LUHTA: Right. 3 CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: I make -- I'd entertain a vote for the September financial statements. 5 MS. LUHTA: So moved. 6 MR. GALLOWAY: I will second those, and those were 7 provided to us by our Fiscal Officer. 8 9 CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: All in favor say "aye." (Three aye votes, no nay votes.) 10 CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Chris, Connie, we are going to 11 be closed on Monday, October 9th, in observance of Columbus 12 Day. 13 Wednesday, October 11th, at 7:00 p.m., we are going 14 to have a BZA meeting in Town Hall. 15 October 14th, 8:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., we are going to 16 have brush and yard waste at Town Hall Campus. 17 On October 18th, at 6:30, the Trustees' office hours; 18 19 at 7:30, we will have the Trustees' meeting. There is trick-or-treating again on October 31st from 20 21 6:00 to 8:00. The firemen and the police officers from the 22 Sheriff's Department will be out there for safety. 23 Deb, one more time, when is the Grist Mill for the kids? 24 Thursday, October 26th. 25 MS. ESKER: 26 CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Thursday, October 26th. 27 MS. ESKER: 6:30 p.m., Reider's, start at Reider's. 28 CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: 6:30 p.m. at Reider's. Please let Reider's know, thank you for that. 29 MS. LUHTA: 30 Yes.

```
1
                CHAIRMAN MALCHESKY: Okay. With that, we will close
2
     the meeting.
                 (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:54 p.m.)
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
```

STATE OF OHIO 1 CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA 2 I, Melinda A. Melton, Registered Professional 3 Reporter, a notary public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that, to 4 the best of my ability, the foregoing proceeding was reduced by me to stenotype shorthand, subsequently transcribed into 5 typewritten manuscript; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of said proceedings so taken as 6 aforesaid. 7 I do further certify that this proceeding took 8 place at the time and place as specified in the foregoing caption and was completed without adjournment. 9 I do further certify that I am not a friend, relative, or counsel for any party or otherwise interested 10 in the outcome of these proceedings. 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office this 8th day of December 2017. 12 13 Melinda A. Melton 14 Registered Professional Reporter 15 Notary Public within and for the 16 State of Ohio 17 My Commission Expires: February 4, 2018 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30